POLICY AND PROCEDURES

Office of Generic Drugs

Filing Review of Abbreviated New Drug Applications

Table of Contents

PURPOSE	
BACKGROUND	1
POLICY	2
RESPONSIBILITIES AND PROCEDURES	2
REFERENCES	7
EFFECTIVE DATE	8
CHANGE CONTROL TABLE	8
ATTACHMENT 1: ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG	
APPLICATION (ANDA) FILING CHECKLIST	9
MODULES 1-5	9
ATTACHMENT 2: PK STUDIES	21
ATTACHMENT 3: CLINICAL ENDPOINT(S)	24
ATTACHMENT 4: PD ENDPOINTS	28
ATTACHMENT 5: IN VITRO BINDING	
STUDY(IES)	31
ATTACHMENT 6: NASAL PRODUCTS	35
ATTACHMENT 7: BIOPHARMACEUTICS	
CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (BCS)	42

PURPOSE

This MAPP outlines the policies and procedures for the conduct of a filing review of an abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) by the Division of Filing Review (DFR), Office of Regulatory Operations (ORO) in the Office of Generic Drugs (OGD).

BACKGROUND

FDA evaluates each submitted ANDA ¹ individually to determine whether the ANDA can be received. The receipt of an ANDA means that FDA made a threshold determination

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 1 of 43

¹ For purposes of this MAPP, "ANDA" means ANDAs and prior approval supplements (PASs) to approved ANDAs for which the applicant is seeking approval of a new strength of the drug product.

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

that the ANDA is a substantially complete application, that is, an ANDA that on its face is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review. Sufficiently complete means that the ANDA contains all the information required under section 505(j)(2)(A) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) and does not contain a deficiency described in 21 CFR § 314.101(d) and (e). Our regulations at 21 CFR 314.101 provide the regulatory authority by which FDA may in certain cases, and will in others, refuse to receive (RTR) an ANDA.

POLICY

- DFR Reviewers will use the attached ANDA Filing Checklist (the checklist)⁵ to identify the required and recommended content in an ANDA. The checklist is a general tool designed to assist the DFR Reviewer in assessing the information and data contained in the submission and does not reflect all of the bases upon which a submission may be refused for receipt.
- Some applicants submit of a completed checklist with the submission. The DFR
 Reviewer does not review the applicant's complete checklist during the filing
 review and may advise applicants, as appropriate, that submission of a completed
 checklist is not recommended
- The attached checklist follows the Common Technical Document (CTD) format and backbone and specifies the content of each module of the submission.
- DFR will update the checklist as necessary. The updates may reflect, for example, revised recommendations and/or guidances pertaining to the technical reviews that are conducted for an ANDA.
- At the conclusion of the filing review, the DFR Reviewer will determine whether to receive the ANDA, issue an Information Request (IR) to the applicant providing an opportunity to remedy identified deficiencies, or refuse-to-receive the ANDA.⁶

RESPONSIBILITIES AND PROCEDURES

The DFR Reviewer will:

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 2 of 43

² See 21 CFR 314.101(b)(1) and 314.3(b).

³ 21 CFR 314.3(b).

⁴ See 21 CFR 314.101(d)-(e).

⁵ See attachment 1 for the checklist and additional attachments 2-7.

⁶ See guidance for industry, *ANDA Submissions – Refuse-to-Receive Standards*, as revised, for criteria by which a DFR Reviewer will RTR an ANDA.

- 1. Commence review of an ANDA to determine whether the submission is substantially complete and may be received for review
 - Module 1 (administrative information)
 - Confirm that the following administrative information related to the ANDA is included, but not limited to, the following documents and information:
 - Complete and signed Form FDA 356h and 3674
 - Cover letter for a summary of the submission, special requests, and application-specific references
 - Agent appointment letter (as applicable)
 - Assess whether FDA has been authorized to correspond with any applicable agent or persons on behalf of the ANDA applicant and drug master file (DMF) holder(s)
 - Certifications
 - Debarment Certification and List of Convictions
 - Complete and signed FDA Form 3454 and/or 3455 (as applicable)
 - Patent and exclusivity certifications
 - Assess whether an appropriate patent certification or statement for every patent listed in the electronic version of *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* (the Orange Book) for the reference listed drug (RLD) is submitted
 - Assess whether an exclusivity statement is submitted
 - Right of reference letter
 - Assess whether FDA has been authorized to access all Type II,
 Type III, and Type IV DMFs referenced in the ANDA
 - Proprietary name request

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 3 of 43

- Proprietary name requests should be submitted as a separate amendment to the Original ANDA submission and identified as a "Proprietary Name Request"
- Basis of submission
 - Assess whether the appropriate RLD is referenced in accordance with the Orange Book at the time of submission
 - If a suitability petition is required, confirm that the petition docket number has been provided along with copies of FDA's correspondence approving the petition
 - If a citizen's petition is required, assess whether a copy of the petition has been provided in the ANDA
- Comparison demonstrating "sameness" to or differences from the RLD within each denoted class
- Environmental impact analysis or request for categorical exclusion
- Request for waiver of in vivo bioavailability and bioequivalence studies (as applicable)
- Draft ANDA and RLD labeling
- Assess whether that proposed labeling appears congruent with the applicant's patent certification(s) or statement(s)
- Module 2 (data summaries)
 - Review Module 2 for summaries of the data contained in the ANDA including (see attachments 2-7, as applicable):
 - Quality Overall Summary (QOS) in Question based Review (QbR) format provided in PDF and Word files
 - Comparative in vitro dissolution data with the Certificate of Analysis (COA) for the test and RLD for each proposed strength
 - Complete bioequivalence summary tables, pilot and pivotal data (as applicable) in PDF and Word files
- Module 3.2.S (drug substance)

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs
Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 4 of 43

- Review Module 3.2.S of the ANDA for information on the quality of the drug substance including the following documents and information:
 - General information (e.g., nomenclature, structure, and general properties)
 - Drug substance manufacturer information
 - Assess whether all required information has been submitted for all facilities involved with the manufacture and testing of commercial drug substance (active pharmaceutical ingredient (API)) batches
 - Drug substance characterization information (DMF reference is not acceptable)
 - Complete information in all subsections of the Module 3.2.S.4 (specifications, analytical procedures, validation of analytical procedures, batch analysis, and justification of specifications)
 - Complete information on reference standards or materials (DMF reference is not acceptable)
 - Container closure systems and stability data
- Module 3.2.P (drug product)
 - Review Module 3.2.P of the ANDA for information on the quality of the drug product including the following documents and information:
 - Description and composition of drug product
 - Unit composition for each proposed strength in the appropriate units
 - Justification for all inactive ingredients in the proposed drug product
 - Elemental iron calculations
 - Pharmaceutical development report

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 5 of 43

⁷ Reference to controlled correspondence(s) response(s) pertaining to qualitative and quantitative formulation evaluations and inactive ingredient queries should be included in the original ANDA submission in module 3.2.P.1.

- Drug product manufacturer information
 - Assess whether all required information has been submitted for all facilities involved with the manufacture and testing of the commercial drug product batches
- Batch formula for each strength of the drug product
 - Assess whether that proposed maximum theoretical yield for the commercial batch is no more than 10X scale-up compared to the theoretical yield for the exhibit batch
- Description of the manufacturing process and controls
 - Assess whether complete description of manufacturing process including flow charts, master production batch records, master packaging records (as applicable), product sterilization process (as applicable), and reprocessing statement
 - Submission of critical steps and intermediates information
 - Process validation or evaluation
- Complete information on the control of excipients including information on the source of inactive ingredients, specifications, analytical procedures, validation of analytical procedures, and justification of specifications
- Complete information on controls of the drug product including information on specification, analytical procedures, validation of analytical procedures, batch analysis, characterization of impurities, justification of specifications
- Complete information on container closure system including summary of container closure system, components specification and test data, packaging configuration and sizes, container closure testing, and source of supply and suppliers address
- Stability data for the finished dosage form including the stability protocol and expiration dating period, post-approval stability and conclusion, and stability data and batch numbers
- Module 3.2.R (regional)

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs
Effective Date: 9/1/17

- Review Module 3.2.R for regional information related to the ANDA including:
 - Executed batch records with manufacturing and packaging reconciliation
 - Information on components
 - Comparability protocols (as applicable)
 - Methods validation package (as applicable)
- Module 5 (clinical)
 - Review Module 5 (see attachments 2-7) of the ANDA for clinical study(ies) including:
 - Data supporting the information contained in the summary tables included in Module 2.7
 - Literature references, as applicable
- 3. Identify and list all deficiencies noted in review of Modules 1-5
- 4. RTR an ANDA that contains one or more major deficiencies pursuant to the guidance for industry *ANDA Submissions Refuse-to-Receive Standards*, as revised.
- 5. RTR an ANDA that contains ten or more minor deficiencies pursuant to the guidance for industry *ANDA Submissions Refuse-to-Receive Standards*, as revised.
- 6. Send an IR to the applicant if the ANDA contains nine or fewer minor deficiencies, providing the applicant the ability to remedy these deficiencies.
 - RTR the ANDA if the applicant does not remedy all deficiencies within 7 calendar days of FDA sending the IR.

REFERENCES

- 1. Guidance for industry *ANDA Submissions Refuse to Receive Standards* (Rev. 2, December 2016)
- 2. 21 CFR 314.101

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs
Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 7 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH	MAPP 5200.14
EFFECTIVE DATE	
This MAPP is effective on September 1, 2017.	

CHANGE CONTROL TABLE

Effective	Revision	Revisions
Date	Number	
9/1/2017	Initial	N/A

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17

Page 8 of 43

MAPP 5200.14

ATTACHMENT 1: ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATION (ANDA) FILING **CHECKLIST MODULES 1-5**

ANDA:	
APPLICANT:	
RELATED APPLICATION(S):	
, ,	
DRUG PRODUCT NAME	
AND STRENGTH(S):	
,	
LETTER (356h) DATE:	
RECEIVED DATE:	
GDUFA GOAL DATE:	
Type II DRUG MASTER FILE	
(DMF) #:	
	BASIS OF SUBMISSION:
	(If reference standard is an ANDA, complete right column)
Reference listed drug (RLD):	Reference Standard (RS):
New drug application (NDA)	NDA/ANDA Number:
Number:	NDA/ANDA Holder:
NDA Holder:	Drug Product:
Drug Product:	_

MODULE 1: ADMINISTRATIVE

1.1	1.1.2	Signed and completed application form (356h) (Prescription (Rx) / Over-the-Counter (OTC) Status) 21 CFR 314.94(a)(1) (original signature) Electronic, fillable copy (if a signed, scanned copy is provided) Refer to the links provided for the newly revised form 356h and updated instructions. http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AboutFDA/ReportsManualsForms/Forms/UCM321897.pdf http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AboutFDA/ReportsManualsForms/Forms/ucm082348.pdf Comments Form FDA 3794 (PDF) GDUFA Comments
1.2	*	Cover letter Is the drug product subject to REMS requirements? http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/rems/index.cfm Comments
	1.2.1	Form FDA 3674 (PDF) 42 U.S.C. 282(j)(5)(B)

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 9 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

		Electronic, fillable copy (if a signed, scanned copy is provided)				
		Comments				
		Contact/Applicant information				
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ment letter 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)		
	1.3.1	•	•	u.S. Agent on the 356h, a U.S. Agent Appointment		
l			nould be provided.			
		Comments	************			
	4.2.2	· -	ppy certification 210			
	1.3.2		r paper submissions	S)		
		Comments	eent cortification fr	rom applicant Generic Drug Enforcement Act (GDEA)/ Other:		
				(21 U.S.C. 335a(k), 335(a) and (b))		
			lifying statement)	(21 0.0.0. 0000(N)) 000(0, 00 (2))		
	1.3.3		barment certification	on (original signature)		
				tement (original signature)		
		Comments				
		Financia	al certifications 21 (CFR 54 21 CFR 54.2(e) 21 CFR 314.94(a)(13)		
	1.3.4	Bioavaila	ability (BA)/bioequ	uivalence (BE) financial certification (Form FDA 3454)		
	1.5.4	Disclosu	ure statement (Form	1 FDA 3455)		
		Comments				
		Patent a	and exclusivity			
1.3				n 21 CFR 314.94(a)(12) FD&C Act 505(j)(2)(A)(vii)		
1.5				electronic Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence		
			ons (the Orange Book)	2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		
		314.94(a)(n or statement 21 CFR 314.94(a)(12)(i)(A)(1) through (4) or		
			ent number(s)			
			eck all situations tha	at annly)		
			Certification	Patents		
		 	No Relevant			
			Patents			
	1.3.5	 	MOU			
		□ PI				
		□ PI				
			PIII			
			PIV			
				 :ion (21 CFR 314.95 505(j)(2)(B)) □		
			diatric extension	.IOII (21 CFR 314.95 505(J)(2)(B)) 🗆		
			Expiration of pedia	atric autonoian?		
			Exclusivity claim	AUIC EXCENSION:		
			=	e marketing intentions?		
			•	patient population (NPP), pediatric exclusivity (PED))		

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 10 of 43

		PEPFAR NCE-1 Wavier of Exclusivity			
		Comments			
1.4	1.4.2	Statement of right of references 21 CFR 314.50(g)(1) DMF written statement of authorization for reference (copy of letter of authorization (LoA) received from DMF holders) 1. Type II DMF authorization letter(s) or synthesis for Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API) 2. Type II DMF# 3. Type III DMF authorization letter(s) for container closure Comments			
		Request for comments and advice – proprietary name requested			
	1.12.4	If yes, did the applicant provide the request as a separate electronic amendment labeled "Proprietary Name Request" at initial time of filing 1. Yes 2. No – contact the applicant to submit the request as a separate electronic amendment			
		Comments			
1.12	1.12.11	Basis for submission 21 CFR 314.94(a)(3) Applicant identifies the following: 1. RLD application # 2. RLD drug product 3. RLD Holder 4. RS (if different from RLD) 5. RS application # (if applicable) ANDA suitability petition required? 21 CFR 10.20 21 CFR 10.30 21 CFR 314.93 21 CFR 314.94(a)(3)(iii) If yes, assigned docket number Copy of FDA's correspondence approving the petition Citizen petition required? 21 CFR 10.25(a) 21 CFR 10.30 21 CFR 314.122 If yes, petition number Copy of petition			
	1.12.12	4. Route of administration(s)5. Dosage form6. Strength(s)			
		Comments			
	1.12.14	Environmental analysis from applicant 21 CFR 25.15(d) 21 CFR 25.20 21 CFR 25.22 21 CFR 25.30 or 25.31			

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 11 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

_		
		Environmental assessment (EA)
		If applicable, environmental impact statement (EIS)
		Claim of categorical exclusion statement: "to the applicant's best of knowledge
		no extraordinary circumstances exist"
		Comments
		Request for waiver 21 CFR 320.22 21 CFR 320.24(b)(6)
	1.12.15	Request for waiver of in vivo BA/BE Study(ies)
		Comments
	1.14.1	Draft labeling 21 CFR 314.94(a)(8)(ii) and (iv) (if applicant provides "Final Labeling," the labeling information should be provided in Module 1.14.2.) 1.14.1.1 Draft carton and container labels Electronic copy (each strength and container) -OR- 1.14.1.2 Annotated draft labeling text Side by side labeling comparison of container(s) and carton(s) for each strength with all differences visually highlighted and annotated 1.14.1.3 Draft labeling text (does not apply to OTC products) 1 package insert (content of labeling) in PDF and WORD format, and SPL submitted electronically 1.14.1.4 Labeling comprehension studies Refer to Pharmacy Bulk Package (PBP) Sterility Assurance Table (for PBP's only) See link below for table:
		http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM352612.pdf
1.14		Comments
		Listed drug labeling 21 CFR 314.94(a)(8)(i) and (iv)
		1.14.3.1 Annotated comparison with listed drug
		Side by side labeling (package and patient insert) comparison with all
		differences visually highlighted and annotated
		a. Container closure system (if different from what is approved for the RLD)
		i. Vial or ampule vs. prefilled syringe
		ii. Vial vs. ampule
	1.14.3	iii. Delivery device that is different from the RLD, e.g. inhalers
		iv. Bottles vs blisters ("calendarized" packaging)
		 v. Unit of use (dispensable bottle) vs. multiple use bottles (pharmacy bottle)
		b. Drug product packaged in an IV bag
		1.14.3.3 Labeling text for reference listed drug
		RLD package insert, 1 RLD container label, and if applicable, 1 RLD outer
		container label
		Comments

MODULE 2: CTD SUMMARIES

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 12 of 43

2.3 QUALITY OVERALL SUMMARY (QOS)

21 CFR 314.50(c)

	21 CFR 314.50(c)
	E-Submission: PDF
	MS Word
	Additional information regarding QbR may be found at the following link:
	http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/
	ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/ucm120971.htm Question based review (QbR)
	Comments
	2.3.S Drug substance (API)
	2.3.S.1 General information
	2.3.S.2 Manufacture
	2.3.S.3 Characterization
	2.3.S.4 Control of drug substance
	2.3.S.5 Reference standards
	2.3.S.6 Container closure system
	2.3.S.7 Stability
	Comments
	2.3.P Drug product
2.3	2.3.P.1 Description and composition of the drug product
	2.3.P.2 Pharmaceutical development
	2.3.P.2.1 Components of the drug product
	2.3.P.2.1.1 Drug substance (API)
	2.3.P.2.1.2 Excipients
	2.3.P.2.2 Drug product oral solids: immediate release or modified
	release (matrix technology or compressed film coated components) tablet
	scoring data per guidance for industry, Tablet Scoring: Nomenclature, Labeling
	and Data for Evaluation (March 2013) (if applicable)
	2.3.P.2.3 Manufacturing process development
	2.3.P.2.4 Container closure system
	2.3.P.3 Manufacture
	2.3.P.4 Control of excipients
	2.3.P.5 Control of drug product
	2.3.P.6 Reference standards and materials
	2.3.P.7 Container closure system
	2.3.P.8 Stability
	Comments
	Clinical summary (BE) model BE data summary tables 21 CFR 320.21(b) and § 320.24(b)
2.7	
	See Attachments 2-7 for data-specific summary tables

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 13 of 43 **MODULE 3: QUALITY**

3.2.S DRUG SUBSTANCE (API)

21 CFR 314.94(a)(9)(i) | 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(i)

21 CFR 314.94(a)(9)(i) 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(i)				
		General information (May not refer to DMF)		
3.2.S.1		3.2.S.1.1 Nomenclature		
		3.2.S.1.2 Structure		
		3.2.S.1.3 General properties		
		Comments		
		<u>Manufacturer</u>		
		Drug substance (API)		
		Must correlate to the establishment information submitted in annex to		
		Form FDA 356h		
		 Name and full address(es) of the facility(ies) 		
		2. Contact name, phone and fax numbers, email address		
3.2.5.2.1		3. U.S. agent's name (if applicable)		
		4. Specify function or responsibility		
		5. Type II DMF number(s) for API(s)		
		6. Central file number (CFN), facility establishment identifier (FEI), or data		
		universal numbers (DUNS) number (if available)		
		7. Additional sources of API and information (1 through 6, if applicable)		
		Comments		
		Characterization		
		All potential impurities should be listed in tabular format		
		http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/How		
3.2.5.3		<u>DrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDr</u>		
		ugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM380338.pdf		
		Comments		
		Control of drug substance (API) Specification		
	3.2.S.4.1	Specification		
	5.2.5.4.1	Testing specifications and data from drug substance manufacturer(s)		
		Comments Analytical procedures		
3.2.5.4.2		Analytical procedures Comments		
3.2.5.4		Validation of analytical procedures		
		(API that meets United States of Pharmacopeia (USP) standards or reference made to DMF,		
		MUST provide verification of USP or DMF procedures)		
		Spectra and chromatograms for reference standards and test samples		
	3.2.S.4.3	(ref. std. can be located in 3.2.S.5)		
		2. Samples-statement of availability and identification (21 CFR §314.50I(1))		
		a. Name of drug substance		
		Comments		

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 14 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

	3.2.5.4.4	Batch analysis 1. Certificate of analysis (COA) specifications and test results from drug substance (API) manufacturer(s) 2. Drug product manufacturer's certificate of analysis API lot numbers Comments
	3.2.5.4.5	Justification of specifications All potential impurities should be listed in tabular format http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM380338.pdf Comments
3.2.5.5		Reference standards or materials (Do NOT refer to DMF) Comments
3.2.5.6		Container closure systems Comments
3.2.5.7		Stability 1. Retest date or expiration date of API(s) Comments

3.2.P DRUG PRODUCT

21 CED 214 04/5\/0\/;\|21 CED 214 E0/4\/1\/;;\

21 CFR 314.94(a)(9)(i) 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(ii)		
3.2.P.1	 21 CFR 314.94(a)(9)(i) 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(ii) Description and composition of the drug product 1. Unit composition with indication of the function of the inactive ingredient(s) 2. Inactive ingredient(s) and amount(s) are appropriate per the Inactive Ingredient Database or Guide (IID or IIG) (per/dose, unit, or maximum daily dose (MDD) justification) (provide justification in a tabular format) 3. Formulation Oral tablet and oral capsules: % to mg/dosage unit Oral suspensions and oral solutions: % to mg/dose (dry powder) Parenterals: same unit of measure as RLD 4. Elemental iron: provide daily elemental iron calculation pursuant to 21 CFR 73.1200 (calculation of elemental iron intake based on (maximum daily dose (MDD) of the drug product is preferred if this section is 	
	CFR 73.1200 (calculation of elemental iron intake based on (maximum	
	Comments	
3.2.P.2	Pharmaceutical development report	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 15 of 43

MAPP 5200.14

_		Comments
		Manufacture
		Drug product manufacturer(s) Must correlate to the establishment information submitted in annex to Form 356h for the finished dosage manufacturer and all outside contract testing laboratories 1. Name and full address(es) of the facility(ies) 2. Contact name, phone and fax numbers, email address
3.2.P.3	3.2.P.3.1	3. U.S. agent's name (if applicable)4. Specify function or responsibility5. cGMP Certification from applicant
		6. CFN, FEI, or DUNS numbers (if available)
		Comments
	3.2.P.3.2	Batch formula
	3.2.7.3.2	Largest intended commercial batch size
		Comments
		Description of manufacturing process and process controls 1. Description of the manufacturing process and (for aseptic fill products) facility
	3.2.P.3.3	 Master production batch record(s) for largest intended production runs (no more than 10x pilot batch) with equipment specified Master packaging records for intended marketing container(s)
		4. If sterile product 5. Reprocessing Statement (cite 21 CFR 211.115) from applicant Comments
		Controls of critical steps and intermediates
	3.2.P.3.4	Comments
	3.2.P.3.5	Process validation and/or evaluation 1. Terminally sterilized product • Is this pharmacy bulk? (Go to 1.14.1.4) 2. Aseptically filled product • Validation (bacterial retention studies) of sterilizing grade filter(s) • Is this pharmacy bulk? (Go to 1.14.1.4)
		Comments
	<u> </u>	Controls of excipients (inactive ingredients)
		Source of inactive ingredients identified
	*	Comments Specifications
3.2.P.4	3.2.P.4.1	 Testing specifications (including identification and characterization) Supplier's COA (specifications and test results)
		Comments
	3.2.P.4.2	Analytical procedures Comments
-		

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 16 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

	3.2.P.4.3	Validation of analytical procedures
	3. 2 .P.4.3	Comments
		Justification of specifications (as applicable)
	3.2.P.4.4	Applicant COA
		Comments
		Controls of drug product
	3.2.P.5.1	Specification(s)
	3.2.2.5.1	Comments
	22052	Analytical procedures
3.2.P.5	3.2.P.5.2	Comments
3.2.2.3		Validation of analytical procedures
		(if using USP procedure, must provide verification of USP procedure)
	3.2.P.5.3	Sample - Statement of Availability and Identification (21 CFR §314.50(e)(1))
		Finished Dosage Form
		Comments
		Batch analysis
		Certificates of Analysis for finished dosage form
	3.2.P.5.4	Lot number(s) and strength of drug product(s)
		Comments
		Characterization of impurities
		All potential degradation products should be listed in a tabular format
	3.2.P.5.5	http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandA
		proved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM380338.pdf
		Comments
		Justification of specifications
		All potential degradation products should be listed in a tabular format
	3.2.P.5.6	http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedand/
		oved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM380338.pdf
		Comments
		Container closure system
		1. Summary of container closure system (data should be provided for each
		resin)
		2. Component specifications and test data
		3. Packaging configuration(s) and size(s)
		4. Container/Closure Testing (recommended additional testing for all
3.2.P.	/	plastic)
		a. Solid orals: water permeation, light transmission
		b. Liquids: leachables, extractables, light transmission
		i. Injectables with rubber stoppers: extractables
		5. Source of supply and supplier's address
		Comments
3.2.P.8		Stability

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 17 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

3.2.P.	3. Expiration dating period for bulk packaging (if applicable) Comments Post-approval stability protocol and stability commitment 1. Post-Approval Protocol and Commitment from applicant		
5.2.1	roved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/ucm120979.pdf Comments		
3.2.P.	Stability data (refer to the guidance for Industry ANDAs: Stability Testing Drug Substances and Products (June 2013)) 1. 3 batches? a. Two API lots used per strength? b. All presentations of container closure systems amongst the 3 batches? 2. Additional stability data to support additional API sources (if applicable) 3. Data- At minimum, 6 months (180 days) and 3 time points a. Accelerated 1. Significant change occurred 2. If yes, 6 months intermediate stability data b. Long term storage (room temperature) 4. Batch numbers on stability records the same as the test batch 5. Stability study initiated a. Accelerated b. Intermediate (if applicable) c. Long term 6. Date stability sample removed from stability chamber for each testing time point a. Accelerated b. Intermediate (if applicable) c. Long term 7. For liquid and semi-solid products, worst case and non-worst case orientation		

3.2.R REGIONAL INFORMATION

21 CFR §314.50(d)(1)(ii)(b)

	REGIONAL INFORMATION (DRUG PRODUCT)		
3.2.R.P Drug Product		Executed batch records	
	3.2.R.1.P	Copies of executed batch records with equipment specified, including	
	3.2.R.1.P	packaging records (packaging and labeling procedures)	
		(Refer to batch size and packaging information that meet the	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 18 of 43

MAPP 5200.14

CENTER FOR DR	EUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH	MAPP 5200.14
	oral dosage forms, oral powd drug products, ophthalmic/ot patches, topicals (i.e., creams nasal sprays, etc.). Refer to the	for specified dosage forms, i.e., solid ers/solutions/suspensions, parenteral tic drug products, transdermal s, lotions, gels, inhalation solutions, he guidance for industry, ANDAs: nces and Products, Questions and
	Comments	
	Batch reconciliation and label reco a. Theoretical yield b. Actual yield	nciliation
	c. Packaged yield	
	Comments	
	Bulk package reconciliation for all le commercial container is recommental achieve the minimum package req	nded if bulk packaging is used to
	Provide the following information a. Bulk package label (1.14.1) b. Bulk package stability (3.2.P.8	·
	If bulk is to be shipped, promonths	vide accelerated stability data at 0,3,6
	2. If bulk is only warehoused f temperature stability data a c. Bulk package container closur	at 0,3,6 months
	Comments	
	Information on components Name(s) and address(es) of the API, inacti in tabular format. Hyperlinks are sufficien	ive ingredient(s), and containers and closures nt.
	Comments	
3.2.R.3.P	Methods validation package Methods validation package (Requ	ired for Non-USP drugs)
	_	

MODULE 5: CLINICAL STUDY REPORTS

Comments

21 CFR 314.94(a)(7)

5.2	Tabular listing of clinical studies http://www.fda.gov/ucm/groups/fdagov-public/%40fdagov-drugs- gen/documents/document/ucm073290.pdf
	Comments

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 19 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

		BA/BE 1. Formulation data same?	
		a. Comparison of all strengths (proportionality of multiple strengths)	
		b. Parenterals, ophthalmics, otics and topicals (21 CFR 314.94 (a)(9)(iii)-	
		(v))	
		Lot numbers and strength of products used in BE study(ies)	
		3. In vivo pharmacokinetic (PK) study(ies)	
		4. In vivo BE study(ies) with clinical endpoint(s)	
5.3	5.3.1	5. In vivo BE study(ies) with pharmacodynamics (PD) endpoints (pilot	
		and pivotal vasoconstrictor)	
		6. In vitro binding study(ies)	
		7. Nasal products (May contain a clinical endpoint or PK study)	
		8. Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS)	
		9. In-Vitro Feeding Tube Testing	
		10. Pressurized Metered Dose Inhalation Products	
		(Continue with the appropriate study type box below) Comments	
Miscellaneous			
		Drug Efficacy Study Implementation (DESI) Drug Product (in Module	
		2.7)	
		a. Table 5 Dissolution	
		b. Table 6 Formulation data	
		2. Quantitative capsule rupture testing (liquid-filled capsule products)	
		a. Study report	
		b. Release profile per the drug product specific guidance	
		(demonstrates the time points at which 80% of the drug is	
		released from the capsule)	
		c. Apparatuses and the respective parameters as recommended	
Study Type	:	per the drug product specific guidance	
		3. In vitro release tests (specifically for acyclovir ointment and some	
		ophthalmic suspensions)	
		a. 90% confidence interval (CI) within 75-133% for 8 th and 29 th	
		(first stage)	
		b. 90% CI within 75-133% for 100 th and 215 th (second stage, if first	
		stage failed)	
		c. Study reportd. Chromatograms/histograms	
		e. Raw data	
		4. In vitro comparative physicochemical data	
		5. In vitro microbial kill test	
Note		See attachments 2-8 for specific data sets	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17

Page 20 of 43

ATTACHMENT 2: PK STUDIES

2.7 Clinical Summary

<u>Clinical summary (bioequivalence (BE))</u> model BE data summary tables

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM120957.pdf

E-Submission: PDF

MS Word

2.7.1 Summary of biopharmaceutic studies and associated analytical methods

2.7.1.1 Background and overview

Table 1. Submission summary

Table 4. Bioanalytical method validation

Table 6. Formulation data

Table 10. Study information

Long-term stability studies (LTSS) data location and hyperlink

Table 11. Product information

Table 17. Comparative physiochemical data of ophthalmic solution products

Comments

2.7.1.2 Summary of Results of Individual Studies

Table 5. Summary of in vitro dissolution

Comparative in vitro dissolution data (individual)

Alcohol dose dumping dissolution (if applicable)

½ tablet dissolution (if applicable)

COA for test and reference products of the bioequivalence (BE) strength (should include potency, assay, content uniformity, date of manufacture and lot number)

Table 9. Reanalysis of study samples

Table 12. Dropout information

Table 13. Protocol deviation

Table 14. Summary of standard curve and quality control (QC) data for BE sample analysis

Comments

2.7.1.3 Comparison and analyses of results across studies

Table 2. Summary of bioavailability (BA) studies

Table 3. Statistical summary of the comparative BA data:

Unscaled average – Table A

2. Reference-scaled average BE studies – Tables A and B BE Studies

Table 16. Composition of meal used in fed bioequivalence study

Comments

2.7.1.4 Appendix

Table 15. Standard operating procedures (SOPs) regarding bioanalytical repeats of study

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 21 of 43

2.7

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

Comments

2.7.4 Summary of clinical safety

2.7.4.1.3 Demographic and other characteristics of study population

Table 7. Demographic profile of subjects completing the bioequivalence study

Comments

2.7.4.2.1.1 Common adverse events

Table 8. Incidence of adverse events in individual studies

Comments

5.3.1.2 and 5.3.1.4

	BE Study(ies) per the recommendations in the individual product BE guidance
Comment	:S
	Clinical report
	Fasting
	Fed
	Other
Comment	is a second of the second of t
	Individual and mean data
	Fasting
	Fed
	Other
Comment	is a second of the second of t
	Graphs, linear, & In
	Fasting
	Fed
	Other
Comment	
	SAS datasets
	Fasting
	Fed
	Other
Comment	is a second of the second of t
	Statistical report (including SAS output)
	Fasting
	Fed
	Other
Comment	is a second of the second of t
	Method validation report
	Fasting
	Fed

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 22 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

	Other
Comment	S
	LTSS data
	Fasting
	Fed
	Other
Comment	S .
	Study bioanalytical or analytical report
	Fasting
	Fed
	Other
Comment	S
	Chromatograms, 20%
	Fasting
	Fed
	Other
Comment	CS CONTRACTOR CONTRACT
	Raw numerical data
	Fasting
	Fed
	Other
Comment	CS CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 23 of 43

ATTACHMENT 3: CLINICAL ENDPOINT(S)

2.7 Clinical Summary

Clinical	andnoint	summary	tahlas
CIIIIICAI	enabonic	Sullillarv	Lables

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/Approval Applications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM400548.pdf

E-Submission: P	DF	
-----------------	----	--

MS Word

2.7

Table 1. Submission summary

Table 2. Summary of clinical endpoint bioequivalence (BE) studies

Table 3. Summary of skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion study(ies)

#1 Skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion study(ies)

#2 Adhesion data from PK study

#3 Adhesion study

Table 4. Study center information

Table 5. Study inclusion/exclusion criteria

Table 6. Prohibited concomitant medication list

Table 7. Product information

Table 8. Study schedule (for example)

Table 9. Study populations (general)

Table 10. Subject populations (specific for Nasal Spray Products)

Table 11. Subject populations (specific for skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion studies)

Table 12. Summary of protocol deviations

Table 13. Summary of patient discontinuation/early termination from the study

Table 14. Demographic characteristics at baseline for the safety population, modified intention to treat (M)ITT population, and per protocol population

Table 15. Primary endpoint analysis result for a clinical endpoint BE study

Table 16. Non-inferiority analysis result for a skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion study

A. Irritation and adhesion scores

B. Sensitization analysis

Table 17. Frequency tables (specific for skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion studies)

A. Irritation scores(combined irritation and other effect scores) for per

protocol population

B. Adhesion scores for per protocol population

C. Irritation scores (combined irritation and other effect scores) for per protocol population during challenge period/re-challenge period

Table 18. Patch removal or move date due to significant skin irritation (specific for skin

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 24 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

	irritation/sensitization/adhesion studies)
Table 19.	Proportion of subjects with adhesion score of 2 or more and 3 or more per treatment (specific for skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion studies)
Table 20.	Summary of adverse events
Table 21.	Formulation
	a. For a waiver of BE study requirements or for a test product that requires qualitative and quantitative sameness to the reference listed drug (RLD)
Table 22	OGD excipient/impurity toxicology data table
Comments	

5.3.1.2 and 5.3.1.4

	All studies (#)
Comments	
	Study report
Comments	
	Protocol (original and amendments)
Comments	
	Placebo formulation
Comments	
	Date of data unblinded
Comments	
	Date of data locked
Comments	
	Clinical site(s) and study investigator(s) list (if no U.S. sites used, ask for justification whether the sponsor's study population is representative of the disease state in the U.S. population) Study investigator(s) curriculum vitaes (CVs)
Comments	
	Statistical analysis plan
Comments	
	IRB approval Approval letters for protocol Approved consent/assent forms (IRB letter/memo with stamped date of approval and/or IRB letterhead with date showing approval)
Comments	
	Consent forms
Comments	
Comments	All case report forms (at minimum, should have for all patients who were dropped from the analysis population, demonstrated protocol deviations, demonstrated protocol violations, experienced serious adverse events, and a random sample of 10% of all enrolled patients)

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 25 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

	Data definition file
·	(describes the variables in each data set)
Comments	
	Provides all SAS programs and list of all programs
	(Used to generate the analysis datasets and efficacy results)
Comments	
	SAS dataset (XPT)
	Randomization Schedule
	Demographic data
	Reasons For discontinuation from the study If discontinued
	Adverse events
	Concomitant medications
	Individual subject's scores/data per visit
	Protocol deviations
	Raw data (no "last observation carried forward" (NO-LOCF))
	LOCF data
	Summary data (usually the ADSL.xpt dataset with efficacy measures or the combined dataset of ADSL.xpt and
	efficacy dataset)
	Identification of the modified intention to treat (mITT) population
	Reasons for exclusion
	If transdermal,
	Identification of adhesion population
	Reason for exclusion
	Identification of the per protocol population
	Reasons for exclusion
	If transdermal,
	Identification of irritation population
	Reasons for exclusion
	When applicable,
	Identification of sensitization population
	Reasons for exclusion
Comments	

Clinical endpoint study (#Study Number)

	Primary endpoint
	Defined (within BE limits)
	Superiority over placebo
Comments	
	Secondary endpoint
	Defined (within BE limits)
	Superiority over placebo
Comments	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 26 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

Non-transdermal study (#Study Number)

SAS dataset (XPT)

Subject's measurements/visits/dates

Data to evaluate treatment compliance

Comments

Irritation/sensitization study (#Study Number)

Applicant indicates no worse skin irritation and sensitization properties of the test product compared to that of the RLD (within non-inferiority limit, T-[1.25X R] < 0)

Comments

SAS dataset (XPT)

Subject's irritation measurements (i.e., time points, scores, visit #, dates)

Subject's sensitization measurements (if applicable) (i.e., time points, scores, visit #, dates)

Comments

Adhesion study (#Study Number)

Applicant indicates no worse skin adhesion properties of the test product compared to that of the RLD (within non-inferiority limit, T-[1.25X R] < 0)

Comments

SAS dataset (XPT)

Adhesion measurements per patch (i.e., time points, scores, visit #, dates)

Comments

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 27 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

ATTACHMENT 4: PD ENDPOINTS

(e.g., topical corticosteroid pilot and pivotal vasoconstrictor assay studies, metered dose inhalers (MDIs), Acarbose, Orlistat, Megletol)

2.7 Clinical Summary

<u>Topical dermatologic corticosteroids in vivo Bioequivalence (BE) study summary tables and SAS tansport formatted tables for dataset submission</u>

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM379421.pdf

E-Submission: PDF

MS Word

I. Pre-study method validation

- Table 1. Chroma meter validation
- Table 2. Skin site validation
- Table 3. Intra-subject and inter-site validation
- Table 4. Operator validation

Comments

II. Summary of Studies

- Table 5. Summary of the pilot dose duration-response study
- Table 6. Summary of the pivotal bioequivalence study
- Table 7. Summary of the pivotal bioequivalence study (pharmacodynamic (PD) Parameters, Area Under Curve (AUC), etc.)
- Table 8. Listing of relevant standard operating procedures (SOPs) for pre-study method validation and pilot dose duration-response and pivotal BE studies

Comments

III. Pilot Dose Duration-Response Study

- Table 9. Study information
- Table 10. Product information
- Table 11. Demographics profile of subjects completing the pilot dose duration-response study product information
- Table 12. Dropout information, pilot dose duration-response study
- Table 13. Study adverse events, pilot dose duration-response study
- Table 14. Protocol deviations, pilot dose duration-response study
- Table 15. Median effective dose (ED₅₀) and maximum drug effect (Emax) values calculated

Comments

IV. Pivotal BE study

Table 16. Study information

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 28 of 43

2.7

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

Table 17.	Product information
Table 18.	Demographics profile of subjects completing the pivotal BE study
Table 19.	Dropout information, pivotal BE study
Table 20.	Study adverse events, pivotal BE study
Table 21.	Protocol deviations, pivotal BE study
Table 22.	Area under the effect curve (AUEC) and 90% confidence intervals (CIs)
Table 22.	Test product formulation
Comments	

5.3.1.2 and 5.3.1.4

Pilot and pivotal studies submitted	
Comments	

	BE study(ies) per the recommendations in the product-specific guidance
Comments	
	Clinical report
	Pilot dose duration-response study
	Pivotal BE study
	Other
Comments	
	Individual and mean data
	Pilot dose duration-response study
	Pivotal bioequivalence study
	Other
Comments	
	Graphs, linear
	Pilot dose duration-response study
	Pivotal bioequivalence study
	Other
Comments	
	Statistical report (including SAS Output)
	Pilot dose duration-response study
	Pivotal BE study
	Other
Comments	
	Method validation report
	Pilot dose duration-response study
	Pivotal bioequivalence study
	Other
Comments	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 29 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

	SAS dataset (XPT) (for pilot dose duration-response study and pivotal BE study) Pilot dose duration-response study data
	Table 24. Chroma meter raw data
	Table 25. Baseline-adjusted, chroma meter raw data
	Table 26. Baseline-adjusted, untreated site-corrected chroma meter raw data
	Table 27. AUEC, all subjects at each dose duration
	Pivotal BE study data submission format
	Table 28. Chroma meter raw data
	Table 29. Baseline-adjusted, chroma meter raw data
	Table 30. Baseline-adjusted, untreated site-corrected, chroma meter raw data
	Table 31. AUEC, all subjects at each dose duration
Comments	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 30 of 43

ATTACHMENT 5: IN VITRO BINDING STUDY(IES)

		2.7 Clinical Summary	
	In vitro binding bioequivalence (BE) study summary tables and SAS transport formatted tab		
dataset submission http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DovelonmentApproval/Process/HowDrugsareDovelone		wnloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalA	
		NewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM364105.pdf	
	E-Submission: PDF		
	MS Word		
	I. For calcium acetat		
	Table I.1.	Submission summary	
	Table I.2.	Summary of In vitro binding study	
	Table I.3.	Pre-study analytical method validation	
	Table I.4.	Summary of In vitro dissolution studies, if applicable	
	Table I.5.	Formulation data	
	Table I.6.	Reanalysis of study samples	
	Table I.7.	Study information	
	Table I.8.	Product information	
	Table I.9.	Assay validation	
		1. Phosphate	
2.7		2. Calcium	
	Table I.10.	Standard operating procedures (SOPs) for with analytical repeats	
	Table I.11.	Calcium amount in the supernatant after binding	
	Table I.12.	Phosphate amount in the supernatant after binding	
	Comments		
	II. For a polymer dru	ug that binds to either phosphate (e.g., sevelamer) or bile acid (e.g.,	
	colesevelam, choles	tyramine, or colestipol)	
	Table II.1.	Submission summary	
	Table II.2.	In vitro equilibrium binding studies	
		1. Summary of constants k_1 and k_2 - without acid pre-treatment (if applicable)	
		2. Summary of constants k_1 and k_2 - with acid pre-treatment (if applicable)	
	Table II.3.	Pre-study analytical method validation	
	Table II.4.	Summary of in vitro disintegration studies	
	Table II.5.	Formulation data	
	Table II.6.	Reanalysis of study samples	
	Table II.7.	Study information (separate table for each in-vitro binding BE study)	
	Table II.8.	Product information (separate table for each in-vitro binding BE study)	
	Table II.9.	Study design	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 31 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

	1. In vitro kinetic binding study
	2. In vitro equilibrium binding study
Table II.10.	Assay validation
Table II.11.	SOPs for analytical repeats
Table II.12.	In vitro kinetic binding study results
	1. Test/Reference (T/R) ratios of mean phosphate/bile acid binding
	2. With acid pre-treatment (if applicable)
Table II.13.	In vitro equilibrium binding study results
	1. Summary of mean binding data (without acid pre-treatment)
	1. Summary of mean binding data (with acid pre-treatment) (if applicable)
Comments	
III. For lanthanum dr	rug products
Table III.1.	Submission summary
Table III.2.	Summary of mean binding data
	pH 1.2
	pH 3
	pH 5
Table III.3.	Summary of dissolution bioequivalence data
Table III.4.	Pre-study analytical method validation (for in vitro binding study sample analysis)
Table III.5.	Pre-study analytical method validation (for in vitro dissolution bioequivalence study sample analysis)
Table III.6.	Summary of in vitro dissolution studies (for both in vitro dissolution BE studies and regulatory dissolution studies)
Table III.7.	Formulation data
Table III.8.	Reanalysis of study samples
Table III.9.	Study information
Table III.10.	Product information
Table III.11.	Study design
	1. In vitro kinetic binding study
	2. In vitro equilibrium binding study
Table III.12.	Assay validation
Table III.13.	SOPs for Analytical repeats
Table III.14.	In vitro kinetic binding study results
	1. pH 1.2 T/R ratios of mean phosphate binding
	2. pH 3.0 T/R ratios of mean phosphate binding
	3. pH 5.0 T/ ratios of mean phosphate binding

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 32 of 43

Table III.15. In vitro equilibrium binding study results – summary of mean binding data

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

Table 16.	Composition of meal used in fed BE study
Comments	

5.3.1.2 and 5.3.1.4

Study(ies) meets BE criteria (90% CI of 80-120, k2) Comments

	BE study(ies) per the recommendations in the product-specific guidance
Comments	
	Clinical report
	Equilibrium binding
	Kinetic binding
	Other
Comments	
	Individual and mean data
	Equilibrium binding
	Kinetic binding
	Other
Comments	
	Graphs, linear, & In
	Equilibrium binding
	Kinetic binding
	Other
Comments	
	SAS datasets
	Equilibrium binding
	Kinetic binding
	Other
Comments	
	SAS datasets (XPT) (For all but binding studies of calcium acetate drug products)
	Equilibrium binding (separate dataset for each binding condition per product-specific guidance)
	Kinetic binding (separate dataset for each binding condition per product-specific guidance (e.g., different
	concentrations of adsorbate, different pH, with/without acid treatment))
	Other
Comments	
	Statistical report (including SAS output)
	Equilibrium binding
	Kinetic binding
······	Other
Comments	
l	Method validation report

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 33 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

	Equilibrium binding
	Kinetic binding
	Other
Comments	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 34 of 43

ATTACHMENT 6: NASAL PRODUCTS

2.7 Clinical Summary

		2.7 Clinical Summary
		ummary tables for aqueous nasal spray products
		loads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalA
	pplications/Appreviatedive	wDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM209446.pdf
	E-Submission	ı: PDF
	MS Word	
	Table 1.	Formulation table
	Table 2.	Batch information
	Table 3.	Device comparability
	Table 4.	Actuation methods
	Table 5.	Single actuation content through container life test
	Table 5.1.	Study information
	Table 5.2.	Analytical method validation for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)
	Table 5.3.	Calibration of manual and/or automated spray pump actuator (for single actuation content and priming/repriming studies)
	Table 5.3.1.	Precision
	Table 5.3.2.	Ruggedness (by date)
2.7	Table 5.3.3.	Ruggedness (by analyst)
	Table 5.3.4.	Ruggedness (unit to unit if more than one unit is used)
	Table 5.4.	Results summary
	Table 6.	Priming and re-priming test
	Table 6.1.	Study information
	Table 6.2.	Analytical method validation for HPLC (if different from table 5.2)
	Table 6.3.	Results summary – priming and re-priming
	Table 7.	Droplet size distribution by laser diffraction test
	Table 7.1.	Study information
	Table 7.2.	Validation summary tables for droplet size distribution by laser diffraction
	Table 7.2.1.	Precision
	Table 7.2.2.	Intermediate precision (by date)
	Table 7.2.3.	Intermediate precision (by analyst)
	Table 7.3.	Results summary – droplet size distribution by laser diffraction
	Table 8.	Drug in small particles/droplets by cascade impactor test
	Table 8.1.	Study information
	Table 8.2.	Validation summary table for particle size distribution by cascade impactor – analytical method validation for HPLC

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 35 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

Table 8.3.	Validation tables for cascade impaction
Table 8.3.1.	Precision
Table 8.3.2.	Intermediate precision (by date)
Table 8.3.3.	Intermediate precision (by analyst)
Table 8.4.	Results summary – drug in small particles/cascade impactor
Table 9.	Spray pattern test
Table 9.1.	Study information
Table 9.2.	Validation summary tables for spray pattern
Table 9.2.1.	Precision
Table 9.2.2.	Intermediate precision (by date)
Table 9.2.3.	Intermediate precision (by analyst)
Table 9.3.	Results summary – spray pattern
Table 10.	Plume geometry test
Table 10.1	Study information
Table 10.2.	Validation summary tables for plume geometry
Table 10.2.1.	Precision
Table 10.2.2.	Intermediate precision (by date)
Table 10.2.3.	Intermediate precision (by analyst)
Table 10.2.4.	Robustness for varies parameters (the selection of parameters is optional)
Table 10.3.	Results – plume geometry
Comments	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 36 of 43

Clinical endpoint summary tables

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM400548.pdf

E-Submission: PDF

MS Word

2.7

Table 1. Submission summary

Table 2. Summary of clinical endpoint BE studies

Table 3. Summary of skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion study(ies)

#1 Skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion study(ies)
#2 Adhesion data from pharmacokinetic (PK) study

#3 Adhesion study

Table 4. Study center information

Table 5. Study inclusion/exclusion criteria

Table 6. Prohibited concomitant medication list

Table 7. Product information

Table 8. Study schedule (for example)

Table 9. Study populations (general)

Table 10. Subject populations (specific for nasal spray products)

Table 11. Subject populations (specific for skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion studies)

Table 12. Summary of protocol deviations

Table 13. Summary of patient discontinuation/early termination from the study

Table 14. Demographic characteristics at baseline for the safety population, modified intention to treat (M)ITT population, and per protocol population

Table 15. Primary endpoint analysis result for a clinical endpoint BE study

Table 16. Non-inferiority analysis result for a skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion study

A. Irritation and adhesion scores

B. Sensitization analysis

Table 17. Frequency tables (specific for skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion studies)

A. Irritation scores(combined irritation and other effect scores) for per

protocol population

B. Adhesion scores for per protocol population

C. Irritation scores (combined irritation and other effect scores) for per protocol population during challenge period/re-challenge period

Table 18. Patch removal or move date due to significant skin irritation (specific for skin

irritation/sensitization/adhesion studies)

Table 19. Proportion of subjects with adhesion score of 2 or more and 3 or more per

treatment (specific for skin irritation/sensitization/adhesion studies)

Table 20. Summary of adverse events

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 37 of 43

Table 21.	Formulation
	a. For a waiver of BE study requirements or for a test product that requires qualitative and quantitative sameness to the reference listed drug (RLD)
Table 22	OGD excipient/impurity toxicology data table
Comments	

5.3.1.2 and 5.3.1.4 BE In vitro

NASALLY ADMINISTERED DRUG PRODUCT (in vitro)

(1) Lack of SAS data in CORRECT format is considered INADEQUATE for filing (See SAS Data Tables for Aqueous Nasal Spray Product In Vitro Bioequivalence Study Data Submission, page 22 to 28 of the document referred in the previous slide); (2) Failure of in vivo BE study with PK endpoint to meet acceptable CI limits is also considered INADEQUATE for filing; (3) In vitro BE test outcomes for nasal products are NOT considered at filing stage (i.e., review issues)

Recommended in vitro studies

Single actuation content through container life

Droplet size distribution by laser diffraction

Drug in small particles/droplets, or by particle/droplet size distribution by cascade impactor

Spray pattern

Plume geometry

Priming and repriming

Comments

Sufficient number of test and reference lots (3)

Single actuation content through container life

Droplet size distribution by laser diffraction

Drug in small particles/droplets, or by particle/droplet size distribution by cascade impactor

Spray pattern

Plume geometry

Priming and repriming

Comments

For suspensions, 3 distinct API lots and pump container closure lots

Comments

Study report

Single actuation content through container life

Droplet size distribution by laser diffraction

Drug in small particles/droplets, or by particle/droplet size distribution by cascade impactor

Spray pattern

Plume geometry

Priming and repriming

Comments

Statistical report (including SAS output)

Comments

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 38 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

SAS output (XPT)

Single actuation content through container life

Priming and repriming

Droplet size distribution by laser diffraction

Plume geometry

Spray pattern

Drug in small particles/droplets by cascade impactor

Comments

5.3.1.2 and 5.3.1.4 BE In-Vivo

Select	BE study(ies) per the recommendations in the product-specific guidance
Comments	
	BE study protocol
	Fasting
	Other
Comments	
	Clinical report
	Fasting
	Other
Comments	
	Individual and mean data
	Fasting
	Other
Comments	
	Graphs, linear, & In
	Fasting
	Other
Comments	
	SAS datasets (XPT)
	Fasting
	Other
Comments	
	Statistical report (including SAS output)
	Fasting
	Other
Comments	
	Method validation report
	Fasting
	Other
Comments	
	Study bioanalytical or analytical report

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 39 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

	Fasting
	Fasting Other
Comment	
	Chromatograms, 20%
	Fasting Other
	Other
Comment	
	Raw numerical data
	Fasting
	Fasting Other
Comment	

5.3.1.2 and 5.3.1.4 Division of Clinical Review (DCR) in vitro

	All Studies (#)
Comments	
	Study report
Comments	
	Protocol (original and amendments)
Comments	
	Placebo formulation
Comments	
	Date of data unblinded
Comments	
	Date of data locked
Comments	
	Clinical site(s) and study investigator(s) list (if no U.S. sites used, ask for justification whether the sponsor's study population is representative of the disease state in the U.S. population) Study investigator(s) curriculum vitaes (CVs) CVs
Comments	
	Statistical analysis plan
Comments	
	IRB approval
	Approval letters for protocol
	Approved consent/assent forms (IRB letter/memo with stamped date of approval and/or IRB letterhead with date showing approval)
Comments	
	Consent forms
Comments	
	All case report forms (at minimum, should have for all patients who were dropped from the analysis population, demonstrated protocol deviations, demonstrated protocol violations, experienced serious adverse events, and a random sample of 10% of

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 40 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

	all enrolled patients)
Comments	
	Data definition file
	(describes the variables in each data set)
Comments	
	Primary endpoint
	Defined (within BE limits)
	Superiority over placebo
Comments	
	Secondary endpoint
	Defined (within BE limits)
	Superiority over placebo
Comments	
	Provides all SAS programs and list of all programs
	(Used to generate the analysis datasets and efficacy results)
Comments	
	SAS dataset (XPT)
·····	Randomization schedule
	Demographic data
	Reasons for discontinuation from the study if discontinued
	Adverse events
	Concomitant medications
	Individual subject's scores/data per visit
	Protocol deviations
	data (no "last observation carried forward" (NO-LOCF))
	LOCF data
	Identification of the modified intention to treat (mITT) population
	Reasons for exclusion
	Identification of the per protocol population
	Reasons for exclusion
	Summary data (usually the ADSL.xpt dataset with efficacy measures or the combined dataset of ADSL.xpt and efficacy dataset)
Comments	

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 41 of 43

ATTACHMENT 7: BIOPHARMACEUTICS CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (BCS)

2.7 Clinical Summary

BCS-based study summary and formulation tables

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM396512.pdf

E-Submission: PDF

MS Word

- Table 1. Method validation for solubility testing
- Table 2. Solubility data for (drug name) in different buffered media at (pH range)
- Table 3. Pivotal permeability study information
- Table 4. Materials and methods for validation of permeability study
- Table 5. Permeability validation protocol for each model compound
- Table 6. Standard operating procedures
- Table 7. Permeability study validation summary data: permeability coefficients, %recovery for model compounds
- Table 8. Analytical method validation (for pivotal permeability study)
- Table 9. Pivotal permeability study design
- Table 10. Pivotal permeability study: apical-to-basolateral (A-to-B) permeability of test compound and internal standards
- Table 11. Pivotal permeability study: basolateral-to-apical (B-to-A) permeability of test compound and internal standards
- Table 12. Pivotal permeability study: ratio of B-to-A papp vs. A-to-B papp
- Table 13. Gastrointestinal tract instability
- Table 14. Dissolution method information
- Table 15. Information of analytical method used to analyze dissolution samples
- Table 16. Dissolution data
 - Comparative in vitro dissolution data (12-unit individual data test vs. reference listed drug (RLD))
 - COA for test and reference products of the bioequivalence (BE) strength (should include potency, assay, content uniformity, date of manufacture and lot number)

Table 17. Formulation data

Comments

2.7

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 42 of 43

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

MAPP 5200.14

BCS Data

In vitro solubility testing A drug substance is considered highly soluble when the highest dose strength is soluble in 250 mL or less of multiple media with pH ranging from 1 to 6.8

- Solubility testing in multiple pH ranging from 1 to 6.8
- Information on chemical structure, molecular weight, nature of drug substance and dissociation constant (pKa) (multiple locations, i.e., 2.3, 3.2.S)
- Test results summarized in tabular format

Comments

In vitro permeability testing A drug substance is considered to be highly permeable when the extent of absorption in humans is determined to be 85% or more of an administered dose based on a mass balance determination or in comparison to an intravenous reference dose

Drug substance is 85% or more permeable (performed study or per RLD labeling)

Comments

In vitro dissolution testing A drug substance is considered rapidly dissolving when no less than 85% of the labeled amount of the drug substance dissolves within 30 minutes, using Apparatus I at 100 rpm (or Apparatus II at 50 rpm) in a volume of 500 mL or less in each of the following media: 0.1 N HCl or pH 1.2 buffer, pH 4.5 buffer, and pH 6.8 buffer

- 85% dissolved within 30 minutes in all three media
 - Mean percent dissolved, range of dissolution and coefficient of variation in tabular format

Comments

Originating Office: Office of Generic Drugs

Effective Date: 9/1/17 Page 43 of 43