

Winchell, Walter  
1945-52

B. 1. 1. 1. -

Mrs Baetzger gave  
your letter to her mother  
who asks me to say she  
cannot verify the statement  
as quoted because it is  
completely inaccurate.

She liked Mr Washell's

answer to the Russians

Winchell

*In regard to your New York Mirror article  
it is completely untrue  
in regard to Roosevelt*

NEW YORK MIRROR  
DAILY AND SUNDAY.

235 EAST 45TH STREET  
NEW YORK 17, N. Y.  
MURRAY HILL 2-1000

October 3, 1947

Mrs. John Boettiger,  
Hotel St. Regis,  
New York City.

Dear Mrs. Boettiger:

Mr. Winchell would appreciate your getting the attached to the personal attention of your mother as soon as possible. The purpose in sending this is that Mr. Winchell has been challenged in print (with a hundred to one wager) that Mrs. Roosevelt never made this statement. Can she help Mr. Winchell win that \$100 for the Runyon Cancer Fund or any charity she prefers?

We would appreciate hearing from her.

sincerely,

*Rose Begun*  
for Walter Winchell

ACID-STOMACH SUFFERERS?

There's nothing better and safer for you in the NEW 100 Alkaid



### More Miners Out In British Strike

LONDON, Sept. 9 (UP).—Five thousand more Yorkshire miners quit work today, increasing the number of strikers to more than 50,000 and closing 48 mines, despite warnings of union leaders that a prolonged strike may result in collapse of the Labor Government.

Officials estimated that 400,000

tons of coal, on which recovery of Britain and Europe depends, have been lost in the strike, which began two and one-half weeks ago when Grimsby miners declared they weren't able to work an extra coal day even to meet the economic crisis.

Communist Chief Arthur Hurmer, secretary general of the Mine Workers Union, flayed the Grimsby men, asserting they were "holding the entire nation for ransom."

## Walter Winchell In New York

### Intercepted Love-Letter

Excerpt taken at random from a six-page letter (single spaced) from James A. Farley to the Honorable John Nance Garner, United States, dated December 12, 1941.

"Dear John: I was in Washington over last weekend and I had a nice visit and I went down especially to see Cordell Hull and hear about his trip to Moscow. I had dinner with him and Mrs. Hull and stayed with them until after eleven o'clock. He told me the whole story from the time he left until he returned. It was interesting beyond expression and really fantastic. He went into intimate detail of his negotiations and conversations with Stalin and his associates and the other countries' representatives, notably the British, and it is apparent to me that Cordell did an excellent job.

"There are serious doubts in my mind, from my conversation with Cordell, that the later meeting would have been held had it not been for his work in Moscow. There is no question that Stalin and his associates knew about Hull's honesty and integrity and that they knew they could believe what he told them. I do not think the same thing can be said for other people in high office in this country or England, because I am very sure from what I have heard in the past that Russia does not trust Churchill or the British at all. Hull's presence and work were a deciding factor in bringing about the success attained at Tefheran.

"I did not talk with him about the political situation and asked him only about his trip and the activities of the Allies in connection with the war effort. His answers were in such confidence that I cannot pass on anything now but I am likely to be down your way in February on my way back from a business trip from California and I will stop off at San Antonio to see you and I will then be able to talk with you freely about some things I heard from him and which I would not want to put in a letter.

"The political situation is in a very bad way. When I was in Washington I saw a few of our friends and talked to a number over the telephone. The Democrats are completely down in the dumps and it is evident to me, and I think most of the fellows I talked with, that if the election were held today we would not carry over half a dozen States in the North and would likely lose Kentucky and Oklahoma, and some think Texas, although you would know better than I do about that at this time.

"Joe Guffey's attack on the southern Senators left a very bad feeling in the Senate. They did not object to his reference to an 'unhappy alliance' but when he mentioned their patriotism, that was the last straw. I am sure you read it in the Congressional Record and in Harry Byrd's and Senator Bailey's statements. I saw them both and they are very sore.

"I am convinced that both Byrd and Bailey are so strongly opposed to a fourth term that they would be willing to participate in an organization which would be called the 'Southern Democratic Party' and have their nominees for state, county, and town offices run on the same ticket so that they could control the elections and throw it into Congress if necessary or, to what in their judgment is best to prevent the reelection of President Roosevelt. That seems like a diabolical position for them to take but I think they feel that they must do something.

"I talked with Senator McKellar and he is convinced the House is lost and the Senate too, unless Barkley and McCarren can be saved. There is no hope for Barkley at the moment and I cannot conceive of any situation which would make possible his election unless Hull is the nominee. I am of the opinion that the President won't run when the true situation is put before him (if it ever is) but most people disagree with me. They think he will run, regardless of the situation, because he is too conceited to admit there would be a possibility of his defeat. I may come round to have the same opinion, but at the moment I don't think so.

"If the President runs we are likely to have a terribly disunited party. The bitterness is so intense that he can very well be defeated as badly as Hoover was in '32. The party will be badly beaten in the House and Senate and the few Democratic Governors we have in the North would lose out. We would probably lose many delegates and county offices. I think we would be beaten even if the war is on and I am definitely of the opinion that there is only one chance for us to have a united party and country—without acrimony in the campaign—nominate Cordell Hull, if he would run.

"I haven't had a talk with Frank C. Walker in some time. As a matter of fact, and most confidentially, John, I don't think Frank is anxious to talk with me. He is very much tied up with the boss, and I have no quarrel with him on that, but he is afraid to be seen talking to me. Naturally I am somewhat disappointed in his attitude and I will go into that in detail when I see you.

"He is a nice fellow, personally, but he just doesn't have the courage to stand up against some of the things that are happening and which he could very well stop, as I would serve I in his position. But I don't need to tell you that you understand. I don't think he will ever sit down and tell the Boss the truth, because he will always want to be friendly with him and be fearful that if he gives him any bad news he will jeopardize his own position with the boss. That makes him a bad fellow for the job and as a matter of fact I don't think he ever wanted it but could not refuse it.

"I will continue to keep in close touch with the situation and I will continue to pass on copies of the letters as I receive them so you will have the good and bad of the sentiment which crosses my desk."

Mrs. Roosevelt told this story to one of her closest friends, who brought it to the column. When the friend asked her about Farley's charge ("social equals") he expected her to deny it categorically. He was surprised when she said: "I remember exactly the episode that gave rise to the story, and this is it."

In 1940, when Farley was openly campaigning for the Democratic nomination for President, he considered one day to Mrs. Roosevelt that he had made every attempt to get the active support of the President, but had gotten no encouragement whatever. Mrs. Roosevelt says she remembers precisely what she said to Farley: "You are a reactionary, Jim, and you know very well that Franklin will never go along with anybody who has not got the same social background as he has." Mrs. Roosevelt adds that she honestly did not know if Farley had actually misunderstood the stress in which the word "social" was used in this sentence or whether he deliberately misinterpreted it for purposes of his own.

## SCHENLEY LEADS IN AMERICA'S FAVOR

Sunny Morning Flavor is the reason



Dear Sirs:  
For pleasure, Schenley leads the band,  
But Sunny Morning Flavor's grand!

40 GRADE FINEST PURE MASH POT STILL SCOTCH WHISKY  
\$4.06 \$2.55 PER THREE BOTTLES  
**SCHENLEY** A Scotch Whisky  
RESERVE Head of Mash Whisky

See For the Best Quality Scotch Whisky, 40 proof 47% pure alcohol by vol. (40°), Schenley Bottling, S.S.L.

## GOOD NEWS

FOR ACID-STOMACH SUFFERERS!

Here's better, faster, safe relief for you in the NEW 10¢ Alkaid



Nothing so safe or fast! Not ALKAID like candy tablets for quick relief from gas pain, heartburn, other ailments caused by over-eating, over-drinking, eating foods that disagree. Buy ALKAID with confidence. It's a Fine Drug, product.

ALWAYS BETTER VALUE 10¢ PER 25¢

Mr. Winchell thought Mrs. Roosevelt might be interested in this material on the Russian situation.

Rose Bigman

WALTER WINCHELL

( ) ( )  
9:00-9:15 PM EST

SEPTEMBER 28, 1947

SUNDAY

GRAUER: The Jergens Journal, featuring Walter Winchell. Mr. Winchell, whose famous column appears in the New York Daily Mirror and other newspapers with more than 25 million readers, is brought to you by Jergens, the lotion for soft, smooth, romantic hands. Ladies, come and get it -- come and get your free gift from Jergens.

WOMAN: What free gift from Jergens?

GRAUER: Why, haven't you heard -- a generous 25 cent jar of Dryad -- Jergens new cream deodorant -- is your free gift now when you buy a 50 cent bottle of famous Jergens Lotion. Pay the usual 39 cents plus tax for your Jergens Lotion, and accept the jar of lovely Dryad deodorant entirely free. This new cream deodorizes instantly and helps check perspiration more daintily and safely. Leading skin specialists approve Dryad.

WOMAN: It's called Dryad, you say?

GRAUER: Yes, D R Y A D - Dryad. A secret ingredient keeps your Dryad smooth as a luxury face cream to the very last bit.

WOMAN: That's just what I want.

GRAUER: Well then, do get Dryad while this free gift offer lasts. Buy a 50 cent bottle of Jergens Lotion now, pay the usual 39 cents, plus tax, and have your jar of lovely new Dryad deodorant as a free gift.

WOMAN: Suppose my store hasn't Jergens free gift offer.

GRAUER: Then write to the station to which you are listening. Give your name and address and the name and address of the store, and we'll see that you get Jergens gift offer for 39 cents plus tax. And now to the Editorial Room of the Jergens Journal and Walter Winchell.

WINCHELL:

Good evening, Mr. and Mrs. North and South America, and all the ships at sea, let's go to press. ~~Good evening, Mr. Vishinsky.~~

HAWTHORNE, CALIFORNIA - The United States Air Forces mightiest plane - a Northrop Flying Wing, Y-B-49 Global Bomber - will be unveiled tomorrow morning at dawn at the plant in Hawthorne, California. Eight jet engines, 32,000 horsepower. The most powerful bomber ever built. The weight -- 100 tons. ~~Good evening, Mr. Vishinsky.~~

ATTENTION MR. AND MRS. UNITED STATES:

~~Good evening, Mr. Vishinsky.~~ The Deputy Foreign Minister of Russia, Mr. Vishinsky, has charged that our country, the United States, is seeking war, and that I, among others, am a warmonger. Mr. Vishinsky flatly stated that the Marshall Plan was an American attack on the nations of Europe. Now Mr. Vishinsky, let's look at some facts, please. You, sir, charge that American corporations made large profits during the last war. From whom? It certainly couldn't have been from other countries, or lend-lease wouldn't have been necessary. Your country and a lot of others got much of the goods that these corporations manufactured, but none has paid back a cent. You know the answer as well as I do, Mr. Vishinsky. The American Government paid for the goods and then gave the goods away to your country, along with a lot of others. Therefore, the American people will have to pay the bill for the whole war -- a sum of over 250 billions of dollars. In the last two years these same corporations which you charge made such huge profits, paid into the United States Treasury over 30 billions of American dollars. Part of these corporate taxes went to pay off the deficit of lend-lease. In short, Mr. Vishinsky, these same corporations are making up in taxes the losses caused the American Government because your country hasn't paid its bills.

REBELL;  
(CONT)

You were glad to get the products of these corporations less than three years ago. Let me refresh your recollection, Mr. Vishinsky. Josef Stalin himself said that without American help Russia could not have survived. Well, these same corporations provided 85% of that help. We are not claiming a life saving medal from Russia, Mr. Vishinsky. But we don't deserve to be blackjacked from behind our backs either. Mathematics also contradict you, Mr. Vishinsky. At the present rate that they are paying taxes, these same American corporations will put twice as much into the public treasury as they now have in profits. Since Americans have paid, are paying, and will pay the entire cost of the war, how can you say with a straight face that Americans want war for profit? For what, Mr. Vishinsky? So that we can owe ourselves more money? Not only do the facts contradict you -- you contradict yourself. You state that these great capitalist corporations are gigantic, wealthy monopolies, and at the same time, the Moscow propoganda keeps shouting that America's capitalist economy is failing. America can't be failing very much, Mr. Vishinsky, if it is willing and able to save Europe in peace, as it saved Russia in war. That is why you communists are worried, Mr. Vishinsky. Your system cannot compete with ours. The Marshall Plan shows you up as a failure. It shows that our free enterprise economy can give away to other nations more than your communistic system can give to its own people. You are afraid to let the world and the Russian people know, Mr. Vishinsky, that even with our high prices, an American worker gets over ten times as much as a Russian worker. Official Soviet wage charts show that for doing exactly -- exactly the same work, for every two pounds of bread a Russian worker can buy, an American worker can buy 24.



RECAPITUL:  
(CONT)

For every pound of butter a worker gets in Russia, an American worker gets 14. For every pound of sugar a Russian worker gets, an American worker gets 27. For every pound of chicken a Russian worker gets, an American worker gets 15. The same thing is true of clothing. For every dress a Russian housewife gets, an American housewife can afford to get 15. For every suit of clothes a Russian working man can buy, an American working man can buy 7. That is why, Mr. Vishinsky, why you are fighting the Marshall Plan. Because it proves that a free industrial economy can feed its own people and the world better than any political monopoly of machine guns. That is also why you attacked me, as a member of a free press. Because a free press, Mr. Vishinsky, by merely printing the truth, shows up communism as the greatest failure of all time. If the people of Russia know the facts, you, Mr. Vishinsky, might be a defendant instead of a prosecutor. You also remarked that the demobilization of our Army, Navy and Air Force means nothing, because we could organize them again. But you didn't even demobilize yours. Right now the Russian Air Force consists of 12,000 first-line planes, and 18,000 first-line reserves. The United States Air Force consists of 1600 first-line planes and only 1800 first-line reserves. Russia has 300 full strength divisions in battle position right now, from Berlin to the Behring Sea. The United States has not more than 8 full strength divisions abroad, and less than 7 combat divisions at home. In the field right now, you Russians outnumber us 20 to 1. Further, Russia is building 50,000 new aircraft. Isn't that true, Mr. Vice Premier? We are building less than 4,000. In military strength your satellite states, Poland and Yugoslavia, have more men under arms right now than the United States of America.

WINCHELL:  
(CONF)

Yet every day you Russians tell 300 million people that we are preparing to attack you. Even your school children are taught that we and our children intend to destroy your country. Your educational system and your press is built around one big program of hate -- hate of everything American. You are not only preparing your armed forces, you are preparing the minds of your people -- for war. Well, we Americans aren't fools. You know and we know that it would take us at least 18 months to remobilize. But we also know that if you continue to mobilize and we continue to demobilize, we will never get the chance to defend ourselves. Right now your combat divisions outnumber ours 15 to 1, and your combat planes outnumber ours 5 to 1. Who is the warmonger? Josef Stalin, for maintaining such a force? Or Walter Winchell, who merely reports the danger to his countrymen? Now very notably, Mr. Vishinsky, you offered no plan to prevent the war which you say you hate and fear. Well, here are some concrete suggestions. Since when is a loaf of bread for a starving man or a bottle of milk for a sick baby, an act of war? We Americans don't think that. Suppose, Mr. Vishinsky, we let the people of Europe decide. You get every Russian soldier out of occupied Europe, and we will get every American soldier home, and then let the people of Europe vote in open, free elections whether Secretary of State Marshall is causing war by sending food and clothing and medicine, and whether they want American help. Again, Mr. Vishinsky, you accuse America of threatening the world with the atomic bomb, but in open assembly, we agreed to turn that bomb over to the United Nations, provided there was international police and inspection of it. And what happened? Russia vetoed it!

WINEBELL:  
(CONT)

Why no inspection, Mr. Vishinsky? Carrying concealed weapons, huh? And why no great international police force, without veto? Who's afraid of police, but criminals? We are ready for honest international inspection any time you are, but we will not trade our military secrets for your empty promises. We told you we would submit to international inspection if you would. Though that would prevent war, your country declined, Mr. Vishinsky. Strange action for a government which says that it loves peace. Now let's look at the personal side. Your statement that I and some other Americans should be enmeshed is impractical. Chaining people, as a principle, doesn't work. Adolf Hitler tried that, and look where it got him and the world. So, as a counter suggestion, why don't you consider - not more chains on Americans, but fewer chains on Russians? Before you give us a piece of your mind, why don't you give the Russian people a chance to speak theirs? Since you won't let them talk, how do you know that they don't think that you are the warmongers? You said also that Winebell would write more cleverly if he went to Russia. Well, I wonder. Open up Russia to an expedition of myself, members from the major news services of America, and representatives from the four major networks, and let us go anywhere in Russia that your reporters from the Tass Agency might now can go in America. I wouldn't be able to lie, even if I wanted to, with so many of my rivals present. If you can prove that I am a liar, you won't have to do anything else, Mr. Vishinsky. Mr competitors will do the rest. There is still another and a final issue, Mr. Vishinsky. Your statement is either true or false. Either Secretary of State George Marshall, Senator Austin, Senator McMahon, and myself are warmongers, or you are a liar. I do not flatter myself that you intended to attack me personally.

WINEBELL  
1:00 PM

WINCHELL:  
(CONT)

You struck back at a member of the American Press because there is nothing in the world that you and Mr. Molotov and Mr. Gromyko and Mr. Stalin fear more than a man with a free typewriter or microphone. But it is not I or my typewriter or microphone that should be enchained. It is you, Mr. Vishinsky, who are in chains right now. I can speak my mind, and you cannot. Along with 140 million other Americans, I am free to criticize our government, but if you, the third ranking man in the communist dictatorship, criticized yours, you would be shot, and you know it. Now let's set the record straight. Your chief worry is not keeping Americans from knowing what is going on inside Russia. You are afraid to let the Russian people know what is going on in the outside world. You and your atheist government know that one independent and honest American reporter inside Russia with a microphone is more dangerous to the communist party than any atomic bomb. And for once, Mr. Vishinsky, you are right. And that, ladies and gentlemen, winds up another Jergens Journal until next Sunday night at the very same time. Until then, and with lotions of facts, I remain your New York correspondent Walter Winchell, who thanks Commissar Vishinsky as a potential enemy, for waking up the American people, with the warnings they wouldn't take seriously from this fellow American. Goodnight.

GRAUER:

Jergens newest shade in matchmates is Pink Frosting, the new color that goes with fall fashions. Get that Pink Frosting powder and lipstick together perfectly shaded at \$1.00. Or try Pink Frosting powder in the 25 and 10 cent sizes, but be sure and try Jergens new, lucky-in-love shade, Pink Frosting.

Next week another edition of the Jergens Journal, with Walter Winchell. And now be sure to remain tuned for Louella Parsons' 15 minute program, featuring as guest Eddie Cantor, which follows immediately.

ms  
9/29/47  
3:00 PM

ORIGINAL RETIRED FOR PRESERVATION

(CONT)  
WINCHELL

## Walter Winchell In New York

Attention, Mr. and Mrs. United States!

On Friday at the U. S. Vice-Premier Vlahinsky of Russia held a press conference witnessed by over 400 reporters. He accused America of warmongering, and he named this newscaster (along with several other Americans) as one of the warmongers "who should be enchained" and put behind bars. The Russian Vice-Premier also denounced the Marshall Plan as an American attack on the nations of Europe. Very notably Mr. Vlahinsky offered no plan to prevent the war which he fears and hates. Well, here are some concrete suggestions.

Since when is a loaf of bread for a starving man or a bottle of milk for a sick baby an act of war? We Americans don't think so.

Mr. Vlahinsky also accused the United States of threatening the world with the atom bomb. But, in open assembly, we agreed to turn it over to the United Nations, provided there was an International Police Force—and inspection of it. But Russia vetoed it. Mr. Vlahinsky, why no inspection? Is somebody carrying concealed weapons? And why no great International Police Force—without veto? Who's afraid of police—but criminals?

Mr. Vlahinsky, our country is ready for honest international inspection—any time your country is. But not before. We told you that we would submit to international inspection, if you would. Though that would prevent war, your country declined. A very strange action for a gov't which says it loves peace, Mr. Vlahinsky.

Your statement that I (and some other Americans) should be enchained is insupportable. Chaining people, as a principle, doesn't work. Mr. Hitler tried that and look what happened to him and the world. So, as a counter-suggestion, why don't you consider not more chains on Americans but less chains on Russians? Before you give us a piece of your mind, Mr. Vlahinsky, why doesn't your government give the Russian people a chance to speak their minds? Since you commissars won't let your people talk, how do you know that they do not think you are the warmongers? You say that Winchell would be able to write more cleverly if he came to Russia. Now how could anybody typewrite without hands, Mr. Vlahinsky?

You also stated that newspaper people could enjoy "reasonable access" to the Soviet—even the unreasonable radio liar Walter Winchell. Well, I wonder. Helen Kirkpatrick (the foreign correspondent) in her report significantly pointed out there were many in your press audience who had "viciously applied for admission to Russia."

I told International News Service (and the N. Y. Times) Saturday I would be glad to go to Russia if anything I could say or write would help stop our two countries from going to war. But I wouldn't want to go on any of those specially guided vodka tours—as I am not a vodka man, anyway. I would, however, like to visit your country—along with other American reporters and radio commentators. And receive the same courtesies your Tax and News Agency people receive over here. Go where they please and so on.

I published a list of newspaper people I'd like to have on this expedition. They include some of my best friends and severest enemies—and some of Russia's too. From the extreme Right to extreme Left and even some who are center-of-center. I put all of them on the list to show you people that I couldn't lie, even if I wanted to, with so many of my rivals there to show me up. If you really meant your offer of a trip to Russia I'm ready—in a go. And, Mr. Vlahinsky, if you can prove that I am a liar—you will not have to do anything else. My competitors will do the rest.

There is, however, still another and a final issue, Mr. Vlahinsky. I, YOU, statement is either true or false. Either they or State George Marshall, Senator Austin, Senator McElhannon and myself are warmongers—or I, YOU are a liar.

I do not flatter myself that you intended to pan me personally. You struck back in a rage at a member of the American press because there is nothing in the world that you and Mr. Stalin fear more than a man with a free typewriter and microphone.

But it is not I who should be locked up. It is you, Mr. Vlahinsky, who are in chains right now. I can speak my mind and you cannot. Along with 140 million other Americans, I am free to criticize our gov't. But if you, third ranking man in the Communist dictatorship, criticized yours, you would be shot, and you know it.

Now let's set the record straight. Your chief worry is not keeping Americans from knowing what is going on inside Russia. You are afraid to let the Russian people know what is going on in the world. You and your Communist-theist government know that one independent and honest American reporter inside Russia with a microphone is more dangerous to the Communist Party than any atomic bomb. And, for once, Mr. Vlahinsky, you are right.

**Man About Town:** Who's the London newspaperman (Daily Mail?) Press arrested in Argentina last week for a piece he wrote about Evita? "Biggame" star, Marjorie Bell, became Mrs. Alan Jay Lerner at Fort Lee Friday. Phyllis Holden, who bought playwright Robert Froy's play, "Quadrille," will be wedded to him at City Hall (with Blaney doing the marrying) on Wednesday. The groom's job is a Shell Oil one. WACapt. Kathleen Nash Desert (released on bail in that huge gun theft scandal) is dwelling here so she can be near her Colonel-groom now jailed on Governor's Island. The Colonel's business (he was once Cuban Press) are languishing at Sea Army. Nick the Greek has finally fallen for a fashion schoolmistress. "El" has barred a society schoolmistress for conduct, one presumption, unbecoming a society man.

Fela Hagg and Sergi Dickario are inseparable. Model Joan Stoddard (chance expected she'd become the new Mrs. Alchuk Thompson) may now stir things with millionaire H. Duff. Conductor M. Abramson (Urb. Symphony) married Lucy Carsons (daughter of Park) on the 23rd. He recently leased "Wire House" in New York. Sen's Tullin's new interest a Chicago airline exact. Red's ex-groom, John Loder, was showing Mrs. Anthony Eden (or her double?) the museum's lotteries Friday after certain time. It must be ironic for Russians to see Vlahinsky telling his head. He was responsible for so many Russians losing their lives in the executioner. From Hitler's Berlin paper "Reichsbanner" (in 1934), via the front page "Walter Winchell, above, in the New Germany's new American enemy. He tells conscientious lies about the Fuehrer in hundreds of American newspapers."

Sent  
2/8/47

Sent 30/47

## Walter Winchell In New York

### Portrait of a Russian Peacemaker

This is the European interpretation of the Russian attack on the U. S. Moscow is trying to force out of public life (in America) the statesman named in the Vishinsky blaine. Just as Hitler forced Delecler out of France and Herr Schulenburg from Austria. Moscow wants, among other things, to name the American Secretary of State. Here is how: Moscow has informed the French that France can buy wheat from Russia provided France can pay in American dollars. Our intelligence services know now that the Polish and Yugo-Slavian credits are larger than ours. The money source of the French Communists has been uncovered. U. S. dollars furnished the French Underground to combat Nazis. The Russians call American warmongers. A case of the American Bald Eagle vs. the Russian Bald Leg. There is a plan to whitewash the top diplomatic Nazi war criminals. This is to inform the U. S. War Crimes Commission that we know of Hitler's personal files, naming them all, and whom and where they committed their unlawful acts. These documents were found by the U. S. Army. We will name names next week, if the U. S. Crime Comm. doesn't.

Many newspapers do not believe that the Russians will walk out on the U. N. as rumored.

On the grounds that there is no other place in the world where the Russians could get the audience and press coverage to disseminate the propaganda they are getting now.

After our regular Sunday evening broadcast in which five Premier Vishinsky's war-for-profit charge against the U. S. was debunked, Mr. Vishinsky was photographed: "Editor Winchell has captured the ABC Newslet in after you five radio lines in reply to his statements about peace—Empire Broadcasting Company, Radio City." The big idea, of course, was to demonstrate to him what American men by a free press and free speech.

From the N. Y. News: "Winchell," said Vishinsky, "has to say what his bosses order him to say."

What bosses? Our EMPLOYERS now know who's the bar.

UP's foreign news editor, H. E. Hollabury, tells how Vishinsky monkey-wrenched the Paris Conference. The New Zealand delegate got fed up with his incoherencies and shouted: "Quack! Quack! Quack! That's all we hear! When are we going to get down to business?" At another UN meeting Vishinsky insulted Italians. When the Italian press protested, the Commissioner hypocritically denied that he ever made the statement—although it was a matter of record. It caused one statesman to comment: "Vishinsky always misses a glorious opportunity for remaining silent!"

The Commission can be very soft in an oral procedure. He got in for a windmill cycle of money and news and cost. But there has been one UN delegate who took off his frocked arguments with calm and brilliant logic—when others fear to touch Vishinsky. That delegate is Mr. FDK.

A reporter has noted: "Mr. Roosevelt's arguments are sound—while Vishinsky's arguments are all sound and lies."

Vishinsky was one of the "brains" who engineered the infamous Hitler-Stalin Pact. But a short time before the Russians caddled up to the Nazis the British proposed an alliance with the Soviet Union.

Vishinsky's arrogant reply: "An alliance between England and Germany against the Soviet Union was an impossibility anyway. A postwar peace conference without Russia's participation was also out of the question. Hence what practical reasons were there for the Soviet Union to establish closer relations with England?"

If those relations had been established at that time—it would have saved the lives of many Allied soldiers—including Eisenhower.

Typical of Vishinsky's deceit is this incident: He wasn't always a Bolshevik. He turned Communist to save his hide. The Soviet Encyclopedia listed that fact. . . . After the Commissioner became a Kremlin pet—that fact suddenly disappeared from the encyclopedia. P. S. Vishinsky is now one of the editors of the Soviet Encyclopedia.

And this atheist is the man who now has the gall to preach himself as a paragon of honesty (HMM).

"Vishinsky habitually runs about democracy. . . . He once ironically described democracy as 'a lovely lady to be protected'. . . . But despite his summing about democracy—he even he has much freedom. He never really an opportunity for knowing and guiding headlines at international councils when Malenkov is' argued. . . . But when Malenkov is there he plays the unique expertly. . . . Vishinsky continues on far as to always walk two steps behind Malenkov—as a sign of deference. . . . An observer remarking how well looked Malenkov once remarked: 'There goes Malenkov taking Vishinsky for a walk on an invisible leash!'"

He gained quite a reputation as an ace prosecutor while handling the Moscow Furgis Trials. But the victims in those "trials" never had a chance. The Kremlin put on the "show" to frighten internal foes.

An American, who observed that world affair, said: "Vishinsky got a name around the necks of men who were already dead."

The Commissioner waves his flag and charges Americans with being warmongers because they report the grim facts of international life. Vishinsky now claims there is no reason for such pessimism that only incites war. Apparently he has forgotten that when he arrived in New York, Vishinsky informed reporters that he did not expect war in his lifetime.

Then he gruffly added: "But you must remember I am 64."

Mr. Vishinsky, who accuses Americans of warmongering, once declared: "Too much law each other as irreconcilable and deadly enemies. The world of capitalism and the world of socialism. . . . His attack on the capacity of this reporter (and his attacking what legal mind can't see a chick if it weren't tight). His inevitable exclusive logic is something for psychomaniacs to study the legs under them. . . . With a straight jaw Vishinsky has belittled that Russia is a democracy and a democracy at the same time. He described the USSR as a "divisive democracy!"

After Vishinsky's intemperate attack on Americans, Australia's UN member (Bartholomew V. Evatt) expertly summed up the distaste: "In the legal profession we have a saying: 'When you have no case—shoot the other side.'"

Drew Pearson asked columnist Edgar Snow how he got along with Vishinsky. "Perfectly," Mowrer replied. "He talks just like Hitler and I had no difficulty understanding him at once."

Anyway, thank to Mr. Vishinsky for a possible excuse for not paying the American people with the earnings they wouldn't take seriously from the fellow American.



Winchell

**NEW YORK MIRROR**

**DAILY AND SUNDAY**

**235 EAST 45TH STREET  
NEW YORK 17, N. Y.  
MURRAY HILL 2-1000**

**November 11, 1947**

**Dear Mrs. Roosevelt:**

**Many thanks for yours of the 23rd.  
Senator Ferguson certainly is a  
scare monger with that sort of press release.**

**regards,**

**W. W.**

**Walter Winchell**

MEMO

Thank Mr. Gray -  
agree <sup>your</sup> ~~his~~ ~~take~~  
time to send

~~Winston~~ - send clipping  
~~Handbook~~ ~~Wine~~ ~~cell~~

I thought you  
would like to know  
this appeared on  
Oct 11<sup>th</sup> in the  
San Fran. Chronicle



**ROBERT GRIES** *Calif. State*  
Black and White  
Photography  
24 Baker Street, Yukon 1213  
San Francisco 11, California

*Send clipping  
from magazine  
had letter to  
ask for  
San Francisco*

October 13, 1947

Mrs. Anna Eleanor Roosevelt  
Hyde Park  
Duchess County, New York

Dear Mrs. Roosevelt:

I wish to call your attention to Walter Winchell's broadcast on Sunday, October 12 regarding the case of the seven missing Russians. Mr. Winchell stated that they were still missing and running loose around the country making maps of strategic spots for Atom bomb targets.

As you will note from the enclosed factual report of the incident appearing in the San Francisco Chronicle the previous day (October 11), Winchell's story is nothing but deliberate and irresponsible falsification of the facts.

Does not such "Hate the Russians" reporting lend credence to Mr. Vishensky's charge of war-mongering in the U. S. press and radio?

Is not such falsification subject to the jurisdiction of the F. C. C. in that it is certainly not "in the public interest"?

*Thank you*

Respectfully,

*Robert H. Gries*  
Robert H. Gries

RHG:hg  
Enc:1

P. S. I am no Communist or "fellow-traveller"---I simply do not believe in the methods of hysterical falsification and half-truth indulged in by such people as Winchell and the Hearst press.

*On Broadway*

## BELIEVE IT OR NOT

By RIP



By  
**WALTER  
WINCHELL**

**SALLIES IN OUR ALLEY:**

Jack Douglas heard about the radio executive who came home with lipstick on his collar. His wife took one look and acidly intoned: "I suppose this was transcribed earlier?" They were gabbing about the premiere of a new producer. He's had three flops in a row. . . . "I see," said Lew Parker, "where his newest show got panned out of town." "Yes," said Jed Harris, "his slipping is showing."

\* \* \*

**VIGNETTE:** Moss Hart's brother, Bernard, and Joe Hyman (attorney for Moss) were life-long friends. . . . For years Bernard and Joe talked about producing their own show. They finally saw a dream come true when they produced the hit "Dear Ruth." . . . The show matched all the expectations of



SIGNATURE OF  
MIKE CONE  
Louisville, Kentucky



R. J.  
GAGNON  
Lincoln Park  
Golf Course  
MADE  
3  
EAGLES  
ON  
3  
CONSECUTIVE  
HOLES  
OF GOLF  
San Francisco



Colonel  
**ROBERT L. SCOTT, JR.**  
FIGHTER PILOT  
PREACHED AN EXTEMPORANEOUS SER-  
MON AS A LAYMAN. HE WAS ASKED TO PREPARE  
HE DICTATED 120,000 WORDS ON 75  
IN 3 DAYS TO COMPLETE  
THE BOOK "GOD IS MY CO-PI-

Copyright 1941 by Rip Van Winkle. All rights reserved.