## An Introduction to Parallel Programming Solutions, Chapter 1

Jinyoung Choi and Peter Pacheco

February 1, 2011

```
1.          quotient = n / p;
          remainder = n % p;
          if (my_rank < remainder) {
                my_n_count = quotient + 1;
                my_first_i = my_rank * my_n_count;
        } else {
                my_n_count = quotient;
               my_first_i = my_rank * my_n_count + remainder;
          }
          my_last_i = my_first_i + my_n_count;
}</pre>
```

2. We are assigning blocks of elements to cores in order (the first n/p elements to core 0, the next n/p elements to core 1, so on). So, for example, if n=12 and p=4, core 0 spends 12 milliseconds in the call to Compute\_next\_value (i=0,1,2), core 1 spends 30 milliseconds (i=3,4,5), core 2 spends 48 milliseconds (i=6,7,8), and core 3 spends 66 milliseconds (i=9,10,11). So clearly this assignment will do a very poor job of load balancing.

A better approach uses a *cyclic* assignment of the work to the cores:

```
/* assign[c][j] is the jth value of i assigned to core c */
/* work[c] is the total amount of work assigned to core c */
c = j = 0;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    work[c] += 2*(i+1);
    assign[c][j] = i;
    c = (c + 1) % p;
    if (c == 0) j++;
}</pre>
```

Prof. Timothy Rolfe of Eastern Washington University came up with a *much* better approach. He uses a cyclic assignment of the work to the cores, but he starts with the largest amount of work (2n) and works backward through the work  $(2n-2,2n-4,\ldots,4,2)$ . However, he alternates between going forward  $(0,1,\ldots,p-1)$  and backward  $(p-1,p-2,\ldots,1,0)$  through the cores. For example, suppose p=5 and n=23. Then the cyclic assignment outlined above will assign work as follows:

Core		V	<sup>7</sup> alue	Total Work		
0	0	5	10	15	20	110
1	1	6	11	16	21	120
2	2	7	12	17	22	130
3	3	8	13	18		92
4	4	9	14	19		100

On the other hand, Prof. Rolfe's solution assigns the work as follows:

Core		Val	ue of	Total Work		
0	22	13	12	3	2	114
1	21	14	11	4	1	112
2	20	15	10	5	0	110
3	19	16	9	6		108
4	18	17	8	7		108

His algorithm can be described as follows:

```
j = 0; i = n-1;
while (i >= 0) {
    /* Go forward through cores */
    for (c = 0; c = 0; c++) {
        work[c] += 2*(i+1);
        assign[c][j] = i;
        i--;
}
j++;

/* Go backward through cores */
for (c = p-1; c >= 0 && i >= 0; c-- ) {
        work[c] += 2*(i+1);
        assign[c][j] = i;
```

```
j++;
3. \text{ divisor} = 2;
  core_difference = 1;
  sum = my_value;
  while ( divisor <= number of cores ) {</pre>
      if ( my_rank % divisor == 0 ) {
        partner = my_rank + core_difference;
         receive value from partner core;
         sum += received value;
     } else {
        partner = my_rank core_difference;
         send my sum to partner core;
     }
     divisor *= 2;
     core_difference *=2;
  }
4. \text{ bitmask} = 1;
  divisor = 2;
  sum = my_value;
  while ( bitmask < number of cores ) {</pre>
     partner = my_rank ^ bitmask;
     if ( my_rank % divisor == 0 ) {
         receive value from partner core;
         sum += received value;
     } else {
         send my_sum to partner core;
     bitmask <<= 1;
     divisor *= 2;
  }
```

5. It could happen that some cores wait for non-existent cores to send values, and this would probably cause the code to hang or crash. We can simply add a condition,

if (partner < number of cores) {</pre>

```
receive value
sum += received value
}
```

when a cores tries to receive a value from its partner to make sure the program will handle the case in which the number of cores isn't a power of 2.

- 6. (a) The number of receives is p-1, and the number of additions is p-1.
  - (b) The number of receives is  $\log_2(p)$ , and the number of additions is  $\log_2(p)$ .

	р	Original	Tree-Structured
	2	1	1
	4	3	2
	8	7	3
	16	15	4
(c)	32	31	5
	64	63	6
	128	127	7
	256	255	8
	512	511	9
	1024	1023	10

- 7. The example is a combination of task- and data- parallelism. In each phase of the tree-structured global sum, the cores are computing partial sums. This can be seen as data-parallelism. Also, in each phase, there are two types of tasks. Some cores are sending their sums and some are receiving another cores partial sum. This can be seen as task-parallelism.
- 8. (a) Cleaning the place for the party, bringing food, scheduling the setup, making party posters, etc.
  - (b) There are several locations to clean. We can partition them among the faculty.
  - (c) For instance, we can assign the task of preparing the food and drinks to some of the faculty. Then, this group can be partitioned according to the types of food: some individuals can be responsible for hors d'oeuvres, some for sandwiches, some for the punch, etc.
- 9. (ESSAY)