



Ethics Course Manual

Last Updated: January 25, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
OBJECTIVES	3
ETHICS COURSE MENTOR	4
REQUIREMENTS	4
PROPOSAL	5
ELECTIVE TASKS	6
<i>ETHICS JOURNAL</i>	6
<i>REDO THE ASSIGNMENT</i>	7
<i>ACADEMIC SEMINARS</i>	7
<i>“DEATH OF IVAN ILYICH” (copy on reserve in the Samuel C. Williams Library)</i>	7
<i>PRESENTATION ON THE HONOR SYSTEM</i>	7
<i>CASE STUDIES</i>	8
<i>ONLINE TUTORIAL</i>	8
<i>ESSAYS</i>	8
<i>LETTER TO THE EDITOR / ARTICLE FOR SCHOOL NEWSPAPER</i>	8
<i>HONOR SYSTEM VIDEO</i>	8
<i>CASE STUDY COMIC STRIP</i>	8
<i>SELF-INITIATED TASK</i>	9
REFLECTION PAPER	10
EXIT INTERVIEW	11
GROUNDS FOR FAILURE OF THE ETHICS COURSE	11
APPENDICES	12
<i>APPENDIX A: EXAMPLE PROPOSAL</i>	13
<i>APPENDIX B: COMMUNITY SERVICE PAPERWORK</i>	14
<i>APPENDIX C: ACADEMIC SEMINAR PAPERWORK</i>	15
<i>APPENDIX D: PRESENTATION PAPERWORK</i>	16
<i>APPENDIX E: CASE STUDIES</i>	17
<i>APPENDIX F: FINAL EVALUATION FORM</i>	25

INTRODUCTION

This Ethics Course is designed for students convicted of an Honor System Violation. It is a learning experience which will provide the students with a chance for reflection and growth. The course consists of mandatory and elective tasks, and an Honor Board representative (Ethics Course Mentor) will be assigned to help guide the student through the process.

OBJECTIVES

- The students will choose their own plan for self-improvement and reflect on their past actions.
- The students will gain a deeper understanding of the concept of ethics and how ethical behavior should and can be incorporated into both professional and academic life.
- The students will gain a deeper understanding and respect for the Stevens Honor System and learn how to support and uphold it.


COMPLETION OF THE ETHICS COURSE

It is the responsibility of the student to stay in regular contact with his/her Mentor to ensure smooth progression of the course. The Ethics Course Mentor will reach out to the student after the penalty notification is sent, but it is the student's responsibility to contact his/her Mentor should any questions or issues arise. The student is required to submit all required materials to his/her Ethics Course Mentor.

The Final Evaluation Form and all other materials will be submitted to the Honor Board Executive Board for approval. A member of the Honor Board Executive Board will determine if the student has satisfactorily completed the Ethics Course. After reviewing the material, the Executive Board or the Ethics Course Mentor may choose to meet with the student, or ask the student to redo or revise certain components of the course. Any portions of the Ethics Course that will be revised must be submitted within the six month deadline. When the Ethics Course Mentor approves the student's work and signs the Final Evaluation Form, the student is considered to have completed the Ethics Course. **Academic sanctions will be requested to be removed following the Executive Board's approval of the student's Ethics Course.**

TIMELINE

The Honor Board understands that completing the Ethics Course while taking classes or participating in cooperative education can be difficult. With that in mind, students have **two (2) months to submit their proposals and six (6) months to complete the Ethics Course** from the time the official penalty notification is sent out. Students are expected to plan their time accordingly from the beginning of the Ethics Course. Extensions may be granted in extreme circumstances, See **page 11** for extension request information.



ETHICS COURSE MENTOR (HONOR BOARD REPRESENTATIVE)

When the student is assigned the Ethics Course, he/she will also be assigned an Ethics Course Mentor. The student will be notified of his/her Mentor's name and contact information at the time of the penalty notification. It is recommended that the student contact his/her Ethics Course Mentor after receiving the official penalty notification. The Mentor will serve as an advisor, answer the student's questions, and clarify any uncertainties.

REQUIREMENTS

The Ethics Course consists of several components which are listed and described in detail in the following sections:

- ❖ Proposal
- ❖ Elective Tasks (minimum of 15 points)
- ❖ Reflection Essay
- ❖ Exit Interview

PROPOSAL

The student must submit a proposal within two months of receiving the official penalty notification. *The student cannot complete the remainder of the course until the proposal is approved by the Executive Board.* An example proposal can be found in **Appendix A**. The proposal must include three sections: intent, goals, and plan.

- ❖ **Intent:** Why the student is completing the course.
- ❖ **Goals:** What the student hopes to gain by completing the course, including his/her personal areas of improvement.
- ❖ **Plan:** The specific elective tasks, their respective point values that the student intends to complete, and his/her reasons for choosing those tasks. Please see the sections pertaining to each elective task for further information that must be included in the proposal.

The proposal is first subject to approval by his/her Ethics Course Mentor. If a member of the Honor Board Executive Board approves the proposal, the student will be notified and may begin completing his/her tasks. If a student's proposal is not approved, the student will have the opportunity to revise and resubmit it.

ELECTIVE TASKS

Each Elective Task has been assigned a point value according, which can be viewed in the chart below. **The student's proposal must include at least 15 points worth of elective tasks.** The student must choose from the following list of elective tasks, but has the option to generate a self-initiated task subject to approval by the Ethics Course Mentor. Descriptions of the elective tasks are also listed below.

Elective Component	Point Value
Ethics Journal	3
Redo and submit the assignment - subject to Executive Board approval	1
ASC Seminar	1
Read "Death of Ivan Ilyich"*** and respond to the assigned prompts	2
Give a presentation on the Honor System	3
3 case studies	1
Online Tutorial (*only if the student's violation is plagiarism/failure to cite*)	1
Response to Penalty Essay Prompt	1
Letter to the Editor of the Stute/ other Stevens publication	1
Video about the Honor System	3
Comic Strip	2
Self-initiated Task	Subject to Executive Board approval

**** Copy on reserve in the Samuel C. Williams Library**

Ethics Journal

Throughout the Ethics Course, the student may choose to keep an Ethics Journal. The purpose of the Ethics Journal is to track the student's progress in the course, provide an opportunity to reflect on each of the completed tasks, and provide an overall reflection of the learning experience. It is recommended that the student write in it at least once per week throughout the course. The journal may be in any format, but must be typed. There are two types of entries that the journal may include.

The first type are entries correspond to each **elective** task completed during the course. These entries must address the following questions:

- ❖ What task was completed? What was done to complete it?
- ❖ What was learned from this task? Was it a good learning experience? How did it help the student grow as a person?
- ❖ What is the student's feedback about this task? (The student may choose to write negative things about the tasks in this journal. This is the Honor Board's chance to get criticism or advice from the student about the course!)

The second type of entry should provide further reflection or comments on an ethical dilemma or difficult decision faced in the student's personal or professional life. Some questions that may be addressed are:

- ❖ What was the situation? Was it a hard decision to make?
- ❖ What were the pros and cons of each option?
- ❖ What option was chosen and why?
- ❖ What was the impact of this decision?

To receive the three (3) points for this task, the student must have an entry for each elective task and five (5) additional entries of the second type.

Redo the Assignment

The student may redo an assignment on which the Honor System violation occurred *only if the student is not currently or ever planning to retake the course in which the violation occurred.* Redoing the assignment will NOT count for credit in the class. The student is expected to put his/her full effort into this assignment, as if it were to be graded. After completing the assignment, the student will submit it to the professor to illustrate his/her dedication towards learning the material with integrity. The assignment that is to be redone is subject to the the Executive Board's approval. This will be worth one (1) point towards completion of the Ethics Course.

Academic Seminars

The student may choose to attend up to two (2) seminars offered by the Academic Support Center. A list of the workshops being offered by the Academic Support Center can be found at www.stevens.edu/asc. Some of these workshops may require pre-registration, so the student must pay attention to all the details when viewing the available options. There may be other seminars offered throughout the campus, but not through the Academic Support Center. These seminars also may be acceptable if they relate to the personal improvement goals outlined in the student's proposal, and if they are approved by his/her Ethics Course Mentor. The student must state in his/her proposal which seminars he/she plans to attend and how they will contribute to his/her personal growth.

When the student attends the seminar, he/she will need to complete the form located in **Appendix C** and have the presenter of the seminar sign to confirm his/her attendance. Each seminar that the student attends will be worth one (1) point towards completion of the Ethics Course.

"Death of Ivan Ilyich" (copy on reserve in the Samuel C. Williams Library)

For this task, the student must read the short novel by Leo Tolstoy and respond to the following questions. There is no length requirement for the responses, although it is important that they be of a high quality. This is worth two (2) points towards the total value of elective tasks.

- ❖ Why did Ivan conclude his life "was not the real thing?" (Consider his interests, values, aims, and his relationships with his family.)
- ❖ Why did Ivan stop screaming? (Provide a specific quotation.)
- ❖ What does Tolstoy have to say about the attractions of materialism? (Give an example from Ivan's life.)

Presentation on the Honor System

For three (3) points towards completion of the course, the student can choose to give a speech on the Honor System to a group of his peers. It must be delivered to at least 515 other students who may be members of a club, sports team, Greek house, class, or any other group selected by the student. The speech must be at least 15 minutes long and may utilize any appropriate audio/ visual aid. The student must state in the proposal who will be the audience for the speech and why he/she chose that group of students. The student may choose to use some of the following talking points in his speech or come up with his own:

- ❖ A history of the Honor System at Stevens
- ❖ Duties of students and faculty as outlined in the Honor Board's Constitution
- ❖ The importance of having an Honor System
- ❖ His/her personal experience with the Honor System and what he/she has taken away from that experience

Information regarding the Honor System may be found on the Honor Board website or the Mentor can provide the student with additional information as needed.

A draft of the student's presentation (and any visual/audio aids, such as PowerPoints) must be approved by the Ethics Course Mentor prior to its delivery. The student must alert the Ethics Course Mentor of the time and place of the presentation. It is required that at least one Honor Board Representative be present when the presentation is made. The audience members must complete the official signature form at the conclusion of the presentation. This form is available in **Appendix D**.

Case Studies

The student may analyze some of the following case studies on academic integrity. Analyses of three (3) case studies will earn the student one (1) point. The written analysis should include a brief summary of each study and address all questions asked in paragraph. Answers to each question must be fully reasoned and supported in the response (this means that a simple "yes" or "no" answer is NOT acceptable). The student should make sure to draw connections between the case studies when applicable. The following Case Studies are hyperlinks or the full text for each can be found in **Appendix E**.



Jack's Dilemma



Jill the Collaborator



Ludwig's Composition



The Plagiarized Paper



The Case of the Cheating Hart



The Grant



The Pressure of Being Denise^[3]

Online Tutorial

This elective task is only an option if the student's violation concerned plagiarism or failure to cite. The student may choose to complete the Virtual Academic Integrity Laboratory (VAIL) Tutorial. This tutorial requires him to read through four learning modules and take a quiz on what was learned. The student must achieve a score of 90 to pass. He must print and turn in the certificate of completion to receive credit for the tutorial. The tutorial is worth 1 point towards completion of the Ethics Course. The tutorial is available at the following link: <http://www.apps.umuc.edu/vailtutor/>

Essays

A list of prompts is available at <http://web.stevens.edu/honor/Essays.shtml>. The student may choose to write an essay response to one or more of these prompts. The response must be at least 3 pages in length (unless noted otherwise) and must be well-written with proper English grammar and citations if outside resources are used. Each essay that the student writes will be worth one (1) point, and he/she may submit a maximum of three responses. The student must state in the proposal which prompts he/she has chosen and why.

Letter to the Editor/ Article for the Stute or other publication

The student may choose to write a Letter to the Editor or an article for The Stute or another Stevens publication. The letter or article must pertain to the Stevens Honor System, but otherwise the topic is open-ended. Examples include:

- ❖ Persuasive argument as to why students or faculty should endorse the Honor System
- ❖ Article about the student's personal experience with the Honor System
- ❖ Article about a recent event on campus hosted by the Honor Board

The Mentor must approve the article before it is submitted to the editor of the publication. Note that ultimately the decision to publish an article is left to the editors and staff of the publications. This is worth one (1) point towards the completion of the course.

Honor System Video

For three (3) points towards the completion of the course, the student can make a video about the Honor System. This video should be formatted as a trailer or commercial to promote the system to other students. A screenplay must be submitted to the Mentor for approval before the video is created.

Case Study Comic Strip

For two (2) points towards completion of the course, the student can create his/her own case study and illustrate it as a comic strip with drawings, computer graphics, or photographs. The comic strip should be accompanied by a written summary of the story as well as a written exploration of the ethical dilemma presented and an example conclusion to the case study. The case study should be complex enough that the student's exploration of the dilemma and response are at least two (2) pages in length. The student may choose to illustrate the ethical dilemma that lead to his/her own Honor System Violation or create a different one.

Self-Initiated Task

The student may choose to do any other sort of task or activity as part of his/her Ethics Course. Although the self-initiated task may be any activity, a suggestion is to complete community service or do some sort of creative activity that pertains to the Honor System or ethics. The student must discuss these plans with his/her Ethics Course Mentor and be able to justify how the task will contribute to the learning process. The Ethics Course Mentor shall determine how many points the self-initiated task is worth. The task must be indicated in the proposal submitted by the student and subsequently be approved by both the Ethics Course Mentor and Executive Board. When the student proposes a self-initiated task, additional guidelines will be determined by the student, Ethics Course Mentor, and Executive Board.

REFLECTION PAPER

The Reflection Paper is the final requirement of the course. It is not to be written until all of the other tasks have been completed. It should be very **personal** and should talk about the student's experience with the Ethics Course and how it has helped him grow as a person. The Reflection Paper must be at least three (3) pages in length and must address:

- ❖ The factors that led to having to complete the Ethics Course
- ❖ Each task that was completed and how it contributed to the student's learning process. The student may choose to discuss which tasks were his/her favorite / least favorite or most helpful for self-improvement.
- ❖ How the student plans to implement what he has learned into the rest of his/her life at Stevens and beyond.

All essays should follow the formatting described in the Honor Board Penalty Essay Manual.

EXIT INTERVIEW

Once the student has completed and submitted paperwork for all mandatory and elective tasks in his/her proposal, he/she will meet with his/her Honor Board Mentor for a final Exit Interview. The student and Mentor will discuss the student's accomplishments and evaluate his/her overall learning experience. The student can choose to go over the submitted material and discuss what he/she has learned while completing the Ethics Course. If the Ethics Course Mentor agrees that the student has met his/her objectives listed in the submitted proposal, the Final Evaluation form will be signed by both the student and the Mentor. This form will be submitted to the Executive Board, along with the rest of the Ethics Course material. This form is available in **Appendix G**.

GROUND(S) FOR FAILURE OF THE ETHICS COURSE

If at any time before the six month deadline the Ethics Course Mentor or Executive Board deems that submission(s) from the student are unsatisfactory, they may refuse to accept the submission(s) and request either of the following:

- ❖ The student redo or revise the task
- ❖ The student abandon the task and complete another task to fulfill the point requirement.

Any work that is redone must be submitted by the six-month deadline

It goes without saying that the student should complete this Ethics Course while adhering to the principles set forth in the Constitution and Bylaws of the Stevens Honor System. If a student is suspected of violating the Honor System while completing the course, their progress will be suspended while the Honor Board investigates the issue. The Ethics Course Mentor will first confront the student about the issue and present the issue to the Honor Board. The Honor Board may, by a majority vote, open an investigation. If the student is found guilty or confesses to violating the Honor System during the Ethics Course, a penalty shall be rendered by the Honor Board in the procedure outlined in the Constitution and Bylaws. Note that penalties rendered by the Honor Board can range anywhere from redoing a task for the Ethics Course to expulsion from the Institution, depending on the severity of the violation.

If the Honor Board Executive Board discovers that the student has committed any unethical act or Code of Conduct violation during the 6-month period of the Ethics Course, it has the discretion, upon a majority vote, to stop the student's progress on the course by a failure of the Ethics Course.

As stated above, all Ethics Course material **MUST** be submitted within 6 months of the date of the penalty notification. The Exit Interview with the Ethics Course Mentor **MUST** be conducted before the 6 month deadline. The student will not be allowed to submit materials after this deadline. Any extensions are subject to approval by a majority vote of the Executive Board, and must be requested at least one week before the deadline. Failing to complete the Ethics Course before the deadline constitutes grounds for failure of the Ethics Course.

If there are any questions, please email the Honor Board at honor@stevens.edu.

Appendices

Appendix A: Example Proposal

Appendix B: Community Service Paperwork

Appendix C: Academic Seminar Paperwork

Appendix D: Presentation Paperwork

Appendix E: Case Studies

Appendix F: Final Evaluation Form

John Doe
Ethics Course Proposal
Honor Board Mentor: Bob Smith
Submitted: January 1, 2016

Intent: I, John Doe, copied off a fellow student on a Final exam in the course CH115 in the Fall 2015 semester. I feel that this was a one-time mistake that I can fix by exploring my personal ethics and learning more about time management and study skills. I did not feel prepared for that exam and made a mistake that I continue to regret.

Goals: I feel that this Honor System violation occurred because I was very stressed during finals and felt that I had nowhere left to turn. I put off studying for my final exams until the last minute and then did not have time to properly prepare for my examinations. I should have accepted that my unpreparedness was the result of my own procrastination, but instead I chose to compromise my integrity by cheating. My goals through this course are to improve my time management and studying skills while enhancing my underlying sense of ethical behavior. By taking this course, the next time when I am faced with an ethical dilemma I will be armed with the tools and personal strength to do the right thing.

Plan: In addition to the mandatory Reflection Paper and Ethics Journal, I plan to complete the following tasks:

1. Ethics Journal (3 points) – I intend to complete an Ethics Journal as I complete my elective tasks. This will allow me to record all that I learn over the next several months and is a way for me to reflect on my experience.
2. Comic Strip case study (2 points) – I am planning to illustrate my own ethical dilemma in a series of photographs to complete a case study. This will give me the opportunity to be creative while exploring the underlying poor decision that I made.
3. Attend ASC seminar (1 point) – one seminar listed on the schedule, “Proper Time Management Skills,” seems especially catered to my needs. I intend to go to this seminar and learn to be a better student.
4. Presentation on the Honor System to my sports team (3 points) – this process has been very self-revealing for me and I would like to share with my team how I went wrong and when I could have prevented it. I also plan to talk a little about the Honor System and why it is important as well as share some of the tips I learn at the ASC seminar.
5. Complete 6 case studies (2 points) - because ethics are so important in the real world as well as the academic world, I would like to explore some real life scenarios where the ethical choice isn’t the easy choice.
6. Perform 8 hours of Community Service (3 points) – I would like to give back to the community by volunteering at the Hoboken Homeless Shelter. My supervisor there is Jane Ordinary. She can be reached at (123) 456-7890
7. Complete an Honor Board Essay (1 point) – I plan on responding to prompt #21. I didn’t realize the consequences when I cheated off my friend during my final exam. This essay will allow me to explore how not studying and learning the material properly will affect me later in life.

Total Points: 15

Community Service Log

Student's Name _____ Mentor _____

Service Organization _____ Phone _____

Why did you choose this organization?

How will it help you meet your goals for the Ethics Course?

Date	# of Hours	Supervisor's Name	Supervisor's Signature

Student's Signature _____ Date _____

Mentor's Signature _____ Date _____

Academic Seminar Response Form

Student's Name _____ Mentor _____

Title of Presentation _____ Date _____

Why did you choose to attend this presentation?

What were the main points of the presentation?

What did it help you to learn? How is it useful? (or how will it be useful?)

How will you implement what you learned into your academic life?

Student's Signature _____ Date _____

Presenter's Signature _____ Date _____

Mentor's Signature _____ Date _____

Honor System Presentation Form

Student's Name _____ Mentor _____

Date of Presentation _____ Group Presented To _____

Please have at least 10 of the students in attendance and the HB Representative sign in below:

- | | |
|-----|-----|
| 1. | 11. |
| 2. | 12. |
| 3. | 13. |
| 4. | 14. |
| 5. | 15. |
| 6. | 16. |
| 7. | 17. |
| 8. | 18. |
| 9. | 19. |
| 10. | 20. |

HB Representative:

Student's Signature _____ Date _____

Mentor's Signature _____ Date _____

CASE STUDY 1: Jack's Dilemma

Jack is a last semester senior at Miller's college. His current academic record is right on the borderline with a cumulative GPA of 1.99. He realizes that he cannot afford to make any D's or F's if he is going to graduate at the end of the semester. In fact, if he doesn't make a B somewhere, he will be in serious trouble.

Unfortunately, Jack is enrolled in a course taught by Professor Smith. Normally, this required course covers some difficult material; but because the course is poorly organized and poorly taught, Professor Smith makes a difficult situation even worse. In addition, student feedback reports that Professor Smith's exams are not fair since the tests often covered material that was never stressed in class. Professor Smith is known as a tough grader who gives many C's, D's and F's and very few A's and B's. The final exam in the course is given in a room in which the students are seated very close to one another. Professor Smith sits at the front of the room reading a magazine while the students take the exam. Although there is a good deal of whispering among the students, Professor Smith does nothing to stop it. In addition, Professor Smith never uses proctors to monitor the students while the test is in progress. Jack, as usual deliberately seats himself as close as possible to one particular person who happens to be one of the best students in the class. He knows that this student has the habit of holding up his answer sheet every once in a while. Jack can see many of the other student's answers and takes this opportunity to copy many of them onto his own answer sheet.

Discussion:

- Has Jack done anything wrong?
- Has Prof. Smith done anything wrong?
- Should Jack be punished? How?
- Should Prof. Smith be punished? How?
- What are the implications for Jack/Prof. Smith?
 - Personally
 - Professionally

CASE STUDY 2: Jill the Collaborator

Jill is a rising senior planning to enter a professional program in the fall. She has a "B"/"C" average. During the summer term she was enrolled in a lab section of a science course. A Teaching Assistant who was a graduate student in the science area taught the section.

Following a number of lab assignments that were completed by Jill, the instructor has called her and her lab partner in for a conference. The instructor asked Jill and her partner to go to separate rooms and write down how they completed their last lab

assignment. Both students indicated they had worked in collaboration to complete this assignment. Receiving this information, the instructor indicated to both students that they had cheated on this assignment. The instructor indicated that the penalty for this offense was a “zero” on the assignment and a recording of the offense as a violation of the institutional honor policy. The instructor further indicated that failure to accept responsibility for this offense would lead to a hearing and more severe actions including suspension or expulsion. Both students agreed to accept the penalty and signed an admission of the violation.

Jill, upon reflection and after reading the Policy on Academic Integrity, has made an appointment with the Coordinator of Academic Integrity to discuss this matter. During this appointment, Jill tells the coordinator that she did not know that collaboration on these assignments was “wrong”, that many of the students in the class collaborate on such assignments and that the only instructions received concerned a prohibition against plagiarism. Further, she feels she was not given any information about the honor policy, the procedures to be followed in making an allegation, and her rights as a student accused of a violation.

Discussion:

- Has Jill done anything wrong?
- Has the instructor done anything wrong?
- Should Jill be punished? How?
- Should the instructor be punished? How?
- What are the implications for Jill and the instructor?
 - Personally
 - Professionally



CASE STUDY 3: Ludwig’s Composition

Ludwig is a student in piano at the University under the instruction of Dr. Steinway. As part of his composition class, he has been assigned the completion of a short piece for piano. The guidelines for this assignment indicate that he may consult with others but must complete the composition alone.

In preparing this composition, Ludwig has spoken with his beloved high school band teacher, Mr. Dreyfus. Mr. Dreyfus has shared a number of examples and ideas concerning the assigned composition that Ludwig finds very interesting. Among the examples is a rough score for an incomplete opus that Mr. Dreyfus had been working on for some time. This composition is nearly complete and is an almost perfect match for the assignment.

Following his meeting with Mr. Dreyfus, Ludwig returns to the music building to

work on the assignment. There he encounters several fellow students. He discusses the assignment with them, mentioning his conversation with Mr. Dreyfus and its relationship to the assignment. One of the students, Franz, a senior, tells Ludwig that he had the same assignment from Dr. Steinway two years earlier and still has a copy of his own composition, which he would be happy to share. Dr. Steinway, he indicates, doesn't seem to mind where the composition comes from so long as it reflects some work by the student.

Following these experiences, Ludwig returns to his practice room where, in a brilliant creative moment, he merges Mr. Dreyfus's opus with Franz's prior assignment and creates Ludwig's Composition for Piano, parts one and two. He hastens to class the next day and turns in the composition to Dr. Steinway.

Discussion:

- Has Ludwig done anything wrong?
- Has Dr. Steinway done anything wrong?
- Franz done anything wrong?
- Should Ludwig be punished? How?
- Should Dr. Steinway be held responsible? How?
- What are the implications for John/Dr. Steinway/the institution?
 - Personally
 - Professionally

CASE STUDY 4: The Plagiarized Paper

You are a faculty member who had a student in a class last semester who was bright, delightful and diligent and who helped confirm your choice of becoming a college professor. He did very well in your class, while holding several part-time jobs trying to make ends meet. You got to know the student to some extent outside of class and think of him as a student who is a friend. Based on these experiences you even have hopes for him as a future professional in your field.

This semester, the student is enrolled in another of your classes. He continues to work hard and is doing very well in the course. In addition, he stops by office frequently for friendly conversation and to discuss course related matters too.

After the most recent class session, he mentioned in the passing that he earned some money writing a paper last semester for another student. He also mentioned the topic of the paper, which you immediately recognized (because it was a very unique and obscure topic in your discipline) as one submitted by another one of your students. You had awarded a grade of "B" to the person who submitted the paper that was turned in as a "re-write" of a paper that had initially received a grade of "D". The final grade of the

student who turned in the paper was not altered by the “B” grade. He would have received the same final course grade if he had let the original “D” grade for this paper stand.

The student who wrote the paper and told you about it didn’t seem the least bit ashamed of having done so. You were so astonished to hear this that you didn’t say anything other than, “Why are you telling me about this?” The student’s response was “Why wouldn’t I tell you? I’d tell you anything.” Does the student think that writing a paper for another and for profit at that would be acceptable to you?

Discussion:

- Now what do you do?
- What are the issues?
- Since you somewhat crossed the boundary from teacher to friend with this student, how does this affect or restrict the use you might make of this information that he voluntarily provided?
- Should you file an academic integrity complaint, knowing that if you do you will have to testify against him, and that if found responsible for a violation he will probably be suspended? Is this the best way for him to learn? What do you want him to learn anyway?
- What about the student who actually submitted the paper when someone else wrote it?

CASE STUDY 5: The Case of the Cheating Hart

Joshua Hart is a first semester sophomore at Woebegotten College in Lake Woebegone, Minnesota. He is the first member of his immediate family to attend college and as such carries a great burden of expectations for success from his family. He is currently enrolled in the Exercise and Sports Science (ESS) program of the College. Dr. Keeler has assigned a semester project in which students are to compile a model program for personal fitness training for themselves. Dr. Keeler has explained verbally and also included in his syllabus a good deal of information about the College Honor Policy and the importance of honesty in all assignments. He indicates that students are to complete this assignment on their own with no resort to third parties other than reference materials.

Joshua is very interested in fitness training and hopes one day to become a personal trainer and open his own business. For now, he trains a few fellow students and one or two faculty members for a small charge. To enhance his own skills and to obtain needed skills in physical training, Joshua has purchased a CD-ROM program entitled “The Personal Trainer for You” by Arnold Atlas. The CD carries copyright information.

In completing the assignment for Dr. Keeler, Joshua has used a variety of text sources, his own experience and information from the CD-ROM. He has printed off

various tables and charts from the CD and made clean copies to include along with his narrative and other materials. As he turns in the paper, he feels sure he has completed not only a good paper but a useful “game plan” for his future as a physical trainer. He eagerly anticipates the return of the paper with a good grade and even hopes for an “A.” This is his first course in the program and he wants to make a very good impression.

Dr. Keeler, too, has anticipated Joshua’s paper. In class Joshua has not spoken out as often as other students but seems a serious student and appears likely to become a very successful ESS major. As he begins to grade Joshua’s paper, he is at first highly impressed. As he reads on, however, he becomes aware that the language and concepts Joshua has used seem highly developed for a student of his experience. Several paragraphs, in particular, seem almost too good to be true but none of this material is cited in Joshua’s references, which include several books and periodical articles. With some concern, Dr. Keeler begins to consider the possibility that some of the work in this paper may not be Joshua’s own. He turns to the internet and a search engine often used by ESS majors.

Almost immediately, Dr. Keeler turns up several sources that seem likely targets for a student completing the assignment. When he opens the first source, he immediately finds selections of research dealing with physical training that appear to be identical with Joshua’s. He also learns that this particular program is offered in a full version on a CD-ROM and includes model programs for physical training.

Anger turns to frustration and then to sadness as Dr. Keeler recognizes he will have to request an Honor Policy conference with Joshua. He sends an e-mail to Joshua asking that he schedule an appointment the next day.

When Joshua meets with Dr. Keeler the next day, he is immediately confronted with material from the web-site that appears similar to his paper. Dr. Keeler points out specific passages and paragraphs that are identical to Joshua’s paper. He then asks for an explanation. Joshua becomes defensive and indicates that the paper is entirely his own work. He acknowledges that he used material from the CD-ROM program but doesn’t view this as plagiarism. Dr. Keeler is baffled as Joshua continues to explain that he purchased the CD-ROM for his own use, is in fact using the physical training program in question himself and in working with several of his clients. It is his view that ownership of the CD-ROM constitutes possession of all the material included. He believes that the information is therefore his to use, especially in light of the fact that the disputed material portrays his own personal physical training program. Concluding this argument, he refuses to accept any responsibility for plagiarism.

Following further futile arguments, Dr. Keeler tells Joshua he will be referred to the Honor Policy hearing process for this violation; the decision of that process will determine his responsibility. Angry, Joshua storms out of the office.

The hearing has now been convened by the chair of the Honor Policy Hearing Panel. The information above and Joshua’s initial defense have been presented. As a member of the hearing panel, you are charged with determining Joshua’s responsibility or non-responsibility for the offense of plagiarism.

Discussion:

- What questions concerning this allegation and Joshua’s defense do you have?
 - What decision should the panel, in your opinion, reach?
 - If Joshua is found “responsible,” what, if any, sanctions should be imposed?
 - If Joshua is found “not responsible,” what arguments do you find most compelling?
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

CASE STUDY 6: The Grant

John is a senior student in psychology at a major public university. He serves as a research assistant to Dr. Stallings. John has an excellent academic record and is currently under consideration for admission to the institution’s Ph.D. program with a full scholarship. Dr. Stallings is his principal reference for the scholarship.

Currently John has been assisting Dr. Stallings in the preparation of final reports related to a major federal grant. The deadline for completion of the reports and final accounting of funds for the grant is tomorrow. In reviewing the section of the report assigned to him, John notes a minor discrepancy between the observed results and those reflected in the final report as written by Dr. Stallings. Knowing that the report and the results it contains are crucial to Dr. Stallings, John changes the report to reflect the observed results of the project. He returns the report to Dr. Stallings without comment about this change.

Two days later, John receives a copy of the final report as submitted to the grant agency. In the report, the change which John made has been changed again to the original, inaccurate reflection of the observed result. As written, the report changes the observed results and modestly improves the apparent findings of the project. Attached to the report is a handwritten note from Dr. Stallings, thanking John for his work and indicating that John’s recommendation is on his desk and will be forwarded to the graduate program shortly.

John takes the report and leaves the office.

Discussion:

- Has John done anything wrong?
 - Has Dr. Stallings done anything wrong?
 - Should John be punished? How?
 - Should Dr. Stallings be punished? How?
 - What are the implications for John/Dr. Stallings/the institution?
 - Personally
 - Professionally
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

CASE STUDY 7: The Pressure of Being Denise

Denise came from a small, rural town in central SC where her widowed father was an evangelical Christian minister. He raised her very strictly, and it was only with great reluctance that he allowed her to come to Winthrop for college. He feared the big and diverse university would corrupt her morals. As he left her on campus the day the residence halls opened, he warned her, "If I ever find out that you've been cheating or using alcohol or drugs. I'll cut you off from all financial support and never let you enter our home again."

Denise had always obeyed her father and intended to do so while at college. Her career ambition was to become a medical doctor and help people. Her first two years of classes went OK and she was making progress towards obtaining her degree in Biology and had a 3.3 cumulative GPA. While good, she realized she probably needed higher grades to get into medical school. She did stay away from drugs and alcohol, and in September of her junior year she began dating Larry, a fellow student in her organic chemistry class. By late November they were intimate. Denise had never experienced such a demanding class as organic chemistry and was barely making a C as finals approached. She had even quit her off campus job in September to devote more time to studying. However, she took advantage of a credit card solicitation and before she knew it she had over \$900 in debt and no way to pay it. She had to get a job on campus and easily found one working as a security assistant at nights in Wofford Hall. All her earnings were devoted to just paying the minimum demanded each month from her credit card bank. The anxiety caused by her academic course demands, her increasing debt level from the bank's mounting interest, and her lack of sleep from her night job began to push her towards depression as final exams approached.

As the semester was winding down, her organic chemistry professor offered all students in the class an opportunity for extra credit by writing a short research paper on "foreseeable breakthroughs in chemistry the next decade." Denise thought this would be the opportunity she needed to get a B in the class. However, she found out as the semester began to wind down, there was just too much going on and she couldn't find the time to devote to the research paper. Two nights before the paper was due while Denise was working as a Security Assistant, Susan, a co-worker at the security desk, suggested she check out right there and then a great web site called FREE-ESSAY.COM. Denise did so and found a paper with the same title in little more than five minutes. Pressed for time and wanting to avoid plagiarizing she cut the essay from the internet and pasted it into her word processor to use it only as a guideline for the format of her essay. She then went on-line to the three sources referenced in the FREE-ESSAY.COM paper and fortunately was able to read all the sources within a matter of hours directly on her desktop computer. While reading she tried to paraphrase the wording from the original essay. She also cited the original sources in her paper, but she never cited FREE- ESSAY.COM After work that night, Denise went to bed with Larry and mentioned to

him how she was able to get the paper done on-line and asked him if it seemed like plagiarism. Larry replied, "I don't think so; besides you've worked so hard you deserve this one break."

On her way to class the next day to submit the paper, Denise was chatting with Mary, the student who sits next to her in class, and Denise casually mentioned how she was finally able to get the extra credit paper done. After class that day, Mary slipped an anonymous note under the professor's office door telling her to check Denise's paper closely. Thus alerted, the professor noticed a particularly well expressed paragraph and placed it in the GOOGLE internet search engine. In .02 seconds, GOOGLE returned 5 hits including FREE-ESSAY.COM and the original source which Denise had found and referenced in her submitted paper. The professor decided this was a case of academic plagiarism where a student presented, as one's own, the ideas or words of another for academic evaluation without proper acknowledgment. The professor gave Denise an F in the course as an academic consequence.

Denise maintained she thought she had properly referenced the original sources and requested a hearing before the University's Judicial Council comprised of three faculty members and two students. The Judicial Council heard the case a few days before Denise's remaining finals began and decided that Denise had committed academic misconduct by plagiarizing for failing to cite the FREE-ESSAY.COM assistance. The F grade for the entire organic chemistry course was therefore posted on her transcript and she was also placed on disciplinary probation for the next semester. Denise knew the F in organic chemistry probably doomed her chances for getting into medical school. She got so upset about this and her new disciplinary record she started to get very depressed as she tried to concentrate on her finals. She turned to Larry for comfort and he shrugged it off. She was afraid to call her father fearful she couldn't keep the truth from him and she was petrified of his reaction. And she couldn't sleep even though she felt exhausted. Denise did very poorly on all her finals and subsequently did not return to Winthrop the next semester. She never completed her undergraduate degree and she never became an MD.

Discussion:

- Rate each of the characters according to their responsibility for Denise's departure from Winthrop. Identify the least responsible as #7 to most responsible being #1. Please provide justification for your responses.
 - The Professor
 - Her father
 - Susan, her coworker
 - The Judicial Council
 - Larry, her boyfriend
 - Mary, her classmate
 - Denise

Stevens Honor Board
Ethics Course
Final Evaluation Form

Student: _____

I, _____, am submitting my Ethics Course materials to the Honor Board executive board for review. I attest that I completed this course to the best of my abilities to amend for my Honor System Violation and work towards self-improvement.

Signature _____

Date _____

Ethics Course Mentor: _____

I, _____, have been working with this student on the Ethics Course and will confirm that they have worked attentively and carefully to complete the course materials. I will fully endorse this request to have the course requirements satisfied.

Signature _____

Date _____

Honor Board Executive Board Member: _____

I, _____, have reviewed the student's Ethics Course materials and have determined that he / she has fulfilled their course requirements.

Signature _____

Date _____

