



APR 19 2011

April 19, 2011

Mr. Cliff Guffey
President
American Postal Workers
Union (APWU), AFL-CIO
1300 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-4128

Certified Mail Tracking Number
7099 3400 0009 0515 3672

Dear Cliff:

As information, enclosed is a copy of the Final Post Implementation Review (PIR) for the Cape Cod, Massachusetts Processing and Distribution Facility (P&DF) area mail processing study.

If you have any questions, please contact Barry Carpenter at (202) 268-6892.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John W. Dockins".

for John W. Dockins
Manager
Contract Administration (APWU)

Enclosure

----- PIR Data Entry Page -----

1. Existing Facility Information

Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating
Facility Name & Type: Cape Cod MA P&DF
Street Address: 25 Tobey Rd
City: Wareham
State: MA
5D Facility ZIP Code: 02571
District: Southeast New England
Area: Northeast
Finance Number: [REDACTED]
Current 3D ZIP Code(s): 025, 026
Miles to Gaining Facility: 29.4
EXFC office: Yes
Plant Manager: Arnold Williams
Senior Plant Manager: Mike Haggerty
District Manager: Mike Powers

2. Gaining Facility Information

Facility Name & Type: Brockton MA P&DC
Street Address: 225 Liberty St
City: Brockton
State: MA
5D Facility ZIP Code: 02301
District: Southeast New England
Area: Northeast
Finance Number: [REDACTED]
Current 3D ZIP Code(s): 020, 023
EXFC office: Yes
A/Plant Manager: Michael Rakes
Senior Plant Manager: Mike Haggerty
District Manager: Mike Powers

3. Background Information

Approval Date: September 24, 2009
Implementation Date: Jan-01-2010
PIR Type: 1st PIR
Date Range of Data: Jan-01-2010: Jun-30-2010
Processing Days per Year: 310
Bargaining Unit Hours per Year: 1,750
EAS Hours per Year: 1,825

Date of HQ memo, *DAR Factors/Cost of Borrowing/
New Facility Start-up Costs Update*

November 28, 2008

Date & Time this workbook was last saved:

4/1/2011 8:17

4. Other Information

Area Vice President: Steven J. Forte
Vice President, Network Operations: David E. Williams
Area AMP Coordinator: George Fusaro
NAI Contact: Jug S. Bedi

rev 1/3/2009

Losing Facility Name and Type: Cape Cod MA P&DF
 Facility ZIP Code: 02571
 Finance Number: [REDACTED]
 Current SCF ZIP Code(s): 025, 026
 Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating
 Gaining Facility Name and Type: Brockton MA P&DC
 Facility ZIP Code: 02301
 Finance Number: [REDACTED]
 Current SCF ZIP Code(s): 020, 023
 Implementation Date: 01/01/10 PIR Type: 1st PIR
 Date Range of Data: Jan-01-2010 to Jun-30-2010

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ACCOUNTABILITY - I acknowledge that I am accountable for respecting and supporting the integrity of all official postal reporting systems, including financial reports and those relating to compliance with contracting, complement, or similar efforts involving the investment and expenditure of funds, as well as all systems to service to our customers.

LOSING FACILITY:

Plant Manager:
 Arnold Williams
 Printed Name _____ Signature [Signature] Date 12/13/10
Senior Plant Manager:
 Mike Haggerty
 Printed Name _____ Signature [Signature] Date 12/1/10
District Manager:
 Mike Powers
 Printed Name _____ Signature [Signature] Date 11/23/10

GAINING FACILITY:

Plant Manager:
 for Michael Rakes
 Printed Name _____ Signature [Signature] Date 12/13/10
Senior Plant Manager:
 Mike Haggerty
 Printed Name _____ Signature [Signature] Date 12/1/10
District Manager:
 Mike Powers
 Printed Name _____ Signature [Signature] Date 11/23/10

AREA OFFICE:

Area Vice President:
 Jordan Small
 Printed Name _____ Signature [Signature] Date 12/16/10

HEADQUARTERS:

President Network Operations
 David E. Williams
 Printed Name _____ Signature [Signature] Date 4/1/11

Comments: _____

Executive Summary

PIR Type: 1st PIR

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Date Range of Data: 1/1/10 - 6/30/10

Losing Facility Name and Type: Cape Cod MA P&DF
Street Address: 25 Tobey Rd
City: Wareham
State: MA
Current SCF ZIP Code(s): 025, 026
Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating

Gaining Facility Name and Type: Brockton MA P&DC
Street Address: 225 Liberty St
City: Brockton
State: MA
Current SCF ZIP Code(s): 020, 023

Summary of Worksheets

Savings/Costs

	Actual Change vs Pre-AMP	PIR	Proposed Change PIR vs Proposed
Function 1 Workhour Savings	\$9,002,909		\$8,138,172
Non-Processing Craft Workhour Savings (less Maint/Trans)	(\$657,671)		(\$722,118)
PCES/EAS Workhour Savings	\$1,129,026		\$451,724
Transportation Savings	(\$144,798)		(\$135,470)
Maintenance Savings	\$2,901,838		\$2,556,572
Space Savings	\$0		\$0
Total Annual Savings	\$12,231,304		\$10,288,881
Total One-Time Costs	\$0		\$0
Total First Year Savings	\$12,231,304		\$10,288,881
Craft Position Loss	30	14	from Staffing-Craft
PCES/EAS Position Loss	14	8	from Staffing-PCES/EAS

Service

	Losing Current Qtr	Gaining Current Qtr
First-Class Mail Service Performance (EXFC O/N)	94.31%	97.07%
First-Class Mail Service Performance (EXFC 2 Day)	95.09%	94.97%
First-Class Mail Service Performance (EXFC 3 Day)	92.05%	92.64%
Customer Satisfaction Measurement Residential at PFC level		91%
Customer Satisfaction Measurement Business/Preferred at PFC level		88%

BACKGROUND

on References

Using and Gaining Facility Data:	Pre - AMP	Proposed - AMP	PIR
Function 1 Annual Workhour Costs	29,699,481	28,834,744	\$20,696,572.02
Using Craft Workhour Costs (less Maint/Trans)	(440,042)	(504,489)	217,629
PCES/EAS Workhour Costs	4,195,055	3,517,753	3,066,029
Transportation Costs	828,428	837,756	973,226
Maintenance Costs	10,337,700	9,992,434	7,435,862
Space Costs	\$0	\$0	-
Total Annual Costs	\$44,620,622	\$42,678,199	\$32,389,318
Total One-Time Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total First Year Costs	\$44,620,622	\$42,678,199	\$32,389,318

Staffing

Craft Position Total	486	470	456
PCES/EAS Position Total	43	37	29

	1st PIR vs Pre AMP	1st PIR vs Proposed (Approved)	Approved AMP
Function 1 Workhour Savings	\$9,002,909	\$8,138,172	864,737
Using Craft Workhour Savings (less Maint/Trans)	(\$657,671)	(\$722,118)	64,447
PCES/EAS Workhour Savings	\$1,129,026	\$451,724	677,302
Transportation Savings	(\$144,798)	(\$135,470)	(9,328)
Maintenance Savings	\$2,901,838	\$2,556,572	\$345,265
Space Savings	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Annual Savings	\$12,231,304	\$10,288,881	\$1,942,423
Total One-Time Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total First Year Savings	\$12,231,304	\$10,288,881	\$1,942,423

Staffing

Craft Position Loss	30	14	16
PCES/EAS Position Loss	14	8	6

Summary Narrative

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Losing Facility Name and Type: Cape Cod MA P&DF

Current SCF ZIP Code(s): 025, 026

Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating

Gaining Facility Name and Type: Brockton MA P&DC

Current SCF ZIP Code(s): 020, 023

Background

The Southeast New England Performance cluster and the Northeast Area office have completed the first Post Implementation review (PIR) of the Area mail Processing (AMP) proposal that consolidated originating mail from the Cape Cod, MA P&DF to the Brockton, MA P&DC. This first PIR used data for the first six months following implementation, then annualized savings to determine if the Postal Service increased efficiency by consolidating originating mail processing operations. The AMP was approved September 24, 2009 and implementation was completed by January 1, 2010.

The AMP affected mail from Cape Cod, MA zip codes 025-026. Originating mail was cancelled on 2 advanced facer canceller systems (AFCSs) in Cape Cod prior to the AMP implementation. The remaining AFCSs remain deactivated at the Cape Cod facility. Collection mail from Cape Cod is cancelled by AFCS in Brockton, MA with the postmark, "Brockton, MA 023". There were no other equipment relocations with implementation of the AMP.

Financial Summary:

	<u>1st PIR vs Pre AMP</u>	<u>1st PIR vs proposed</u>
Total Annual Savings:	\$12,231,304	\$10,288,881
Total First Year:	\$12,231,304	\$10,288,281

Customer Service Considerations:

The consolidation shifted an approximate average daily volume of 104,064 originating first handling pieces from the Cape Cod, MA P&DF to the Brockton, MA P&DC. There were 8 upgrades for First Class and Priority mail, and no downgrades.

The AMP proposal identified overnight service commitment improvements as noted in the evaluation. For Quarter 2 2010, the overnight percentage was 96.3 % for Cape Cod, MA and 96.07% for Brockton, MA. The Cape Cod, MA P&DF EXFC First Class mail service performance from TTMS for the AMP impacted zip codes is shown below:

		Cape Cod	EXFC O/D		
		(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		Fiscal Quarter	Overnight Percentage	2 Day Percentage	3 Day Percentage
Before AMP		Q2 2009	95.29%	90.27%	86.99%
		Q1 2009	94.96%	90.21%	84.85%
		Q4 2008	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		Q3 2008	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
		Fiscal Quarter	Overnight Percentage	2 Day Percentage	3 Day Percentage
After AMP		Q1 2011			
		Q4 2010			
		Q3 2010	94.31%	95.09%	92.05%
		Q2 2010	96.30%	92.00%	89.05%

		Brockton		EXFC O/D	
		(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		Fiscal Quarter	Overnight Percentage	2 Day Percentage	3 Day Percentage
Before AMP		Q2 2009	95.60%	94.01%	93.41%
		Q1 2009	96.32%	91.98%	92.90%
		Q4 2008	96.75%	90.92%	86.72%
		Q3 2008	96.90%	92.08%	86.86%
		Brockton		EXFC O/D	
		(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
		Fiscal Quarter	Overnight Percentage	2 Day Percentage	3 Day Percentage
After AMP		Q1 2011			
		Q4 2010			
		Q3 2010	97.07%	94.97%	92.64%
		Q2 2010	96.07%	92.27%	91.06%

Transportation Changes:

Transportation costs total \$144,798 against a projected cost of \$9,328. Additional trips and mileage were incurred for HCR 025L61.

Staffing Impacts

Based upon the first two full quarters of data following the AMP implementation, the annualized craft personnel changes are summarized from the staffing comparison for complement as of the end of Quarter 3 of 2010 versus the AMP. Due to the conversion of the Cape Cod P&DF to a Function 4 facility soon after the implementation of the AMP, the complement comparison was completed including the function 4 craft employees, both for the pre-AMP period and after implementation in order to provide a sound comparison. The analysis shows a decrease in craft employees of 30 compared to the AMP proposal of 16. EAS employees were reduced by 14 versus the proposed 6 in the AMP proposal.

Management and Craft Staffing Impacts							
	Cap Cod P&DF			Brockton P&DC			Net Diff
	Total Pre-AMP Rolls	Total On 1st PIR	Diff	Total Pre-AMP Rolls	Total On 1st PIR	Diff	
Craft ¹	101	86	(15)	385	370	(15)	(30)
Management	8	3	(5)	35	26	(9)	(14)

¹ Craft = FTR+PTR+PTF+Casuals

Management to Craft ₂ Ratios	Pre-AMP		PIR	
	SDOs to Craft ₁ (1:25 target)	MDOs+SDOs to Craft ₁ (1:22 target)	SDOs to Craft ₁ (1:25 target)	MDOs+SDOs to Craft ₁ (1:22 target)
Cape Cod	1 : 20	1 : 16	1 : 32	1 : 32
Brockton	1 : 25	1 : 21	1 : 28	1 : 24

¹ Craft = FTR+PTR+PTF+Casuals

² Craft = F1 + F4 at Losing; F1 only at Gaining

Maintenance impacts

Maintenance savings were significantly higher versus the AMP proposed. Savings amount to \$2,901,838 against a proposed savings of 345,265. Savings accrued across all categories at Cape Cod and in building services, and stockroom/supplies at Brockton. Savings were slightly offset by higher costs at Brockton for mail processing and building equipment.

Space impacts and one-Time facility costs

There were no costs projected in the AMP proposal for removal of any AFCS and related equipment to Brockton, and there were no funds expended for any equipment removals or space modifications.

Conclusion

The AMP proposal to move originating Cape Cod P&DF volumes to the Brockton, MA P&DC projected a potential first year savings of \$1,942,423. The first Post implementation review after implementation annualized savings is \$ 12,231,304. Overall results reflect greater than expected workhour savings and maintenance cost savings to process the Cape Cod volume.

The significantly higher savings for this PIR are mainly contributed to other local initiatives involving staffing/scheduling study impacts and the retirement incentives in the fall of 2009, which contributed to the larger than expected, complement reduction.

Service Performance and Customer Satisfaction Measurement

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

PIR Type: 1st PIR

Implementation Date: 01/01/10

ng Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

District: Southeast New England

Cape Cod		EXFC O/D			CSM*		
(7)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)		(12)
Fiscal Quarter		Overnight Percentage	2 Day Percentage	3 Day Percentage	Residential %E/VG/G		Bus/Prfd %E/VG/G
fore AMP	Q2 2009	95.29%	90.27%	86.99%			
	Q1 2009	94.96%	90.21%	84.85%			
	Q4 2008	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
	Q3 2008	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
		EXFC O/D			CEM* Q3 2010		
(13)		(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)		(18)
Fiscal Quarter		Overnight Percentage	2 Day Percentage	3 Day Percentage	Question #	Residential Top Two Box	Sm/Med Bus Top Two Box
fter AMP	Q1 2011				Q1	91%	88%
	Q4 2010				Q4a	93%	91%
	Q3 2010	94.31%	95.09%	92.05%	Q8a	94%	88%
	Q2 2010	96.30%	92.00%	89.05%	Q12a	93%	88%
					Q16a	75%	63%
					Q19	82%	83%

ng Facility: Brockton MA P&DC

District: Southeast New England

Brockton		EXFC O/D			CSM*		
(7)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)		(12)
Fiscal Quarter		Overnight Percentage	2 Day Percentage	3 Day Percentage	Residential %E/VG/G		Bus/Prfd %E/VG/G
fore AMP	Q2 2009	95.60%	94.01%	93.41%			
	Q1 2009	96.32%	91.98%	92.90%			
	Q4 2008	96.75%	90.92%	86.72%			
	Q3 2008	96.90%	92.08%	88.86%			
		EXFC O/D			CEM* Q3 2010		
(13)		(14)	(15)	(16)	Question #	Residential Top Two Box	Sm/Med Bus Top Two Box
Fiscal Quarter		Overnight Percentage	2 Day Percentage	3 Day Percentage	Q1	91%	88%
fter AMP	Q1 2011				Q4a	93%	91%
	Q4 2010				Q8a	94%	88%
	Q3 2010	97.07%	94.97%	92.64%	Q12a	93%	88%
	Q2 2010	96.07%	92.27%	91.06%	Q16a	75%	63%
					Q19	82%	83%

(13) Notes: _____

r Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) became Customer Experience Measurement in 2010. Data reflects most recently completed quarter
 satisfaction (Overall Experience)
 ion with Receiving (Experience with receiving)
 ion with Sending (Experience with sending)
 ion with most frequently visited PO (Experience with most frequently visited PO)
 ion with most recent contact with USPS (Experience with most recent contact with USPS)
 recommend the USPS

Workhour Costs - Combined Facilities

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Combined Facilities

PRR Type: 1st PRR
 Date in PRR column is actualized for First PRR.

Type of Distribution Consolidated: _____ Originating: _____

Date Range of Data: Jan-01-2010 To Jan-30-2010

Account #	PRR Workhour Rate by LEC	Facility #
11	LS30.07/02412	41
12	LS30.07/02412	42
13	LS30.07/02412	43
14	LS30.07/02412	44
15	LS30.07/02412	45
16	LS30.07/02412	46
17	LS30.07/02412	47
18	LS30.07/02412	48
19	LS30.07/02412	49
20	LS30.07/02412	50

Operation Numbers	Annual PRR Volume		Annual PRR Volume		Annual PRR Volume		Annual PRR Volume		Annual PRR Volume	
	Proposed	1st PRR	Proposed	1st PRR	Proposed	1st PRR	Proposed	1st PRR	Proposed	1st PRR
007/0002										
010/010										
014/014										
015/015										
017/017										
018/018										
021/021										
026/026										
030/030										
040/040										
050/050										
060/060										
066/066										
067/067										
110/110										
111/111										
112/112										
115/115										
117/117										
118/118										
120/120										
124/124										
207/207										
228/228										
280/280										
320/320										
321/321										
321/321										
361/361										
441/441										
444/444										
811/811										
884/884										
881/881										
892/892										
035/035										
044/044										
074/074										
122/122										
128/128										
150/150										
170/170										
180/180										
207/207										
213/213										
233/233										
266/266										
257/257										
258/258										
284/284										
340/340										
549/549										
554/554										
560/560										
551/551										
565/565										
565/565										
607/607										
612/612										
612/612										
616/616										
644/644										
662/662										
666/666										
686/686										
612/612										
618/618										
644/644										
662/662										
686/686										
612/612										
618/618										
7016										

(1) Queue/Member	13 Annual THP Volume		14		15 Annual THP or NATPM Volume		16 Annual Members		17 Annual Productivity		18 Annual Maintenance Costs		19	
	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR
7100												\$37		
7114												\$548,573	\$325	\$401,047
7121												\$0	\$0	\$0
7127												\$29,861	\$0	\$0
7130												\$294,083	\$419,904	\$419,904
7134												\$22,748	\$19,811	\$19,811
7136												\$34,854	\$0	\$0
7137												\$488,281	\$72,603	\$72,603
7140												\$1,592,874	\$1,157,611	\$1,157,611
7181												\$1,888,485	\$1,024,385	\$1,024,385
7185												\$197,678	\$80,748	\$80,748
7208												\$0	\$0	\$0
7211												\$66,658	\$92,043	\$92,043
7213												\$226,273	\$352,371	\$352,371
7225												\$76,731	\$91,287	\$91,287
7284												\$19,680	\$12,882	\$12,882
7291												\$16,740	\$46,426	\$46,426
7301												\$2,764	\$0	\$0
7321												\$27,743	\$82	\$82
7324												\$4,614	\$0	\$0
7328												\$5,907	\$0	\$0
7442												\$4,758	\$63,607	\$63,607
7461												\$0	\$0	\$0
7464												\$26,850	\$19,822	\$19,822
7466												\$218,884	\$43,663	\$43,663
7468												\$418,914	\$73,148	\$73,148
7555												\$0	\$0	\$0
7562												\$53,084	\$407	\$407
7618												\$42,228	\$0	\$0
7619												\$139,768	\$129,338	\$129,338
7630												\$1,807,284	\$1,874,884	\$1,874,884
7677												\$187,487	\$6,029	\$6,029
7812												\$0	\$0	\$0
7813												\$13,485	\$0	\$0
7814												\$0	\$0	\$0
7816												\$0	\$0	\$0
7822												\$497,158	\$220,038	\$220,038
7882												\$8,134	\$4,916	\$4,916
7888												\$0	\$0	\$0
7909												\$0	\$0	\$0
7911												\$1,134	\$0	\$0
7961												\$1,148	\$0	\$0
7964												\$53,119	\$13,147	\$13,147

(1) Operation Numbers	(3) Annual FRP Volume		(6) Annual TPI or MATPI Volume		(9) Annual Workhours		(12) Annual Productivity		(15) Annual Workhour Costs	
	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR	Proposed	1st PR
Adjustments	722,417,400	516,403,028	2,066,970,122	1,196,741,948	714,863	417,241	2,803	2,459	158,904,246	120,886,572
Totals										

Change Analysis Units Percent	(17) Variance Annual FRP Volume		(19) Variance Annual TPI or MATPI Volume		(21) Variance Annual Workhours		(23) Variance Annual Productivity		(25) Variance Annual Workhour Costs	
	1st PR vs Pre AMP	1st PR vs Proposed	1st PR vs Pre AMP	1st PR vs Proposed	1st PR vs Pre AMP	1st PR vs Proposed	1st PR vs Pre AMP	1st PR vs Proposed	1st PR vs Pre AMP	1st PR vs Proposed
	(204,994,383)	(204,994,383)	(860,168,278)	(860,168,278)	(374,454)	(233,432)	31	(683)	(16,002,509)	(38,134,172)
	-28.4%	-28.4%	-42.7%	-42.7%	-43.4%	-31.4%	1.3%	-18.5%	-50.3%	-28.2%

(27) NOTES:

Workhour Costs - Losing Facility

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

PIR Type: 1st PIR

Total in PIR column is annualized for First PIR.

Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating

Date Range of Data: Jan-01-2010 to Jan-30-2010

Function 1	PIR Workhour Rate by LERC	Function 2
41	\$38.34	\$44.47
42	\$36.77	N/A
43	\$36.07	\$43.89
44	\$38.31	N/A
46	N/A	N/A
47	\$71.82	N/A
48	\$81.84	N/A
		ANNUALIZED

(1) Operation Numbers	(2) Annual PIP Volume		(3) Annual TPI or MATH Volume		(4) Annual Workhours		(5) Annual Productivity		(6) Annual Workhour Costs	
	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR
002									\$0	\$0
010									\$0	\$0
014									\$0	\$0
015									\$0	\$0
017									\$0	\$0
018									\$0	\$0
021									\$0	\$0
022									\$0	\$0
028									\$0	\$0
030									\$0	\$0
040									\$0	\$0
050									\$0	\$0
060									\$0	\$0
066									\$0	\$0
067									\$0	\$0
110									\$0	\$0
111									\$0	\$0
112									\$0	\$0
115									\$0	\$0
117									\$0	\$0
118									\$0	\$0
120									\$0	\$0
124									\$0	\$0
212									\$0	\$0
229									\$0	\$0
230									\$0	\$0
231									\$0	\$0
232									\$0	\$0
271									\$0	\$0
281									\$0	\$0
441									\$0	\$0
444									\$0	\$0
811									\$0	\$0
891									\$0	\$0
892									\$0	\$0
884									\$0	\$0
035									\$0	\$0
044									\$0	\$0
074									\$0	\$0
126									\$0	\$0
150									\$0	\$0
170									\$0	\$0
180									\$0	\$0
210									\$0	\$0
233									\$0	\$0
235									\$0	\$0
256									\$0	\$0
257									\$0	\$0
259									\$0	\$0
284									\$0	\$0
340									\$0	\$0
549									\$0	\$0
554									\$0	\$0
561									\$0	\$0
565									\$0	\$0
585									\$0	\$0
607									\$0	\$0
612									\$0	\$0
816									\$0	\$0
818									\$0	\$0
844									\$0	\$0

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)																																																																																										
Operation Members	Annual FIP Volume	Annual FIP Volume	1st PIR	Annual TPI or NATCH Volume	Proposed	1st PIR	Annual Workhours	Proposed	1st PIR	Annual Productivity	Proposed	1st PIR	Annual Workhour Costs	Proposed	1st PIR																																																																																										
Adjustments																																																																																																									
Totals	129,282,842	0		336,984,887	0		116,287	0	129,530	2,889	0		54,669,879	5,699,593	55,669,883																																																																																										
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Variances Annual FIP Volume</th> <th colspan="2">Variances Annual TPI or NATCH Volume</th> <th colspan="2">Variances Annual Workhours</th> <th colspan="2">Variances Annual Productivity</th> <th colspan="2">Variances Annual Workhour Costs</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Change Analysis</th> <th>Percent</th> <th>Change Analysis</th> <th>Percent</th> <th>Change Analysis</th> <th>Percent</th> <th>Change Analysis</th> <th>Percent</th> <th>Change Analysis</th> <th>Percent</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(17) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>(19) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>(21) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP</td> <td>-2.0%</td> <td>(23) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>(25) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP</td> <td>-13.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Units</td> <td>(129,282,842)</td> <td>Units</td> <td>(336,984,887)</td> <td>Units</td> <td>(36,548)</td> <td>Units</td> <td>(2,276)</td> <td>Units</td> <td>(3,909,772)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percent</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>Percent</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>Percent</td> <td>-2.0%</td> <td>Percent</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>Percent</td> <td>-13.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Variances Annual FIP Volume</td> <td colspan="2">Variances Annual TPI or NATCH Volume</td> <td colspan="2">Variances Annual Workhours</td> <td colspan="2">Variances Annual Productivity</td> <td colspan="2">Variances Annual Workhour Costs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(14) 1st PIR vs Proposed</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>(20) 1st PIR vs Proposed</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>(22) 1st PIR vs Proposed</td> <td>48.7%</td> <td>(24) 1st PIR vs Proposed</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>(26) 1st PIR vs Proposed</td> <td>23.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Units</td> <td>(129,282,842)</td> <td>Units</td> <td>(336,984,887)</td> <td>Units</td> <td>12,843</td> <td>Units</td> <td>(2,890)</td> <td>Units</td> <td>(1,099,514)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percent</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>Percent</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>Percent</td> <td>48.7%</td> <td>Percent</td> <td>-100.0%</td> <td>Percent</td> <td>23.6%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>																Variances Annual FIP Volume		Variances Annual TPI or NATCH Volume		Variances Annual Workhours		Variances Annual Productivity		Variances Annual Workhour Costs		Change Analysis	Percent	Change Analysis	Percent	Change Analysis	Percent	Change Analysis	Percent	Change Analysis	Percent	(17) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-100.0%	(19) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-100.0%	(21) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-2.0%	(23) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-100.0%	(25) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-13.5%	Units	(129,282,842)	Units	(336,984,887)	Units	(36,548)	Units	(2,276)	Units	(3,909,772)	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	-2.0%	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	-13.5%	Variances Annual FIP Volume		Variances Annual TPI or NATCH Volume		Variances Annual Workhours		Variances Annual Productivity		Variances Annual Workhour Costs		(14) 1st PIR vs Proposed	-100.0%	(20) 1st PIR vs Proposed	-100.0%	(22) 1st PIR vs Proposed	48.7%	(24) 1st PIR vs Proposed	-100.0%	(26) 1st PIR vs Proposed	23.6%	Units	(129,282,842)	Units	(336,984,887)	Units	12,843	Units	(2,890)	Units	(1,099,514)	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	48.7%	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	23.6%
Variances Annual FIP Volume		Variances Annual TPI or NATCH Volume		Variances Annual Workhours		Variances Annual Productivity		Variances Annual Workhour Costs																																																																																																	
Change Analysis	Percent	Change Analysis	Percent	Change Analysis	Percent	Change Analysis	Percent	Change Analysis	Percent																																																																																																
(17) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-100.0%	(19) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-100.0%	(21) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-2.0%	(23) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-100.0%	(25) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	-13.5%																																																																																																
Units	(129,282,842)	Units	(336,984,887)	Units	(36,548)	Units	(2,276)	Units	(3,909,772)																																																																																																
Percent	-100.0%	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	-2.0%	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	-13.5%																																																																																																
Variances Annual FIP Volume		Variances Annual TPI or NATCH Volume		Variances Annual Workhours		Variances Annual Productivity		Variances Annual Workhour Costs																																																																																																	
(14) 1st PIR vs Proposed	-100.0%	(20) 1st PIR vs Proposed	-100.0%	(22) 1st PIR vs Proposed	48.7%	(24) 1st PIR vs Proposed	-100.0%	(26) 1st PIR vs Proposed	23.6%																																																																																																
Units	(129,282,842)	Units	(336,984,887)	Units	12,843	Units	(2,890)	Units	(1,099,514)																																																																																																
Percent	-100.0%	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	48.7%	Percent	-100.0%	Percent	23.6%																																																																																																

(27) NOTES:

Workhour Costs - Gaining Facility
Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Gaining Facility: Brockton MA P&DC

PIR Type: 1st PIR
Data in PIR columns is annualized for First PIR.

Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating

Date Range of Data: Jan-01-2010 to Jan-01-2010

Function 1	PIR Workhour Rate by LDC	Function 2
11	42.80	NA
12	42.80	NA
13	42.80	NA
14	42.80	NA
15	42.80	NA
16	42.80	NA
17	42.80	NA
18	42.80	NA

Operation Number	Annual FWP Volume		Annual TPI or MATM Volume		Annual Workhours		Annual Productivity		Annual Workhour Costs	
	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR
002									\$37,835	\$0
010									\$152,497	\$51,346
014									\$5,986	\$0
015									\$469,382	\$565,848
017									\$325,292	\$43,921
018									\$614,837	\$276,892
021									\$0	\$0
022									\$0	\$0
025									\$682,020	\$761,240
030									\$13,287	\$8,018
033									\$63,634	\$0
060									\$41,814	\$47,503
065									\$0	\$0
067									\$0	\$0
110									\$61,878	\$0
111									\$0	\$0
112									\$45,796	\$0
115									\$7,076	\$0
117									\$6,814	\$0
118									\$16,603	\$0
120									\$0	\$0
124									\$107,492	\$143,188
212									\$93,075	\$323,119
229									\$1,308,622	\$1,033,433
230									\$349,367	\$319,634
231									\$1,05,862	\$1,037,696
232									\$167,888	\$113,251
271									\$1,344,106	\$1,956,496
281									\$46,723	\$49,667
441									\$2,732	\$0
444									\$420,748	\$0
811									\$733	\$0
881									\$82,315	\$23,602
882									\$18,590	\$136
035									\$0	\$0
044									\$978,632	\$379,374
074									\$136,606	\$110,646
122									\$2,315	\$0
125									\$54,677	\$2,615
150									\$0	\$0
170									\$0	\$0
180									\$0	\$0
210									\$2,545,729	\$1,314,985
233									\$106,168	\$40,877
256									\$142,865	\$153,036
257									\$0	\$0
259									\$0	\$0
284									\$0	\$0
340									\$0	\$0
549									\$6,842	\$0
554									\$87,817	\$197,783
560									\$79,124	\$1,050
561									\$67,062	\$17,205
565									\$78,665	\$39,994
565									\$1,734	\$13,082
565									\$208,401	\$210,175
607									\$279,215	\$204,073
612									\$60,454	\$59,363
814									\$5,381	\$0
816									\$13,565	\$0
844									\$0	\$0

Operation Numbers	(1) Annual FHP Volume		(2) Annual TPI or NATH Volume		(3) Annual Productivity		(4) Annual Worker Costs	
	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR
845							\$0	\$0
854							\$629,768	\$377,159
895							\$5,186	\$324
913							\$2,006,082	\$3,656,775
919							\$333,290	\$122,272
D16							\$79,057	\$84,368
100							\$972	\$325
114							\$346,375	\$401,047
121							\$841	\$0
130							\$294,053	\$419,904
134							\$22,718	\$18,311
137							\$34,883	\$0
140							\$408,291	\$12,603
181							\$1,142,874	\$1,157,611
185							\$1,388,485	\$1,024,365
206							\$107,578	\$80,748
211							\$0	\$0
213							\$58,438	\$82,043
225							\$228,270	\$332,371
234							\$78,251	\$521,287
261							\$218,060	\$12,892
264							\$13,769	\$48,408
324							\$9,871	\$0
328							\$28,244	\$929
442							\$4,815	\$0
461							\$8,987	\$0
484							\$4,268	\$63,807
488							\$0	\$0
555							\$0	\$0
562							\$23,820	\$18,822
616							\$228,004	\$43,653
630							\$416,312	\$73,148
677							\$0	\$0
673							\$33,680	\$487
674							\$42,228	\$0
776							\$138,705	\$129,338
893							\$1,187,284	\$1,874,684
898							\$3,443	\$6,028
909							\$19,787	\$0
911							\$0	\$0
961							\$0	\$0
984							\$0	\$0
							\$477,782	\$220,088
							\$9,139	\$4,913
							\$246,411	\$0
							\$1,413	\$0
							\$1,148	\$0
							\$3,718	\$15,147

Operation Numbers	(1) Annual FPH Volume		(2) Annual FPH Volume		(3) Annual FPH Volume		(4) Annual FPH Volume		(5) Annual Productivity		(6) Annual Productivity		1st PIR
	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	

(1) Operation Numbers	(3) Annual FHP Volume		(4)	(6) Annual TPH or NATPH Volume		(7)	(9) Annual Workhours		(10)	(12) Annual Productivity		(13)	(15) Annual Workhour Costs		(16)
	Pre AMP	Proposed		1st PIR	Pre AMP		Proposed	1st PIR		Pre AMP	Proposed		1st PIR	Pre AMP	
Adjustments			0			0			0		No Calc		No Calc		
Totals		582,433,867	516,493,026	1,861,887,286	1,748,716,726	1,194,761,046		694,866	487,681		2,944	2,460		\$24,324,766	\$20,696,872

Variances Annual FHP Volume		
Change Analysis	(17) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	(18) 1st PIR vs Proposed
Units	(23,888,914)	(65,946,839)
Percent	-6.1%	-11.3%

Variances Annual TPH or NATPH Volume		
Change Analysis	(19) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	(20) 1st PIR vs Proposed
Units	(246,805,610)	(683,964,879)
Percent	-22.5%	-31.7%

Variances Annual Workhours		
Change Analysis	(21) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	(22) 1st PIR vs Proposed
Units	(78,877)	(106,445)
Percent	-13.9%	-17.9%

Variances Annual Productivity		
Change Analysis	(23) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	(24) 1st PIR vs Proposed
Units	(271)	(483)
Percent	-10.0%	-16.8%

Variances Annual Workhour Costs		
Change Analysis	(25) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	(26) 1st PIR vs Proposed
Units	(\$2,423,644)	(\$3,528,193)
Percent	-10.5%	-14.6%

(27) NOTES:

Lossing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

Data Extraction Date: 7/7/2010

Line	PCES/EAS Positions		Authorized Staffing		On-Rolls		
	(1) Position Title	(2) Level	(3) Pre AMP	(4) 1st PIR	(5) Pre AMP	(6) Proposed	(7) 1st PIR
1	MGR PROCESSING/DISTRIBUTION FCLTY	EAS-22				0	
2	MGR DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS	EAS-19		1		1	1
3	MGR MAINTENANCE	EAS-19		1		0	1
4	OPERATIONS SUPPORT SPECIALIST	EAS-17				0	
6	SUPV DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS	EAS-17				3	
6	SUPV MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS	EAS-17		1		1	1
Totals				3		6	3

Variances Total On-Rolls		
	(15)	(16)
Change Analysis	PIR vs Pre AMP	PIR vs Proposed
Positions	(5)	(2)
Percent	-82.6%	-40.0%

Gaining Facility: Brockton MA P&DC

Data Extraction Date: 7/7/2010

Line	PCES/EAS Positions		Authorized Staffing		On-Rolls		
	(19) Position Title	(20) Level	(21) Pre AMP	(22) PIR	(23) Pre AMP	(24) Proposed	(25) PIR
1	MGR PROCESSING/DISTRIBUTION	EAS-25		1		1	1
2	MGR DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS	EAS-22		1		1	1
3	MGR MAINTENANCE	EAS-22		1		1	0
4	MGR IN-PLANT SUPPORT	EAS-21		1		1	1
5	MGR DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS	EAS-20		0		0	0
6	MAINTENANCE ENGINEERING SPECIALIST	EAS-19		1		1	1
7	MGR DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS	EAS-19		1		1	1
8	MGR MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS	EAS-19		1		1	0
9	MGR MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS SUPPT	EAS-19		1		1	1
10	MGR FIELD MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS	EAS-18		1		1	1
11	MGR TRANSPORTATION/NETWORKS	EAS-18		1		1	1
12	OPERATIONS SUPPORT SPECIALIST	EAS-17		3		3	3
13	SUPV DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS	EAS-17		11		12	10
14	SUPV MAINTENANCE OPERATIONS	EAS-17		5		5	4
15	NETWORKS SPECIALIST	EAS-16		1		1	1
16	ASSOCIATE SUPERVISOR-DIST OPS	EAS-15				0	
17	SECRETARY (FLD)	EAS-12		1		1	0
Totals			22	31	25	32	26

Variances Total On-Rolls		
	(33)	(34)
Change Analysis	1ST PIR vs Pre AMP	1ST PIR vs Proposed
Positions	(9)	(6)
Percent	-25.7%	-18.8%

Total PCES/EAS Position Loss	(37) 1ST PIR vs Pre AMP	(38) 1ST PIR vs Proposed
		14

(Above numbers are carried forward to the Executive Summary)

PIR Staffing - PCES/EAS

Staffing - Craft

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

PIR Type: 1st PIR

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

Finance #:

Craft Positions	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(8)	(9)
	Casual On-Rolls	Part Time On-Rolls	Full Time On-Rolls	1st PIR	Proposed	1st PIR	Total On-Rolls	1st PIR
Function 1 - Clerk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Function 4 - Clerk	0	0	0	0	0	37	39	37
Function 1 - Mail Handler	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Function 4 - Mail Handler	0	1	0	1	0	24	26	26
Function 3A - Vehicle Service	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Function 3B - Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	21	18	21
Functions 67-69 - Lmtd/Rehab/WC	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Other Functions	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Total	0	1	0	1	0	84	85	86

Data Extraction Date: 10/10/09

Variances Total On-Rolls	
Change Analysis	(10) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP
Positions	(15) 1
Percent	(11) -15%
	(12) 1.2%

Gaining Facility: Brockton MA P&DC

Finance #:

Craft Positions	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(19)	(20)
	Casual On-Rolls	Part Time On-Rolls	Full Time On-Rolls	1st PIR	Proposed	Total On-Rolls	1st PIR
Function 1 - Clerk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Function 1 - Mail Handler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Function 3A - Vehicle Service	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Function 3B - Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Functions 67-69 - Lmtd/Rehab/WC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Functions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	18	0	10	342	385	370

Data Extraction Date: 10/10/09

Variances Total On-Rolls	
Change Analysis	(21) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP
Positions	(15) 14
Percent	(22) -3.9%
	(23) -3.9%

Total Craft Position Loss:

(23) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP	30	(24) 1st PIR vs Proposed	14
-------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

(Above numbers are carried forward to the Executive Summary)

Transportation - PVS
Last Saved: April 1, 2011

PIR Type: 1st PIR

Date Range of Data: Jan-01-2010 -- to -- Jun-30-2010

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF
Finance Number:

Gaining Facility: Brockton MA P&DC
Finance Number:

	(1) Pre AMP	(2) Proposed	(3) PIR	(4) Variance PIR vs Pre AMP	(5) Variance PIR vs Proposed
PVS Owned Equipment					
Seven Ton Trucks	0	0			
Eleven Ton Trucks	0	0			
Single Axle Tractors	0	0			
Tandem Axle Tractors	0	0			
Spotters	0	0			
PVS Transportation					
Number of Schedules	0	0			
Total Annual Mileage	0	0			
Total Mileage Costs	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
PVS Leases					
Total Vehicles Leased	0	0			
Total Lease Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PVS Workhour Costs					
LDC 31 (617, 679, 764)	\$0	\$0	\$0		
LDC 34 (765, 766)	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Workhour Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

(11) Total PIR vs Pre AMP Transportation-PVS Savings: \$3,044
(This number added to the Executive Summary

(13) Notes:

	(6) Pre AMP	(7) Proposed	(8) PIR	(9) Variance PIR vs Pre AMP	(10) Var PI Pro
PVS Owned Equipment					
Seven Ton Trucks	0	0			
Eleven Ton Trucks	0	0			
Single Axle Tractors	0	0			
Tandem Axle Tractors	0	0			
Spotters	0	0			
PVS Transportation					
Number of Schedules	0	0			
Total Annual Mileage	0	0			
Total Mileage Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PVS Leases					
Total Vehicles Leased	0	0			
Total Lease Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PVS Workhour Costs					
LDC 31 (617, 679, 764)	\$0	\$0	\$0		
LDC 34 (765, 766)	\$0	\$0	\$529,053	\$3,044	\$
Total Workhour Costs	\$0	\$529,053	\$529,053	\$3,044	\$3,044

(12) Total PIR vs Proposed Transportation-PVS Savings: \$3,044
(This number added to the Executive Summary



Transportation - HCR

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

PIR Type: 1st PIR

Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating

Data Extraction Date: 07/01/10

CT for Outbound Dock:

(1) Route #	(3) Proposed Annual Mileage	(4) 1st PIR Annual Mileage	(6) Proposed Annual Cost	(7) 1st PIR Annual Cost	(9) Proposed Annual Cost/Mile	(10) 1st PIR Annual Cost/Mile
025L6	0	0			\$1.53	\$1.76
2811	0	0			\$1.97	\$2.14
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
025L6	0	0				\$1.76
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!
0	0	0				#DIV/0!

Transportation - HCR

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

PIR Type: 1st PIR

Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating

Data Extraction Date: 07/01/10

CT for Outbound Dock:

(1) Route #	(2) Pre AMP Annual Mileage	(3) Proposed Annual Mileage	(4) 1st PIR Annual Mileage	(5) Pre AMP Annual Cost	(6) Proposed Annual Cost	(7) 1st PIR Annual Cost	(8) Pre AMP Annual Cost/Mile	(9) Proposed Annual Cost/Mile	(10) 1st PIR Annual Cost/Mile
025L6							\$1.76	\$1.53	\$1.76
2811							\$2.14	\$1.97	\$2.14
0							#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0							#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0							#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
025L6							\$1.76		\$1.76
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
0		0					#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!

PIR Transportation HCR - Losing

Maintenance

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

PIR Type: 1st PIR
Jan-01-2010 : Jun-30-2010

Date Range of Data:
Gaining Facility: Brockton MA P&DC

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

Workhour Activity	(1) Proposed Costs	(2) Proposed Costs	(3) 1st PIR Costs	(4) Variance 1st PIR to Pre AMP	(5) Variance 1st PIR to Proposed	(6) Proposed Costs	(7) Proposed Costs	(8) 1st PIR Costs	(9) Variance 1st PIR to Pre AMP	(10) Variance 1st PIR to Proposed
Workhour Activity										
LDC 36 Mail Processing Equipment	\$ 809,869	\$ 304,435	\$ 304,435	(\$ 505,434)	(\$ 505,424)	\$ 3,181,823	\$ 3,329,343	\$ 3,329,343	\$ 147,520	\$ 147,520
LDC 37 Building Equipment	\$ 61,898	\$ 20,508	\$ 20,508	(\$ 41,390)	(\$ 41,091)	\$ 1,243,548	\$ 1,327,973	\$ 1,327,973	\$ 84,425	\$ 84,425
LDC 38 Building Services (Contractor Cleaning)	\$ 383,705	\$ 137,525	\$ 137,525	(\$ 246,180)	(\$ 256,180)	\$ 1,583,471	\$ 1,438,184	\$ 1,438,184	(\$ 115,287)	(\$ 115,287)
LDC 39 Maintenance Operations Support	\$ 67,724	\$ 23,815	\$ 23,815	(\$ 43,909)	(\$ 43,908)	\$ 456,787	\$ 407,537	\$ 407,537	(\$ 52,251)	(\$ 52,251)
LDC 93 Maintenance Training	\$ 27,095	\$ 0	\$ 0	(\$ 27,095)	(\$ 27,095)	\$ 69,232	\$ 146,070	\$ 146,070	\$ 76,838	\$ 76,838
Workhour Cost Subtotal	\$ 1,359,981	\$ 486,283	\$ 486,283	(\$ 873,698)	(\$ 873,698)	\$ 6,507,871	\$ 6,649,107	\$ 6,649,107	\$ 141,236	\$ 141,236
Parts and Supplies										
Maintenance Stockroom and Supplies	\$ 534,608	\$ 180,961	\$ 180,961	(\$ 353,647)	(\$ 343,645)	\$ 1,569,977	\$ 109,511	\$ 109,511	(\$ 1,480,466)	(\$ 1,480,466)
Grand Total	\$ 1,894,589	\$ 677,244	\$ 677,244	(\$ 1,217,345)	(\$ 1,217,343)	\$ 8,087,848	\$ 6,758,618	\$ 6,758,618	(\$ 1,339,230)	(\$ 1,339,230)

(11) 1st PIR vs Pre AMP - Maintenance Savings: (\$2,901,838)

(12) 1st PIR vs Proposed - Maintenance Savings: (\$2,556,572)

(13) Notes:

(These numbers carried forward to the Executive Summary)
(These numbers carried forward to the Executive Summary)

*Data in PIR columns is annualized for First PIR.

Distribution Changes

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Losing Facility : Cape Cod MA P&DF PIR Type: 1st PIR
 Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating Date Range of Data: Jan-01-2010 -- Jun-30-2010

Place a "X" next to the DMM labeling list(s) revised as result of the approved AMP.

DMM L001	DMM L011
DMM L002	X DMM L201
DMM L003	DMM L601
DMM L004	DMM L602
DMM L005	DMM L603
DMM L006	DMM L604
DMM L007	DMM L605
DMM L008	DMM L606
DMM L009	DMM L607
DMM L010	DMM L801

(1)

(2) February 1, 2010

Was the Service Standard Directory updated for the approved AMP?

(3) January 1, 2010

Identify the date of the Postal Bulletin that contained DMM labeling list revisions.

(4) Drop Shipments for Destination Entry Discounts

FAST Appointment Summary Report

Month	Losing / Gaining Facility	NASS Code	Facility Name	Total Sched	No-Show		Open		Closed		Unsc Count	
					Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Mar_09	Losing Facility	025	Cape Cod	180	23	13%	42	23%	0	0%	157	87%
Feb_09	Losing Facility	025	Cape Cod	153	19	12%	40	26%	0	0%	134	88%
Mar_09	Gaining Facility	023	Brockton	426	68	16%	148	35%	0	0%	358	84%
Feb_09	Gaining Facility	023	Brockton	376	76	20%	130	35%	0	0%	300	80%

(5) Notes:



MPE Inventory

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Data Extraction Date: 07/07/10

PIR Type: 1st PIR

Date Range of Data: Jan-01-2010

-- to -- Jun-30-2010

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

Gaining Facility: Brockton MA P&DC

Equipment	(1) Pre-AMP	(2) Proposed	(3) 1st PIR
AFCs	0	0	0
AFSM - All	0	0	
APPS	0	0	
CIOSS	0	0	
CSBCS	0	0	
DBCS	4	4	5
DBCS-OSS	0	0	
DIOSS	1	1	1
FSS	0	0	
SPBS	0	0	
UFSM	2	1	1
FC/MICRO MARK	0	0	
ROBOT GANTRY	0	0	
HSTS/HSUS	0	0	
LCTS/LCUS	0	0	
LIPS	1	1	
MLOCR-ISS	0	0	
MPBCS-OSS	0	0	
TABBER	0	0	
INDUSTRIAL	0	0	
0	0	0	
Equipment Relocation Costs from Losing to Gaining Facility:	0	0	
0	0	0	
Totals	0	7	7

Equipment	(4) Pre-AMP	(5) Proposed	(6) 1st PIR	(7) Proposed Relocation Costs	(8) PIR Relocation Costs	(9) Variance in Costs
AFCs	4	4	4	\$0	\$0	\$0
AFSM - All	0	1	1	\$0	\$0	\$0
APPS	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CIOSS	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CSBCS	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
DBCS	11	11	12	\$0	\$0	\$0
DBCS-OSS	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
DIOSS	2	2	3	\$0	\$0	\$0
FSS	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SPBS	1	1	1	\$0	\$0	\$0
UFSM	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FC/MICRO MARK	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ROBOT GANTRY	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSTS/HSUS	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LCTS/LCUS	0	0	2	\$0	\$0	\$0
LIPS	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
MLOCR-ISS	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
MPBCS-OSS	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TABBER	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
INDUSTRIAL	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totals	19	19	29	\$0	\$0	\$0

(10) Notes:

Carried to Space Evaluation and Other Costs

Customer Service Issues

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

6-Digit ZIP Code: 02571

Data Extraction Date: 07/23/10

3-Digit ZIP Code: 025				3-Digit ZIP Code: 026				3-Digit ZIP Code:			
Prop AMP	Mon. - Fri.	Sat.	PIR	Prop AMP	Mon. - Fri.	Sat.	PIR	Prop AMP	Mon. - Fri.	Sat.	PIR
79	53	118	193	142	131	193	193				
156	188	72	82	271	282	82	82				
45	8	2	0	188	6	0	0				
201	249	192	275	427	419	275	275				

1. Collection Points

Number picked up before 1 p.m.
 Number picked up between 1-5 p.m.
 Number picked up after 5 p.m.
 Total Number of Collection Points

2. How many collection boxes are currently designated for "local delivery"?

3. How many "local delivery" boxes were removed as a result of AMP?

4. Delivery Performance Report

Prop AMP	Percent	Quarters/FY	PIR
Q2 FY10	74.3%	QTR 3 FY10	Percent
Q1 FY09	76.6%	QTR 2 FY10	
Q4 FY08	78.0%	QTR 1 FY10	
Q3 FY09	85.9%	QTR 4 FY09	

% Carriers returning before 5 p.m.

5. Retail Unit Inside Losing Facility (Window Service Times)

Day	Prop AMP		PIR	
	Start	End	Start	End
Monday	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tuesday	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Wednesday	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Thursday	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Friday	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Saturday	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

6. Business (Bulk) Mail Acceptance Hours

Day	Prop AMP		PIR	
	Start	End	Start	End
Monday	10:00 AM	6:30 PM	10:00 AM	6:30 PM
Tuesday	10:00 AM	6:30 PM	10:00 AM	6:30 PM
Wednesday	10:00 AM	6:30 PM	10:00 AM	6:30 PM
Thursday	10:00 AM	6:30 PM	10:00 AM	6:30 PM
Friday	10:00 AM	6:30 PM	10:00 AM	6:30 PM
Saturday	closed	closed	closed	closed

7. Can customers obtain a local postmark in accordance with applicable policies in the Postal Operations Manual?

8. Notes: The main post office is located at 248 Main St in Wareham ME with window service available from 8:30 AM until 5:00 PM Monday-Friday and 8:30 AM until noon on Saturday.

Gaining Facility: Brockton MA P&DC

9. What postmark is printed on collection mail?

Line 1 **BROCKTON MA 023**
 Line 2 **Date and Time**



Distribution Changes

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Losing Facility : Cape Cod MA P&DF
 Type of Distribution Consolidated: Originating

PIR Type: 1st PIR

Date Range of Data: Jan-01-2010 -- to -- Jun-30-2010

Place a "X" next to the DMM labeling list(s) revised as result of the approved AMP.

(1)	DMM L001	DMM L011
	DMM L002	X DMM L201
	DMM L003	DMM L601
	DMM L004	DMM L602
	DMM L005	DMM L603
	DMM L006	DMM L604
	DMM L007	DMM L605
	DMM L008	DMM L606
	DMM L009	DMM L607
	DMM L010	DMM L801

Identify the date of the *Postal Bulletin* that contained DMM labeling list revisions.

(2) February 1, 2010

Was the Service Standard Directory updated for the approved AMP?

(3) January 1, 2010

(4) Drop Shipments for Destination Entry Discounts

FAST Appointment Summary Report

Month	Losing / Gaining Facility	NASS Code	Facility Name	Total Sched	No-Show		Late Arrival		Open		Closed		Unsched Count
					Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Mar_09	Losing Facility	025	Cape Cod	180	23	13%	42	23%	0	0%	157	87%	1
Feb_09	Losing Facility	025	Cape Cod	153	19	12%	40	26%	0	0%	134	88%	0
Mar_09	Gaining Facility	023	Brockton	426	68	16%	148	35%	0	0%	358	84%	11
Feb_09	Gaining Facility	023	Brockton	376	76	20%	130	35%	0	0%	300	80%	4

(5) Notes:

Space Evaluation and Other Costs

Last Saved: April 1, 2011

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

Date: 07/10/10

Space Evaluation

1. Affected Facility

Facility Name: Cape Cod MA P&DF
 Street Address: 25 Tobey Rd
 City, State ZIP: Binghamton, NY 13902

2. One-Time Costs

	Pre_AMP	PROPOSED	PIR
Enter any one-time costs:	\$0	\$0	
		(These numbers shown below under One-Time Costs section.)	

3. Savings Information

	Pre_AMP	PROPOSED	PIR
Space Savings (\$):	\$0	\$0	\$0
		(These numbers carried forward to the Executive Summary)	

4. Did you utilize the acquired space as planned? Explain.

5. Notes:

One-Time Costs

	Pre_AMP	PROPOSED	PIR vs Pre AMP
Employee Relocation Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mail Processing Equipment Relocation Costs <i>(from MPE Inventory)</i>	\$0	\$0	\$0
Facility Costs <i>(from above)</i>	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total One-Time Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0
			(Variance carried forward to Executive Summary)

Remote Encoding Center Cost per 1000

Losing Facility: Cape Cod MA P&DF

Gaining Facility: Brockton MA P&DC

YTD Range of Report: Jan-01-2010 : Jun-30-2010

(1) Product	(2) Pre AMP Associated REC	(3) Pre AMP Cost per 1,000 Images	(4) PIR Associated REC	(5) PIR Cost per 1,000 Images
Letters	Wichita	\$34.68	Wichita	\$34.68
Flats	Wichita	\$33.03	Wichita	\$33.03
PARS COA	Wichita	\$161.57	Wichita	\$161.57
PARS Redirects	Wichita	\$40.33	Wichita	\$40.33
APPS	NA	NA	NA	NA

(6) Product	(7) Pre AMP Associated REC	(8) Pre AMP Cost per 1,000 Images	(9) PIR Associated REC	(10) PIR Cost per 1,000 Images
Letters	Wichita	\$34.68	Wichita	\$34.68
Flats	Wichita	\$33.03	Wichita	\$33.03
PARS COA	Wichita	\$161.57	Wichita	\$161.57
PARS Redirects	Wichita	\$40.33	Wichita	\$40.33
APPS	NA	NA	NA	NA