# **ABL Data Integration**

### Today and Tomorrow

David Moloney Software Architect Progress Software

# PROGRESS EXCHANGE

Agenda

- Challenges of Data Integration
- ABL Data Integration Methodologies
- Loose Versus Tight Coupling at the Point of Integration
- ODBC Bridge
- Future Data Abstractions, Embedded Objects and Tight Integration

## Challenges of Data Integration



The Challenges of Data Integration

 Form: Consumed, hosted, (un)structured, Integrity, Volume, Velocity, Variability

#### Approach:

Technology/cost, scalability, efficiency, throughput, availability, data analysis

#### Business Climate:

Customer/partner demands, competition, mergers, divestments



The Challenges of Data Integration

# Data Integration Application

# Transaction-Oriented OpenEdge Applications Are About Data

Discovering, cleansing, monitoring, transforming, aggregating and delivering data from disparate sources to where it is needed, when it is needed

## ABL Data Integration Methodologies



Traditional ABL Language Binding: Relational Data Access

- FIND
   DELETE
   CAN-FIND
   IMPORT
   COPY-BUFFER
- FOR EACH CREATE AVAILABLE EXPORT DEFINE QUERY/DATASET
- UPDATE



Traditional ABL Language Binding: File Distribution & Streaming

- IMPORT / EXPORT
- INPUT FROM / OUTPUT TO
- PUT

- INPUT THROUGH
- OUTPUT THROUGH



Traditional ABL Language Binding: Relational Data Access via "Gateways"

- FIND
   DELETE
   CAN-FIND
   IMPORT
   COPY-BUFFER
- FOR EACH CREATE AVAILABLE EXPORT DEFINE QUERY/DATASET
- UPDATE



#### Traditional ABL Language Binding: Era of Web 1.0



Non-Traditional, Non-Language Binding: Era of Web 2.0

- Progress.Data.BindingSource Object
- Progress.Windows.Form
- USING System.Windows.Forms

- DEFINE DATASET ... NESTED
- USING System.Data.SQLClient
- USING System.Data.BindSource



Language-Based Network Interface: Message-Based Data Access



#### Web Services Data Transport (Heavyweight)



#### **REST Services Data Transport (Lightweight)**









## Loose vs. Tight Coupling at the Point of Integration



#### The SaaS Argument to Application Integration



20 PROGRESS © 2014 Progress Software Corporation. All rights reserved.

SOA-2: Event-Driven Service Oriented Architecture



#### Key Question:

- 1. How will you organize your SOA environment to reach your goals?
  - How will you distribute consumers and providers of your services
- 2. What "services" and non-services operate against your key business components?
  - Data Integration
  - Data Transformation
  - Data Persistence
  - Data aggregation
  - Data Storage/Access

#### Essential business resources and drivers of operational decisions need tight binding

## ODBC Bridge

## PROGRESS EXCHANGE





ODBC Bridge to Progress DataDirect Cloud and Progress Easyl

- Code Samples
  - Available August 2013 in OE 11.3.0 for D2C
  - Available March 2014 in OE 11.3.2 for EASYL
- ODBC API linked/mapped; API functions in include file: "SqlStatementProto.i"
- ODBC processes are object-ized into OOABL
  - SELECT queries return schema that is evaluated
  - Full CRUD possible but not provided in sample
- Results use default data type mappings
- Can assign results to temp tables or JSON objects
- No specific internationalization, LOB processing, or native ODBC extensions, etc.
- All API's "available"; Only API's needed by same are "implemented"

#### ODBC Bridge Code in PDSOE



27 **PROGRESS** © 2014 Progress Software Corporation. All rights reserved.

oD2CServer:ExecuteStatement



Web Services Front End and Business Entities Behind the Firewall



Future Data Abstractions, Embedded Objects, and Tight Integration



#### Disclaimer

- This presentation is for informational purposes only. You are cautioned that any information contained in this presentation may change in the course of product development.
- This presentation may not be interpreted as any commitment on behalf of Progress, and future development, timing and release of any products, features or functionality described in this presentation remains at the sole discretion of Progress.

Next Steps in "OpenEdge-Centric" Data Integration

- 1. Do Nothing
- 2. Improve Samples
- 3. OOABL built-in object supplements & embedded API
  - Expose Abstractions as built-ins (using internal OTM)
- 4. OOABL built-in objects with language integration & embedded API
  - Modernized, flexible, DataServer-equivalency + more



**Object Model Representation of ODBC Base Classes** 

- DataDirect.DO.ODBC.API
  - Open Standards, Data Access Methods
- DataDirect.DO.ODBC.Defs
  - Open Standards, Data Access Properties
- Progress.Lang.SysError
  - Progress.DO.ODBC.Error

includes getSQLState() method

#### CLASS myAPIObj INHERITS (or IMPLEMENTS) API:

API:SQLSetStmtAttr(...).

. . . . .

#### END CLASS.

Abstraction Layer on Top of Base Classes (Similar to Sample Program's)

- Embedded API's as built-in objects
  - DataDirect.DO.RecordSet Any result set definition
  - DataDirect.DO.Connection Any connected data source
  - DataDirect.DO.Command Any SQL call or statement(s)

ConnObj:Open(User, Pwd, DSN).

RecordSetObj = CommandObj:ExecuteStatement("Select \* from Customer").

Do WHILE RecordSetObj:HasRecs:

CREATE tt. ASSIGN tt.name = RecordSetObj:column("name"):VALUE.

RecordSetObj:MoveNext NO-ERROR

END.

Disadvantages: Opt.1: Samples Thru Opt. 3: OOABL Built-In Object Supplements

- Code practices on embedded object 
   —> no correlation to existing code or practices
- Foreign schema references  $\rightarrow$  not tied to the language
  - No schema/reference checks; mismatches result in corruption?
- Integration capabilities —> data bound copies;
  - All CRUD by copy
- - Roll your own ODBC would be available but would require foundation work from you
- No Integration —> Transactions, record scope, cursor management, etc.
- Only default mappings --> for derived temp-table, JSON, other targets
  - No data conversion on results
- Internationalization, LOB types, native extensions, language hooks —> loosely possible over time; must be "hacked" into ABL language.

Foundational Abstractions for Built-In Objects With Language Binding

- DataDirect.DO.DB logical database equivalent
- DataDirect.DO.Table file equivalent
- DataDirect.DO.Column field equivalent
- DataDirect.DO.Index index equivalent
- DataDirect.DO.DS DATA-SOURCE equivalent

Query Example Using Dynamic Schema Object Definitions

DEFINE PRIVATE VARIABLE myCouldDbObj AS CLASS DataDirect.DO.DB NO-UNDO. DEFINE PRIVATE VARIABLE custObj AS CLASS DataDirect.DO.Table NO-UNDO.

```
myCloudDbObj:GET-EMPTY("CloudDSN");
myCloudDbObj:SET-CONNECT(("CloudDSN", "sports", UserID, Pwd).
myCloudDbObj:LOAD-SCHEMA()
custObj = NEW DO.TABLE(myCloudDbObj:GET-TABLE-SCHEMA("ora-cust")). /* foreign name */
CREATE BUFFER bh FOR TABLE (custObj.GET-LOCAL-NAME()).
CREATE QUERY qh.
```

qh.SET-BUFFERS(bh). qh:QUERY-PREPARE("FOR EACH " + custObj.GET-LOCAL-NAME()). qh:QUERY-OPEN(). qh:GET-FIRST(). qh:QUERY-CLOSE(). Bh:BUFFER-RELEASE(). DELETE OBJECT bh. DELETE OBJECT qh.

#### Query Example Using Static Object Definitions & Database Persistence

```
DEFINE PRIVATE VARIABLE mydbObj1 AS CLASS DataDirect.DO.DB NO-UNDO.
DEFINE PRIVATE VARIABLE mydbObj2 AS CLASS DataDirect.DO.DB NO-UNDO.
myClouddbObj1 = new DB("CloudDSN1", "sports1", UserID, Pwd).
myClouddbObj2 = new DB("CloudDSN2", "sports2", UserID, Pwd).
myClouddbObj1:LOAD-SCHEMA("Cust*").
myClouddbObj2:LOAD-SCHEMA("Ord*").
myClouddbObj1:CREATE-DB().
```

prodb -db CloudDSN1 -ld "sports1" -db CloudDSN2 -ld "sports2"

```
DEFINE QUERY CustOrd FOR sports1.customer, sports2.order
OPEN QUERY q FOR EACH sports1.customer, EACH sports2.order OF sports1.customer.
GET FIRST q
DO WHILE NOT QUERY-OFF-END('q').
GET NEXT q
```

```
END
```

CLOSE QUERY q

#### Transaction Example Using Static Schema Object Definitions

DEFINE PRIVATE VARIABLE myClouddbObj AS CLASS DataDirect.DO.DB NO-UNDO. myClouddbObj = new DB("CloudDSN", "sports", UserID, Pwd). myClouddbObj:LOAD-SCHEMA("Customer")

SAVE CACHE COMPLETE myClouddbObj:ALIAS-NAME TO VALUE(myClouddbObj:DB-NAME) + ".csh") NO-ERROR. IF ERROR-STATUS:ERROR THEN MESSAGE "Not Saved".

 prodb -cache CloudDSN.csh
 /\* proutil <database> -C load schema <filename> - db \*/

 TransBlock:
 DO TRANSACTION ON ERROR UNDO, LEAVE

 DO
 FIND customer.

 ASSIGN customer.cust-num = 12.

 UPDATE customer.

 END.

 END.

#### Additional Integration Possibilities

DEF VAR custObj AS CLASS DataDirect.DO.Table.	DEF VAR cmdObj AS CLASS DataDirect.DO.Command.
custObj:Update(SET cust-num = 12"). ←	cmdObj:SET("UPDATE customer SET cust-num = 12"). cmdObj:EXECUTE().
custObj:FILL. ← >	cmdObj:SET("SELECT * from customer"). cmdObj:EXECUTE().
DEFINE QUERY Cust FOR sports.customer.	DEFINE QUERY Cust FOR sports.customer.
OPEN QUERY q FOR EACH sports.customer ← →	OPEN QUERY q Select * from sports.customer
DEFINE QUERY qCust FOR sports.customer.	DEFINE QUERY qCust FOR sports.customer.
DEFINE DATA-SOURCE srcCust FOR QUERY qCust.	DEFINE DATA-SOURCE srcCust Select * from sports.customer.
DEFINE VARIABLE mydbObj AS CLASS DataDirect.DO.DB NO-UNDO.	
myClouddbObj = new DB("CloudDSN", "sports", UserID, Pwd).	
myClouddbObj:DIALECT = "Oracle".	

DEFINE VARIABLE mydbObj AS CLASS DataDirect.DO.DB NO-UNDO.

myClouddbObj = new DB("CloudDSN", "sports", UserID, Pwd).

DEFINE QUERY qCust FOR sports.customer.

DEFINE DATA-SOURCE srcCust Select \* from sports.customer.

DEFINE DATASET ds DATA-RELATION FOR ttCust, ttOrd RELEATION-FIELDS(ttCust.Cust-Num, ttOrd.Cust-Num). /\* Could be derived from foreign constraints \*/ Potential Advantages of OOABL Built-In Objects With Language Integration

- Flexible schema usage (no migrations or porting)
- Minimal data source management
  - Management, configuration and other supplementary objects possible
- Access standardized on D2C relational model and SQL compliance
- Underlying objects are extensible
  - Feature mapping possible (e.g., word indexes, sequences, etc.)
  - Feature integration possible (e.g., data links, bulk operations, etc.)
- Tight Language Integration
  - Transactions (commit/rollback), record blocks, error handling, etc.
  - Same code, different data source target is possible
  - Schema exposure (back and front)
  - Data federations and multi-source combinations
  - Language extensions for SQL possible

# Who's interested?

## PROGRESS EXCHANGE

## Visit the Resource Portal

- Get session details & presentation downloads
- Complete a survey
- Access the latest Progress product literature

www.progress.com/exchange2014

# PROGRESS