FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

+ + + + +

CENTER FOR TOBACCO PRODUCTS

+ + + + +

TOBACCO PRODUCT APPLICATION REVIEW PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY OCTOBER 22, 2018

+ + + + +

The Public Meeting convened at the Hilton Washington DC/Rockville Hotel and Executive Meeting Center, 1750 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, at 8:30 a.m.

This transcript has not been edited or corrected but appears as received from the commercial transcribing service.

CONTENTS

Welcome	F
Opening Remarks)
Overview of the Meeting	7
Session 1 Request for Exemption from Substantial Equivalence Jennifer Schmitz	•
Substantial Equivalence	
Christi Stark	}
Panel Discussion	7
Session 2 Premarket Tobacco Product Application Review Process	
Nicholas Hasbrouck)
Modified Risk Tobacco Product Application Review Process	
Ebony Jackson	5
Panel Discussion	2
Session 3 Pre-Submission Meetings with the Office of Science	
Barbara Banchero	L
Tobacco Product Master Files	
Sarah Vichensont	3
Panel Discussion)

CONTENTS

Session 4 Information and Resources on Application Review Programs Sharyn Miller
CTP Electronic Submissions Standards and Activities
Jeff Smith
Panel Discussion
Session 5
Environmental Assessments and Claims of
Categorical Exclusion
Hoshing Chang
Transfer of Ownership
Renee Conway
Panel Discussion
Adjourn

	4
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(8:33 a.m.)
3	MR. ZELLER: Good morning, and welcome
4	to FDA's Tobacco Product Application Review
5	public meeting.
6	I am Mitch Zeller, Director of FDA's
7	Center for Tobacco Products, and I want to thank
8	you all for attending this meeting, and, also,
9	for your understanding, as we changed the
10	location of the meeting from Silver Spring to
11	here in Rockville.
12	And for those of you who are familiar
13	with this hotel, and when we all used to work in
14	the Parklawn Building 800 years ago, this was the
15	only hotel that we could hold meetings. So, it's
16	sort of like for us old-timers old home day, even
17	though it's now a Hilton and it's undergone a
18	complete facelift.
19	In July of last year, as you all know,
20	FDA Commissioner Gottlieb unveiled the agency's
21	Comprehensive Plan for Tobacco and Nicotine
22	Regulation. Understandably then, much of the

discussion and the media coverage focused on 1 2 certain elements of the plan, such as the potential for a nicotine products standard. 3 However, the announcement also had 4 5 several key efforts in the areas of tobacco product application and review. For example, the 6 7 Commissioner promised that CTP would examine its existing approach to what are called the 8 9 Provisional Substantial Equivalence Reports that were still remaining in the review queue. 10 And 11 less than 10 months later, we announced a new 12 approach to these products that allows for 13 increased efficiency, better use of resources, 14 and greater transparency, while still making sure 15 those products with the greatest potential to 16 raise different questions of public health will 17 still undergo the full multidisciplinary 18 scientific review. 19 This past summer, we implemented 20 additional efforts to improve transparency for

22

21

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

file the Freedom of Information request to obtain

applicants. Previously, applicants needed to

1certain review documents. We heard feedback the2receiving this information more rapidly is3critical to the decision-making process on4whether to seek further supervisory review when5company receives an adverse decision. And so,6made a change. And as of August, copies of the7documents are now available to companies8following receipt of a final decision action.9We also continue to hear about the10importance of transparency from other11stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to12make our decisions and our processes as13transparent as possible.14These types of improvements and our	a we se
3 critical to the decision-making process on 4 whether to seek further supervisory review when 5 company receives an adverse decision. And so, 6 made a change. And as of August, copies of the 7 documents are now available to companies 8 following receipt of a final decision action. 9 We also continue to hear about the 10 importance of transparency from other 11 stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to 12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible.	we
4 whether to seek further supervisory review when 5 company receives an adverse decision. And so, 6 made a change. And as of August, copies of the 7 documents are now available to companies 8 following receipt of a final decision action. 9 We also continue to hear about the 10 importance of transparency from other 11 stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to 12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible.	we
 5 company receives an adverse decision. And so, 6 made a change. And as of August, copies of the 7 documents are now available to companies 8 following receipt of a final decision action. 9 We also continue to hear about the 10 importance of transparency from other 11 stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to 12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible. 	we
6 made a change. And as of August, copies of the 7 documents are now available to companies 8 following receipt of a final decision action. 9 We also continue to hear about the 10 importance of transparency from other 11 stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to 12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible.	se
7 documents are now available to companies 8 following receipt of a final decision action. 9 We also continue to hear about the 10 importance of transparency from other 11 stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to 12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible.	
8 following receipt of a final decision action. 9 We also continue to hear about the 10 importance of transparency from other 11 stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to 12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible.	,
9 We also continue to hear about the 10 importance of transparency from other 11 stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to 12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible.	,
10 importance of transparency from other 11 stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to 12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible.	,
11 stakeholders, and we will continue to strive to 12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible.	1
12 make our decisions and our processes as 13 transparent as possible.	1
13 transparent as possible.	
14 These types of improvements and our	
	,
15 willingness to reassess existing policies remain	ns
16 a key aspect of our plan. It's why we're having	g
17 this meeting, where we will have a two-way dial	og
18 that can lead to a better understanding of the	
19 tobacco product application process and	
20 improvements that would benefit the public	
21 health.	
22 The Comprehensive Plan we announced	

last year is based on the vision of a world where 1 2 cigarettes would no longer create or sustain addiction, and where adults who still seek 3 nicotine can get it from alternative and less 4 5 harmful sources. But, to achieve that vision, any potentially less harmful nicotine-delivering 6 7 products still need to be properly reviewed and 8 authorized through the premarket review process. 9 In order to best evaluate these 10 products, we're committed to continuing to 11 develop guidance and regulations that further spell out the rules of the road, if you will, for 12 13 the companies who are submitting these 14 applications. 15 I'm sure that many of you have 16 questions about the current status of the 17 compliance policy for deemed products on the 18 market, as of August 8th, 2016, and the deadlines 19 for submission of those applications. I can't 20 say anything publicly beyond what the 21 Commissioner has already said, other than to say 22 that we are reexamining that policy and, as the

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Commissioner has stated, all options are on the 1 2 table. I can assure you that we are working expeditiously to make those decisions and to 3 announce them as quickly as possible. 4 Beyond that policy, we're already 5 working on additional improvements that we also 6 7 hope to announce soon, but we also want to hear 8 from all of you. The next days provide us with 9 an opportunity to engage in a dialog, and I'm hopeful that the presentations from our staff 10 11 will answer some of your questions and clarify 12 some points of confusion.

All of us in CTP, from Matt Holman and 13 14 his team in the Office of Science, to me and my colleagues in the Office of the Center Director, 15 16 look forward to hearing and learning about 17 practical feedback and suggestions that we can 18 use to make positive changes to our processes. 19 As we delve into the various review 20 processes over the next two days, I hope everyone 21 can keep this meeting's common goal in mind: to 22 leverage the collective knowledge in this room to

inform and improve the process for premarket
 review of tobacco products.

Before closing, I do need to somewhat abruptly shift gears and share some very sad news that impacts part of CPT's participation in our meeting today and tomorrow, and our apologies in advance for having to make this announcement publicly.

9 Over the weekend, a dear CPT 10 colleague, David Keith, passed away unexpectedly 11 following a very brief illness. David was the 12 Director of the Division of Enforcement and 13 Manufacturing in OCE, our Office of Compliance 14 and Enforcement, and was actually supposed to be 15 one of the speakers here tomorrow.

David was a wonderful leader in OCE. His passing is a shock and a great loss for CPT and to public health. As you can imagine, it's taking a very hard toll personally and professionally on his OCE colleagues, many of whom will be joining me at his funeral tomorrow. So, all of the OCE speakers on the agenda beyond

1 David will not be able to participate this 2 afternoon or tomorrow. I'm very sorry to have to share this 3 tragic news in such a public way, especially for 4 5 many of you in the audience who knew David and are learning of his passing for the first time. 6 7 My apologies. 8 So, to transition back to the purpose 9 of our gathering here today and tomorrow, FDA remains committed to the principles of our 10 11 Comprehensive Plan, including efforts to improve 12 efficiency and transparency when it comes to the 13 review process. And on behalf of the Commissioner and 14 15 everyone at CTP, I want to thank you all for 16 being here today and participating in this effort 17 with us.

18 With that, I will turn things over to
19 Jeff Walker for some of his opening remarks.
20 Thank you very much.
21 (Applause.)
22 MR. WALKER: Well, good morning to all

of you.

1

	_
2	I didn't know David personally, but I
3	had spoken with him on the phone several times,
4	and I'm sorry for CTP's loss.
5	Well, it's my sincere pleasure to be
6	here with all of you today and with those
7	watching via the webcast. I want to thank FDA
8	for the invitation to speak and provide some
9	remarks on what I consider to be an unprecedented
10	two-day public meeting to discuss the
11	practicalities in the review of these new tobacco
12	product applications.
13	I'd like to start my comments by
14	mentioning that I've spent the last eight years
15	in tobacco regulatory science, five years at
16	Altria as their Chief Medical Officer, VP of
17	Regulatory Sciences, and the last two and a half
18	years as an independent consultant and the U.S.
19	agent for Philip Morris International for their
20	MRTP and PMTA applications.
21	However, I want to make it clear that
22	my comments and perspectives during this meeting

do not represent the opinions or perspectives of
 either company. Rather, my comments arise from
 the totality of my two professional careers,
 first, as a physician and, second, for over two
 and a half decades working in FDA-regulated
 companies.

7 I have a great interest and a great 8 enthusiasm for this meeting. I am happy to see 9 It's very timely. it happen. It's very The fact that over 700 people share 10 important. 11 this enthusiasm who have registered for this 12 meeting indicates how important it is and how much we have to learn. 13

We can really focus over the next couple of days on the practicalities and the challenges of these applications, learning directly from FDA staff, from industry, and people who represent the perspectives of tobacco control and public health.

20 Our collective interest is 21 understandable, because the regulation of tobacco 22 products continues to evolve, sometimes it seems

1	like on a weekly basis. But, in fact, it's very
2	dynamic. It's understandable. It's new. We're
3	just beginning to learn how FDA applies this
4	unique public health standard to the review of
5	tobacco products and other applications.
6	We've also seen that the science
7	behind these applications is highly complex. It
8	can be complex, really a nexus for different
9	disciplines, such as physical sciences,
10	biological sciences, behavioral science, law,
11	medicine, public policy, public health, just to
12	name a few of the disciplines that usually are
13	involved in these kinds of conversations.
14	And amidst of this, we find ourselves
15	in a world where, despite these uncertainties and
16	evolutions, the pace of submissions of new and
17	modified risk applications continues to
18	accelerate. And I think over the coming months
19	to years, you will see a continued acceleration
20	of these applications.
21	So, given this backdrop, what can we
22	expect from the next two days of conversation?

And I use that word because I think that's
 exactly what FDA wants this to be, which is
 really a dialog that's frank, it's honest, but at
 the same time respectful, acknowledging that
 there are different views, different opinions,
 some of which are quite strongly held.

7 Now this conversation should allow 8 everyone in this room and everyone on the webcast 9 to feel that their issues and opinions are being 10 heard. So, I encourage each of you to become an active participant in this meeting by submitting 11 12 your questions. They're anonymous, so you don't 13 have to worry about attribution. But please 14 submit them. Please participate in the dialog. Because, in this way, the FDA will get a very 15 16 good sense of the broad range of opinions and 17 issues that confront the public about these 18 particular applications. I think this feedback 19 will be quite welcomed.

Let me offer just a couple of expectations for the meeting, and these are my expectations and may not be yours, but let me

tell you what I think we should get from the meeting.

First of all, it's obvious we should 3 4 all walk away with a better understanding of 5 these application pathways, how they are used or intended to be used, and, more importantly, to 6 7 hear the real-world experiences of FDA, industry, 8 and other people who've been participating in 9 these processes. The second expectation I have is to 10 11 achieve some degree of what I'll refer to as 12 The FDA process of review process transparency. 13 can be quite active. Particularly when you first 14 submit applications, there's a lot of dialog and 15 engagement, but there are also times when the FDA 16 review process can be quite silent, sometimes for 17 weeks. And never guite sure whether that means 18 your application has been shelved or lower 19 priority, or what that actually means. So, I'm 20 hoping over the next couple of days we can have 21 the FDA roll back the curtain a little bit on the 22 scientific review process, so the public can

1

2

www.nealrgross.com

better understand the timing and the complexity 1 2 of review, as the FDA reviews these applications. My final expectation for the meeting 3 4 is to listen carefully to the variety of 5 There are some very diverse opinions opinions. you'll hear over the next two days. And I think 6 7 that allows us to engage in a better dialog and 8 have a better perspective on the issues that can 9 be or have been raised in the context of 10 applications. 11 Any company that wants to submit 12 applications can understand that these 13 perspectives can be very useful as they formulate 14 a new application, as they consider issues, they design studies. I think it allows a more fulsome 15 16 dialog and a better process. Keep in mind that 17 it is likely FDA will receive these same comments 18 and perspectives about your tobacco product application from the public, particularly in the 19 context of an MRTP docket. 20 21 In conclusion, let me emphasize again 22 once more to you in the audience and you at home,

you on your computers, you have an important role 1 2 in broadening this conversation, enhancing the learnings from the meeting. So, I urge you to 3 4 relax as much as that's possible in an FDA formal 5 meeting, contribute to the conversation, and enjoy the dialog. 6 7 Thank you very much. 8 (Applause.) 9 MS. JOHNSON: Good morning, and thank 10 you. My name is Eshael Johnson. 11 I'm the 12 Director of Stakeholder Relations in the Office 13 of the Center Director, and I am one of your two 14 moderators for today and tomorrow. My colleague, 15 Karin Rudolph -- wave, Karin -- will be assisting 16 me with this. 17 And our job today is to help 18 facilitate this very important two-way dialog. 19 I'm going to give run of show for today and 20 tomorrow. I'll be your task mistress, along with 21 Karin. 22 First of all, for over the next two

1 days, we're going to have eight sessions. Within 2 these sessions, we will have our FDA experts come up and present anywhere from two to three 3 4 presentations. Following the presentations, we 5 will have our panelists, and each panelist, in alphabetical order, will introduce themselves and 6 7 have five minutes to make their comments or 8 statements on the topic at hand or on the 9 presentation that's being given. 10 So, we're going to have to stick to 11 that. So, don't be mad when I cut you off, 12 because I will. We will try very hard to follow that agenda. We have a lot of information to 13 14 cover in a short period of time, but we will be accepting questions, as Jeff encouraged all of us 15 16 to do. 17 There will be notecards being passed 18 around. We will not have microphones for 19 questions. You'll need to write your questions, 20 hand them back, and either Karin or I will ask 21 the questions, either of the panelists or the 22 presenters.

	-
1	And really, that's all that I have to
2	do. I need to get back to my job. I want to
3	introduce Jennifer Schmitz.
4	Jennifer, are you ready?
5	MS. SCHMITZ: Good morning, and thank
6	you all for coming today.
7	My name is Jennifer Schmitz, and I am
8	a Regulatory Health Project Manager in CTP's
9	Office of Science.
10	I will be speaking today about the
11	request for exemption from substantial
12	equivalence pathway. Please note that you will
13	also hear the term "exemption request" and see
14	the abbreviation EX REQ throughout the
15	presentation and during today's panel discussion.
16	These terms are used interchangeably to identify
17	this pathway.
18	For this presentation, I will be
19	providing an introduction to the three pathways
20	available to market a new tobacco product,
21	information on FDA's statutory and regulatory
22	authority for the exemption request pathway, how

to determine if a tobacco product is eligible for the exemption request pathway, an overview of the processes and timelines, and finally, program updates.

So, let us begin with an introduction 5 of the marketing pathways available to market a 6 7 new tobacco product. There are three pathways available to bring a new tobacco product to 8 9 market in the United States: a premarket tobacco 10 product application, or a PMTA; a substantial 11 equivalence, or SE application, and a request for 12 exemption from substantial equivalence, or 13 exemption request. This presentation will focus 14 on exemption requests, while presentations later today will discuss PMTAs and SE applications. 15

16 The exemption request process requires 17 the completion of two steps in order to market a 18 modified tobacco product. First, an exempt order 19 is issued by FDA and, second, the applicant 20 submits an abbreviated report. This process will 21 be discussed in more detail later in this 22 presentation.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

1	Next, I will discuss FDA's statutory
2	and regulatory authority for the exemption
3	request pathway. FDA's statutory authority for
4	the review of exemption requests comes from
5	Section 905(j)(3)(A) of the FD&C Act. FDA's
6	regulatory authority for exemption requests comes
7	from, first, the exemption rule under
8	21 CFR 1107.1(b), which became effective on
9	August 4th, 2011. Currently, the exemption
10	pathway is the only program with specific
11	requirements. This rule established the
12	procedures required to request an exemption and
13	explains how FDA reviews requests for exemptions.
14	Second, the refuse to accept, or RTA
15	rule, under 21 CFR 1105.10, which became
16	effective on January 30th, 2017, applies to all
17	tobacco product application types. This rule
18	established when FDA would refuse to accept a
19	tobacco product submission or application because
20	the application has not met a minimum threshold
21	for acceptability.
22	So now that you have a basic

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

understanding of the types of marketing pathways 1 2 and the statutory and regulatory authorities for exemption requests, how can a manufacturer 3 determine if their tobacco product is eligible 4 5 for an exemption request? In order to obtain a finding that a 6 7 tobacco product is exempt from substantial 8 equivalence, FDA must determine the following: 9 One, the new tobacco product is modified by adding or deleting a tobacco additive 10 or increasing or decreasing the quantity of an 11 12 existing tobacco additive. 13 Second, the proposed modification is 14 minor and is to a legally marketed tobacco 15 product. 16 Three, and SE report is not necessary. 17 And four, an exemption is otherwise 18 appropriate. 19 I would like to point out that, for a 20 tobacco product to be legally marketed, it should 21 meet one of the following criteria: It is grandfathered. 22

	4
1	It has received an SE order, exempt
2	order, or marketing order under PMTA.
3	Or it is a provisional SE tobacco
4	product which has not received a not-
5	substantially-equivalent, or NSE, determination.
6	To assist manufacturers on the CTP FDA
7	website, we have an interactive tool which will
8	aid in determining what premarket pathway may be
9	appropriate to submit for a new tobacco product.
10	So now that we have defined FDA
11	authority and pathway eligibility, I will provide
12	an overview of the exemption request and
13	abbreviated report processes and review
14	timelines.
15	The exemption request process requires
16	two review phases. First, the exemption request
17	is reviewed, and if an exempt order is issued,
18	the applicant submits an abbreviated report.
19	Both of these processes are divided into three
20	distinct phases: acceptance, notification, and
21	review. I will provide detailed information on
22	each of these steps and phases.

I

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

I	∠
1	First, I will discuss the acceptance
2	criteria specific for an exemption request. FDA
3	may RTA an exemption request application if the
4	following criteria under the exemption rule are
5	not met:
6	So, in the first column of this table,
7	we discuss the format of the application, which
8	should include the following:
9	First, the application is legible. An
10	application may not be legible if, for example,
11	the application included scanned documents which
12	did not transfer completely or if they have low
13	resolution.
14	Second, the application is provided in
15	the English language. If any portion of the
16	application is submitted in a foreign language,
17	the application should also include an English
18	translation.
19	Third, the application is submitted in
20	an electronic format. What constitutes an
21	electronic format? Electronic formats include
22	submissions through the CTP portal; the

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Electronic Submission Gateway, or ESG, and 1 2 physical media, such as CDs, DVDs, or hard drives. You may refer to the FDA website for 3 additional information on electronic submission 4 5 file formats and specifications. In a situation where a manufacturer is 6 unable to submit electronically, they may submit 7 8 a written request to CTP which should include the 9 following criteria: Explain in detail why they cannot 10 submit in an electronic format. 11 12 Request an alternative format, and 13 include an explanation why an alternative format 14 is necessary. 15 This request should be granted by FDA 16 prior to submitting the exemption request 17 application. 18 In the second column of this table, we 19 will discuss what is needed regarding product information. 20 21 First, the product identified in the 22 exemption request is a regulated product under

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Chapter 9 of the FD&C Act, or simply, is this a 1 2 tobacco product? Second, the tobacco product is legally 3 4 marketed. Third, the proposed modifications are 5 Additional information on to tobacco additives. 6 7 this topic will be presented during tomorrow's 8 presentations. 9 Fourth, the applicant is also the manufacturer of the original tobacco product. 10 And fifth, the full identification of 11 12 the product is included in this request. This 13 information includes the category and subcategory 14 of the product, the product name, package type, 15 and quantity. 16 In the third column of this table, we discuss what content should be included within 17 18 the application. 19 First, the manufacturer's contact 20 information, which should include the name of the 21 manufacturer, the primary point of contact, and 22 the address and phone number to receive FDA

correspondence.

1

Second, rationale or an explanation is
beneficial for FDA to understand the purpose of
the modification to the tobacco product, why the
manufacturer considers the modification to be
minor, and why the manufacturer considers an SE
report is not necessary for this tobacco product.
Third, a certification statement is a
signed statement by a responsible official of the
manufacturer which provides the rationale for the
determination that the modification does not
increase the tobacco product's appeal or use by
minors, toxicity, addictiveness, or abuse
liability.
And finally, an environmental
assessment, or EA, is included in the exemption
request.
Now that we have discussed the
specific requirements under the exemption rule,
let's move on to the requirements of the RTA
rule.
Exemption requests will also be

reviewed for acceptance under the RTA rule. 1 This 2 rule is applicable to all tobacco product applications, PMTA, MRTPA, SE, and exemption 3 4 requests. 5 FDA may refuse to accept an application of any of the criteria listed in this 6 7 table apply. I will note that Nos. 1 through 5 8 within this table were discussed in the previous 9 slide under the exemption rule. So, I will focus this discussion on items 6 through 10 which are 10 11 specific to the RTA rule. 12 So, No. 6, if the submission is 13 received from a foreign application, an 14 authorized agent that resides within the U.S. 15 must be identified within the application along with their contact information. 16 17 Seven, this regards a submission not 18 containing required FDA forms. Currently, there 19 are no required forms for exemption requests. 20 No. 8, the type of submission should 21 be provided by the applicant. Is the submission 22 requesting PMTA, SE, EX, or MRTPA? This should

be identified within the application. 1 2 No. 9, the submission must contain the signature of a responsible official. A 3 responsible official is a person authorized to 4 make decisions and act on the application. 5 No. 10, for all submission types, 6 7 excluding abbreviated reports, the submission 8 does not include a valid claim of categorical 9 exclusion or an environmental assessment. At 10 this time, there are no categorical exclusions in 11 place for exemption requests. So, an EA must be submitted as part of the application. 12 So now that we have a better 13 14 understanding of acceptance criteria, I would 15 like to introduce the exemption request review 16 process. The steps in the exemption request 17 review process are listed here. 18 First, an exemption request is 19 submitted by the manufacturer and received by 20 FDA. 21 Second, FDA makes a determination on 22 acceptance which includes either (a) accept the

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

application, issue an acknowledgment letter, and 1 2 continue the review, or (b) FDA will refuse to accept the application for review and issue a 3 letter which will contain explanations for why 4 the application was not accepted. 5 Third, we have the notification phase. 6 And fourth, review and action phase. 7 The notification phase will not apply 8 9 to all exemption request submissions. This phase is specific to exemption requests which reference 10 11 the original product as a provisional SE in which 12 FDA has not made a determination of NSE. The 13 purpose of this phase is to remove the specified 14 SE report from the queue for immediate FDA review. 15 16 The notification phase will include the following steps: 17 18 First, in requests where a 19 manufacturer proposes to modify an original 20 tobacco product legally marketed under a pending 21 provisional SE, they will receive a notification letter from FDA. This letter notifies the 22

manufacturer that FDA will first review the 1 2 provisional SE report, and once a final determination of the SE report is issued, FDA 3 will begin review of the exemption request. 4 The 5 letter will also provide options for review of the exemption request and a timeframe for 6 response. FDA intends to complete review of the 7 8 pending provisional SE report even if the 9 exemption request is withdrawn after the notification period. 10

11 Next, I will discuss the review and action phase of the exemption request process. 12 13 During review of the exemption request, FDA may 14 request additional information to inform their 15 decision on the application. If this occurs, FDA 16 will issue an advice information request, or AI 17 letter, to request the additional information to 18 complete scientific review of the application. 19 If the manufacturer provides a 20 response by the date requested in the AI letter, 21 FDA continues review of the exemption request,

and once review is complete, FDA will make a

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

determination on the application in the action
 phase of the process. However, per the exemption
 rule, FDA considers the exemption request
 withdrawn if the information is not provided
 within the requested timeframe.

Once FDA has completed substantive 6 scientific review of the exemption request, FDA 7 8 will provide the applicant with written notice of 9 the findings. FDA issues one of the following letters during the action phase: an AI letter, a 10 11 cancellation or closure letter, an exempt letter, 12 or a not-exempt letter. The cancellation, 13 closure, exempt, and not-exempt letters are final 14 decisions and will end the exemption request 15 process.

16 It is important to note that FDA 17 intends to make exempt order letters, the 18 technical project lead, or TPL review, and the EA 19 publicly available on the FDA website, in 20 accordance with current FDA redaction procedures. 21 We will now move on to the second step 22 in the exemption request process, the abbreviated

There is an additional step for a 1 report. 2 manufacturer to market the modified tobacco product, the abbreviated report. If FDA issues a 3 found-exempt order letter for the new tobacco 4 5 product under Section 905(j)(1)(A)(ii) of the 6 FD&C Act, it requires that, 90 days prior to the introduction or delivery for introduction of the 7 8 modified tobacco product, the manufacturer shall 9 submit a report which will demonstrate the following: 10 The product is in compliance with the 11 12 Act. All modifications are covered by 13 14 exemptions granted by FDA, or it has been issued 15 a found-exempt order letter. The modifications are to a product 16 17 that is commercially marketed. 18 And actions have been taken by the 19 manufacturer to comply with the requirements under Section 907, if applicable. 20 After FDA has received and reviewed 21 22 the abbreviated report, in general, FDA will

issue an acknowledgment letter to the 1 2 manufacturer. This letter acknowledges receipt, so that manufacturers are aware of the 90-day 3 4 timeline that must elapse prior to marketing. For the review phase of the 5 abbreviated report, FDA conducts a review to 6 7 ensure that all of the required information has 8 been provided. During this review, if FDA 9 requires additional information, they will issue correspondence requesting the information from 10 11 the manufacturer. 12 The final phase for abbreviated 13 reports is when the 90 days have elapsed from FDA 14 receipt of the submission. If the manufacturer 15 has received no additional correspondence from 16 FDA within the 90 days, the manufacturer may market the new tobacco product within the United 17 18 States. 19 FDA has seen an increase in 20 applications for this pathway and, in response, 21 has taken additional efforts to provide manufacturers with an efficient and consistent 22

1 process. To ensure predictability, FDA has 2 established performance measures for the exemption request pathway, and there are two 3 performance measures. 4 First, within 21 days of receipt of an 5 exemption request, FDA will complete its 6 7 acceptance determination and issue one of the 8 following letters: an acknowledgment letter, a 9 refuse-to-accept letter, or if the application is withdrawn at any time during review, a withdrawal 10 11 acknowledgment letter. 12 Second, within 60 days of receipt of 13 an exemption request or start of a new review 14 cycle, FDA will review and act with the issuance of one of the following letters: an AI letter, a 15 16 closure letter, an exempt order letter, or a not-17 exempt order letter. 18 Performance measures regarding 19 exemption requests can be found on the FDA 20 website. FDA has exceeded the performance goal 21 to render an acceptance decision in 21 days for 22 this measure in the two years since its

implementation in 2017. Through fiscal year 2022, both performance measures will be at 80 percent.

For the same time period, the goal to 4 5 review and act on an exemption request within 60 days showed marked improvement between fiscal 6 7 year 2017 and fiscal year 2018. Please note that 8 FDA intends to revise the performance measures 9 website in early 2019 to reflect a correction to reported 2017 values, along with inclusion data 10 11 for those exemption requests received within the 12 last fiscal quarter that are pending review. 13 Through fiscal year 2022, the performance measure 14 will also be at 80 percent.

FDA has gained additional experience 15 16 with the submission and review of abbreviated 17 reports. An appendix to the exempt order letter 18 is provided with FDA's suggested format for the 19 submission of the abbreviated report. 20 Manufacturers may use the suggested format to 21 certify that the tobacco product has met the 22 requirements in Sections 905(j)(1)(A)(ii) and

1

2

905(j)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act.

1

2 For exemption requests, 21 CFR 1107.1(b)(9) states that an exemption 3 request must contain an environmental assessment 4 5 under Part 25 of this Chapter, prepared in accordance with the requirements of 25.40 of this 6 7 Chapter. 8 FDA previously refused to accept 9 exemption requests that did not include the basic elements required for a complete EA. 10 FDA 11 currently accepts exemption requests that include 12 However, an AI letter may be issued to an EA. request additional information needed for the EA. 13 14 Additional information on preparing an EA will be provided in tomorrow's FDA presentation. 15 16 So, this concludes the presentation on 17 the exemption requests and abbreviated report 18 I would like to thank you for your processes. 19 attention during this presentation, and I recognize a lot of information was discussed. 20 21 So, I encourage you to ask questions during the 22 panel discussion later on today.

1	Thank you.
2	(Applause.)
3	MS. STARK: Good morning.
4	My name is Cristi Stark, and I'm the
5	Director for the Division of Regulatory Project
6	Management within the Office of Science.
7	Today my presentation is going to
8	focus on the Substantial Equivalence Program.
9	Within this presentation, I plan to discuss a
10	high-level overview for the SE program and share
11	some more recent program updates in response to
12	experience gained.
13	So, let's start with an overview of
14	the SE program. Manufacturers must submit new
15	tobacco products for FDA review. Generally, the
16	premarket provisions provide FDA with the
17	authority over a tobacco product before it enters
18	the market. A new product that does not meet the
19	statutory premarket requirements cannot be
20	legally marketed. If the new tobacco product
21	does not meet the statutory premarket
22	requirements and a manufacturer markets

themselves their tobacco product in the United 1 2 States, they will be in violation of the Act. As you heard in the last presentation, 3 there are three pathways to market a new tobacco 4 product. Here, we're focused on substantial 5 equivalence, an alternative to a premarket 6 7 application. Specifically, 905(j)(1) of the Federal 8 9 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act provides that, in general, at least 90 days prior to the 10 11 introduction of your new tobacco product into 12 U.S. interstate commerce, an applicant should 13 submit an SE report. 14 So, for determination of substantial 15 equivalence, the manufacturer must demonstrate 16 that the new product has the same characteristics 17 as the predicate tobacco product or it may have 18 different characteristics than the predicate 19 tobacco product, but the information submitted 20 must demonstrate that that new product does not 21 raise different questions of public health. 22 As this pathway is based on a

1	comparison between a new and predicate tobacco
2	product, this generally means that products
3	brought to market will not present more harm to
4	the public health than the predicate tobacco
5	product it is found substantially equivalent to.
6	So, an eligible tobacco product is
7	either a grandfathered tobacco product, meaning
8	it was commercially marketed in the United States
9	as of February 15th, 2007, or a product FDA has
10	previously found substantially equivalent. It is
11	not a tobacco product authorized under a PMTA,
12	exemption request, MRTPA, or a pending
13	provisional product.
14	Of note, there are two types of SE
15	reports. They're known as regular and
16	provisional SE reports. The scientific standard
17	and review for both types of these reports are
18	the same. The main difference is when the
19	product subject of the SE report may be legally
20	marketed within the United States.
21	So, basically, regular SE reports are
22	applications for new tobacco products that

I

require marketing authorization prior to being
 introduced into the U.S. market. This is the
 majority of SE reports in-house.

In contrast, a new tobacco product under a provisional SE report may be legally marketed unless an order issues finding that new tobacco product not substantially equivalent to its corresponding predicate. In order to be noted as a provisional product, the following two criteria must be met:

First, the SE report must have been
submitted by March 22nd, 2011.

13 And second, the product, that new 14 product that the subject of that provisional SE report, must have been delivered for introduction 15 16 into interstate commerce for commercial 17 distribution in the U.S. after February 15th, 18 2007 and prior to March 22nd, 2011. 19 So, in simple terms, for the SE 20 reports FDA is currently receiving, they're coded 21 as regular SE reports. And the new product

requires marketing authorization prior to an

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

order finding the new product -- I'm sorry. 1 They 2 require an order prior to legal marketing. So now, let's walk through a high-3 4 level stepwide approach to the SE process. And 5 I'm going to note this is a snapshot in time, and we expect to continue to improve our review 6 7 process through feedback and in meetings such as 8 this. 9 So, the SE process is broken into 10 three phases. Phase 1 is acceptance. Phase 2 is notification. And Phase 3 encompasses the 11 12 substantive scientific review. So, first, let's focus on the 13 14 acceptance phase. This phase includes three 15 steps, based on the type of substantial 16 equivalence report under review. For all SE reports, the application is 17 18 received and sent to the assigned Regulatory 19 Health Project Manager. During this time, the 20 RHPM will actually perform an acceptance review and determine if the product is under CTP 21 jurisdiction and if it contains additional 22

mandated items either from the statute or from regulation. The findings in these reviews will determine if the application should either be acknowledged or receive a refuse-to-accept decision.

So, step 3, the public health impact 6 7 review, occurred for provisional SE reports. For 8 regular SE reports, products are reviewed using a 9 first-in, first-reviewed approach. However, because a large number of provisional reports 10 11 were received on the same date, and because these 12 products are currently on the market, FDA 13 determined that it was not practical nor 14 appropriate to use a first-in, first-reviewed 15 approach for these provisionals.

16 Therefore, a public health impact 17 review categorized each provisional SE report and 18 placed them into a tier meant to capture the 19 relative potential of raising a different 20 question of public health. Classification of a 21 report into one of these tiers does not mean that 22 the new product described therein does or does

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

not raise different questions of public health. That determination can only be made after full scientific evaluation of the provisional SE report.

5 So, once an SE report is accepted, it 6 moves into the notification phase. During this 7 phase, CTP will conduct a review to ensure the 8 predicate tobacco product is eligible. Again, 9 that predicate tobacco product may either be a 10 grandfathered tobacco product or a product 11 previously found SE.

12 If the applicant stated that the 13 predicate tobacco product was marketed in the 14 U.S. as of February 15th, 2007, a grandfathered 15 claim, the Office of Science has sent a request 16 to the Office of Compliance and Enforcement for a 17 grandfather determination.

So, in addition, if you look at
provisional SE reports, CTP will also send a
notification letter to those applicants to inform
them that their SE report has entered this phase
of review. The purpose of this letter is

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

threefold:

1

First, it updates the applicant of that SE report as to the projected start date of the scientific review.

5 Second, it allows the applicant to 6 amend their SE report with any additional 7 information to support an SE determination prior 8 to the start of scientific review. This is 9 important because FDA is not obligated to review 10 amendments received after the start of scientific 11 review.

12 And third, it informs the applicant 13 that GF review is starting and they may be 14 contacted by a representative of the Office of 15 Compliance and Enforcement with respect to 16 grandfather determination if applicable.

17 So, Phase 3 is where the majority of 18 the scientific review occurs. Generally, SE 19 reports are assigned a chemist, toxicologist, 20 engineer, and environmental reviewer. Depending 21 on the contents of the report and the data 22 submitted, we may add other disciplines as

1	necessary. If necessary, a deficiency letter
2	such as an advice information request or
3	preliminary finding letter is also issued.
4	Now, once the reviewers have completed
5	their reviews, CTP will, then, determine if the
6	new tobacco product is scientifically
7	substantially equivalent or not substantially
8	equivalent to its corresponding predicate tobacco
9	product. When that final SE determination is
10	made, we move into the action portion of this
11	phase.
12	If the determination is a scientific
13	finding of SE, CTP will, then, review to see if
14	any additional information is needed to comply
15	with the National Environmental Policy Act. If
16	additional information is needed, in general, a
17	letter issues to the applicant. In addition, for
18	regular SE reports, FDA must determine that the
19	new tobacco product is in compliance with the
20	requirements of the Federal Food, Drug, and
21	Cosmetic Act.
22	Now, once these steps have been

completed, an appropriate order letter issues, 1 2 and the assigned RHPM will contact the applicant and offer a courtesy copy of that order letter. 3 Additionally, for provisional NSE decisions, the 4 5 RHPM will also offer a courtesy copy of an appropriately redacted Technical Project Lead 6 review -- this is the summary basis for that 7 8 decision -- and the last cycle primary discipline 9 review that serves as the basis for that NSE decision. If those documents are not ready at 10 11 the time of the courtesy call, the Project 12 Manager will provide a general timeframe for when 13 they will be ready and submit at that time. 14 And finally, CTP will post the TPL review and order letter with appropriate 15 16 redactions. In general, these documents are 17 posted for all SE decisions and for provisional 18 NSE decisions. 19 So now that we've seen a high-level 20 program overview, let's transition to some of the 21 updates. For this part of the presentation, I 22 would like to focus on what's been occurring with

both industry and FDA in the following areas: 1 2 unique identification, letter language updates, focusing scientific resources, the response time 3 to our deficiency letters, common issues in SE 4 reports, and performance goals. These six items 5 are examples of program improvements over time 6 7 based on dialog between CPT and industry. Each 8 of these elements have enhanced the consistency, 9 transparency, and predictability of the SE 10 program, and they are a nice example of growth over time. 11 12 So, let's move to unique identification. One of the challenges we've seen 13 14 with the SE program was the lack of uniquely identifying both new and predicate tobacco 15 16 products. It's been an issue, as CTP has been 17 unable to determine what specific products were 18 being requested for review and what predicate 19 tobacco products were being used for comparison. 20 For example, past applications for a 21 cigarette may only contain identification of the It would lack identification of 22 brand name.

www.nealrgross.com

properties such as the package type, the package quantity, the length, the diameter, and the ventilation. This could mean there could be multiple products under review or being compared to, and, as such, it wasn't clear what the applicant was requesting FDA to do.

7 More recently, though, we've seen an 8 improvement in applications, as applicants have 9 been able to better understand what properties 10 FDA needs for identification of these tobacco 11 products. We've found success through an open 12 dialog with industry.

13 So, through this process, we provided 14 applicants with an opportunity to amend their SE reports to provide unique identification for 15 16 their new and predicate products. We posted TPL 17 reviews on our website which provides examples of 18 unique identification categories, subcategories, 19 and properties. And RHPMs have been available to 20 assist applicants with questions around unique 21 identification. Additionally, as discussed in 22 Ms. Schmitz's presentation, the RTA rule

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

published, which provided further help regarding 1 2 product identification. Collectively, these efforts resulted in improved identification of 3 tobacco products under review. 4 So, in addition to improving the 5 identification for tobacco products, we also 6 7 received feedback regarding our communications with applicants. So, over the last seven years, 8 9 the correspondence for the SE program has More recently, based on stakeholder 10 evolved. 11 feedback, we've opted the language within our 12 letters to improve communication and expectations 13 between the applicant and FDA. 14 For example, we've stated the purpose 15 of our correspondence in the first paragraph, 16 used plain language where possible, clarified how 17 to submit an amendments, removed duplicative 18 language, clearly identified response due dates, 19 where applicable, such as in a deficiency letter, included the RHPM email address for ease of 20 21 communications, and within the deficiency 22 letters, those AINP find letters for the SE

program, we have visibly identified the 1 2 difference between a deficiency, which is something that must be responded to for that 3 4 scientific finding of SE, versus a request, which 5 is a nice-to-have. So, let's quickly walk through one of 6 7 our updated notification letters, so you can see 8 what this looks like. I note this is not a 9 complete sample of our notification letter. Instead, it's a snapshot of our template, and the 10 11 image on the screen includes excerpts to 12 illustrate some of the changes that I'll go 13 through. 14 So, as you can see, the purpose is 15 illustrated at the top of the letter within this 16 paragraph stating, "We expect to being our scientific review of all information contained in 17 18 your SE reports, including amendments received 19 within 180 days from the date of the letter." Other examples you'll see include 20 21 clear language for when the response is due here 22 on the screen. And you see it stated as, "If a

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

review cycle ends with us issuing a deficiency
 letter, we expect to provide you with 180 days to
 respond to the letter."

We've also updated the language regarding amendments. You can see the paragraph here. For clarity, we're now asking for amendments in a single submission and providing recommendations for how to organize the response.

9 And last is one of the most important This is your assigned 10 features of the letter. 11 Regulatory Health Project Manager. Their 12 information is listed at the bottom of all of 13 your letters. This is your liaison. They can 14 assist with any application-related questions, 15 and we have now updated to include their email 16 address for ease of communication.

17 So, as you can see improvement with 18 communication, we also looked for areas where we 19 could focus our scientific resources towards a 20 greater public health impact. One of the areas 21 we examined was our review of provisional SE 22 reports.

Unlike tobacco products subject to 1 2 regular SE reports, the tobacco products subject of the provisional SE reports are legally sold 3 under the Act. CTP is not required by statute to 4 5 review or act on these reports. Although there was no requirement for that, the agency initially 6 7 intended to review and act on all of them. In July 2017, FDA's Commissioner noted 8 9 CTP would examine its existing approach to the review of the approximate 2500 remaining 10 provisional SE reports in an effort to focus on 11 12 reviews with the greatest public health impact. 13 With the years of experience conducting thousands 14 of SE reviews, and with greater understanding of tobacco products, FDA announced a change in its 15 16 approach. The agency would continue to review 17 the approximate 1,000 pending provisional SE 18 reports that were determined to have the greatest 19 potential to raise a different question of public 20 health and would remove from review approximately 21 1500 provisional SE reports that were determined 22 less likely to do so.

1	So, the purpose of this was twofold.
2	First, to maximize CTP's application review
3	capacity and, second, to focus on public health
4	goals by investing more review capacity to those
5	tobacco products which are more likely to raise
6	different questions of public health.
7	To date, through the remove-from-
8	review process, FDA has removed an estimated 1200
9	provisional SE reports. For those interested,
10	the complete list is available on our website,
11	and we'll continue to update the list as
12	additional applicants respond with the requested
13	information to have their product removed from
14	review.
15	So, I note this change in review
16	perspective is unique to provisional SE reports
17	and does not translate to regular SE reports.
18	Through this process, CTP is focusing its
19	scientific review resources and is prepared to
20	receive and review the upcoming applications for
21	deemed tobacco products.
22	So, under the remove-from-review

1 process, eligible applicants have received 2 correspondence from CTP. This means, if your 3 product met the criteria for RFR, you would have 4 received a letter from FDA noting if your product 5 was removed from review or if additional 6 information was requested in order to remove the 7 product from review.

For example, if you were missing the 8 9 date your new tobacco product was first introduced or delivered for introduction into 10 11 interstate commerce for commercial distribution 12 in the U.S., that would be requested prior to any decision to remove from review. 13 If you've not 14 received a letter regarding information around removing a provisional product from review, this 15 16 means that FDA intends to review your provisional 17 SE report in the order as determined by the PHI 18 tier. As such, you would receive a notification 19 letter consistent with the SE process.

Now, even if a provisional product was removed from review, it can be brought back into the review queue if any of the following occurs:

1	First, that product that was removed
2	from review could have another pending
3	application submitted by the same manufacturer,
4	such as an MRTPA.
5	Second, FDA could receive new
6	information, such as from its inspectional
7	findings, suggesting that that new tobacco
8	product is more likely to have the potential to
9	raise different questions of public health.
10	And third, FDA has reason to believe
11	that that new tobacco product was not introduced
12	or delivered for introduction into interstate
13	commerce for commercial distribution in the U.S.
14	after February 15th, 2007 and prior to March
15	22nd, 2011.
16	I do note, applicants that are placed
17	back into the review queue will receive a
18	notification letter consistent with our process.
19	So, as part of the RFR process, CTP
20	has focused its resources on certain SE reports.
21	To give you a sense of the criteria that was
22	considered for that product to remain in the

I

review queue, this slide lists some examples for 1 2 provisional products that continue to be reviewed. 3 So, a non-conventional tobacco 4 product, an example could include a product that 5 had novel features, such as a crushable bead 6 within a cigarette. 7 8 With respect to inadequate 9 characterization between the new and predicate tobacco products, it could be a product listed 10 11 that's not uniquely identified, such as only 12 identifying a brand and category, not listing 13 your subcategories or properties within the 14 product. For differences in categories, it 15 16 could be something like a cigarette compared to a 17 smokeless tobacco product. 18 With respect to design changes that 19 may increase harmful and potentially harmful 20 constituents, this could be products compared 21 that have major changes in filter design or even 22 comparing a filtered cigarette to a cigarette

that does not contain a filter. Additionally, we've seen some comparisons with large changes to tobacco blends, which could increase nitrosamines or PAHs, and those would also remain within the review queue.

So, in addition to focusing our 6 7 scientific resources, CTP, then, started to begin 8 to examine if the response times within our 9 deficiency letters were appropriate. And as I 10 noted earlier, over the last seven years, the 11 correspondence for the SE program has evolved. More recently, based on stakeholder feedback, we 12 13 understand that applicants have received advice 14 information request letters or preliminary finding letters, what we term "deficiency 15 16 letters," with response times of 60 days or 30 17 days, respectively.

18 Many applicants have noted that, to 19 fully respond, additional time has been needed. 20 And to that point, FDA has received multiple 21 requests for extensions of time.

22

1

2

3

4

5

So, in examining the types of

information listed in these deficiency letters, 1 2 and the time needed to respond -- for example, to perform necessary tests responsive to the 3 deficiency -- the timeframe within the deficiency 4 5 letters has been extended. All deficiency letters issued post-October 1st, 2018 now provide 6 7 for 180 days for applicants to prepare 8 information and respond. We believe that by 9 extending the response time to 180 days applicants now have sufficient time to respond to 10 all deficiencies within our letters. 11 Therefore, 12 with the additional extension of time to 180 13 days, FDA does not intend to grant additional 14 extensions of time to respond to deficiency 15 letters.

And additionally, when examining the amount of time to provide responsive information, the notification letter, which issues to start -it issues to signal the start of the scientific review for provisionals -- has also been extended to 180 days.

22

These changes in timelines were in

response to industry feedback. By extending this timeframe and removing the extensions of times to respond to letters, the SE process is more predictable and allows for adequate time to respond to deficiencies without significant delay to the review process.

7 So now, let's look at how this 8 translates to the review process if the response 9 to a deficiency letter is submitted early or So, if the applicant amends early, before 10 late. 11 the 180 days has elapsed, the assigned RHPM will 12 process the amendment and verify if the applicant has responded to all deficiencies. For example, 13 if there's 10 deficiencies listed within the 14 letter, and the applicant responds to all 10, the 15 16 scientific review will commence as of the receipt 17 date of that amendment.

However, if it's an incomplete response -- so, for example, out of the 10 deficiencies, the applicant only responded to five -- CTP will wait until either the applicant respond to the remaining deficiencies or the 180

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

www.nealrgross.com

days elapses, whichever is sooner. 1 That means, 2 if the applicant does not respond to those remaining deficiencies, CTP will initiate 3 scientific review on day 181. This is the next 4 day after the response date. 5 In the event that amendments are 6 7 received after the 180-day response date, CTP 8 does not intend to review those amendments. 9 However, if there is another review cycle, 10 information may be incorporated into that cycle. So now, we've touched on increased 11 12 communication to better identify products, 13 clarifying our letter language, focusing 14 scientific resources through the RFR process, and increasing the amount of time to respond to 15 16 deficiency letters. One other area that we 17 identified for improvement with industry's help 18 was around communicating common issues seen 19 within SE reports. 20 So, many of these common issues have 21 previously been provided in webinars, posted TPL 22 reviews, and through meetings, but it may be

difficult for applicants to find one location 1 2 identifying all common issues. So, to ensure applicants have the information in one location 3 for their products, starting October 1st, 2018, 4 5 FDA has revised both the acknowledgment and notification letters to include appendices with 6 7 common issues identified in previous SE reports 8 for specific tobacco product category and 9 subcategories.

So, for example, if an applicant 10 11 submits a new SE report for a cigarette, they'll 12 receive an appendix with information to consider 13 for cigarettes. Some examples of information 14 included with appendix may have, but is not limited to, evidence needed for an eligible 15 16 tobacco product predicate, addressing toxicity 17 caused by ingredient changes, use of a model, and 18 so on.

19 It's important to note that these 20 appendices reflect deficiencies frequently seen 21 in previous SE reports for that category. The 22 information may not be applicable to the current

products within the report. If a difference 1 2 exists between the new and predicate tobacco products, it is the applicant's responsibility to 3 provide a rationale for each difference with 4 5 scientific evidence and a discussion for why that difference does not cause the new product to 6 raise different questions of public health. 7 То 8 the extent that it's applicable, the information 9 provided within the appendix can be used by applicants to determine whether their SE report 10 11 should be amended or withdrawn prior to FDA's 12 review of the SE report.

13 So, here's a sample section of the 14 appendix for information to consider for 15 Here you'll see again the language cigarettes. 16 noted at the top, that it reflects deficiencies 17 frequently seen in previous SE reports for 18 cigarettes. Again, this is a sample that only 19 shows the top portion, and we begin with unique 20 identification. Here you're going to see 21 continued language around tobacco product 22 identification and the properties that should be

1

provided for the different subcategories.

2 And another section of the letter is the start of information around the use of a 3 predicate tobacco product that you no longer 4 5 manufacture. You'll see the appendix lists out potential options for providing data on that 6 7 predicate tobacco product. Again, the addition of these 8

9 appendices were in response to industry feedback. The goal was to collate information already 10 11 available from the TPLs posted on our website. 12 Tomorrow you'll hear from Drs. Rogers and Cecil on the approach to CTP's scientific review for 13 14 the SE program, and they will discuss many of 15 these topics in more detail.

16 So, last, but not least, I'd like to 17 focus on the predictability of FDA review and 18 action. In April 2014, FDA announced the 19 development of a set of performance measures that 20 would help improve timeliness and predictability 21 for the review of certain applications, including 22 SE reports.

1	In April this past year, FDA extended
2	these performance measures for regular SE reports
3	to fiscal year 2022, and, as part of the
4	reexamination of the review queue for provisional
5	SE reports, FDA announced new performance
6	measures for them. The performance measures for
7	provisionals are similar to those for regulars,
8	but they're tailored for the unique
9	circumstances.
10	So, the goals are as follows: for
11	regular SE reports, within 21 days, FDA intends
12	to issue an acknowledgment, refuse to accept, or
13	withdraw acknowledgment letter. Within 90 days,
14	to issue a deficiency letter, a closure-type
15	letter, or an order letter.
16	For provisional SE report, within 21
17	days, FDA intends to issue withdraw
18	acknowledgment letters. There are no refuse-to-
19	accept or acknowledgment letters for these
20	because the reports were submitted in 2011, so
21	it's moot. And then, within 120 days, FDA
22	intends to issue a deficiency letter, a closure-

type letter, or an order, and it's 120 days of commencing scientific review.

So, let's take a quick peek at the 3 performance goals for the 21 days for regular SE 4 5 reports. You're going to see that it was 70 percent for the goal to be met in FY17 and 80 6 7 percent in FY18 through FY22, and FDA has been 8 taking some great strides to meet them, and has 9 been successful. I will note that there is still some open cohort data for FY18. It will close 10 11 shortly, and the data will be available in early 12 2019.

Looking at the 90-day goals for 13 14 regular SE reports, you'll see again in FY17 we 15 had a goal of 70 percent, and FY18 is 80 percent 16 through FY22. Again, you'll see, for both FY17 17 and FY18, FDA has met these goals. And again, 18 for FY18, we have an open cohort. That will 19 close by the end of the year, and data will be available in early 2019 with the final numbers. 20 21 And looking at provisional SE reports, you'll see 22 that the goal is 50 percent, starting in this

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

fiscal year '19, and increases by 10 percent to
 max out at 80 percent in FY22.

So, to close, I want to remind you of 3 4 the importance of your assigned Regulatory Health 5 Project Manager. They're your main point of contact for your SE application and can assist 6 7 with general inquiries about your premarket 8 pathways, application submission and review 9 process, help with useful resources on the web, clarify some of what's in our letters, provide 10 reference, and, also, aid you through the formal 11 12 meeting process, which you'll hear about later 13 today.

And just in case you've read all the SE guidances, viewed the webinars, and wanted additional information, this slide provides some links to some of the resources that are out there to give a little more information on what I spoke about today, and a few other helpful things as you're navigating through.

If you still have questions and you're
aren't sure where to go, please use our general

1 inquiries email at "Ask CTP". 2 So, this concludes my presentation. Thank you. 3 4 (Applause.) MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, Jennifer and 5 Cristi. 6 7 If I could have the panelists come 8 upfront here, we're going to hear from them. 9 Again, each speaker has five minutes to introduce themselves and make comments or statements about 10 11 the presentations we just heard. Don't forget 12 alpha order. So now that I know the 13 Okay. 14 difference between No. 1 and No. 2 panel, but not 15 how to use the microphone (laughter), we will 16 start, as I said, in alpha order with Rosanna 17 Beltre, and with introductions. 18 And I just want to remind people, for 19 folks that are still filtering in, that we do 20 have some assigned seating for our panels. 21 And again, you will be using these 22 cards for you to put your questions on. Raise

1	your hand if you need a card, and someone will
2	walk by and hand you one, so that we can use them
3	for the question-and-answer session of the panel.
4	Rosanna?
5	MS. BELTRE: Good morning.
6	My name is Rosanna Beltre, and I am
7	the Deputy Director of the Division of Regulatory
8	Project Management.
9	MS. CUSHMAN: Hi. I'm Brittani
10	Cushman, Senior Vice President of External
11	Affairs for Turning Point Brands.
12	All right. Well, I will start then.
13	So, my name is Brittani Cushman, as I
14	said. I have had the experience of working on
15	the initial round of provisional applications
16	that were filed back in 2011, and I was certainly
17	one of those people who was working on them all
18	night long to get them out the door. Because one
19	of the issues that we've had in this process that
20	I think both the agency and companies have been
21	dealing with is kind of learning as we go and
22	working on these applications as we go. So, we

were getting new information right up until pretty close to the filing deadlines and trying to supplement as best we could.

And with the provisionals, the 4 5 experience was that it was a lot of silence for a long time, which one of the speakers mentioned 6 earlier that there would be this event of 7 8 silence, and then, all of a sudden, in the mail 9 you would get this rubberbanded stack of letters. And while 30 days might be okay to respond to one 10 11 or two of those letters, when you get the stack, 12 it's a little overwhelming, especially for some 13 of the small companies where one person might be 14 doing 20 different jobs, and then, all of a sudden, have 60 letters to respond to. 15

16 So, I speak from that experience 17 firsthand, but also from the experience of 18 working with CITMO, which is the Council of 19 Independent Tobacco Manufacturers. And that's a 20 group of small tobacco product manufacturers 21 under the Act who have dealt with these issues. 22 So, we've gotten some feedback from all of their

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

experiences and kind of collectively put together some thoughts.

And a lot of that has centered around 3 4 what I think the agency has rightfully recognized 5 is the issues of transparency and consistency. And a lot of the comments today I think really 6 7 touched on that and were some really good 8 solutions. 9 One thing that stuck out to me was, in 10 talking about the response letters that have 11 these appendices, that have additional 12 information for various product categories, that, 13 to me, is a great idea. You know, it's been a 14 long time coming, but I'm really happy it's 15 coming into place. What I would recommend there 16 is that should be made public, not be something

17 that is received in a deficiency letter where the 18 company say, "Oh, well, now I have the map to 19 comply. So now, I can finally do these things, 20 but I only have 180 days."

21 Another comment I would make on what 22 Cristi had mentioned was this idea of getting rid

1

of extensions across all applications. 1 And I 2 understand the thinking that went into that decision. I appreciate that there is an 3 examination of deficiencies and why extensions 4 5 were needed, what timelines were needed, but I think what that perhaps doesn't take into account 6 7 is we're about to move into a period of time 8 where a number of products -- and when I say "a 9 number," I mean thousands of products -- are going to be going to labs and needing testing 10 11 done. 12 So, when you're talking about 180 days in today's environment, I think that looks very 13 14 different when you're moving into a situation of labs already being behind, and I'm sure many of 15 16 us on the panel have been in touch with labs on 17 HPHC testing and other PMTA-related testing 18 coming up. And they're already expressing major 19 concerns about capacity. So, that, I think, needs to be taken into account in this idea of 20 21 getting rid of extensions.

22

With that, I'll pass along. I want to

1	make sure we leave a lot of time for Q&A because
2	I think that will be really useful to this
3	discussion.
4	MR. LINDEGAARD: Good morning.
5	My name is Thomas Lindegaard. I am
6	from Scandinavian Tobacco Group, a company
7	focused on cigars and traditional pipe tobacco.
8	I am the Senior Vice President responsible for
9	scientific and regulatory affairs.
10	First of all, thank you to FDA for
11	hosting this important event. I think it's
12	critical that we stay in close dialog in order to
13	get good and effective regulation in place.
14	I have a few comments as well about my
15	background here. I have 25 years of experience
16	within the industry working primarily in
17	scientific and regulatory affairs, as well as
18	product development. I have been deeply involved
19	in the SE applications that have been submitted
20	by our company as the sort of scientific
21	anchorman responding to many of the questions
22	from FDA.

1	While I know that the discussion here
2	today will be dictated by questions from the
3	audience, I would also like to raise a few points
4	to inspire the questions or the dialog.
5	The first point is really about I hope
6	we can move forward on making the SE process even
7	more efficient. One of the examples in this
8	context that we have experienced is that we have
9	been unable in most cases to transfer learnings
10	from one SE to a next SE. That means we have
11	produced data on a specific additive or product
12	feature, but when we get to the next SE, we have
13	to repeat it all over because there are possibly
14	minor changes to the blend or other things, which
15	produces an enormous amount of work for each SE
16	which probably is not needed.
17	The second point I have is, in my
18	view, some of the inconsistencies that are
19	created by the SE system in the sense that, an
20	example, we might want to increase as a part of
21	several modifications the level of an additive
22	from 1 percent to 1.1, and have to demonstrate

1 that there are no new questions of public health 2 associated with that, while in many other 3 products we have which are predicates or 4 grandfathered, this particular additive might be 5 used in 3 percent or 5 percent, perfectly okay 6 because we are not changing it. That doesn't 7 make much sense to me.

Also, I see that the way that the
reductions or increase of an additive is treated
in the exemption process seems to be quite
different from the way it is being assessed in
the SE process, which is also strange to me. So,
those are points I hope we can get questions on
and discuss.

I also had a point about the timelines 15 16 for deficiency letters, but that is somewhat 17 covered. But I do share some of the same 18 concerns, seeing that we have, just our company, 19 thousands of products coming into this process. 20 So, there are still some concerns there. 21 Thank you. 22 MR. MURPHY: Good morning, everyone.

1	My name is Patrick Murphy. I'm a
2	Senior Director within the Submissions and
3	Engagement Group, Scientific and Regulatory
4	Affairs of RAI Services company. RAI Services
5	company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Reynolds
6	American, Inc., that bears primary responsibility
7	for regulatory compliance for RAI's operating
8	companies, including RJ Reynolds Tobacco Company,
9	American Snuff Company, Santa Fe Natural Tobacco
10	Company, and RJ Reynolds Vapor Company.
11	I thought I would begin by providing
12	just a brief description of my background at
13	Reynolds that informs my perspective. Since late
14	2009, I have been heavily involved in effectively
15	operationalizing and refining both the
16	substantial equivalence and exemption from SE
17	programs for Reynolds, Santa Fe, and American
18	Snuff Company.
19	Over that time, I've also involved in
20	or have led work streams with other submissions,
21	including PMTAs, regular and provisional SEs,
22	exemptions, the now-defunct same characteristic

SE submissions, and MRTPAs. I currently lead a 1 2 multidisciplinary team whose primary responsibility is managing all provisional SE 3 reports on behalf of Reynolds and Santa Fe for 4 5 traditional combustible cigarettes, non-combusted cigarettes, and roll-your-own tobacco. 6 7 So, to provide you a little bit of a 8 high-level overview of a Reynolds experience with 9 the SE and exemption request programs, I'll note that we submitted our first SE report in June of 10 11 That was nine months before the 2010. 12 provisional window closed, and that particular 13 application was assigned STN0000001. 14 (Laughter.) 15 Since that summer, we have experienced 16 the highs and the lows of the SE and exempt 17 request programs solely based on the information 18 that's publicly available. This includes 19 clearance orders for both provisional and 20 regulars, some level of success with our approach 21 to exemption requests, and, as most are aware, for NSE orders. 22

1	Which leads me to make a couple of
2	observations, some general, some more specific
3	about areas where I think things are going well,
4	and, lastly, some things where I think there is
5	some room for improvement.
6	So, in general, as could be expected,
7	as both the agency and applicant stood up their
8	respective programs, things could be perceived as
9	fairly chaotic. I had the distinct impression
10	that in many instances we were talking past each
11	other through regulatory correspondence.
12	One of the things we learned fairly
13	quickly that is very critical in terms of
14	communicating with FDA, and CTP specifically, is
15	nomenclature and terminology. We at Reynolds
16	have a very specific way about how we describe
17	our products, our specifications, our
18	manufacturing processes. If terminology is
19	unaligned, we must, at a minimum, have a common
20	understanding of certain terms and their
21	respective use.
22	I recognize that in many ways both the

agency and applicants have significantly evolved 1 2 since 2009. Two good examples of this evolution from a Reynolds perspective. One, our internal 3 vocabulary, at least in the submissions group, is 4 5 markedly different than it was pre-2009. Two, I know that at Reynolds we consistently focus on 6 7 evolving the form, format, and content of our applications in order to more clearly communicate 8 9 disparate types of product data and articulate lines of argument, improve the quality of our 10 submissions, and facilitate FDA review. 11 12 So, I'll note three things that, from 13 our perspective, things are going well. I've 14 seen increased engagement through the use of, quote/unquote, "informal" meetings. 15 These are 16 usually brief teleconferences or email traffic. 17 We're pleased with the timeliness and the 18 responsiveness of our assigned Regulatory Health Project Managers. And I'm not just saying that 19 20 because Jennifer is sitting here in the room. 21 (Laughter.) 22 Second, based on our experience and

CTP's stated priorities, review timeframes for
 regular SEs and exemptions are accelerating and
 demonstrate the viability of those particular
 pathways.

5 Lastly, some exceptions 6 notwithstanding, consistency among various FDA 7 reviews and -- where was I? Consistency among 8 various FDA reviews and individual reviewers is 9 improving. This helps set expectations, which 10 ultimately is what a regulatory industry wants, 11 consistency and predictability.

So, lastly, I'll focus on a couple of things that could be improved upon. Given the D.C. District Court's ruling in Philip Morris USA, et al., v. FDA, applicants have little regulatory clarity on the same characteristics prong of the SE pathway.

Second, to date, guidance documents and webinars have lacked actionable information. And lastly, in the majority of cases, written regulatory correspondence is the only form of substantive communication with the agency

1 in regards to provisional SE applications 2 currently under review. Thank you. 3 4 MS. STARK: Short and sweet, I'm 5 Cristi Stark again, the Director for the Division 6 of Regulatory Project Management. 7 MS. JOHNSON: Just so we don't have 8 any more feedback issues, I'm just going to stand 9 up here. So, we have a couple of questions. 10 11 Again, if anyone has any questions in the 12 audience, raise your hand, and you'll be given a card and it will be brought up here to be 13 14 presented to the panel. 15 The first we got is, "In prioritizing 16 the review of provisional SE applications, what are the classification criteria used?" 17 18 MS. STARK: I am assuming this to the 19 RFR classification that we placed out? Is that 20 in the question? 21 MS. JOHNSON: I read it as is. 22 MS. STARK: The PHI?

ε Ι
Okay. So, I'll start, and, Rosie, you
can jump in, if you would like.
So, for our tiers that are out there,
we actually in a past webinar have discussed what
the top tier was, PHI Tier 1, where they remain
in review. And the main ones that I actually had
bullets up on the slide were non-conventional
products. So, something that was novel that
wasn't out there more recently for a long
time. So, it was more recent.
We looked at products that weren't
fully identified. So, you may come in with a
tobacco product just with the brand name, and
it's two pages, rather than listing your full
ingredients and all of your design features,
other items, and then, comparing across
categories.
When we went into the next tier, some
of those elements were also listed on the slide,
where we talked about major blend changes. We
talked about large increases significant with
HPHCs. We had some changes with some of our

acids and bases. 1

2	When we get down to some of the lower-
3	level ones that we looked at for the remove-from-
4	review queue, we were looking at some smaller
5	things, such as we had some changes to papers
6	where there was no filler in there. They were
7	very small. We had a few others where we looked
8	at certain types of changes to packaging that
9	didn't necessarily translate into the product
10	itself.
11	Rosie, do you have anything to add?
12	MS. JOHNSON: Cristi, you have a
13	couple of questions directed to you. It asks,
14	"Can you speak to how deemed products will be
15	handled within the regular SE process?" It says,
16	"As SEs for deemed products are currently not due
17	until October 2020, will they be treated as
18	provisional SEs and be permitted to remain on the
19	market until SE or NSE final determination? What
20	will be the performance standards?"
21	MS. STARK: So, to hit the provisional
22	SE, to be a provisional product is a very

specific definition by statute. So, the report had to be submitted by March 21st, 2011, and that new product had to be introduced after February 4 15th, 2007, up to and through March 21st, 2011. So, these will not be considered provisional products.

7 With respect to the review process, we 8 are prepared to receive and review them. We're 9 prepared to look at them first-in, first-10 reviewed. I cannot speak to any types of potential compliance policies or anything else 11 12 that may arise or anything that the Commissioner 13 may state. As Director Zeller said this morning, 14 there's not much else we can give. However, we 15 will try to be proactive and engaged with 16 industry as we move through that. The next question for 17 MS. JOHNSON: 18 you -- did you have something else related to 19 say? 20 MS. BELTRE: I think that, as Cristi's 21 presentation alluded to, we are sort of going 22 through the program and evaluating our processes,

1

2

3

5

6

and making sure that we are ready. Unlike in 1 2 2011, where we were just sort of assembling the Center, I think we're in a much better place now 3 4 in terms of the maturity of the programs and 5 finding ways for us to be more transparent and expedient with our process, as best we can. 6 7 MS. JOHNSON: Thank you. This question, also for you. 8 It says, 9 "In an effort towards transparency, will CTP provide details on the public health tiering, 10 11 like how this tiering was undertaken and what the 12 outcome is? And also, what is the basis for the 13 SE acceptance criteria? You mentioned that this 14 is being determined by CTP. Can it be shared with industry?" 15 16 MS. STARK: Sure. So, for the tiering 17 itself, we had quite a bit for the Tier 1 in our 18 webinar that was out there, when we went through 19 all of those elements. My slide talked about a 20 few others. 21 I want to note that that PHI tiering 22 was based off of that report at that point in

time. We looked at that new product as compared to the predicate, and we looked to see what the differences were.

Applicants, in general, should have received notification if they are in the tiers where they are less likely to raise a different question of public health. If they are questions, they still can reach out to their assigned RHPM.

10 With respect to the acceptance criteria, for the SE program, there are two areas 11 12 that we look at for acceptance. We look at what 13 is needed from the statute. So, we're going to 14 look at the basis for SE. We're going to look at 15 is there a health information summary or 16 statement present, and we're going to be looking 17 at regulatory items, such as the RTA rule, as 18 well. 19 So, as you heard in Jennifer Schmitz's 20 presentation, we're looking at, is an EA present?

Are you going to be identifying your product? Do
we have a U.S. agent present, if this is a

1

2

3

foreign applicant? So, items such as that. 1 2 For any additional required items for acceptance, that would have to be through 3 rulemaking. So, stay tuned. 4 MS. BELTRE: I think that industry has 5 matured, and so has the program. And we've seen 6 7 a marked increase in products that are accepted. It's actually, I would say, almost relatively 8 9 rare to receive a refuse-to-accept through the SE 10 Through the webinars, and through program. venues such as these, I think applicants have 11 12 definitely learned what are sort of the criteria, 13 the regulatory/statutory criteria necessary for 14 the program. So, there's definitely been an increase in terms of industry and submitting 15 16 stronger applications that would make it through that acceptance threshold. 17 18 MS. STARK: To give you a sense of the 19 most repeat offender for an RTA decision now, 20 it's really around environmental considerations. 21 And you're going to hear a presentation on that 22 later on in the program. I know people have had

experience. Please make sure, for any 1 2 application that comes in, you have that EA submitted with your application. 3 MR. MURPHY: Can I ask a follow-up 4 5 question? Is it a safe assumption that this was 6 7 a one-and-done process, meaning the tiering by 8 PHI for each particular application and how that 9 fit into the potential RFRs? 10 MS. BELTRE: It is a snapshot in time, 11 and it was done, it was conducted, it was a high-12 level review conducted with the information that 13 we had at the time. I know that we've maybe 14 recently with the RFR efforts sort of talked 15 about it a little bit more, but that is not, 16 should not be interpreted as we are either re-17 reviewing these applications or evaluating recent 18 information. It was conducted I think in the 19 spring of 2013 -- I'm looking for confirmation --20 just about, with the information that we had at 21 that point in time. 22 So, what I'm hearing is MR. MURPHY:

88

1 that you are not reviewing that, but you're not 2 discounting the fact that that could happen at some point in the future? 3 4 MS. BELTRE: PHI has been completed with the information we had in 2013 at that time. 5 Was that it? 6 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. All 7 right. 8 The next question, "Can you speak to 9 how to provide comments to the proposed SE rule, which I understand is currently under OMB 10 review?" 11 12 MS. STARK: Sure. As soon as the 13 comment period opens up, we are soliciting all 14 It would be helpful, when providing comments. 15 them, that you provide rationale for your 16 position, so that we can take that under 17 advisement and respond appropriately. 18 There should be a Federal Register notice out there. Dockets should be open when 19 20 it's available for comment. 21 MS. JOHNSON: All right. "For 22 provisional SE reports, can CTP confirm that,

1	going forward, the Office of Science's review
2	cycle is 120 days, as opposed to the previous 90-
3	day timeline for review? Also, can you please go
4	over the review timelines for regular SE
5	reports?"
6	MS. STARK: So, as you saw on today's
7	slide with the performance measures that we
8	placed out, the review timeframe for provisional
9	SE report cycles is 120 calendar days. I
10	contrast that with regular SE reports, which is
11	90 calendar days.
12	I think that gets to the whole
13	question?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, yes.
15	MR. LINDEGAARD: This sounds
16	excellent. Our experience so far and we might
17	be in the percentages that have not hit the
18	target but, still, the SEs we have in place,
19	and partly on our own fault, I'm sure, have been
20	in process for more than six years and are still
21	not clarified.
22	So, I think, with the experience we

have, that it would be worthwhile discussing if 1 2 there are steps to take to really make the assessment of these products dramatically more 3 efficient; for example, by doing like most other 4 5 countries around the world, saying, we look at the additives, which additives are accepted to be 6 7 used in cigarettes, at what level, rather than 8 having to assess each additive for each cigarette 9 every time there is a new application. That would really dramatically increase the 10 11 transparency for the industry and ease the work 12 for the agency. 13 Have you discussed such an approach?

14 So, I heard two different MS. STARK: One is a fair point. With many 15 points. 16 provisional applications that were received in 17 2010 and 2011, they've been sitting. I want to 18 note, for these performance goals for 120 days, 19 we were kicking them off starting this fiscal 20 year, October 1st, 2018. So, any applicant that 21 receives a notification letter, you're on the 22 120-day cycle. Any applicant that starts to

receive a deficiency letter, starting October 1 2 1st, 2018, or after, you're on the 120-day cycle. For those such as some of the STNs 3 within your company that are beforehand, we are 4 actively trying to move through that queue and 5 get you an appropriate letter within a reasonable 6 7 amount of time, and we are trying to look at those that have been languishing the longest to 8 9 get those out first, to be completely fair. With respect to some of the standard 10 11 that you're looking at for the SE program, where 12 you contrasted it with the exemption request, SE is a little bit different. You are taking a new 13 14 product, and you are comparing it to a predicate. 15 So, it really is that one-to-one comparison where 16 we're looking for differences between that 17 product that is already out there and the new 18 one. 19 I'm going to contrast that where you 20 look at an exemption request or you could look at 21 a premarket tobacco application where you don't 22 have that necessary comparator, and you are

starting to look at that appropriate for the 1 2 protection of public health standard. You're also looking at are there certain additive 3 4 percentage changes or other items that may be 5 applicable. That may also get into some of the categories that you're looking at for potential 6 7 areas for exemption, which would require some 8 thought and rulemaking to go into it. 9 We're open to feedback that you may have with that. If you guys have ideas, this is 10 11 part of the meeting today. And I know that 12 there's going to be quite a bit more discussion on that scientific standard in tomorrow's 13 14 presentation by Drs. Walters, Rogers, and Cecil. 15 Did that get to some of your 16 questions? 17 MR. LINDEGAARD: Yes and no. 18 (Laughter.) 19 But we will, obviously, pick up on 20 some of these points on tomorrow's Panel No. 6, 21 where they might fit more appropriately. 22 But it would just be sort of a type of step that would really speed up the process, I'm sure.

3	MS. BELTRE: All right. I guess I
4	would add, you know, I think that we throw our
5	own words, like there are a couple of tiers.
6	But, just to clarify, within each tier there are
7	hundreds of reports, hundreds. So, you know,
8	when we receive these reports, we receive over
9	3,000 of them, just so that everyone is here on
10	the same page. And even though we say two or
11	three tiers, we're talking about a substantive
12	amount of applications.
13	And within sort of those applications,
13 14	And within sort of those applications, there is randomization, computer-based
14	there is randomization, computer-based
14 15	there is randomization, computer-based randomization, to sort of put them in order, so
14 15 16	there is randomization, computer-based randomization, to sort of put them in order, so that we can organize the application in a way
14 15 16 17	there is randomization, computer-based randomization, to sort of put them in order, so that we can organize the application in a way that they can enter scientific review. So,
14 15 16 17 18	there is randomization, computer-based randomization, to sort of put them in order, so that we can organize the application in a way that they can enter scientific review. So, that's one clarifying point.
14 15 16 17 18 19	there is randomization, computer-based randomization, to sort of put them in order, so that we can organize the application in a way that they can enter scientific review. So, that's one clarifying point. And two, in terms of Cristi alluded to

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

more about that, and explanation on why you 1 2 provided that information that you provided, and in the form that you provided it, will go a long 3 way, instead of the agency trying to guess why 4 5 the information was provided. MS. CUSHMAN: And I just had a follow-6 up on some of the stats in the program updates. 7 8 Of those that have closed out in the projected 9 period of time, how many of those were withdrawals, or do you have that figure, versus 10 those that actually went to either completion or 11 12 NSE? 13 MS. STARK: So, I don't have the 14 numbers on me right now. I didn't pull them in. We can update our website with aggregate numbers. 15 16 I can tell you that there are a much 17 larger number of orders issuing now than in the 18 So, although our withdrawals have been past. 19 high, we are now starting to really increase the number of SE/NSE determinations to hit those 20 21 stats. So, withdrawals have been decreasing, as 22 people understand what goes into what's needed

1

for an SE report.

2 MS. BELTRE: And when the cohort closes online, it will be broken down by 3 withdrawals and actions and letter types. 4 So, 5 you'll be able to have that information. And just as a clarifying 6 MS. CUSHMAN: 7 question on something in your presentation 8 earlier, you mentioned that, when someone doesn't 9 respond to a deficiency letter, it sounded as if, from the presentation, that automatically is 10 11 deemed a withdrawal. Is that correct? 12 MS. STARK: No. So, there is a 13 difference between the exemption request program 14 and the SE application program. For an SE, what 15 we're looking at is, if they do not respond --16 let's say they received a deficiency letter --17 they may go into another cycle, and there may be 18 review, or it may potentially be enough for that 19 NSE decision. 20 With the exemption request program, by 21 regulation, we actually state, if you fail to respond to that AI letter, the application is 22

considered withdrawn. So, that's in regulation. 1 2 MR. HOLMAN: I'm going to take prerogative as the Office Director to ask a 3 4 question of the three industry panelists. 5 So, Cristi presented a number of 6 improvements. You guys all sort of touched on 7 them in your opening remarks. But I'm wondering 8 if you could just sort of elaborate on the change 9 in policy on the response times and what that means, or doesn't mean. 10 11 She also highlighted some of the 12 changes that we're trying to make to the communications. I heard that some of the 13 14 communication has not been all that helpful. If 15 you could respond to maybe some of the examples 16 she shared of how we're trying to clarify things 17 in the letters, and are there other specific 18 areas that you guys have in mind where maybe we 19 are communicating, but the communication isn't as 20 clear as it needs to be? 21 MS. CUSHMAN: So, as I mentioned in my 22 opening remarks -- and I appreciate the question,

and, Dr. Holman, you've been great about 1 2 soliciting feedback in this area -- the idea of responding with this additional information in a 3 letter versus having it upfront, I guess, for me, 4 5 I'm not sure why there's a disconnect between not having it at the front end, where companies can 6 7 submit a more fully defined SE application at the 8 outset. 9 I know in preparing the provisionals,

obviously, that wasn't available at the time, 10 11 which I completely understand, given the time in 12 which the agency had been in place. But, at this 13 point, I think that within the agency there is 14 enough information, whether it be through reviewer guides, whether it be through these 15 16 appendices that were mentioned, that that should 17 just be made public.

18 There should be examples of 19 notification letters, even if they're redacted, 20 that outline some of the deficiencies you're 21 seeing in great detail; rather than just bullet 22 points, examples of each of these items. I think

as much information as possible makes the review 1 2 more efficient for you all, and certainly makes it much easier for us to prepare the 3 4 applications. 5 So, Brittani, one of your MS. STARK: 6 opening statements was to make public some of 7 these appendices for information to consider. We 8 did not do that prior to the public meeting today. 9 That is on our list to do shortly thereafter. 10 11 So, the appendices that individuals 12 will be receiving, you do not need to submit an 13 application to see what's in these appendices. 14 We will make them publicly available. And as 15 they're updated, we'll be updating what is on the 16 website at that point in time, so people do have access to it. 17 18 The second item that I actually have 19 from your opening remarks was regarding 20 extensions, lab capacity, and everything else. Ι 21 know that we have now made recent changes to extend the time for deficiency letters. 22

1	Can I hear a little bit more on your
2	perspective for what denial of an extension for
3	time to 180 days would do to you and what you
4	guys are concerned with?
5	MS. CUSHMAN: I think right now it's
6	probably a bit hypothetical. But I know many of
7	us in the room and industry have been, as I
8	mentioned, talking to labs, and labs just on the
9	newly deemed product site are already expressing
10	concern that there isn't and I will quote one
11	without attributing it but "There isn't enough
12	lab capacity in the world for what we're about to
13	see in the deemed product world."
14	So, if you have applications that are
15	still sitting there in the regular SE bin, and
16	you receive a deficiency letter that demonstrates
17	you need some additional testing, for example,
18	you may go to a lab and find that they're
19	backlogged two years. So, I think that's the
20	concern I have.
21	And a blanket, no-extension policy, I
22	just think the idea doesn't make sense
-	

considering the amount of lab work that is going to be needed upcoming. And often, deficiencies do require some lab work to be done. So, I would just say, revisit the policy of no-extensions period and have it go back to a case-by-case basis.

MS. STARK: So, let me throw something
out for discussion from the three of you to see
where we're at.

In general, in the past, many applicants have received an advice information request letter. They've gotten that. They've responded. They received a preliminary finding letter. Both of these are now going to have 180day timeframes.

16 If you guys are starting to see, in 17 the absence even of a pre-submission meeting 18 which is open to everyone, what is needed, and 19 you can plan for your testing -- so, before you 20 submit them, let's just state that you need to do 21 stability testing on something, and that may take 22 you a year before you get it in. How do you feel

1

2

3

4

5

6

about starting the testing when you first submit 1 2 the application, waiting for that first deficiency letter potentially, if it's not there, 3 and then, responding to that when you have the 4 testing information? This way, you know upfront 5 and you can plan to test accordingly at the 6 7 start, rather than in response to that deficiency 8 letter.

9 MR. LINDEGAARD: Well, that would make 10 a lot of sense, seen from our perspective, if it is clear to us what we would be expected to test. 11 12 That has not always been very clear to us. And I 13 also think it has been the result of a growing 14 skill set within FDA, in the Office of Science, 15 that we can see from the responses that we have 16 received that they have become a lot smarter. 17 They know a lot more detail about the products. 18 And by knowing a lot more details, they also know 19 a lot more questions to ask.

20 So, we got, in the year we, all of a 21 sudden, got very specific questions that we were 22 not prepared for and we could not have, I think,

1

2

anticipated earlier in the process, or we got them at the preliminary finding.

So, yes, we try to look forward and do 3 4 the testing we think is needed, but we can't 5 always predict exactly what type of questions are going to show up. That has been our experience, 6 7 So, we have been struggling with some of anyway. 8 the 30 and 60 days desperately. I mean, I've 9 lost sleep over some of these waiting for extension, but the 180 days is going to resolve a 10 11 lot of things in the current situation. But I 12 also agree, with the potentially thousands of 13 products that need to be tested going forward, 14 just from our company, I don't know how the timeline looks. 15

MR. MURPHY: Yes, so we're talking specifically about applications within the regular space, not these pre-submission meetings and setting expectations. I think we've gathered enough learning over the years that, you know, when we start looking at potentially submitting regular applications, that we pretty much, just eyeballing the comparisons, know what types of product data or test data may be required by the agency. It doesn't necessarily mean that we would submit that in our initial application, but at least we would have some sense of what may be needed and have that in our back pocket, if needed.

8 But I want to go back to Dr. Holman's 9 question about this extension of time. Moving from either a 30-day clock or a 60-day clock to a 10 180-day clock, it sounds great when you look at 11 12 it in isolation and kind of in the abstract. 13 It's kind of be careful what you ask for, because 14 a lot of these AINP finds, they're not on one 15 particular product. They're for 15 products at a 16 time.

And even though we within Reynolds have grown over time as an organization, and we have a lot of resources available to us, we've broken it out where we've got groups focused on provisionals; we've got groups focused on regulars; we've got groups focused on smoke

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

There are always a lot of balls in the 1 lists. 2 air. And all of these different submissions groups are generally reaching out to the same 3 4 stakeholders across the enterprise. So, it's a function of resource 5 constraints. Just because you give me more time 6 7 doesn't necessarily mean that I can still generate what I need to generate in that 8 9 timeframe. 10 MR. LINDEGAARD: Can I add one point? 11 I think you should also be aware that, 12 when the deemed product comes in with pipe 13 tobacco, which is just an enormous amount of 14 different brands produced in very small volumes, or cigars produced in a situation where it's a 15 16 very low-tech environment from those 17 manufacturers, they have no regulatory or 18 scientific department, most of these manufacturers. It is going to be a different 19 20 scenario, even the most basic data on quality 21 control. I mean, the most advanced equipment 22 that is being used in this is a ruler and maybe a

1	scale. So, there is going to be sort of a gap of
2	knowledge which is going to be difficult to fill
3	up in 180 days, or 10 years, for that matter.
4	MS. BELTRE: So, I actually want to
5	piggyback on the point that you made in terms of
6	there be a learning curve. So, in Cristi's
7	presentation today, she went over these
8	appendices that we really hope are a great tool,
9	as applicants prepare new applications.
10	And I want to clarify a couple of
11	things. One, these are not a direct comparison
12	to your predicate tobacco product. And at the
13	end of the day, for the SE program, the predicate
14	that you select really is what's going to dictate
15	the kinds of deficiencies that you are going to
16	receive, right?
17	So, in thinking about sort of the
18	challenges that you're facing when you're
19	preparing these reports, I would encourage all of
20	you to think about your selected predicate. What
21	kind of comparisons are you making? What kind of
22	differences are between those two? Because that

would definitely dictate the kind of, the number 1 2 of deficiencies, or how extensive the deficiencies are, or how far you may have to go 3 to justify those differences. That's one. 4 And two, the appendices that we have 5 sort of collated are years of work, of reviewing 6 7 an SE report, and sort of the program evolving. And this is true for statutory products. 8 And 9 it's going to take some time for us to get there 10 for newly deemed tobacco products. So, although 11 you're going to sort of start seeing these 12 deficiencies, and we'll post them, or these 13 common issues that we've seen in the past, I just 14 want to make sure that everyone is clear that, for newly deemed tobacco products, that's going 15 16 to take some time. I mean, it took a long time 17 to get to these, and I think we've learned. 18 So, moving forward, it's something that we would definitely keep in mind for newly 19 20 deemed tobacco products, that we have come to 21 consensus on common issues, that we would post 22 those more readily than we have in the past for

provisional. I mean, it took a long time. 1 2 But I just wanted to clarify that point for you. 3 4 MR. LINDEGAARD: Thank you. MS. BELTRE: You're welcome. 5 6 MS. JOHNSON: So, we've come to the end of our time for the first panel, 7 8 unfortunately, just as soon as it was getting 9 going good. Thanks to Dr. Holman for lobbing 10 that grenade to the panel. 11 We're going to take a break. 12 We want to thank our panelists. Let's 13 give them a round of applause. 14 (Applause.) 15 Thank you so much. 16 And we're going to take a 15-minute Let's all convene back here about 10:35. 17 break. 18 Thank you. 19 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 10:24 a.m. and resumed at 20 21 10:39 a.m.) 22 MS. RUDOLPH: Folks, before we move

into our second panel, I'll introduce myself. I'm Karen Rudolph, also with the Stakeholder Relations Office in the Office of the Center Director with the FDA CTP.

5 On the last page, for those who are in person, you might note that there are some 6 7 helpful resources on your agenda. A couple of 8 folks have been asking about availability of 9 resources following this meeting, and just to take note that the web cast will be available 10 11 probably first, and then we do anticipate that 12 the transcript and also the presentations will be made available on our website. But there's a 13 14 helpful link that you can kind of take a look at 15 to be informed of what information is available 16 when.

17 Also it's been brought to our 18 attention that for those who are submitting 19 questions, it would be really helpful if you 20 could write really legibly because evidently some 21 folks who are trying to read that before they 22 come up to us are having a little bit of trouble.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

1	Also be very, very clear in what you're
2	specifically asking so that we can ensure that
3	the questions that you all provide us can be
4	answered during this public session.
5	So it looks like everybody's settling
6	in. So as we get moving into our second panel,
7	why don't we go ahead and start with our FDA
8	colleagues and Nicholas.
9	MR. HASBROUCK: All righty. Good
10	morning and thank you all for coming today. My
11	name is Nicholas Hasbrouck, and I'm a regulatory
12	health project manager with CTP's Office of
13	Science. I'll be speaking today about pre-market
14	tobacco product applications, otherwise known as
15	PMTAs.
16	First, I will describe the statutory
17	requirements and explain the five review phases
18	for this program. Then I will go through the
19	program. Then I'll go through discussion of some
20	recent metrics and key features and wrap up with
21	the various resources CTP has made available to
22	applicants.

1	
1	So now I will discuss the statutory
2	requirements for a PMTA as described in Section
3	910 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.
4	An order under Section 910(a)(2) is required to
5	legally introduce and market a new tobacco
6	product in the United States. You have
7	previously heard talks on the substantial
8	equivalence and exemption from substantial
9	equivalence pathways. This talk on PMTAs will be
10	the third talk on pathways to legally market a
11	tobacco product in the United States. The PMTA
12	pathway is the primary pathway for a new tobacco
13	product to come to market.
14	I keep looking up, but I have it right
15	here. Sorry.
16	For a PMTA, the CTP review is looking
17	at whether marketing of the tobacco product for
18	which an application has been submitted meets
19	four main criteria. First and the focus of my
20	presentation is if the product is appropriate for
21	the protection of public health.
22	Consideration for this is determined

with respect to the risks and benefits to the 1 2 population as a whole, including users and nonusers of the tobacco product. This consideration 3 also takes into account the increased or 4 5 decreased likelihood that existing users of tobacco products will stop using tobacco products 6 and the increased or decreased likelihood that 7 8 those who do not use tobacco products will start 9 to use tobacco products.

Additionally, our review will look at 10 11 conformance to the requirements that apply under Section 906(e), which deals with manufacturing 12 13 practices if they apply. The proposed labeling 14 should not be false or misleading, which may render a product misbranded under Section 903. 15 16 And the product must conform to any product 17 standards under Section 907 which apply, or it 18 must contain an adequate justification for why 19 there are such deviations.

Now that we've discussed some of the
regulatory requirements of the PMTA pathway, I
will go through the five review phases of a PMTA.

The PMTA review process is divided into five 1 2 distinct phases. Just to note, the flags here represent the phases. However, they are not 3 necessarily to scale and do not indicate the 4 5 portion of time required for review. Phase zero, which is not required but 6 7 is strongly recommended, is the pre-PMTA 8 submission meeting. Phase one is the acceptance 9 Phase two is the filing review. review. Phase three is substantive review and the action phase. 10 And phase four is the post-market reporting 11 12 As described in Section 910(c)(1)(a), the phase. 13 PMTA pathway has a 180-day review period. And 14 now I will go more in depth on the review 15 process. 16 Phase zero of the submission review 17 process is the pre-PMTA meeting between the 18 applicant and CTP. Again, this is considered 19 phase zero as it is not a required phase. 20 However, CTP encourages applicants to request 21 appropriate meetings as we find that after 22 meeting with FDA, an application may be more

complete at the time of submission and more 1 2 likely to be accepted and filed. CTP notes that a meeting is best when 3 4 held well in advance of the planned pre-market 5 submission so that the applicant has an opportunity to consider CTP discussion points and 6 7 feedback prior to preparing their full 8 application. This may include but is not limited 9 to discussions on appropriate samples, inspections, study endpoints, and any clarifying 10 11 questions. 12 CTP issued a revised guidance in July 13 of 2016 on meeting with industry and 14 investigators on the research and development of 15 tobacco products, which may provide further 16 information on how to plan, request, and what to 17 expect from meeting with CTP. Additionally, 18 there will be a talk later today about meeting 19 with CTP. 20 Phase one of the review process is the 21 acceptance phase. During the acceptance phase, 22 CTP will review the application to ensure the

product falls under our jurisdiction. 1 Then a 2 regulatory health project manager will complete a high level preliminary review to determine if the 3 application on its face contains the statutory 4 and regulatory required information applicable to 5 This is per the refuse to accept 6 PMTAs. 7 procedures for pre-market tobacco product submissions, which were discussed earlier in the 8 9 exemptions from substantial equivalence talk. At the end of this phase, CTP will 10 11 issue one of two types of correspondence. If the 12 application is missing a required element, the 13 applicant will receive a refuse to accept letter, which will include a reason for the refusal. 14 If 15 the application appears to contain all of the 16 required elements, CTP will issue an 17 acknowledgment letter, which will inform the 18 applicant of their submission tracking number and

Just a note about the role of the RHPM, we're your main point of contact for any issues related to your applications, and we are

the RHPM that is assigned to their application.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

the ones that should be contacted if any questions arise with your applications. The acceptance letter will provide the RHPM's contact information.

If refused, the applicant can submit 5 a new application once they're able to provide 6 7 all of the required elements. If the application is accepted by CTP, it moves to the next phase, 8 9 which is the filing review. The purpose of the filing review is to determine if the application 10 contains sufficient information to initiate 11 12 substantive review. FDA will conduct a more in-13 depth, multidisciplinary review of the data as 14 submitted to determine if all statutory and 15 regulatory requirements have been provided as 16 outlined in Section 910(b).

17 Regulatory and scientific reviewers 18 will determine if the application includes, one, 19 full reports of all information published or 20 known, or what should reasonably be known to the 21 applicant, regarding health risks of the tobacco 22 product and whether the tobacco product presents

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

less risk than other tobacco products. 1 For 2 instance, a social science reviewer may look at use liability data to see if there's enough 3 information to review if the product is 4 appropriate for the protection of public health. 5 Two, the applicant should include a 6 7 statement of the components, ingredients, additives, and properties and of the principle or 8 9 principles of operation of the tobacco product. Three, a full description of the 10 methods used in and facilities used and controls 11 12 used for the manufacturing, processing, and, when 13 relevant, packaging and installation of the 14 tobacco product. This should include the address 15 of the applicant's manufacturing facilities. 16 Furthermore, we will determine if the 17 application includes an identifying reference to 18 any tobacco product standard under Section 907 19 that applies. 20 Five, samples of the tobacco product 21 and components thereof as may be reasonably 22 required. Suggested sample numbers may be

discussed at the pre-submission meeting if one is held.

3	Six, specimens of the labeling
4	proposed to be used for the tobacco product and,
5	finally, any other information relevant to the
6	subject matter of the application. Again, other
7	information is a component that may be identified
8	during the pre-submission meeting that is held as
9	it may be unique to the tobacco product
10	submitted.
11	At the end of this phase, similar to
12	the acceptance phase, CTP will issue one of two
13	types of correspondence. If the submitted
14	information is inadequate to continue a
15	substantive review, the applicant will receive a
16	refuse to file letter, which will include the
17	reason for refusal.
18	If the application meets the filing
19	requirements for a PMTA seeking a marketing
20	order, CTP will issue a letter to notify the
21	applicant that the application has been filed.

22

(202) 234-4433

1

2

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

If refused, the applicant has the option to

submit a new application once, again, they're able to provide all the required statutory and regulatory elements.

If the application is accepted by CTP, 4 5 it moves to Phase 3, which deals with substantive review and an action by CTP. The substantive 6 7 review phase is a multidisciplinary approach to review the data submitted by the applicant to 8 determine if such data is sufficient to 9 10 demonstrate -- sorry -- to demonstrate that 11 authorizing the marketing of the product would be 12 appropriate for the protection of public health 13 as previously described.

14 During this review phase, CTP may conduct inspections such as of clinical or 15 16 manufacturing facilities in conjunction with 17 CTP's Office of Compliance and Enforcement. Also 18 of note, an application may be referred to the 19 Tobacco Product Scientific Advisory Committee, 20 otherwise known as TPSAC. If the applicant would 21 like TPSAC -- excuse me -- if the applicant would 22 like CTP to consider referral to TPSAC, they

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

should include the request in the cover letter of 1 2 the initial submission. It would also be helpful to provide a reason as to why the referral is 3 warranted. CTP has the discretion to refer a 4 5 product under consideration to TPSAC and will determine this during the substantive review 6 7 phase. And also testing of the new product may 8 be conducted by FDA.

9 After completion of the review, FDA will determine if marketing of the product under 10 11 review is appropriate for the protection of 12 public health and if it may or may not be introduced or delivered for introduction into 13 14 interstate commerce. In general, within 180 15 days, an applicant will receive either a 16 marketing authorization or no marketing 17 authorization.

18 If an application is denied, a 19 rationale for that decision will be provided. 20 The applicant will have an opportunity to 21 resubmit their application. If authorized, the 22 applicant will be provided a marketing order

notifying them that the product is appropriate 1 2 for the protection of public health and you have met the requirements under Section 910(c) of the 3 FD&C Act. Under the provision of Section 910, 4 5 you may introduce or deliver your product for introduction into interstate commerce. If there 6 are any restriction on sales and distribution, 7 8 these will be described in the marketing order. 9 If after review of the submission, marketing orders are authorized, CTP will 10 11 generally request any post-market reporting in 12 the marketing order letter. These will vary 13 based on the product and the submitted data. 14 However, examples may include serious and unexpected adverse event reporting, which we 15 16 typically request within 15 days after an adverse 17 event is received by the applicant, any 18 manufacturing deviations, and we also may request 19 any other reports such as annual or biannual 20 reporting or updates to ongoing studies. Again, 21 the marketing order will detail any specific 22 reports and timelines for these reports to be

(202) 234-4433

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1

2	Now that we've had an opportunity to
3	discuss the statutory requirements and the review
4	process, I will discuss the metrics through the
5	last fiscal quarter, reiterate some key features
6	of the PMTA pathway, and wrap up with some
7	resources CTP has made available to applicants.
8	But first a note about withdrawals.
9	Applicants are allowed to withdraw their PMTA for
10	any reason at any time in the process prior to a
11	marketing decision by CTP. To withdraw an
12	application, a request must be submitted to CTP
13	in writing. Upon receipt, we will issue a letter
14	acknowledging the withdraw request, thus ending
15	review of the product.
16	Here I am showing some recent metrics
17	related to the PMTA Program. Just a note, there
18	are some applications at various stages in the
19	review process, as well as applications that have
20	been withdrawn. Therefore, these numbers may not
21	all add up.
22	As of September 30th, 2018, CTP has

received 396 PMTA applications and entered Phase
1 of the review process. Of the applications
received, 26 have been acknowledged and moved
into Phase 2, which, again, is filing, while CTP
has refused to accept 367 applications. Of those
acknowledged, 17 have been I'm sorry of
those acknowledged, 17 have been filed and moved
into Stage 3, which is substantive review, while
CTP has refused to file five applications. Eight
applications have received marketing
authorization, and thus far no applications that
have been filed have received no marketing
authorizations or a denial. Three PMTAs have
been withdrawn.
There are some features of a PMTA that
are important and/or unique compared with other
pathways that I wanted to reiterate and
highlight. Again, the PMTA pathway is a primary
pathway to legally market a new tobacco product
in the United States. This is because a PMTA
does not require a predicate tobacco product as
previously as is required in the SE pathway.

Rather, a PMTA is for a new product that is not 1 2 equivalent to something that is already on the market. Also it is important to note that an 3 authorized PMTA cannot be used as a predicate 4 5 product for substantial equivalence submissions. A PMTA may require post-market 6 7 reporting, which will be communicated in the 8 marketing authorization letter. Please be sure 9 to read this letter thoroughly as it will outline 10 any specific information. A PMTA may be referred 11 to TPSAC; however it is not required, such as is 12 required for an MRTP. Also samples may be 13 required. Again, CTP generally will act on a 14 PMTA within 180 days. We also wanted to highlight an 15 16 opportunity for bundled submissions. This means 17 that if you plan to be prepare an application for 18 a number of products, you can submit one PMT 19 application. However to facilitate the review of 20 the bundled submission, please be sure your 21 submission identifies the unique characterization 22 for each product. CTP will make a determination

on the number of unique products and assign submission tracking numbers as appropriate. And for a bundled submission, an applicant can also utilize the tobacco product master file if appropriate, which you will hear more about later today.

7 Here I have listed some helpful 8 resources CTP has provided for additional 9 information. I understand there was a lot of information discussed, and I encourage you to ask 10 11 questions during the panel discussions later 12 today, in addition to listening to Dr. Murphy's 13 PMTA talk tomorrow, which will go deeper in depth 14 on the contents of a PMTA.

15 Thank you for your attention during my
16 presentation on pre-market tobacco product
17 applications.

MS. JACKSON: Good morning. All right, so thank you all for coming today. My name is Ebony Jackson, and I'm a regulatory health project manager with CTP's Office of Science. Today I have the great pleasure of

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

speaking with you about modified risk tobacco 1 2 product applications, otherwise known as MRTPAs. So first I'm going to describe the 3 statutory requirements for the applications and 4 5 then explain the five review phases of the I'll go through a discussion of some of 6 program. 7 the key features, as well as highlight some recent metrics, and then wrap up with various 8 9 resources FDA has made available to applicants. 10 So starting with the statutory requirements for an MRTPA as described in Section 11 12 911 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. 13 Please note that unlike the other presentations 14 you have just heard, this is not a pathway to This process is to obtain authorization 15 market. 16 to utilize a claim for a modified risk. 17 Modified risk tobacco products or 18 MRTPs are defined as any tobacco product that is 19 sold or distributed for use to reduce harm or the risk of tobacco-related disease associated with 20 21 commercially marketed tobacco products. This 22 includes products whose label, labeling, or

advertising represents explicitly or implicitly 1 2 that the product presents a lower risk of tobacco-related disease or is less harmful than 3 other commercially marketed tobacco products, or 4 5 the product and its smoke contains a reduced level of, or presents a reduced exposure to, a 6 7 substance or is not -- or does not contain -excuse me -- or is free of a substance. 8 9 A tobacco product is also considered 10 an MRTP if light, mild, low or other similar descriptors are used in its label, labeling, and 11 12 advertising or its manufacturer has taken any action after June 22nd, 2009 directed to 13 14 consumers through the media or otherwise, other than by means of label, labeling, or advertising 15 16 that will be reasonably expected to result in 17 consumers believing that the tobacco product may 18 present a reduced risk of harm, tobacco-related 19 disease, or exposure to a substance than other 20 commercially marketed tobacco products. 21 As previously mentioned, an MRTPA is

not a pathway to market. In order for an MRTP to

be legally introduced or delivered for 1 2 introduction into interstate commerce, that product must have obtained authorization from FDA 3 through a marketing pathway such as SE, EX, or 4 5 PMTA which were all presented on previous to me, or the product can be a grandfather product. 6 7 Additionally, in order to be legally introduced, 8 FDA must issue a modified risk order authorizing 9 the modified risk claim itself.

10 So now that we've discussed the 11 statutory requirements of the MRTPA, I will go 12 through the five review phases. The MRTPA review 13 process is divided into five distinct phases. 14 And just a note here, the flags represent the 15 phases; however they are not necessarily to scale 16 and do not reflect a period of time for review.

17 So phase zero which is not required is 18 the pre-MRTPA meeting. And while it's not 19 required, it is strongly recommended. Phase one 20 is the acceptance review. Phase two, filing. 21 Phase three is substantive review and action. 22 And phase four is the post-market surveillance

and studies review phase. Although not 1 2 considered a distinct phase, renewal and resubmission is a unique feature to the MRTPA 3 process which I will cover as well. 4 So phase zero of the submissions 5 review process is the pre-MRTPA meeting between 6 the applicant and FDA. 7 It is considered phase zero as it is not required. But as stated, it is 8 9 strongly encouraged by FDA as it allows applicants to ask specific questions and gain 10 feedback, and we find that after meeting with 11 12 FDA, an application may be more complete at the time of submission, which in turn makes it more 13 14 likely that it will be accepted and filed. A pre-submission meeting allows FDA 15 16 and the applicant to have a discussion about 17 samples. Applicants can learn how many samples may be requested and the types of testing that 18 19 may be conducted. If you are seeking a certain 20 claim, applicants should ensure you have the 21 studies to back that claim. These endpoints can 22 be discussed during a pre-meeting. Although the

requirements for filing are outlined in the act, the presubmission meeting allows applicants to ask questions to gain a better understanding of the expectations and requirements.

5 A presubmission meeting can also cover 6 the general process and expectations for the 7 inspections of clinical and manufacturing 8 facilities. FDA can outline what information is 9 useful to be included in the application such as 10 the name and address of each of the processing 11 facilities specific to that product.

12 A pre-meeting can also be useful to 13 the applicant to gain feedback on the format of the application for FDA. During this discussion, 14 FDA can provide feedback on the technical 15 16 structuring of the application for ease of 17 submitting through Portal, as well as the 18 organizational structuring of the application for 19 ease of application review. And so these are 20 just some examples of how a presubmission meeting 21 can be useful to the applicants.

22

1

2

3

4

Similar to other applications, MRTPAs

have an acceptance phase. During the acceptance 1 2 phase, FDA will review the application to ensure the product falls under the jurisdiction of the 3 Center for Tobacco Products. Then an RHPM 4 5 completes a high level preliminary review to determine if the application on its face contains 6 the statutory and regulatory required information 7 applicable to MRTPAs. 8

9 At the end of this phase, FDA will 10 issue one of two types of correspondence. If the application is missing a required element, the 11 12 applicant will receive a refuse to accept letter, which includes the reason for refusal. 13 The 14 refuse to accept or RTA procedures have already been covered in previous presentations. 15

16 If the application appears to contain 17 all of the required elements, FDA will issue an 18 acknowledgment letter, which will inform the 19 applicant of their submission tracking number or 20 STN, as well as the regulatory health project 21 manager assigned to that application, along with 22 their contact information.

(202) 234-4433

1	If refused, the applicant can submit
2	a new application once they are able to provide
3	all of the statutory and regulatory required
4	information required for that application.
5	If the application is accepted by FDA,
6	it moves into the next phase, which is phase two,
7	filing. The purpose of the filing review is to
8	determine if the application contains the
9	necessary information to initiate a full
10	substantive review. FDA will conduct a more in-
11	depth multidisciplinary approach to reviewing the
12	application as it's submitted to determine if all
13	the statutory and regulatory requirements have
14	been provided as outlined in Section 911(d).
15	Regulatory and scientific reviewers
16	will determine if the MRTPA includes the required
17	components as follows. Number one, a description
18	of the proposed product and any proposed
19	advertising and labeling. The product should be
20	uniquely identified, described the proposed
21	claim, and how the claim will be displayed or
22	marketed.

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	Number two, the conditions for using
2	the product. It's helpful to describe the
3	product's intended use, like heated and inhaled
4	or chewed, as well as the potential users.
5	Number three, the formulation of the
6	product. This could include manufacturing
7	process flows and ingredient information.
8	Number four, sample product labels and
9	labeling. An example of this would be actual
10	images and all views of the labels and labeling
11	with the proposed claim to be utilized for the
12	product.
13	Number five, all documents including
14	underlying scientific information relating to the
15	research findings conducted, supported, or
16	possessed by the tobacco product manufacturer
17	relating to the effect of the product on tobacco-
18	related diseases and health-related conditions
19	including information both favorable and
20	unfavorable to the ability of the product to
21	reduce risk or exposure in relating to human
22	health. For this, all other information is

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

something that could be identified in the
 presubmissions meeting.

Number six, data and information on
how consumers actually use the tobacco product.
The data should be specific to the product type,
relevant to the claim, and take into account all
users and potential users.

8 And number 7, such other information 9 as the Secretary may require. Such other 10 information may include samples. This is another 11 item which would be great to discuss at the 12 presubmission meeting if held.

13 So filing ends in a decision, just as 14 the acceptance phase. At the end of this phase, similar to the previous, FDA will issue one of 15 16 two types of correspondence. If any of the 17 aforementioned required components are omitted 18 from the application, the applicant will receive 19 a refuse to file letter, which will include the 20 reason for refusal. If refused, the application 21 is closed, and the applicant has the option to 22 submit a new application once they are able to

meet the filing requirements for an MRTPA. 1 2 Alternatively, if the application meets the filing requirements for an MRTPA, FDA 3 will issue a letter to notify the applicant that 4 the application has been filed. Once filed, the 5 application moves into phase three, which 6 7 contains substantive review and action by FDA. So once filed, the application will be 8 9 publically published on the FDA website redacting any private or confidential or commercial 10 information. FDA's review utilizes a 11 12 multidisciplinary approach from disciplines such 13 as chemistry, toxicology, engineering, and 14 microbiology. And they'll review the data submitted by the applicant and determine if the 15 16 modified risk claim presented by the applicant is 17 valid and can be substantiated. And Dr. Apelberg 18 will cover more on this in tomorrow's discussion. 19 So during this phase also testing of 20 the new product may be conducted by FDA. While 21 it is not required, FDA may conduct inspections such as of clinical or manufacturing facilities 22

www.nealrgross.com

in conjunction with FDA's Office of Compliance and Enforcement or OCE. As stated, this is also a good thing to discuss during the presubmissions meeting.

5 It is also during this phase that the MRTPA will be referred to the Tobacco Products 6 7 Scientific Advisory Committee, also known as 8 In general, TPSAC is an open process. TPSAC. 9 However, there may be closed sessions for discussion of certain items, which are trade 10 11 secret information such as ingredients of the 12 product.

13 Phase three is completed by FDA taking 14 an action towards the application. One of three types of correspondence is issued at this time. 15 16 If after a substantive review, FDA determines that the modified risk claim cannot be 17 18 substantiated, a denial letter is issued. The 19 applicant will not be able to utilize the proposed modified risk claim. If FDA determines 20 that more information is needed from the 21 22 applicant, a response letter is issued. If a

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

denial or a response letter is issued, the 1 2 applicant has the option to resubmit when sufficient information can be provided. 3 And I will talk a little bit more about resubmissions 4 5 in just a moment. Upon completion of substantive review, 6 7 if FDA determines that the claim can be 8 substantiated, then a modified risk order is 9 issued. This authorizes the applicant to utilize that proposed claim for that specific product. 10 11 The modified risk order is not permanent. It is 12 for a fixed period of time, which will be specified in that order. 13 14 If a modified risk order is obtained, 15 the applicant must follow up with post-market 16 surveillance and study activities. Applicants 17 are required to conduct post-market surveillance 18 and study activities utilizing an approved 19 protocol and submit the results to FDA. FDA will 20 review these results and may collect further 21 information about the product's use and health 22 risk, as well as determine the impact of the

order on consumer perception, behavior, and health.

If at any time FDA determines that it can no longer make the determinations required under Section 911(g) of the FD&C Act, FDA is required to withdraw that order. Before FDA withdraws a modified risk order, an opportunity will be provided for an informal hearing as required by the law.

As previously stated, modified risk 10 11 orders are not permanent. It is for a fixed 12 period of time, which will be specified in the To continue to market a modified risk 13 order. 14 tobacco product after that set term in the 15 modified risk order, the applicant would need to 16 seek renewal of the order. At that time, FDA 17 would need to determine that the findings 18 continue to be satisfied. No matter the action 19 letter received in Phase 3, be it the modified 20 risk order, a denial, or a response letter, 21 applicants also have the option to resubmit the 22 For ease of review, applicants can MRTPA.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

reference the previous applications and indicate
 any changes made for FDA to consider.
 The withdrawal process of an MRTPA
 mirrors that of the marketing pathways previously
 discussed today. Applicants are allowed to
 withdraw their MRTPA for any reason at any time
 in the process prior to a marketing determination

8 by CTP. Once CTP receives a written request to 9 withdraw an application, we will issue a letter 10 acknowledging the withdraw request, thus ending 11 the review of that application.

So now that we've had an opportunity to discuss some of the statutory requirements and the review process, I'm going to reiterate some of the key features of MRTPAs, provide some of the metrics through the last fiscal quarter, and wrap up with some resources that CTP has made available to applicants.

So here are some of the key features
of the MRTPA process I would like to highlight
for you. FDA must make applications available
for public comment with the exception of personal

privacy, trade secret, or otherwise confidential, 1 2 commercial information. A redacted version of the application is posted to the FDA website 3 shortly after filing. FDA must refer MRTPAs to 4 5 TPSAC for recommendations. As previously stated, TPSAC is generally an open process, excluding any 6 7 trade secret or confidential, commercial 8 information. FDA intends to make a decision on 9 the MRTPA within 360 days. A decision is indicated by the applicant receiving one of three 10 action letters as discussed in phase three. 11 12 Modified risk orders are issued for 13 individual products and not for a class of 14 tobacco products. The modified risk order will authorize use of a claim for a specific product 15 16 for a specified period of time as outlined in 17 that order. 18 So here I am showing some recent 19 metrics related to the MRTPA program. Just a 20 note, there are applications at various stages 21 throughout the review process, as well as 22 applications that have been withdrawn and these

1

numbers are reflective of such.

2	As of September 30 of 2018, FDA has
3	received 37 MRTPAs and entered phase one of the
4	review process. Of that 37, 26 have been
5	acknowledge and moved to phase two, which again
6	is filing, while 10 were RTA'd. Twenty were
7	filed and moved to phase three, substantive
8	review, while four were RTF'd. Five applications
9	were withdrawn by the applicant somewhere in the
10	review process. Eight applications received an
11	action letter for response, and there are
12	currently applications in the review process with
13	FDA.
14	Here I've listed out some helpful
15	resources CTP has provided for additional
16	information. Later today, Ms. Sharyn Miller will
17	present on how to locate these and other
18	resources on the FDA website. Additionally, Dr.
19	Apelberg will be speaking tomorrow more in-depth
20	about the MRTPA process, as well as its contents.
21	I encourage you to listen to both and ask
22	questions during the panel discussions. Thank

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

you so much for your time today.

2	MS. RUDOLPH: So let's go ahead and
3	also give thanks to Nicholas. Great job. As the
4	panelists come on up and we'll get started into -
5	- we have about 30 minutes together. How's this
6	all sound? Sorry, guys, my ears are a little
7	clogged up with a little sinusitis.
8	Just as a reminder, we'll be going in
9	alphabetical order with our outside panelists
10	getting a chance to have five minutes to
11	introduce themselves and share their perspective.
12	And then our FDA colleagues will also introduce
13	themselves.
14	Could somebody change the panel slide
15	please to Session Two Panel Discussion?
16	Fantastic. Thank you. Thanks for noticing,
17	Cristi. Great, so let's go ahead, and, Patricia,
18	you're first.
19	MS. KOVACEVIC: Good morning and allow
20	me to thank the Center for Tobacco Products for
21	bringing together their expertise, as well as
22	industry's expertise to provide additional

transparency for the tobacco product application process.

I'm Patricia Kovacevik. Historically 3 I've worked for Philip Morris International as 4 senior counsel, for Lorillard as head of 5 regulatory, and also for a domestic manufacturer 6 7 of newly deemed vaping products, Nicopure Labs, 8 as general counsel and chief compliance officer. 9 At present, I'm an independent consultant continuing to consult for one of my former 10 11 employers and others in the industry. 12 My involvement with tobacco product applications dates back to 2011 when the team I 13 14 had the privilege to lead applied for a regular SE that received the very first pre-marketing --15 16 or the very first marketing order since the 17 Tobacco Control Act. And I've also led legal and 18 regulatory teams that submitted comments to the 19 The various rules issued, PMTA dockets. 20 submitted successful product applications as 21 mentioned, and also scoped, prepared, recruited 22 consultants for PMT and MRTP applications.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

I'll concentrate my brief remarks on 1 2 two areas of interest. First, a brief perspective of the PMTA review process 3 opportunities to the applicant. And second, a 4 5 couple of suggestions regarding additional guidance that would yield more robust actionable 6 product applications. 7 First, as an advisor to the industry 8 9 and in particular following today's workshop, I can state unequivocally that the PMTA review 10 11 process steps are entirely clear to me. And I 12 hope they're clear to you as well. And from my 13 previous experience, at least as to the meetings, 14 the process does work as advertised. Our meeting 15 requests were addressed -- that were addressed to 16 FDA were extremely promptly answered, and the 17 meetings were extremely constructive and helpful 18 in connection with all kind of product 19 applications. 20 You've heard from the industry that it 21 would be very helpful -- I think on various 22 occasions, we've heard from the industry that it

would be very helpful to understand what are the pass/fail criteria from a substantive review point of view where the process is clear. But of course, the details surrounding every product are 4 very important. We feel that at least the fail criteria should be communicated clearly through quidance documents.

8 Also if I might also add from previous 9 experience, one single presubmission meeting per applicant is absolutely not enough given the 10 11 scope and number of studies that need to be 12 conducted in support of a presumably successful 13 PMT application.

14 Also last, but not least on the first part of my comments, it would be extremely 15 16 helpful if FDA clarified that the 180 days 17 statutory deadline for issuing an action on a 18 PMTA is a deadline that works in favor of the 19 applicant, i.e. a deadline for the agency and not 20 the applicant. In other words, if an applicant 21 wishes to extend that deadline by, you know, providing additional studies and so on, hopefully 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

5

6

the clock doesn't stop after 180 days and an unfavorable finding is issued. Because I think it's in anybody's interest to bring new products to the market that may reduce harm.

The second area of my comments, the 5 PMTA guidance needs to be finalized. 6 And also 7 for every single study mentioned in the guidance, additional more detailed, more extensive guidance 8 9 must be provided as soon as practicable. То elaborate for instance on study design, sample 10 size, demographics of the study that has to be 11 12 provided. That would really yield better 13 applications.

14 As an example, just this past month, CDER published several guidance documents 15 16 detailing product specific or category specific 17 study designs such as -- just to quote --18 assessing adhesion with transdermal and topical 19 delivery systems for ANDAs, contents of a 20 complete submission for threshold analysis and 21 human factor submissions to drug and biologic 22 applications, and master protocols, efficient

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

clinical trial design strategies to expedite development of oncology drugs and biologics, and so on.

So we would like those kind of 4 5 guidance documents for the PMTAs given that we all acknowledge that a number of studies need to 6 be conducted. And of course given that the 7 Commissioner appears to contemplate perhaps 8 9 bringing forward the PMTA deadline for newly deemed products from 2022 to perhaps earlier, 10 11 such guidance documents will be indispensable for 12 the industry. Honestly, no CEO wants to tell the investors that it will have an answer from the 13 14 FDA within a certain period of time and then realize the product application was so off the 15 16 mark as to require numerous revisions and 17 submissions. These are just a few suggestions. 18 If I may just add that harm reduction

19 is a desirable outcome for both industry and the 20 FDA. And the issuance of marketing orders for 21 products other than combustibles that may present 22 reduced harm, even if they don't advertise the

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

i	
1	reduced harm, will send a clear message to
2	smokers who wish to switch and will help guide
3	consumers down the continuum of risk highlighted
4	by Director Zeller.
5	And of course additional further
6	additional regulation that may further
7	differentiate more products would be helpful.
8	Thank you.
9	MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. Jim?
10	MR. SOLYST: Started the time here so
11	I stay within five minutes. Jim Solyst. I was
12	new to tobacco in 2009. Really didn't know
13	anything about it. But what I did know was about
14	the it's not on? There we go. You didn't
15	miss anything.
16	I said I was new to tobacco until
17	2009. But I was not new to the regulatory
18	science process. I had worked for a bipartisan
19	organization and for industry in Washington since
20	the Reagan administration. And that was very
21	helpful because I knew how, for instance, EPA
22	worked, I knew how OMB worked, and I could apply

it to FDA.

2	I also had reasonable expectations in
3	interaction with FDA, and I also learned to put
4	yourself in their position. If you're going to
5	advise a client or your company, try to think
6	like CTP would think.
7	I was very much involved with the MRTP
8	and the PMTA process for our General Snus
9	product. It's eight different products within
10	the General Snus line. The PMTA process was
11	fairly straightforward. The MRTP process is
12	still ongoing. It was encumbered by a 1986
13	warning label law that required certain things
14	that we disagreed with, and that entered into the
15	MRTP process.
16	But let me give you sort of a
17	timeframe as to what has happened. We first
18	submitted our application for MRTP in June of
19	2014. A couple months later it was filed. It
20	was publicly available. In early 2015, we
21	submitted the same body of evidence, largely, for
22	our PMTA. And then in 2015, November, we

received the PMTA. With that was the technical project lead report, which I cannot strongly endorse enough that you should read that document.

5 With the MRTP in December of 2016, we received a partial decision, and we responded to 6 7 that partial decision with an amendment, which we submitted in September of this year, and that is 8 9 pending right now. And we are now preparing a PMTA for a different product. What we call ZYN, 10 11 Z-Y-N. It's a pouch product, very similar to 12 Snus, but nicotine only, no tobacco. So we are 13 once again going through the PMTA process. 14 Just some thoughts, some advice

15 perhaps. There's always going to be uncertainly. 16 We're the only company that received a PMTA. 17 We're doing a PMTA for ZYN and we still --18 there's uncertainly. We don't know exactly how 19 many bridging studies we should be conducting. We don't know how extensive our consumer 20 21 perception study should be. So you have to make 22 decisions. You have to use your best judgement.

1

2

3

You can listen to outside counsel and outside advisers who will tell you they know what to do, but they don't. This is a learning experience. There's only been one decision -- There's only been once decision document and that's what you have to base it on.

7 Read the CTP documents. As I referenced, the technical project lead report for 8 9 our PMTA was, I thought a masterful document. 10 They had a page and a half or page and a quarter executive summary. And it said exactly why they 11 12 gave us a PMTA. When we're doing our ZYN PMT, we 13 go back -- I go back certainly to that TPL and 14 try to incorporate the main themes that were in that document. 15

Other documents, the draft NNN rule in smokeless -- if you're a smokeless product, although that rule may or may not go anywhere, it's still important. It gives you an indication of the thinking of CTP. And the briefing documents. For instance, most recently the Camel Snus TPSAC briefing document I found very

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

interesting.

2	One thing reassuring and frustrating
3	is the AIRs, the advice information request. We
4	received eight of those for the probably both
5	PMTA and MRTP. The first one I received, I
6	thought oh my goodness. Do I have to do more
7	work for these people? And so it can be
8	frustrating. You get these things. You get a
9	deadline. You've got to scramble to address
10	them. But on the other hand, at least you know
11	that you're still in the game. You know that you
12	have the opportunity to provide additional
13	information. You know you can clarify things.
14	And so it's sort of a yin and yang on the AIRs.
15	As far as the meetings with CTP, yes
16	I agree with Patricia. More than one is
17	necessary. You have to but keep your
18	expectations in check. You go there and you
19	present information. You tell CTP this is what
20	we plan to do. And then you kind of look around
21	the room to see if you get any reaction. Usually
22	you don't. And then you focus on particularly on

1	matter, Ben Apelberg and see what they're saying,
2	but they don't. But at least you have that
3	opportunity to present. And you hope that if you
4	were presenting something outrageous, they would
5	let you know.
6	But ultimately it is your own
7	decisions. You listen to people, read the CTP
8	documents and make a good judgement. Thank you.
9	MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you, Jim. Jeff?
10	MR. WALKER: Well thanks. Good
11	morning again. And let me just amplify my
12	introduction that might give a little more
13	perspective to my comments. So I was an ER
14	physician for many years. And then I
15	transitioned into industry and spent about 17
16	years developing combination drug device
17	products, which gave me some exposure to FDA, FDA
18	processes, particular in the medical device side.
19	Which I think has been useful as I have thought
20	about this tobacco regulation.
21	Then eight years ago, I transitioned
22	into really kind of two different phases of my

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

career in tobacco regulatory science. The first 1 2 was really thinking about this scientific frameworks. How do you put together an 3 4 application? And I actually went back to my 5 training in medical devices and drugs, which usually you start with a label. You start with a 6 7 claim. And you work your entire scientific 8 framework backwards to be able to support that 9 claim at the end of the day. So I think that was very helpful to be able to spend a number of 10 years planning these applications, the scientific 11 12 frameworks, the clinical studies, non-clinical 13 studies.

14 And then fortunately for the last two and a half years, I've really had much more 15 16 practical day to day experience with the 17 management of applications. So as a U.S. agent 18 for PMI, it's been my pleasure to be talking with 19 the project managers and managing the day to day 20 communications. The what happens? What do we do 21 now? I'll tell you it's a very interactive 22 process with FDA. At times, there's a lot of

activity. As Jim mentioned, advice information 1 2 letters. There's redactions to deal with. Company inspections to deal with. It's a very 3 4 interactive process. So if you're a young 5 company, if you're thinking about filing these applications, I encourage you to think carefully 6 7 about making sure you have enough resources to 8 manage all the various activities that go along 9 with submitting and managing an application. I do want to touch real briefly on the 10 11 uncertainty issue since Jim had mentioned that. 12 And we are in kind of a study and contrast. 13 We're in a world where we only have eight PMT 14 applications that have actually been authorized 15 for really one basic product type. We have no 16 MRTPs to date. So we're just beginning to sort 17 of see how to do this and how FDA is thinking 18 about these kinds of applications. And I would 19 encourage you to try to minimize some of the 20 uncertainly by really going back and doing a lot 21 of reading. There's a lot of research. There's a 22 lot of stuff out there. It starts with the

preamble to the FSPTCA, draft guidance. It's not only from CTP, but draft guidance is from drugs and devices. Because they inform you of how FDA might think about a process and how you can interact with FDA at meetings.

I'd encourage you to read the FD 6 7 presentations. They've been very active over the 8 last number of years and going out to TMA and 9 other places. I know Matt was at TMA this year. It gives you a lot of insight in how FDAs 10 11 thinking by just seeing what they present and how 12 they talk about these different topics. The website is a lot of information, but you need to 13 read it. I think Jim alluded to TPSAC 14 They're very useful to see how the 15 transcripts. 16 TPSAC members talk about issues, how FDA 17 responds, and how industry responds.

I would certainly encourage you to
read the FDA briefing materials, particularly the
briefing documents are very useful. Technical
project reports, these are -- You have lots of
nuggets in them to really point you to the

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

direction that I think you need to go in for these applications.

And finally, I think certainly you 3 need to read the comments from Commissioner 4 5 Gottlieb and Director Zoeller. I mean they are 6 setting the north star for where FDA wants to go. If you know that north star, if you can kind of 7 8 navigate towards it, I think you'll be in fairly 9 good shape. 10 So those are my opening comments. Ι 11 look forward to some of the questions, but I 12 encourage you to be active and engage with the 13 agency. And also seek out some of your industry 14 colleagues. 15 Thank you. MS. RUDOLPH: And now 16 colleagues from FDA. Would you like to re-17 introduce yourselves? 18 MS. BELTRE: My name is Rosanna 19 I'm deputy director of the Division of Beltre. 20 Regulatory Project Management. I have no 21 additional opening comments, so I'll just hand it 22 over to Cristi.

(202) 234-4433

1

2

MS. STARK: Again, Cristi Stark,
 director for the Division of Regulatory Project
 Management.

4 MS. RUDOLPH: That's great. Maybe 5 before we go to the questions that have been turned in, in the room or provided online, I 6 7 would like to like to look to my FDA colleagues 8 and just say based on what you heard from our 9 panelists, do you have any additional comments or 10 things that came up for you as you were listening 11 to what they stated that you might want to 12 comment on at this time? Or would you rather go 13 to the questions?

14 Yeah. Thank you for your MS. BELTRE: I think that particularly for 15 opening remarks. 16 these two programs as they are relatively young, 17 I think that smaller companies can sometimes sort 18 of struggle reviewing the FDA information on the 19 website. Or sort of making the connection of how 20 something in drugs may potentially be -- give 21 them sort of something to know how the agency 22 thinks about things. And sort of taking a step

back and instead of thinking about well this is 1 2 how we've conducted our work, sort of thinking more about how the agency and the role that the 3 4 agency plays and why things are sort of 5 structured the way that they are. Sort of the boundaries of our regulatory authority -- the 6 7 regulations that we have out there and sort of 8 reading through all those documents. 9 I think that people definitely 10 struggle and I'm glad to hear that you guys are 11 I think it might potentially be a doing that. 12 little harder for other companies that are 13 relatively new to this -- to tobacco, but I'm 14 glad you guys are reading. 15 MR. SOLYST: One problem with

16 reviewing documents is there's a lot there and 17 you have to determine what's important and what 18 might be filler or cover yourself type of 19 language. I know that you can go through, for 20 instance, our partial decision for MRTP, they 21 cited some deficiencies. That's what we had to 22 They cited some requests for additional address.

1

information, which is not as mandatory.

2 But also there's a lot of other language in there. And how serious do you take 3 4 How do you narrow down what you should be that? 5 focusing on as you respond to CTP. And a lot of that is just simply experience. But as you've 6 7 said, there's only one decision document right now to base it on. 8 9 MS. STARK: So there's one other item 10 I'd like to touch upon. And this is in part to 11 Patricia's comments regarding timelines and 12 standard review pass/fail criteria and other 13 items. And also in response to Jim's comments 14 regarding the multiple advice information request letters they received through their process. 15 16 Again, we do not have the robust 17 experience with these two programs as we have 18 similar to the SE programs. We're hoping to 19 learn from it. Our goal though is to take some

of the lessons learned from SE, apply them here.
So the goal would be to have more robust, clear
advice information request letters. So maybe you

don't see eight, you see far fewer. You see 1 2 things very clearly outlined to you. Additionally, I'm looking at the time 3 for a presubmission meeting, what you use it for. 4 5 You don't necessarily have to look at it per product. You may be looking at items across 6 7 multiple applications, especially looking at the 8 large number that may come in. Try to gather 9 those concepts together. Bring them in and ask 10 your specific questions to FDA. And note that 11 there are ways to get answers where you don't 12 formally have to meet face to face, but it may be 13 by a written response, which could be a little 14 bit faster than going the face to face method. 15 With respect to parsing through and 16 reading what's out there, one of the best places 17 to go first would be most recent TPL reviews. So 18 the one that we saw for the Snus products, it's 19 helpful to see how it was framed, looking at 20 recent meetings as well. That's really how you 21 want to start most current and then work 22 backwards. Also looking at recent items out from

1	some of other sister centers from FDA.
2	MS. BELTRE: Sorry.
3	MS. RUDOLPH: That's all right.
4	MS. BELTRE: The only thing that I
5	would add is that we've sort of shared a lot of
6	information here today. And talked a lot about
7	the SE program and sort of it's one of the
8	most utilized programs that we have. But when
9	applicants are looking to submit an application,
10	I highly encourage you to go to our website, look
11	at the bar for each one of these programs to
12	assess which one of these pathways is the best
13	for your product. It may be that, you know, PMTA
14	is not. And to think about the standard for each
15	particular program and what information you will
16	need to substantiate and to meet that bar because
17	they are different bars. So I encourage everyone
18	to sort of look at that. There are things that
19	you can sort of extrapolate and that you can
20	think across, but they are different bars for
21	each one of these particular programs.
22	MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. So we've got

a couple of questions that are somewhat related. 1 2 And I'll put these out to the FDA colleagues. Can you explain again the difference between 3 receiving an RTA and an RTF? And what are some 4 5 of the reasons for the RTA and RTF for PMTAs? So I'll take that. MS. STARK: 6 The refuse to accept is really your first gate for 7 8 your pass fail criteria as you look at it. That 9 is a review that is in general done by your regulatory health project manager. They are 10 going to be looking for basic items such as is 11 12 this under CTP jurisdiction, meaning is it a 13 tobacco product that we regulate? They're going 14 to be looking at items that are out in that refuse to accept rule. So one of the reasons you 15 16 saw such a large number of RTAs for PMTAs, many 17 of them were missing their environmental 18 assessment. Not having that document present, 19 and you're going to hear about that in a later 20 presentation, would be a basis for RTA. 21 If you are passing phase one, you 22 received that acknowledgment letter, you then

move into that filing stage. So the end result is the filing letter or the RTF letter. This is a multidisciplinary approach, so you can have anywhere up to 13 disciplines taking a look at various parts of the application for what's required for filing.

7 Looking through all your documents. 8 Are your studies there? Do you actually have 9 some of the source data? Is there anything So anything that's laid out in filing 10 missing? 11 criteria in 910(b) for your PMTAs and under 911 12 for your MRTPs is what they're looking at for that RTF. If those items are missing, it would 13 14 be listed in that letter. If you passed that and received filing, then you're in that substandard 15 16 review phase.

MS. BELTRE: Great. I would add to that, clearly identify these sections in your application. When we're talking about large submissions such as the MRTPs and PMTAs that we have received, it would help everyone involved in this process if you can clearly identify what

1

2

3

4

5

this information is. The statutory requirements 1 2 are out there. The information is very clear on what's necessary. The presentations here today 3 have clearly outlined that. So making sure that 4 you identify that up-front and that it's clear 5 would definitely help everyone involved in the 6 7 process. Okay, thank you. 8 MS. RUDOLPH: Jim? If I could comment on 9 MR. SOLYST: Cristi's statement about conference calls versus 10 in-person meetings. When we were in the process 11 12 of doing our amendment to the MRTPs, it's now 13 publically available and I'm sure you've all read 14 it, we had a very effective meeting in March --15 face to face meeting went very well. And then we 16 did consumer perception work to test various marketing claims. And we requested another 17 18 meeting. And we got good feedback saying a conference call will probably do. And a 19 20 conference call, I think worked well. I have my 21 colleagues here today who were part of that call. 22 And then more importantly, we got a letter of

course that addressed all of our concerns. 1 2 So sometimes a conference call particularly given the response letter is just as 3 4 effective as a face to face meeting, depending on 5 the nature of the issue. MS. RUDOLPH: And to that end then, a 6 question was raised is how does one request or 7 8 start a pre-PMTA meeting? 9 MS. STARK: So I don't want to answer 10 that. I believe we have a presentation coming up 11 from Ms. Banchero regarding how you can go 12 through that formal process, in addition to the 13 guidance that's out there. So I'm going to let 14 her answer that question. And if it's not addressed during that panel, we can hit it again. 15 16 MS. RUDOLPH: Okay. What about 17 marketing authorizations via PMTA, are these made 18 public? 19 MS. STARK: So for a PMTA, the 20 positive decision, meaning you're allowed to 21 introduce your product into interstate commerce 22 is made public, and you've seen that with the

past ones for the General Snus, in general will 1 2 post the copy of the order letter in that technical project lead review, which is a summary 3 for the decision around that action. 4 5 With respect to a negative decision 6 where they may receive a denial, that is not 7 necessarily made public. That information, 8 similar to other FDA centers is held in and we 9 will be looking at has the applicant stated it's been filed or not before giving any type of 10 11 inkling regarding what the decision is? I will 12 note though, we do release aggregate numbers with 13 respect to our decision. So if, let's say we 14 receive a large number and they receive denials, 15 that aggregate number would be posted out on the 16 web. 17 MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. So here's a 18 good question that is listed here. When a 19 deficiency letter is issue for a PMTA, how does that affect the 180 day clock for a PMTA? 20 What 21 happens if we need more time than the 180 days?

22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Okay, so I'm going to be

MS. STARK:

honest. I don't know if Dr. Holman's going to be 1 2 happy I'm up here or not. We haven't met some of the 180 day goals that are out there. 3 You can see some of the numbers in there. We're doing 4 5 our best to get there. In general when you're looking at a 6 7 clock, the clock is really with the applicant. 8 So if we're issuing a letter, the clock is not 9 with FDA, our timeframe has stopped. So basically if that letter would come out and we 10 11 are 60 days into the cycle, when that amendment 12 is received back in, we would start at Day 61. 13 We're still working towards hitting the 180 days. 14 So I want to put that out there in case anyone 15 thinks we're trying to hide that. But that 16 should answer some of the clock questions. Go 17 for it. 18 MR. WALKER: So just a quick follow-up 19 on that. So if you had an advice information 20 letter you sent out, it effectively stops the 21 clock. You would give the applicant, let's say

22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

30 days to respond. They send their information

back in. But now there's additional work for you 1 2 to review. You have additional information. So does that add onto the clock, do you think, just 3 4 in a general sort of sense? 5 So let me compare and MS. STARK: contrast some of the official clocks in other FDA 6 7 centers that you may be familiar with and what we have in this center. 8 9 In this center, we don't have anything official out. So if you were to go for a drug 10 application with an NDA and it was something 11 12 termed a major amendment, you would actually have an extension depending if it is a Level 1 or 13 Level 2 amendment with time added. We don't have 14 15 that here. What we have been doing is trying to 16 make sure that we are finding efficient ways to 17 do our review and respond appropriately. 18 To one of the comments earlier though, 19 if it hits Day 179 and we realize we need to get that order or decision out and there's more to 20 21 do, it's unlikely that we're just going to stop 22 everything and issue the order if there's more

We are looking at products that could have 1 work. 2 the appropriate protection of public health. We want to make sure we do our full review to get 3 out there with the understanding as well that we 4 5 are also trying to do this in a timely manner. If I could comment on two MR. SOLYST: 6 issues that have come up. I believe for the 7 8 General Snus PMTA, FDA did meet the 180 day 9 deadline. On the question about is it public 10 knowledge if you get a PMTA, yes. My complaint, 11 my frustration is it's not public enough. Ι 12 would have liked to have seen a front page in the Washington Post, FDA determines a product is 13 14 appropriate to the protection of public health. 15 But that just isn't the way it works. That is a 16 level of frustration. I assume hopefully that if 17 we got a MRTP, there would be more promotion of 18 that because I do think it meets Dr. Gottlieb's initiative on discussion of nicotine and a better 19 20 educated consumer. 21 MS. RUDOLPH: Great, thank you. So

22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

here is a question. If a product is on the

1	market Excuse me because it has a PMTA
2	order, if I change that product, how can I market
3	the modified product, even if it's a small
4	change? For example, SE type modification.
5	MS. STARK: Okay. So we're going to
6	talk about the three pathways to market briefly.
7	You have a PMTA. You have an SE report. And you
8	have an exemption request. The SE report, if
9	you've already been authorized under a PMTA is
10	off the table because it's not an eligible
11	predicate. You must either for the SE report,
12	be grandfathered or previously found SE.
13	However, there were two options.
14	You can look at a PMTA and there may
15	be ways where we could look at an abbreviated
16	process, depending on what that is, and FDA can
17	work with you. Or you can actually look an
18	exemption request if you have that minor additive
19	change. So remember when the Schmitz presented
20	earlier on exemptions, if you've modified
21	you're allowed to modify a legally market
22	product. A PMTA would be one legally marketed

product that could come through that exemption request pathway.

MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. We have one last question for our panel. Could you talk about the communications with manufacturers during the review process? Are there specific communications and what are they?

8 MS. STARK: Okay. So I'm going to be 9 frank in the beginning as well. You were assigned a regulatory health project manager, so 10 11 if you'd like to hear what's going on where 12 there's moments of silence -- because we've heard 13 sometimes there's frenzy and activity and other 14 times, you don't hear a lot, please reach out and I will tell you if you call them 15 call them. 16 every day, they will likely come back and state This is similar to 17 check in with me once a week. 18 what project managers will do for drugs, 19 biologics, and devices as well.

20 With respect to communication, you are 21 at least guaranteed to receive correspondence and 22 communication at each phase of review. So as you

(202) 234-4433

1

2

go into Phase one, you should receive an 1 2 appropriate letter from a decision for acknowledgment or refuse to accept. Phase two 3 4 for filing or refuse to file. And Phase three, 5 you're looking at potential advice information request type letters, response letters, which we 6 7 had seen previously with one of the MRTPs. And 8 decision letters. There may be other 9 correspondence or items going on during that 10 time. Again, use your regulatory health project 11 manager to ask clarifying questions. 12 I would clarify that once MS. BELTRE: 13 an application has entered the scientific review, 14 if you are calling your regulatory health project manager, they're going to tell you it's under 15 16 review. They're not going to discuss specifics 17 about what's happening in the scientific review 18 process, where they are in their review process. 19 That's all that they can say at that point in

20 time.

21 MS. RUDOLPH: Now Dr. Holman had 22 flagged me from the audience here, so let's give 1

him his moment here.

2	MR. HOLMAN: It's me again. A couple
3	questions I'd like to hear you guys discuss. One
4	is, you know, one of the points we've heard is
5	that it's very challenging. This is new.
6	There's not a lot of information. And Jim had
7	some good suggestions about looking at certain
8	documents carefully. I think Jeff also mentioned
9	looking at documents and even potentially what
10	other centers do and seeing how that might be
11	applicable to your tobacco product.
12	But I wonder, there was a lot of
13	discussion about our TPSAC meetings for the MRTPs
14	and how that has or hasn't been helpful as a
15	learning tool for industry. And so if you guys
16	could sort of comment on that, I guess both from
17	observing and maybe also participating to the
18	extent you'd like to share that.
19	And then I'd also like to jump in on
20	the last sort of meeting discussion point about
21	the clocks. You know, Patricia has basically
22	said hey, we don't want you to cut it off at 180

days, if you could go 200 and we'd get a 1 2 marketing order. Right? But we've also heard that there are challenges with the clock. 3 I mean 4 it stops. We need to get information. In some 5 cases, there's a number of deficiency letters that get issued. So I just wondered if you guys 6 7 had thoughts about how we sort of balance that, 8 that these are very new.

9 As you've seen on the SE side, we 10 weren't as good about meeting our performance measures in the early days. And we're much 11 12 better about it now. And I think we're kind of 13 going through a similar evolution for these two 14 programs. And so if you have thoughts more about 15 how to make it more predictable. How to improve 16 communication in light of all these challenges 17 that we're struggling on our end. And you guys 18 are quite frankly, I think struggling at your end 19 with. So if you had more thoughts on that, we'd 20 be happy to -- We'd like to hear them. Thank 21 you.

22

MR. WALKER: Sure. Let me just

address the communication piece. Everything you 1 2 said is correct. You will be told during the scientific review phase, it's still under review. 3 But I think what I'd like to suggest would be 4 5 more useful, is could we just have at some point in time, a little bit more detail on what is the 6 decision making process like within, you know, 7 8 the center? And also outside the center. 9 Because I'm guessing that when a CTP makes a decision or has a scientific review completed, 10 11 there are other things that have to happen next 12 before a market order actually gets written. 13 So I would imagine there's other 14 government stakeholders. There may be other 15 processes that are navigated. And I think just 16 knowing that, that exists would be useful. So it 17 kind of helps you figure out where things are. 18 So number two communication, I agree 19 with you. Your project manager is your go-to 20 I have been guilty once or twice of person. 21 calling my project manager too often and I get 22 But I think what I've also that same response.

1 found is the CTP is highly interested in good 2 communications. And I've always felt comfortable 3 that they're to listen, answer questions, and 4 it's been a good process.

5 Regarding TPSAC, I'm candidly not sure yet how that process works. And I think it 6 depends on the quality and the character of the 7 8 questions that are asked. I think it depends on 9 the background of some TPSAC members and whether they are truly attuned to all the science or just 10 11 the particularly narrow focuses. And I guess I'm 12 still uncertain about the utility of that. Ι 13 think there is good conversation, I think that's 14 very useful to listen to the FDA briefing documents. But in terms of how the TPSAC works, 15 16 I think it's clearly an FDA resources. But it 17 doesn't seem to always tie necessarily direct 18 back to the claims for me. So I'm sort on the 19 fence.

20 MR. SOLYST: I've attended each of the 21 TPSAC meetings for MRTPs. The latter two were 22 more interesting -- more enjoyable than the first

The first two days I went through, my 1 one. 2 colleague, Lars-Erik Rutqvist is back there. I'm sure he feels the same way. But most recently, I 3 went to the Camel Snus one and I wrote back to 4 5 Stockholm and Richmond as to what I thought. And my lead was something that Mitch 6 Zeller said was that the discussion is just as 7 8 important as the votes. And I think that's a 9 very healthy way of looking at the TPSAC. The 10 votes in our case, they actually change the 11 nature of the questions that are voted on. But 12 you do get good discussion. You get a sense as 13 to what an educated group is thinking. Obviously 14 some have more expertise than others in certain But it's a good situation to sit through 15 areas. 16 and try to get a sense as to what is thought. 17 The other thing, the consultants I

find to be very useful. I mean I think that's a good addition to the TPSAC that they have these consultants who can advise the TPSAC members on certain areas.

22

MS. KOVACEVIC: If I may, with respect

to the recent communication between applicants 1 2 and the center. You know, some types of scientific review probably take longer than 3 So while there is benefit of having others. 4 5 fewer of AI requests, perhaps if some steps of the scientific review such as for instance the 6 7 chemistry review is completed sooner, it would be 8 nice to get those questions immediately out to 9 the -- or those follow-up questions immediately out to the applicants just because it will allow 10 11 them more time. And sort of reserve -- rather 12 than waiting for all of the various scientific 13 reviewers, you know, to bring their questions 14 back and issue one letter. Because again, that may help applicants provide the answers in a 15 16 sequential matter, rather than struggle with 50 17 questions at once.

MS. STARK: So I want to clarify
because other centers have actually run pilot
programs where they will actually issue
discipline review letters, rather than a full
review letter.

1	MS. KOVACEVIC: Correct, correct,
2	correct.
3	MS. STARK: So are you proposing for
4	us to look at
5	MS. KOVACEVIC: Yes, ma'am.
6	MS. STARK: a discipline review
7	letter, rather than the full
8	MS. KOVACEVIC: Yes, ma'am. Exactly
9	right. Thank you.
10	MS. RUDOLPH: So we're coming to a
11	close here. Any last final thoughts from our
12	panel? Okay, thank you very much.
13	As our panelists transition back to
14	their seats, we will be having two more
15	presentations before we have lunch. So this is
16	Session three and we have got our colleague,
17	Barbara Banchero, who will be talking about
18	presubmission meetings with the Office of
19	Science.
20	Somebody who's in charge of the
21	computer, can you find the presentation for
22	Barbara?

1	MS. BANCHERO: Bear with us. Okay,
2	good morning, almost afternoon. Thank you all
3	for coming today. My name is Barbara Banchero.
4	I am also a regulatory health project manager
5	within CTPs Office of Science. I will be
6	speaking today about the process for tobacco
7	product manufacturers, researchers, and
8	investigators to request meetings with the Office
9	of Science regarding their research and
10	development plans related to tobacco products.
11	Today I will orient you to currently
12	available resources and processes for CTP to meet
13	with industry. Then I will focus on the meeting
14	request itself. Specifically items applicants
15	may wish to consider when preparing their
16	request. This will be followed by a discussion
17	on how FDA intends to evaluate whether to grant
18	or deny a meeting request. Then we will continue
19	discussion of the meeting's process by reviewing
20	the types of communications applicants will
21	receive after submission of a meeting request.
22	And lastly, I will provide an update on the

performance goal for the meeting's program. 1 2 MS. BANCHERO: We need a little technical support. 3 4 MS. RUDOLPH: Anybody who's presented 5 before, besides pressing the little arrows, any other thoughts? Yes, and there's a -- there we 6 7 go. 8 MS. BANCHERO: Okay. 9 MS. RUDOLPH: Okay. And you may need to click --10 11 MS. BANCHERO: Okay, I'll just have to 12 click the button a lot. All right. Sorry about 13 that. 14 So in 2006, the FDA made two primary 15 resources regarding the meeting's process 16 publically available. First being the current 17 guidance dated July 2016. This guidance provided 18 editorial changes from the original May 2012 19 guidance and discusses among other things, what 20 information FDA recommends you include in your 21 meeting request. How and when to submit a 22 request. And what information FDA recommends you

1

submit prior to the meeting.

2	To accompany this document, FDA
3	maintains a dedicated landing page on the
4	guidance website for the meeting's program. Here
5	you will find hyperlinked questions and answers
6	that are frequently asked. And information on
7	how to access our electronic submission tools and
8	our contact information.
9	On CTPs tobacco compliance webinar, we
10	encourage you to review the 2006 webinar
11	entitled, "Meeting with the Office of Science"
12	which provides over 40 minutes of content
13	specifically for the meeting's program. It's
14	important to note that although the webinar
15	references the May 2012 addition of the guidance,
16	the content still aligns with our current
17	addition of the guidance. The guidance
18	frequently asks questions. Web site and webinar
19	were developed to provide consistent principles,
20	procedures for the meeting with the Office of
21	Science. We do encourage you to review them,
22	alongside today's presentation prior to

1

submitting your meeting request.

2	The meeting request process can be
3	viewed as occurring over three phases. First, a
4	decision is made to grant or deny the applicant's
5	request for the meeting. Second, if the meeting
6	is granted, the FDA performs a review of the data
7	and information submitted. And lastly, the
8	meeting is convened to provide feedback and
9	guidance on questions raised by the applicant in
10	their request.
11	So FDA recommends that meeting may be
12	held well in advance of a planned pre-market
13	submission so that the applicant has the
14	opportunity to consider FDA discussion points and
15	feedback prior to their full application.
16	Let's review some considerations to
17	aid you in preparing a complete meeting request
18	for submission and review through the Office of
19	Science. We suggest you clearly identify your
20	purpose for meeting with the FDA and include your
21	goals and objectives that you wish to achieve as
22	a result to the meeting. This information is

www.nealrgross.com

used for us to understand whether convening a
 meeting will support the research and development
 of tobacco products.

The Office of Science generally holds 4 5 meetings for two purposes. First, presubmission meetings are beneficial to receive feedback on 6 In this example, 7 your product development plan. 8 it would be appropriate for the FDA to meet for 9 pre-PMTA meeting to discuss questions regarding the applicant's clinical study or sampling plans. 10 11 Second, the Office of Science holds 12 informational meetings, which are requested to 13 convene a listening session to gain scientific 14 knowledge on a topic of relevancy to FDA 15 programs. This may be initiated by industry or 16 FDA, but are not intended to discuss specific 17 tobacco applications or products. This 18 presentation will focus on presubmission 19 meetings.

20 Okay, when preparing your agenda, keep 21 in mind, the FDA intends to schedule meetings for 22 approximately one hour. Therefore we recommend

(202) 234-4433

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

your proposed agenda provide adequate time for 1 2 discussion on these topics or you need specific clarification from the FDA. In keeping with the 3 pre-PMTA meeting example shown earlier, here is 4 an agenda where the requestor plans to present 5 background information followed by a scientific 6 7 and regulatory discussion that aligns with the objectives outlined in their meeting request. 8 9 Also note, additional time is allotted at the end of the meeting for the applicant to summarize 10 11 their understanding of the meeting outcomes and 12 discussion.

13 It is also important for you to 14 include the professional background and experience of your attendees. This information 15 16 helps us understand the scientific disciplines 17 necessary to review and evaluate your materials, 18 as well as additional CTP, FDA, or external 19 consultants that may be needed. Therefore for 20 each of your attendees, we recommend you include 21 their name, title, position, credentials, and 22 company that they're affiliated with. You are

1	welcome to request attendance of specific FDA
2	staff. However, if a meeting is granted, the FDA
3	will make the final determination of FDA
4	personnel assigned to the meeting request.
5	We recommend you propose a meeting
6	format for the meeting. However, based on the
7	amount of discussion needed, attendees, the FDA
8	will make the final decision on the format of the
9	meeting. And may change the format from what you
10	requested. Face to face meetings are held on
11	site at our FDA campus and our appropriate or
12	extensive discussion and clarification is
13	anticipated.
14	As an alternative perhaps due to
15	travel considerations, holding the meeting by
16	teleconference or phone is an option available to
17	you. You or the FDA may determine that based on
18	your questions or objectives, extensive
19	discussion is not anticipated. Therefore
20	feedback by letter or written response to your
21	question alone without further discussion is also
22	sufficient. You are welcome to include proposed

dates to hold the meeting based on your attendee availability. However, the date of the meeting will be scheduled to ensure that the FDA has sufficient time to review meeting materials and prepare responses to your questions.

Let's now look at what materials we 6 7 recommend you provide for the meeting. Your meeting request should provide a preliminary list 8 9 of questions that are scientific or regulatory in 10 nature. And specific to your product development plan and align with your objectives in your 11 12 request. Also consider how best to group your 13 questions, maybe by issue, study, or discipline.

14 FDA recommends final questions and summary information and data relevant to your 15 16 products be submitted at least 45 days in advance 17 of the meeting. You also have the option to 18 submit your final questions and meeting package 19 within your meeting request. By doing so, if 20 granted, your meeting could be held within 45 21 days of the meeting request receipt.

22

1

2

3

4

5

Here are some recommended items to be

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 188

included in your meeting information package. 1 2 It's important to note that summarized material, rather than full study reports and detailed data, 3 are appropriate for meeting packages. The FDA 4 understands the content of your meeting package 5 will vary based on the product application and 6 phase of tobacco product development. 7 Therefore we encourage you to review the previously 8 9 discussed resources, application specific recommendations for the types of information that 10 11 could be included in your meeting information 12 package.

13 We recommend your meeting package be 14 Keep in mind, if you update your current. 15 meeting package and these changes are large or 16 complex, the FDA may choose to reevaluate whether For example, the FDA 17 to postpone your meeting. 18 might postpone the meeting to give staff 19 appropriate time to review the meeting materials. 20 Now that we've reviewed some 21 considerations of what to include in your meeting 22 request and meeting information package, I'd like

to take the opportunity to discuss how OS 1 2 considers whether to grant or deny a meeting The evaluation factors were discussed 3 request. in detail within our 2016 meeting with the Office 4 5 of Science webinar. As an overview, when a meeting request is received, the RHPM and the 6 7 technical project lead where appropriate, will 8 evaluate whether the meeting contains information 9 recommended in the guidance such as a list of preliminary specific questions. 10 11 It is also useful for FDA to

understand whether the meeting is necessary or
appropriate. A meeting may not be necessary or
appropriate for example if the information
requested is already available to the requestor
such as guidance, regulation, or if a previous
meeting was held with the applicant for the same
purpose.

And lastly, it's recommended your
meeting be timely. A meeting may not be timely
for example, if the questions asked relate to
scientific disciplines and a pending application.

If the answer is yes to all these questions, the
 meeting may be granted.

Meetings are beneficial to receive 3 4 feedback on your product development plan. 5 However the advice is not decisional. And meetings are not intended to serve as a 6 7 substitute for an applicant's responsibility to 8 develop their own research plans or perform their 9 own data analysis. Therefore if the scope of the 10 meeting request or questions are intended for 11 these purposes, the meeting request may be 12 denied.

13 Similar to other programs, the FDA intends to communicate its decisions for the 14 15 Therefore it would meetings program in writing. 16 be helpful to review the meeting process 17 alongside the types of communications that you 18 may receive following the submission. And 19 evaluation of your meeting request, as well as 20 leading up to following the meeting. After evaluation of the meeting 21

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

request, the FDA will issue one of two types of

22

www.nealrgross.com

correspondence. If submitted information is
 inadequate to continue scheduling a meeting with
 the applicant, will receive a meeting denial
 letter which will include the reasons for denial.
 If denied, the applicant has the option to submit
 a new meeting request once they have had the
 opportunity to provide sufficient information.

If the meeting request is accepted by 8 9 the FDA, the applicant will receive a meeting granted letter. Please refer to this letter for 10 11 logistical information such as the date and time 12 and location of the meeting. The date the 13 meeting package is to be received by the FDA. Α 14 tentative list of FDA disciplines that will be attending the meeting. And the name and 15 16 information of your RHPM who's assigned to your 17 meeting request.

FDA's review of the meeting
information package is multidisciplinary.
Individual disciplines will be assigned based on
the objectives and questions raised in the
request. In our pre-PMTA meeting scenario, the

meeting was to discuss biomarker endpoints and inspections. And therefore include reviewers such as a toxicologist, statistician, chemist, and engineer. As well as members from our Office of Compliance and Enforcement.

At the end of the review, FDA will 6 7 issue one of two types of correspondence. For a 8 final written response, the applicant will 9 receive a letter with feedback where appropriate to each question raised in their meeting package. 10 11 This is a final correspondence and the meeting 12 request is closed. A face to face meeting is not 13 convened. If the requestor has new questions, 14 they may submit a new request.

15 A preliminary response letter is 16 issued prior to a face to face or teleconference 17 meeting. FDA provides its preliminary feedback 18 to the applicant where appropriate to each 19 question raised in their meeting request package. The feedback is considered 20 Excuse me. 21 preliminary because it is pending the applicant's determination if additional clarification or 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

discussion is needed at the subsequent meeting. 1 2 If the applicant reviews the preliminary response and determines no additional 3 discussion or clarification is needed from the 4 FDA, they may choose to cancel the meeting. 5 In this case, the response would be considered 6 7 final. If following the preliminary response, 8 9 the applicant determines additional discussion is needed, then the meeting will take place as 10 agreed upon. It is important to note that the 11 12 meeting is a forum to discuss questions raised in 13 the meeting request. If there are any major 14 changes to the product's development plan, the 15 purpose of the meeting or questions the FDA may 16 not be prepared to discussed or provide comments 17 on those changes to the meeting. 18 FDA intends to provide meeting minutes 19 within 45 days of the meeting. This document 20 will summarize discussion points, decisions, 21 recommendations, agreements, disagreements, issues for further discussion, and action items. 22

Applicants can notify the FDA if their 1 2 understanding of discussion during the meeting, differs from the meeting minutes. The FDA may 3 provide clarification of them in a letter. 4 While 5 applicants may submit a copy of their own minutes to the FDA, the FDA's minutes will serve as 6 7 official minutes of the meeting.

Yes, sorry. Prior to FDA 8 Oops. 9 issuing a meeting granted letter or denied letter, the applicant may decide to withdraw 10 11 their meeting request by sending a letter to CTP. 12 Once the meeting has been granted, the applicant 13 may decide a meeting is no longer needed and can 14 send a letter to CTP requesting the meeting be cancelled. If the applicant submits subsequent 15 16 meeting requests, the FDA will consider this a 17 new meeting request.

18 The FDA intends to take reasonable
19 steps to avoid cancelling a scheduled meeting.
20 However, a meeting may be cancelled by the FDA
21 for reasons such as the meeting objectives within
22 the meeting request and meeting information

package are significantly different or meeting
 information package is not received by the
 requested due date.

In 2014, the FDA established 4 5 performance measures to improve the timeliness and predictability for this program. For meeting 6 7 management, the current performance measure is to 8 respond to meeting requests within 21 days of 9 receipt. At fiscal year 2017 and through fiscal 10 year 2022, the performance measure is at 90 11 percent.

12 Responding means the FDA accepts or 13 denies a meeting request. It is important to 14 note that this performance goal refers only to 15 meeting requests from external entities of the 16 government such as regulated industry. Therefore 17 questions submitted through the CTP call center 18 are not subject to this measure.

19 And let's look at the requests we've 20 received for fiscal year 2018. Specifically for 21 the Office of Science. The Office of Science has 22 received 16 meeting requests. Nine meetings have

been granted. Two additional meetings were
 granted, but cancelled by the applicant prior to
 the meeting. And three meeting requests were
 denied. Two meeting requests were withdrawn by
 the requestor prior to FDA issuing a meeting
 decision letter.

Thank you all for your time this 7 8 I encourage you to ask questions afternoon. 9 during the panel discussion, in addition to listening to talks tomorrow on the content of 10 11 each application, which may inform your meeting 12 request. Again we encourage you to consider meeting with the FDA well in advance of 13 14 submitting a pre-market application. Thank you. 15 I think we're running MS. VICHENSONT: 16 a little over. And everyone's probably really 17 hungry, so we'll take a lunch break now. And an 18 hour? 19 MS. RUDOLPH: We'll probably come back 20 -- let's see what time we are. Oh, look at that, 21 if we take that hour. Okay, so we'll all come 22 back at 1:15.

1	MS. VICHENSONT: 1:15.
2	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
3	went off the record at 12:16 p.m. and resumed at
4	1:18 p.m.)
5	MS. RUDOLPH: Welcome back from lunch
6	everyone. I hope everybody found something
7	enjoyable to nosh on.
8	As we head into the afternoon on our
9	first day of this public meeting we'll be
10	listening to an upcoming presentation on tobacco
11	product master files and then go on into the
12	panel discussion for Session 3.
13	Following that we have two more
14	sessions for the remainder of our day. So with
15	that note I am going to turn things over to
16	Sarah, although we do have some folks who are
17	filing in, just come on in.
18	MS. VICHENSONT: The slides, please.
19	The next set of slides. There we go. All right,
20	good afternoon, everyone. Hopefully everyone
21	enjoyed their lunch and ready to pay attention
22	about master files.

My name is Sarah Vichensont. I am
also a regulatory health project manager within
CTP's Office of Science and today my presentation
will focus on tobacco product master files, also
known as TPMFs.
This presentation will briefly cover
the following topics, an overview of the TPMF
program, some key terms, type of information to
include in a TPMF, the establishment process for
a TPMF, how and when a TPMF is scientifically
reviewed, the closure process, best practices for
TPMF owners, and some key take home points.
Let's start with an overview of the
TPMF program. CTP receives submissions required
by law, such as health documents, ingredient
listings, and applications.
To ensure compliance with the law some
of these documents include information that is
trade secret and/or confidential commercial
information for multiple sources.
For example, if a tobacco product
manufacturer was providing ingredient listing on

a tobacco product but purchased a component from 1 2 a component manufacturer ingredient information on that component must still be provided. 3 So how could the component 4 5 manufacturer allow for use of this information without the threat of substantial competitive 6 7 harm? The recommended approach from CTP is a 8 tobacco product master file. 9 A TPMF is a file that is voluntarily submitted to CTP that contains trade secret 10 11 and/or CCI about a tobacco product or component that the owner does not want to share with other 12 13 persons. TPMFs are a beneficial tool for 14 manufacturers, component suppliers, ingredient 15 16 suppliers, and researchers and can assist in a 17 tobacco product's submission process. 18 So how does a TPMF -- No. A TPMF 19 owner call allow an authorized party the right to 20 reference a TPMF in support of a tobacco product 21 submission to CTP. 22 CTP can then access and review the

		20
1	confidential information as part of their	
2	submission but at no point in time does the	
3	authorized party see or have access to that	
4	confidential information.	
5	Let's look at this through an example.	
6	A cigarette manufacturer, Company A, intends to	
7	submit a pre-market tobacco product application,	
8	such as a PMTA, for a cigarette.	
9	Company A utilizes rolling paper	
10	purchased from Company B in their cigarette. For	
11	the PMTA it is necessary to provide the full	
12	listing of ingredients, materials, and	
13	composition of the rolling paper.	
14	However, Company B does not want to	
15	provide that information to Company A. Instead,	
16	Company B can establish a TPMF that includes all	
17	of the rolling paper information.	
18	Company B can provide Company A	
19	authorization to reference its TPMF in a letter	
20	of authorization, or LOA, and also provide a copy	
21	of that LOA to CTP.	
22	Now Company A can submit a PMTA and	

CTP can look on behalf of Company A all of the 1 2 rolling paper ingredients, materials, and manufacturing information located in Company B's 3 4 TPMF. 5 This benefits Company A to ensure a complete application and benefits Company B by 6 7 allowing use of their rolling paper information 8 without disclosing it to Company A. 9 Additionally, the TPMF program mutually benefits TPMF owners who can reference 10 their own master file rather than submitting 11 information separately for multiple submissions. 12 13 So by allowing FDA to keep certain information on file within a TPMF it streamlines, 14 simplifies, and potentially reduces associated 15 costs and time related to administrative work 16 17 because a company would not need to resubmit data 18 for future applications, thus easing the 19 application burden. 20 For example, if a manufacturer, 21 Company C, utilizes the same tobacco blend in 50 22 products they can submit a TPMF that includes all

ingredients, composition, and manufacturing 1 2 information for that tobacco blend. In lieu of recording this information 3 in 50 pre-market applications CTP could just 4 5 reference their own TPMF. This would save time, reduce errors, as the manufacturer would only 6 7 have to provide this tobacco blend once rather 8 than copying it and pasting it 50 times in 9 multiple submissions. In order to assist industry in TPMF 10 11 submissions the FDA has published a TPMF guidance 12 in May of 2016. This guidance document includes information such as how to establish a master 13 14 file, considerations for TPMF owners and maintaining TPMF submissions, how other persons 15 16 can use a TPMF, and FDA's role. 17 It is important to note that CTP is 18 encouraging regulatory correspondence 19 electronically via the CTP portal or electronic 20 submission gateway using eSubmitter, or, 21 alternatively, by mail through the Document Control Center. 22

i	2
1	Electronic submission is generally
2	available 24 hours a day, seven days a week.
3	Therefore, it is encouraged to send TPMF
4	submissions electronically through the CTP
5	portal.
6	CTP also has a webinar on our website
7	titled "Using a TPMF for Ingredient Listing
8	Submissions" in September of 2018. This webinar
9	reviews examples of ingredient-listing scenarios
10	that a TPMF can address and how to cross
11	reference TPMFs for ingredient submissions.
12	There are three processes that can
13	occur over a life cycle of a TPMF, which I will
14	go into a little bit more detail a little later
15	in the presentation.
16	There is an establishment process, a
17	stage where a request to establish a TPMF is
18	received and submitted to CTP and CTP
19	acknowledges the receipt.
20	There is also a scientific review
21	process, the stage when a submission references
22	the TPMF. At this point a TPMF scientific review

occurs and ends with a determination of adequate or inadequate.

3 Depending on the TPMF there may be 4 multiple scientific reviews occurring at the same 5 time if there are multiple submissions 6 referencing the master file.

And, lastly, there is a closure
process, the stage when a TPMF owner may choose
to close its master file or CTP initiates a TPMF
closure if it has not been active in three years.
Before I discuss the three processes
into a little more detail it is important to

12 into a little more detail it is important to 13 describe and understand some key terms. CTP 14 considers a TPMF owner or owners as an entity. 15 For example, it could be a person, a company, or 16 a subdivision of a company that owns the 17 information contained within the master file.

Unless otherwise stated by the owner an authorized representative is a person who is authorized to reference and represent and communicate to CTP on behalf of the owner and is able to make decisions regarding the master file,

1

2

for example to grant or rescind a letter of
 authorization.

3 CTP considers an authorized party a 4 person who has been granted authorization to 5 reference a TPMF, which is typically obtained in 6 writing within an LOA from the owner.

7 This LOA, which stands for letter of 8 authorization, is a document prepared by the 9 owner or authorized representative that grants a 10 person authorization to reference its master 11 file.

12 This LOA should also identify any type 13 of limitations to the authorization, for example 14 if the owner is only allowing the company 15 authorization to reference only certain sections 16 of the TPMF.

Now let me walk through some type of
information to be included in a TPMF. A TPMF can
be organized into two parts. There is an
administrative information section and a content
information section.

22

The admin information section contains

items recommended for the owner to establish a 1 2 master file, for example a cover letter, table of contents, list of authorized representatives, a 3 list of authorized parties, and any limitations 4 to each of that authorization. 5 The second section, the content 6 7 information section, should contain information that the owner wishes to be referenced. 8 9 Currently there are no requirements for structure but CTP recommends the master file be organized 10 11 in a logical manner. 12 On the slide are some examples of 13 information you can include. For example, if the 14 master file contains specific tobacco products this section may include information such as 15 16 tobacco blend information, HPHC methods, design 17 information, an ingredient listing, manufacturing 18 and process data, or research findings. 19 If a TPMF contains a clinical study 20 this section may also include information such as 21 the protocol, a statistical analysis plan, 22 subject information, data analyses, adverse event

reporting, and informed consent forms. 1 2 A TPMF can also contain information to support grandfathered determination. I recommend 3 you refer to the other presentations that were 4 presented earlier this morning and tomorrow for 5 what to include in these types of submissions. 6 7 On the screen is an example of how to 8 present information within a cover letter. Note 9 that the subject line is clear, that it is a request to establish a CTP tobacco product master 10 11 file. 12 The contact for the owner is present, 13 which includes a mailing address, phone number, The submission lists 14 and email address. authorized parties and each company's 15 authorization for limitations and the submission 16 17 is signed by an authorized point of contact for 18 the company. 19 Using the same example here is how to present information in an LOA. CTP recommends 20 21 that the applicant, Company A in this example, 22 include its LOA when submitting an application

1

that references a master file.

2	Note that the subject line is clear
3	that this is an attachment for an LOA from the
4	owner. The letter of authorization also includes
5	the TPMF submission tracking number, or STN, and
6	includes their limitations to the authorization,
7	for example only Section A for Rolling Paper X,
8	and the LOA is signed by the owner.
9	Now that we have an idea of what a
10	master file is let's move on to discuss the TPMF
11	establishment process. Upon receipt of a new
12	request to establish a TPMF CTP will review the
13	submission to ensure it contains enough
14	information to establish a master file.
15	As mentioned a few slides earlier CTP
16	looks for several items in the request cover
17	letter. For example, is the cover letter signed
18	by the owner and does the file support submission
19	to CTP, like PMTAs.
20	If information is present to establish
21	a master file CTP will issue an acknowledgment
22	letter in a timely manner to the owner confirming

1 receipt and establishment.

2	The letter identifies the owner, the
3	CTP assigned STN, and contact information for the
4	regulatory health project manager, or RHPM, and
5	information on how to update the TPMF.
6	Receiving an acknowledgment letter
7	means that the owner's file is established within
8	CTP and ready to be used as a reference by other
9	tobacco product submissions.
10	If additional information is needed
11	for establishment CTP will contact the owner. We
12	tend to work with the submitter to ensure all of
13	the requested information is present and
14	received.
15	So you may be wondering when does the
16	content within a TPMF undergo scientific review.
17	Consistent with other FDA centers CTP does not
18	intend to conduct a scientific review of the TPMF
19	at the time of its submission.
20	CTP intends to conduct a scientific
21	review of a TPMF only when the TPMF is being
22	referenced in an authorized party's submission to

1

-	CIF.
2	This is because different submissions
3	may have different information content needs.
4	For example, there are differences in review for
5	an ingredient listing versus a PMTA.
6	If the TPMF were referenced to support
7	ingredient listing CTP would focus on the review
8	of ingredient requirements such as product
9	identification, ingredient identification, part
10	to which the ingredient is added, and the
11	ingredient quantity.
12	Contrast that where the same TPMF are
13	referenced to support a PMTA. In this scenario
14	CTP would focus on the review of the components,
15	ingredients, additives, properties, principle of
16	operation, methods used in the manufacturing and
17	processing and testing data.
18	As you can see CTP takes a different
19	look at the same data based on the submission
20	that references it.
21	So how does the TPMF scientific review
22	process work? Upon receipt of a submission, such

as a PMTA that reference a master file CTP will 1 2 first verify that the applicant is an authorized party and the extent of the applicant's 3 authorization, for example is the applicant only 4 authorized to reference a TPMF for a particular 5 PMTA or for all PMTAs. 6

7 If the applicant does not have authorization from the owner CTP will inform the 8 9 applicant and CTP will not review the master file. 10

11 To facilitate this process it is 12 recommended that the applicant include the 13 following within their application, a valid LOA 14 to reference the master file, a notation in the cover letter of the TPMF STN being referenced, 15 16 and, if possible, where the information is being referenced is located in the TPMF. 17

18 Once CTP determines that the applicant 19 is authorized to reference the master file CTP 20 will then begin scientific review of both the 21 application and the master file.

22

When reviewing the master file CTP

	2.
1	will review the extent of information authorized
2	in the letter of authorization and this review
3	based on the reference will result in CTP finding
4	the information adequate or inadequate.
5	So let's presume that in reviewing the
6	master file concurrent with the PMTA CTP
7	determines that the master file content is
8	adequate.
9	This means that the TPMF information
10	being referenced by the PMTA is sufficient and
11	CTP will continue scientific review of the PMTA.
12	Because there were no deficiencies in the master
13	file that was reference and reviewed CTP will not
14	send a letter to the TPMF owner.
15	So what happens if CTP determines that
16	the master file content is inadequate? If issues
17	are found within the master file during
18	scientific review CTP will send letters to both
19	the owner and the PMTA applicant.
20	However, information provided to the
21	PMTA applicant is limited. The owner will
22	receive a letter detailing each of the specific

deficiencies and a request to respond within a 1 2 requested timeframe to amend its master file. In contrast, the PMTA applicant will 3 receive a letter that will simply cite that 4 5 deficiencies were found within the master file which have been communicated to the owner already 6 7 and specific details about how the TPMF is 8 deficient is not relayed to the applicant. 9 Depending on the review stage this letter to the PMTA applicant may request a 10 11 timeframe for a response. By following this process CTP does not convey specific deficiencies 12 13 to the authorized party as to not disclose the 14 trade secret or CCI. At this point two letters have issued, 15 16 one to the owner and one to the PMTA applicant. The CTP review timeline is based on the PMTA. 17 18 Remember that this is the application with a 19 timeline in which CTP must make a final decision. 20 Therefore, once the date requested to 21 respond to the PMTA deficiency letter has passed 22 or CTP has received a complete response to the

PMTA letter, whichever is first, scientific 1 2 review of the PMTA and amended TPMF will commence. CTP will then issue an appropriate 3 4 letter consistent with the PMTA process. It is important to note that the 5 authorized party is solely responsible for 6 7 ensuring that their pre-market application and 8 supporting documents, which would be the master 9 file in this case, is adequate to support all 10 statutory requirements. 11 So in the example where we discussed the PMTA applicant is referencing the master file 12 13 it is the PMTA applicant's responsibility to 14 ensure that the owner responds within the requested timeframe and that all documents 15 16 support the statutory requirements for a premarket order. 17 18 If the TPMF owner does not respond or 19 fails to provide documents necessary to support a 20 pre-market order the order is likely to be 21 denied. 22 We encourage the authorized party and

1	the TPMF owner to communicate and coordinate
2	their responses to our letters so that CTP's
3	comments are adequately addressed in the
4	requested timeframe.
5	So far we have discussed the
6	establishment and scientific review of a TPMF.
7	Additionally, there is a closure process which
8	may be initiated by either the owner or CTP.
9	Being able to close a TPMF is
10	important and beneficial for owners because there
11	may be work associated with keeping the TPMF up
12	to date.
13	If an owner wishes to close its master
14	file the owner should notify CTP in writing and
15	include the reason for requesting closure of the
16	file and the date to which the TPMF should be
17	closed.
18	It is recommended that the owner also
19	notify all persons currently authorized to
20	reference the master file of the closure because
21	once closed the TPMF will no longer be available
22	for reference by an authorized party and CTP will

no longer review the content when referenced in a 1 2 submission. CTP intends to begin a closure process 3 if the master file has not been referenced in a 4 5 three year period and the master file has not been updated during this time. 6 7 This may occur, for example, if the 8 owner is not responsive to CTP's letters 9 requesting information for a reference submission. 10 11 However, prior to CTP-initiated 12 closure of a master file CTP intends to issue a notification letter to the owner of the intent to 13 14 close. 15 With this notification letter a 16 timeframe will be provided for the response. The 17 owner may choose to keep its master file open. 18 CTP encourages the owner to respond within the 19 requested timeframe with its intent. 20 If there is no response to the notification letter CTP will move forward with 21 22 TPMF closure. Now that we have discussed the

closure process let's review some best practices
 for TPMF owners.

In general owners are responsible for three main items. One, serving as a point of contact for the master file. This includes being able to maintain a complete and current copy of the master file.

8 Two, notifying CTP and authorized 9 parties of any changes in the master file. This includes notifying CTP of any changes to the list 10 of authorized parties or changes to their 11 limitations or notifying CTP of a transfer of 12 13 ownership of the master file, and, three, 14 responding to deficiency letters within the requested timeframe. 15

I would like to end with some key take home points from this presentation. First, master files are a beneficial tool for manufacturers, component suppliers, ingredient suppliers, and researchers and can assist in the tobacco product submission process.

22

Secondly, the applicant or authorized

party at any point in time does not see or have 1 2 access to the TPMF content. Third, a TPMF is reviewed when referenced by another submission. 3 Fourth, CTP reviews the master file in 4 5 the scope and context of the referenced submission. And, lastly, timelines for a TPMF 6 review depend on the referencing submission. 7 This concludes my presentation. 8 Ι 9 understand that was a lot of information to 10 consider. If you have any questions I recommend 11 you ask questions during the panel discussion. 12 You may also contact your assigned Their name and contact information is at 13 RHPM. 14 the bottom of the letters. If you do not know who your assigned RHPM is or if you are new and 15 16 not have yet submitted a TPMF you may contact our 17 call center, Office of Small Business, Office of 18 the Ombudsman, or just email askctp@fda.hhs.gov. 19 Thank you for your time. 20 (Applause.) 21 MS. RUDOLPH: Okay, everybody. So as 22 we head into this afternoon we've got a nice

(202) 234-4433

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

<pre>1 panel to get started with if the panelists will 2 come on up. Thank you. 3 As was stated previously in the 4 morning we are giving all of our outside guests 5 the opportunity to introduce themselves and use 6 five minutes of time to communicate their</pre>	
3 As was stated previously in the 4 morning we are giving all of our outside guests 5 the opportunity to introduce themselves and use	
4 morning we are giving all of our outside guests 5 the opportunity to introduce themselves and use	
5 the opportunity to introduce themselves and use	
6 five minutes of time to communicate their	
7 thoughts on what we are talking about here today	У,
8 and we are doing this in alphabetical order, and	d
9 we get to start here with Bryan.	
10 MR. HAYNES: Thank you and thanks f	or
11 having me here today and thanks for conducting	
12 this meeting.	
13 Sitting here and listening to the	
14 remarks I keep thinking, boy, I wish I knew all	
15 this stuff eight years ago when we started doin	a
16 all this stuff.	
17 So my observations about meetings	
18 first, pre-submission meetings. So first of al	1
19 we appreciate the opportunity to have these. W	e
20 think they are helpful, so it's good that we have	ve
21 meetings.	
22 I think that the process for	

scheduling these meetings has improved. The time 1 2 from the request to the actual meeting my observation, and I don't have any data to support 3 this, is that it is shortened, so that's good. 4 I think you do a very good job of 5 having the right personnel at these meetings to 6 answer the questions that are being put in front 7 of you and that probably influences the 8 9 scheduling, right, to make sure that all the stakeholders are at the meeting, but you do a 10 11 very good job of that. 12 The written responses that we get in 13 advance of the meeting are helpful, including 14 whether we want to have a meeting at all, but usually that helps at least narrow the issues 15 16 that you'll actually talk about, recognizing that 17 the time for these meetings is limited. 18 Coming from industry, we might like to 19 sit down with you for longer than an hour, maybe 20 two, maybe three. We are also mindful of the 21 constraints on your time. And I think like Jim said earlier we 22

try to look at things from your perspective and if you spend all your time in meetings you probably wouldn't get anything else done, but sometimes, you know, we would like to have more time with you when warranted under the circumstances.

7 Like any meeting, whether it's one 8 with the Office of Science or anybody else, these 9 meetings are more effective when you are better 10 prepared, and part of that is on us to be better 11 prepared, and as we have sort of gone through the 12 learning process with CTP over the last eight 13 years I feel like that's happened.

I think though about meetings and the context of the deeming regulations and the newly deemed products, obviously CTP will have some outputs around that hopefully sooner rather than later.

19 I think those outputs could inform
20 meetings and make applicants better prepared for
21 those meetings. So applicants might have a
22 chicken and egg issue, do you ask for a meeting

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

before you get more guidance from CTP, I think my preference would be to get more guidance from CTP before you conduct a meeting. So that would be a preference.

5 Other areas of potential improvement, 6 maybe even shortening the time from the meeting 7 request to the meeting. In some circumstances 8 that would be very helpful.

9 More meetings. Somebody mentioned 10 earlier it could be good to have more than one 11 pre-submission meeting, particularly for a pre-12 market review program that from start to finish 13 might be over the course of a few years.

You don't have all the answers in your first meetings. Things come up. And so some leeway in that regard would be good.

17Meetings during the review process.18I have detected a strong bias against that. I19would like at times to have some relief from that20bias.

I have seen that the communication
process is less binary than it used to be. It

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

www.nealrgross.com

was kind of all or nothing either in writing or 1 2 nothing else that it's become less binary. I would like it to become even less 3 binary. So in certain circumstances I think it 4 could be very helpful to have meetings during the 5 review process. 6 7 And then lastly I go back to my time as a litigator, you know, you write a brief, you 8 9 can't put everything in a brief. Sometimes you'd like to talk to the judge about what you did and 10 11 why you did it and I think you've spent three 12 years getting something together it would be nice 13 to sit in front of you guys and explain 14 everything we have done, why we did it, and answer questions, and that might alleviate some 15 16 of the potential for miscommunication during the 17 review process. 18 I don't have much to say about master 19 files, which is good because I think my time is 20 short. We haven't spent much time submitting

22

21

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

master files mainly because of my concern about

submitting I guess what would be a partially

blindfolded submission that I can't see things 1 2 that we are submitting and it's always been my concern that if something comes up in the review 3 process because it's proprietary or CCI that you 4 5 can't share it with me and then I don't know how to respond to it. 6 Fortunately I haven't had to do that. 7 8 Maybe somebody could comment on how you deal with 9 that. Thank you. So, first of all, I 10 MS. P. MILLER: 11 want to thank CTP for having this workshop. Ι think it's a great opportunity for two-way 12 communication and learning and I've already 13 14 learned a lot of things today, so thank you for 15 having it. I'm Patricia Miller and I'm senior 16 17 director in law and regulatory affairs in Altria. 18 Altria, over the last several years, has had a 19 bit of experience with meetings as well as TPMS. 20 I'm going to limit my comments to 21 meetings, I'll let Russ deal with the TPMS. Ι 22 would guess that we've had, over the last five

	∠
1	years, a little bit more than half a dozen, what
2	I would consider, pre-submission meetings.
3	We think of pre-submission meetings as
4	a tool to encourage innovation in reduced harm
5	tobacco products, and that's a really important
6	goal for us as I know it is for CTP.
7	As FTA and applicants are preparing
8	their information to support authorization of
9	innovative tobacco products, two key things are
10	important. One is the need for clear
11	foundational rules. And preferably by notice in
12	comment rulemaking.
13	So to the extent that we have more
14	guidance on what we're supposed to be doing,
15	potentially there's less need to have meetings.
16	And when we do have meetings, they could be more
17	efficient and perhaps targeted. If we have those
18	clear foundation rules.
19	When it comes to meetings, what we see
20	is a need for meetings throughout the application
21	process that allow for two-way communication. So
22	when you talk about the opportunity for meetings,

if you look at a true pre-submission meeting. 1 2 So before you ever file anything you may want to have an introductory meeting to talk 3 about a novel tobacco product and talk about the 4 general parameters of your application. 5 You may want to have meetings about particular studies 6 7 that you're conducting, you may want to have meetings to conduct the, to discuss the structure 8 9 in content of your application. Even while an application is pending, 10 and Bryan alluded to this, there may be 11 opportunities for communication, in person, with 12 13 FDA, that is more useful than responding to 14 written questions in an A/I letter. Sometimes that two-way communication could be helpful. 15 16 And even post-authorization. I can 17 see opportunities, for example, for an applicant 18 to have a conversation with FDA about a 19 supplement for modifications to an authorized 20 tobacco product. So, we see the need for 21 communication throughout the application process. 22 What I'm having difficulty with is,

I've heard a bit of that today from CTP, which I 1 2 think is helpful, but when I look, for example, at guidance documents that CTP has. The current 3 quidance document, which was the one that was 4 5 issued in 2012 and updated in 2016, really talks about meetings to discuss scientific research. 6 7 And particularly meetings with Office of Science. Now, it's encouraging to have heard 8 9 here, encouragement about having pre-PMTA 10 meetings and pre-MRTP meetings. But what appears that we have is kind of a one size fits all type 11 12 of meeting in terms of asking for that meeting 13 and the construct of that meeting, that goes with 14 that 2016 guidance which is more about research. Our experience has been, well, I'll 15 16 just say, what's worked for us in the meeting 17 process so far, when we have particular 18 scientific questions, and particularly research 19 studies that we want to discuss and we pose them 20 to CTP in the way that's requested in the 2016 21 guidance, we have gotten the meetings. 22 We've had really helpfully meetings.

We've had good suggestions from CTP. And we've
 had documented results of those meetings through
 the minutes process, which is great.

What we still see is the need to kind of break out of that one size fits all meeting structure. It can sometimes be a burdensome.

You know, from the time that an
applicant asks for a meeting to the time you
actually have the meeting, is at least two
months. And then the meeting process, at least
as outlined in the one guidance, is a bit stilted
or scripted.

In other words, you submit your
request, you get a response back from FDA in 21
days, you submit a meeting information package,
which can be pretty voluminous at times, and then
your meeting is limited to the topics that you've
raised there.

I will say too, part of that process
is you get responses back from FDA two days
before the meeting. And I don't know about
others but that can be quite a scramble. When

you get responses back two days before and your 1 2 digesting those responses, trying to understand them, trying to know what you still have 3 clarifying questions on and being ready for a 4 5 meeting in just two days, it can be difficult. So, we would like to see a process 6 7 that at times can adjust to the type of topic and 8 where you are in the application process. That 9 would be really helpful. And I will note also, in CTP's PMTA 10 guidance, there is a limit stated of one to two 11 12 meetings per applicant that can really limit 13 communication, particularly with innovative 14 tobacco products. So, I will summarize to say, it would 15 16 be helpful to have clear foundational rules that 17 may alleviate some meetings and we would love to 18 see an array of types of meetings. 19 Thank you. MS. RUDOLPH: Russell. 20 MR. WOLZ: Yes, hi, I'm Russ Wolz, I 21 am from Enthalpy Analytical in Richmond, 22 Virginia. I'm here just to share some of our

1

experiences with the TPMFs.

_	
2	As the name implies, Enthalpy
3	Analytical, we do analytical work. All hundreds
4	of different types of chemical analyses as well
5	as toxicological analyses.
6	We do these as routine analyses and as
7	part of PMTA submissions. The benefits, Sarah
8	has described very well what the content of the
9	TPMF can be.
10	In our case, in our case our TPMF
11	includes our methods and the validations of those
12	methods and our accreditations. So many of our
13	methods are public but we include them in our
14	master file.
15	We have established a master file.
16	And we included our methods in the master file so
17	that people who use our analytical services can
18	reference those methods and validations of the
19	methods in their PMTAs.
20	So, as Sarah has also very well
21	stated, the master files are a benefit, both to
22	the manufacturers who are submitting the PMTAs as

I	ے۔ ا
1	well as to the support mechanisms. So, it saves
2	us time by not having to create multiple reports
3	and send the same set of SOPs and validations to
4	a lot of different clients, we can just send it
5	all to central, very secure repository.
6	(Laughter.)
7	MR. WOLZ: Then we assign the right of
8	reference to certain clients. And we're still
9	learning this process.
10	Our first submission was actually a
11	hard copy document. And we then later updated
12	that to do electronic submissions, primarily in
13	the form of PDF files.
14	So when we move to the electronic
15	submission, so now I'm going to move on to some
16	of the challenges we've encountered. Your first
17	electronic submission, after you've established
18	your, or been accepted to establish a master
19	file, is done through a test site.
20	So we created our master file and
21	submitted it through the test site. Then as it
22	turned out, we thought we had submitted it as a

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

I

formal submission but it turned out not to be the 1 2 And it wasn't for two or three months that case. we found out that, oh, we need to submit it 3 through the real site. 4 So, again, that was just education for 5 us and that's why I'm sharing these challenges 6 we've experienced with you. 7 The other challenge is simply in 8 9 organizing these files. We have hundreds of methods, like, 250 methods, to organize. 10 11 First of all, to create a document of 12 that size is very challenging and then to organize it is the additional challenge. 13 So 14 we've very recently updated our master file in a new format, which is now a PDF format with 15 16 hyperlinks to the various sections. 17 And within each section we actually 18 have hyperlinks to the actual SOPs and corresponding validations. 19 20 The only thing that we would 21 recommend, that might help, is instead of having one giant master file, like I said, we've 22

submitted only 31 of our more than 200 methods, 1 2 we might recommend that there be separate files corresponding, organized in different ways. 3 4 So, for example, we could have a 5 master file dedicated to e-liquid analysis, another one for combustibles, another one for 6 smokeless tobacco. So we would have, rather than 7 8 one gigantic file, which is hard to wade through, 9 even with all the hyperlinks, we think it might be better organized to use instead of having one 10 11 big file, to use the electronic depository as 12 more of a folder instead of just a file. 13 So, like I said, Sarah did such a good 14 job explaining all the other things, that's all I have for you today. 15 16 MS. RUDOLPH: Great, thank you. And 17 colleagues from FDA, would you like to introduce 18 yourselves? 19 MS. RANDAZZO: Hi, I'm Joanna 20 Randazzo, I'm a lead science policy analyst in 21 OS. 22 MS. DOLLING: Good afternoon, I'm

Marcella Dolling, I am a branch chief within the
 Office of Science, division for Regulatory
 Project Management.

MS. RUDOLPH: Great, thank you. Well, 4 5 I guess before we get started with a few questions that have been submitted, I guess I'm 6 7 going to look over to my FDA colleagues, and 8 based on what you heard from the panelist who are 9 sharing the table here with you, are there a few things that come to mind that you think might be 10 of interest for you to address at this time? 11 12 MS. RANDAZZO: Yes, actually, I would 13 like to comment on a couple of the recurring 14 comments that we heard from both Bryan and

15 Patricia.

And part of it was about the time it takes to get a meeting scheduled. And one way that industry could actually help them reduce some of their time to get a meeting on the books with FDA is to submit their meeting information package with the original meeting request.

Because we do prefer to have 45 days

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

minimum to prepare. We want to give you really
 good responses.

And I think that I've heard through several of the presenters, and Jim from Swedish Match is one of them, thank you, that we do try to give really good responses and we do try and think about our responses and give you the best feedback we can.

9 We do want a great submission from 10 you, it makes it easier to review, so we do want 11 to give you adequate and decent feedback on all 12 of your questions, as specific as we can get. 13 And so, so we don't want to short ourselves with 14 time either in order to give you a good work 15 product back.

And then one of the other items that I was going to comment on was, regarding the interest in possibly meeting with OS or CTP during the review of a scientific application, generally we try not to duplicate efforts that are being undergone by the review team.

22

That is, working on preparing the

written questions and we're anticipating a 1 2 response back from the applicant. But there are opportunities for clarifying questions during 3 this time and, I mean, you can work with your 4 5 regulatory health project manager to see if there is anything specific that you are seeking 6 feedback on that we may be able to clarify that 7 8 wasn't as clear as we may have intended in that 9 letter.

10 And also, there are times when there 11 may be trends across where you're seeing that you 12 have certain questions that have come up in 13 multiple SE reports, for example, or across other 14 submissions that, if your questions are general in nature and not related to a specific SE 15 16 report, for example, I mean, we would consider 17 granting a meeting for specific, or for general 18 questions as far as the research and development 19 plans for your tobacco product applications. 20

20 MS. DOLLING: Thank you, Joanna. I 21 would like to comment on your recommendation to 22 increase the time period from two business days

from receiving the preliminary response. Thank you for that feedback.

We look at the preliminary response as 3 a way for applicants to understand our thinking 4 with the questions that were presented in the 5 meeting request. That's your time to review our 6 7 comments, and then we encourage you, and to 8 Bryan's comment regarding the one hour, to 9 utilize that document as a way to scope your 10 future meeting with us.

11 So for example, if you submitted ten 12 questions during your application and you only 13 need clarification of two items, we encourage you 14 to focus that meeting on those two specific 15 areas.

In addition to the meeting, that one hour, we found that many companies spend a majority of that time presenting their portfolio. It will be helpful for you to possibly consider cutting down the time that you plan to represent information that's already given to us in that meeting package and really utilize that

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

discussion time to focus on those areas in which need clarification.

With respect to meeting logistics, I 3 4 do want to know, it probably wasn't mentioned 5 here, that Office of Science, in the next few weeks, we will be relocating to Calverton, 6 7 Maryland. So currently all meetings that are 8 scheduled will take place at our White Oak 9 Campus, however, future meetings there may be scheduled in that location. 10 11 It's about ten minutes from our White 12 Oak Campus. So we encourage you to pay attention 13 to that meeting grant it letter, which will 14 provide you with the address of any meeting that 15 may be held. 16 MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. Based on 17 what you heard from FDA, Patricia or Bryan, did 18 you have anything else that you wanted to check 19 back in on? Okay. No? Yes? I think we're fine. 20 MS. P. MILLER: MS. RUDOLPH: Okay, great. 21 So we'll 22 take a question that got sent in to us. So, and

1

this, I think, may be for you, Marcella and
 Joanna.

If there are multiple manufacturing 3 facilities or production sites under the same 4 company, how many TPMFs should we submit, one for 5 each site or a single TPMF that covers all sites? 6 MS. DOLLING: So, the decision to 7 establish a master file belongs to the owner. 8 So 9 we encourage applicants, or TPMF owners, to look 10 at what's your best interest. 11 For example, we would be open to 12 establishing multiple master files. And that, 13 for example, you may consider, do I want to 14 establish one for one site, multiple sites, do I 15 want to establish one for cigarette products, 16 smokeless products for example. 17 However, we encourage you, when you do 18 submit a request for multiple master files, that 19 the information is presented in a logical manner. 20 And that applicant considers, and Russ is looking

21

22

(Laughter.)

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

at me --

1	MS. DOLLING: So, for example, Russ,
2	for your master file you may want to consider
3	would, for example, submitting my master files by
4	analyte or by flavor, for example, could that be
5	an alternative for me. So, it's something that's
6	manageable on your end but also something that
7	FDA could easily reference for future
8	submissions.
9	MR. WOLZ: Right. And so my question
10	to you then, I'm learning a lot today, would be,
11	so, in the example I gave we have maybe one
12	master file for e-liquids, combustibles,
13	smokeless, would those have to be separate master
14	files with separate applications or could they be
15	considered as, for example, appendices to the
16	main master file?
17	MS. DOLLING: So, you have a couple
18	options there, Russ. So, you can submit one
19	master file and you could have separate sections.
20	For example, you may want to have Section A be
21	for your flavors or you may have Section A be
22	specific for e-liquids.

	24
1	MR. WOLZ: But that doesn't have to be
2	in the same document
3	MS. DOLLING: That can be in either
4	the same document or we'd be open to establish
5	separate submission tracking numbers
6	MR. WOLZ: Okay.
7	MS. DOLLING: for each one. For
8	your consideration, each master file, now, there
9	are different responsibilities for you as an
10	owner, to manage those multiple submissions.
11	MR. WOLZ: Thank you.
12	MS. RUDOLPH: Great. So the next two
13	questions are related and it deals with our
14	regulatory health, RHPMs.
15	So, the question really can be tied
16	together, these two. Before manufacturers
17	submits the first pre-submission meeting request,
18	is it possible to be assigned to an RHPM, and if
19	so, what is the process?
20	And subsequent to this, there was a
21	question related and it's, you know, when there
22	isn't already somebody who is assigned, is there

I

I	2
1	another way to communicate with CTP other than
2	the CTP mailbox, given the responsiveness
3	sometimes is not as timely as the applicant might
4	like it to be?
5	MS. DOLLING: Generally we assign
6	RHPMs upon receipt of the submission to CTP.
7	Currently that can be, for example, submitted
8	when an applicant requests an IM account.
9	So currently, if you do have an IM
10	account, you have already been assigned an RHPM.
11	If you need that information, you may contact the
12	Ask CTP and we'll be able to provide that to you.
13	When you receive an application
14	acknowledgment letter, in that letter, towards
15	the end, it will identify your project managers
16	name and their contact information.
17	MS. RUDOLPH: Great.
18	MS. RANDAZZO: I can answer the second
19	question
20	MS. RUDOLPH: Sure.
21	MS. RANDAZZO: about additional
22	ways to contact CTP. Actually, one of the last

slides of Sarah's presentation did mention that 1 2 we have, yes, the Ask CTP email, but also the ombudsman, Office of Small Business and our call 3 4 center. Those are all other ways to get in touch 5 and the correspondence will be routed appropriately. 6 MS. RUDOLPH: 7 Thank you. 8 MS. RANDAZZO: Yes. 9 MS. RUDOLPH: So we got just handed 10 two more questions here. So the first one, is it possible to use a master file for product 11 12 registration, for example, if the same product is used in 25 different brands? 13 14 MS. DOLLING: So currently we do not 15 intend to use TPMFs to reference registration 16 eliciting information. 17 MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. Let's see 18 here. So what criteria does CTP use to determine 19 when a granted meeting is face-to-face versus 20 conference call or written response? 21 MS. RANDAZZO: So, when CTP receives 22 the meeting request we evaluate the scope of the

1	questions, and we take into consideration the
2	applicant or the requestor's preference for the
3	meeting, but we make the ultimate determination
4	of the format.
5	And written responses are generally
6	those where we do not anticipate extensive
7	discussion or clarifications and back and forth.
8	And as far as face-to-face and
9	telecom, I'm going to kind of lump those in
10	together because they really, neither one of them
11	are limiting as far as the amount of back and
12	forth discussion, it's just a matter of if you're
13	coming to FDA Campus or if we're on the phone.
14	And teleconferences can actually be a
15	really nice way to meet with CTP because it
16	alleviates the need for additional travel. And
17	on the company's end you can have additional
18	participants that you may not have been wanting
19	to all travel together or get airfare for the
20	meeting.
21	And also, it's kind of a nice
22	opportunity that if there is a need for internal

deliberation on a question that either party can 1 put each other on hold and make sure that you 2 give the proper vetted answer. And so, it kind 3 of has a couple of nice little features that 4 face-to-face doesn't provide because we're all 5 just sitting there looking at each other. 6 So, it really depends on the scope of 7 8 the questions. And generally, the written is 9 less back and forth, maybe anticipated. 10 MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. So, I have 11 one last question. If I have other questions on 12 different topics that I can think of during the 13 meeting, can I ask them and get more information? 14 What if I provide more information in 15 my opening talk to FDA when we have the meeting, 16 can FDA provide me comment on the new 17 information? 18 MS. RANDAZZO: Generally FDA will 19 limit the discussion in a meeting to what we are 20 prepared to talk about, as outlined in the 21 applicant's meeting request and meeting 22 information package.

i	2
1	However, there may be some low hanging
2	fruit, I'll say, that if you're asking very
3	simple questions that we are able to answer
4	without further internal discussion or additional
5	scientific expertise that may not be in the room
6	with us that day. It really depends on the
7	nature of the question, but in general we tend to
8	stick to the scope of what the meeting topic was
9	as outlined in the request.
10	MS. DOLLING: And I will just
11	piggyback on that. And if there are comments
12	that we can vet after the meeting or there are
13	action items, we do intend to communicate those
14	in the meeting minutes.
15	MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. And, Bryan,
16	did you have one other thing?
17	MR. HAYNES: Just one quick comment
18	because I'm aware of what's in the guidance
19	around supplemental information that you might
20	submit once the meeting has been granted and that
21	might cause the meeting to be rescheduled. Which
22	I'm always terrified about.

I

	21
1	Inevitably you come up with things
2	when you're preparing for a meeting but then I
3	don't want the meeting to be rescheduled so I'm
4	hesitant to submit it.
5	My solution might be, well, we'll
6	submit it, please don't reschedule my meeting,
7	comment on it if you can but please don't
8	reschedule my meeting. Would that be a fair
9	middle ground?
10	MS. RANDAZZO: I mean, that sounds, I
11	mean, as long as the expectation is pretty clear
12	that you submitted this late
13	MR. HAYNES: Yes.
14	MS. RANDAZZO: and you're not
15	expecting us to comment. But, I mean, it really
16	depends on what outcome you're seeking.
17	MR. HAYNES: Yes.
18	MS. RANDAZZO: If you feel the
19	information is really important for us to provide
20	an answer to, it may just have to be that we
21	reschedule or you can setup a separate meeting.
22	Yes, I

24
MR. HAYNES: Fair enough.
MS. RANDAZZO: Depends on what you
want.
MR. HAYNES: Fair enough.
MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. Any further
comments for the panel? Okay, thank you so much.
So, we'll be transitioning into our
Session 4. We'll have two presentations. The
first one is from Sharyn Miller, information
resources on application review programs.
And the second will be from Jeff Smith
on CTP electronic submission standards and
activities. And following that we'll have
another panel.
(Off the record comments.)
MS. S. MILLER: Welcome to the
presentation on information and resources across
application review programs. My name is Sharyn
Miller and I'm a regulatory health project
manager in the Office of Science.
In response to industry feedback, FDA
Center for Tobacco Products has provided

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

manufacturers with additional information and
 helpful tools to assist in understanding tobacco
 product regulatory requirements.

Navigating FDA's website, we will walk 4 5 through some of these resources and explain how this information may benefit you. 6 To accomplish 7 this, we will first walk through FDA's website to 8 see where guidance and regulation documents are 9 located. In addition to documents currently available for public comment. 10

11 After that we will take a look at 12 marketing orders for pre-market programs. In 13 support of specific FDA actions, we will learn 14 how to access TPL reviews, order letters and 15 environmental assessments.

To further assist in addressing
regulatory and CTP specific questions, it may be
helpful to know that FDA offers webinars,
presentations and public workshops. We will
guide you through these online educational
materials and discuss ways to stay abreast of
ongoing CTP activities and initiatives.

1	Let's begin with locating regulatory
2	information using FDA's website. On CTP webpage
3	there is a gray box titled, navigate the tobacco
4	product section.
5	As shown here in the picture, there
6	are six options to choose from. Including
7	products, guidance and regulations, compliance,
8	enforcement and training, newsroom, public health
9	education, science and research, and about CTP.
10	Each option provides a brief
11	description on that topic. Selecting the first
12	option titled, products, guidance and
13	regulations, directs us to a web page that shows
14	information on marketing pathways, statutory
15	requirements and documents for public comment.
16	FDA offers direct access to all CTP
17	regulations and guidance documents. Selecting
18	rules and regulations from the navigation pane
19	displays all advanced notice of proposed
20	rulemakings, also referred to as ANPRMs, proposed
21	rules and final rules.
22	The Administrative Procedures Act

establishes the basic requirement for notice and
 comment rulemaking. Notice of proposed
 rulemaking, also referred to as NPRMs, make the
 public aware of the agency's intentions for the
 specific rule, while potential ANPRMs solicit
 information to inform policy on future
 rulemaking.

8 In summary, proposed rules explain the 9 agency's intent, provide CTP spaces for issuing 10 regulations and solicit public comments.

11 Selecting guidance allows anyone to 12 search for and download documents that represent 13 FDAs current thinking on a wide range of tobacco 14 related issues. These documents usually discuss more specific products or issues that relate to 15 16 product design, production, labeling, promotion, 17 manufacturing and submission of regulated 18 products.

19 Guidance documents help industry
20 understand and comply with all laws and
21 applicable regulations. Unlike final rules,
22 guidance documents are not binding.

What this means is that you may use an 1 2 alternative approach if that approach satisfies applicable statutes and regulations. Typically 3 for draft guidance documents, the agency 4 5 designates a comment period, generally 60 to 90 days so that comments can be considered as the 6 draft is finalized. 7 One important aspect in reviewing 8 9 guidance is to consider whether FDA has made any revisions. Revised guidance demonstrates a 10 change in FDA's current thinking on that topic. 11 12 For example, effective April 13, 2018, FDA issued a revised listing of ingredients in 13 14 tobacco products guidance. The purpose of this revision was to assist manufacturers of deemed 15 16 tobacco products with the required ingredient 17 listings under Section 904(a)(1) of the Federal 18 Food Drug and Cosmetic Act. 19 In this revised quidance, FDA 20 announced the intent to enforce the ingredient 21 listing requirements, only with respect to those 22 components or parts, one, made or derived from

tobacco, or two, containing ingredients that are
 burned, aerosolized or ingested during tobacco
 product use.

When reviewing these regulatory documents, note that the date listed reflects the effective date. Regulatory documents may be available for public viewing prior to the effective date, to solicit public comments.

9 Your feedback plays a critical role in
10 helping shape tobacco policy and regulation.
11 Because FDA regulatory decisions are based on
12 science and law, agency reviewers look for logic,
13 good science and other evidence as they evaluate
14 comments.

To be sure comments have the greatest possible impact, we suggest reviewing our tips for submitting effective comments beforehand. A few tips for submitting effective comments include, adequately explain the reasoning behind your position. This helps the agency formulate the best policy.

22

Identifying credentials and experience

1	that may distinguish your comments from others.
2	If you are commenting in an area in which you
3	have relevant personal or professional
4	experience, say so.
5	When disagreeing with a proposed
6	action, suggest an alternative. Including not
7	regulating at all. And include an explanation
8	and/or analysis of how the alternative might meet
9	the same objective or be more effective.
10	On that same navigation pane, you will
11	see a section to submit comments on certain
12	tobacco related products. If a tobacco related
13	document is available for public comment, it is
14	shown here.
15	Currently, FDA is seeking public
16	comment on the public meeting, on tobacco product
17	application review and also requesting member
18	nominations to serve on the tobacco products
19	scientific advisory committee.
20	These links will direct you to the
21	federal registrar website where you can find
22	additional information on the submission process.

ĺ	
1	Also open for public comment are
2	several modified risk tobacco product
3	applications. Including Copenhagen snuff, fine
4	cut smokeless tobacco product, six Camel Snus
5	smokeless tobacco products and three iCO systems
6	with corresponding heat sticks.
7	Similar to public comments on guidance
8	and proposed regulations, application related
9	comments are submitted through regulations.gov.
10	Selecting any of the application links will
11	automatically direct you to a web page where
12	immediate feedback may be provided.
13	When considering resources that
14	improve public understanding of the scientific
15	principles involved in application review, it may
16	be helpful to know that FDA also posts relevant
17	documents to explain the basis for certain
18	actions.
19	Let's navigate CTP's website to
20	identify where these resources are located. On
21	the products, guidance and regulations navigation
22	pane, we can select review and evaluation

process.

2	When we select this item, several
3	additional options are displayed, including
4	questions and answers, misbranded and adulterated
5	NSE products, tobacco product marketing orders
6	and three CTP marketing pathways.
7	To view marketing order reporting
8	numbers across CTP programs, select tobacco
9	product marketing orders. This shows the number
10	of marketing orders, refused-to-accept and
11	withdrawals for pre-market product applications,
12	substantial equivalence and exemption from a
13	substantial equivalence programs to date.
14	In cases where CTP has issued an order
15	for any of the three marketing pathways, we can
16	access relevant documents to better understand
17	the application review process. To view this
18	information, select the specific CTP marketing
19	program, followed by marketing orders.
20	Let's take a look at marketing order
21	information for CTP's most active marketing
22	pathway, substantial equivalence. Shown here is

the general representative sample for the types 1 2 of SE marketing order information available. More specifically, order letters, 3 decision summaries, environmental assessments, 4 also referred to as EA, and finding of no 5 significant impact, also referred to as FONSI, 6 7 are available for public viewing. Clicking the product's name provides 8 9 the SE, or NSE order letter, for that tobacco The order letter acknowledges 10 product. scientific review completion, explains marketing 11 12 order status and reminds applicants that the new 13 tobacco product specified are subject to the 14 requirements of Chapter 9 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. 15 16 The decision summary, also referred as 17 the TPL review, captures the regulatory 18 compliance and scientific review conclusions from 19 that tobacco product application. Reading TPL 20 reviews may be useful to understanding the scope 21 and depth of CTP's application review process. In addition to the order letter in TPL 22

review, FDA provides the corresponding EA to 1 2 address environmental impacts that may be caused from tobacco product manufacturing, use and 3 4 disposal. In support of the EA, a FONSI may be 5 prepared. Which includes that the marketing 6 7 order for this new tobacco product will not have 8 a significant impact on the quality of the human 9 environment. 10 For more information on EA, please 11 refer to Dr. Chang's presentation this afternoon. 12 Prior to website posting, FDA redacts 13 information from these documents to protect confidential and trade secret information. 14 In accordance with applicable statutes and 15 16 regulations. 17 Additionally, these documents are 18 reviewed to ensure compliance with Section 508. 19 Which requires that all website content be 20 accessible to people with disabilities. 21 For these reasons, the review time for 22 posting may vary, based on the content in each

document.

2	With a comprehensive approach, CTP
3	uses a variety of platforms for information
4	sharing and educational training. Let's explore
5	some of the information and training resources
6	available to you.
7	With the ingredient listing compliance
8	date of November 8th, 2018 looming for small-
9	scale manufacturers, CTP created a new ingredient
10	listing web page to provide additional
11	information and updated forms, to assist with
12	electronic submissions.
13	The creation of this webpage was a
14	result of a bolus in inquiries regarding the
15	ingredient listing submission process. To
16	address industry concerns, the webpage includes
17	the April 2018 revised guidance for industry,
18	criteria for submitting one listing that
19	corresponds with multiple tobacco products and
20	product specific ingredient listing spreadsheets.
21	Which are available for direct download here in
22	an eSubmitter.

1	More recently however, CTP developed
2	three webinars to account for the following.
3	Examples of ingredient listing spreadsheets by
4	product category, using a tobacco product master
5	file for ingredient listing submissions and using
6	FDA tools to submit ingredient listings
7	electronically.
8	As we continue to identify industry
9	knowledge gaps, CTP updates this webpage on a
10	regular basis to include general information on
11	topics received through public inquiries. For
12	additional CTP webinars, select compliance
13	enforcement and training on the left navigation
14	pane.
15	This webinar series provides
16	compliance, education and training on a variety
17	of topics so tobacco retailers, importers and
18	manufacturers learn all the steps necessary to
19	comply with the statutory requirements for the
20	marketing and sale of all tobacco products.
21	FDA considers new webinar topics based
22	on public inquiries and ideas. To share an idea

for a future webinar, please contact Ask CTP Help
 Desk.

For a list of CTP press releases, 3 meetings and workshops, we encourage you to visit 4 5 the CTP newsroom. The top of the webpage highlights featured stories on tobacco product 6 7 application review, steps taken to address youth 8 epidemic of e-cigarette use and a spotlight on 9 science. Scrolling down the webpage shows 10 11 additional information sorted by date. Each item 12 will direct you to a page where you can find more 13 information on that topic. 14 For example, if we select the first 15 item, a public meeting tobacco product 16 application review, we see information on the 17 meeting location, objective, audience and 18 registration. Topics to be addressed in the 19 meeting are also noted here. 20 To ensure the most up to date 21 information, we recommend you monitor the CTP 22 newsroom periodically. To broaden our reach with

important updates, CTP is also active on a 1 2 variety of social media platforms, including Twitter, Facebook and YouTube. In addition, we 3 offer the option to subscribe for email updates. 4 5 Whether you're a tobacco product manufacturer retailer looking for compliance 6 7 information, a parent in need of resources to 8 educate your child about the dangers about 9 tobacco use, or a scientist interested in learning more about the latest tobacco product 10 11 research, we have the information you're looking 12 for. 13 By subscribing to receive email 14 updates from us, you will stay informed about all 15 things tobacco products. The four unique email 16 lists include CTP News, CTP Connect, Spotlight on Science and Modified Risk Tobacco Product 17 Application Updates. 18 19 Signing up for CTP News allows you to 20 be among the first to receive news from the 21 center, as it happens. Including information 22 about regulations, guidance, enforcement actions

and other compliance related announcements. 1 2 With CTP Connect, you can expect to receive a regular newsletter that includes 3 messages from CTP leadership, a regulatory news 4 5 roundup, featured articles on current tobacco issues and educational resources. 6 7 To stay current on CTP tobacco 8 regulatory science and research efforts, we 9 recommend the Spotlight on Science. This email subscription provides tobacco science 10 11 publications, study findings and CTP grants. 12 If you want to know when materials 13 from any MRTP applications under review have been 14 posted, sign up for the MRTP application updates 15 email list. But, be sure to sign up for the 16 email list that best interests you. To evaluate the usefulness of our 17 18 public facing materials and address issues 19 raised, we want to hear from you. There are 20 multiple ways to contact us. 21 For general questions, CTP encourages 22 you to reach out to the call center phone lines.

Staff are readily available to assist between the 1 2 hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight time. 3 Callers should select Option 1 for 4 general questions, such as questions related to 5 marketing application pathways and compliant 6 7 states or Option 2 for questions regarding eSubmitter and CTP Portal. 8 9 General questions can also be sent by emailing AskCTP. For specific inquiries, CTP has 10 several help desks available to ensure inquiries 11 12 are routed to the appropriate person who can get 13 you the response you need. 14 To prevent duplicative help desk 15 tickets, which may delay responses, we recommend 16 submitting individual inquiries through one 17 channel. For tobacco industry questions, such as 18 application submission process and timelines, 19 please contact Tobacco Industry Help Desk. 20 If you're considered a small-scale 21 tobacco product business and seeking more 22 information on the regulatory process, you may

I	2
1	send questions to Tobacco Industry Help Desk or
2	the small business office.
3	CTP stakeholder relations office helps
4	increase stakeholder awareness and understanding
5	of the Tobacco Control Act, including regulatory,
6	science, communication and enforcement
7	initiatives.
8	For questions on stakeholder
9	engagement and awareness, please contact CTP's
10	stakeholder relations. All regulatory
11	correspondence, including written and electronic
12	submissions, are processed through CTP's document
13	control center.
14	Note that delivery hours are from 8:00
15	a.m. to 4:00 p.m., and deliveries received after
16	4:00 p.m. will be date stamped the following
17	business day. Please refer to Mr. Smith's
18	presentation on electronic submissions and
19	associated forms for more information on
20	eSubmitter and CTP Portal.
21	This concludes the presentation
22	regarding information and resources on

application review programs. Clarifying 1 2 questions will be addressed during the panel discussion for this meeting session. Thank you. 3 4 (Applause.) Thanks, Sharyn, and thanks 5 MR. SMITH: 6 for fixing this remote. Let me see if we can get 7 moving here. I'll probably refer to some things 8 that Sharyn mentioned, and as well, Barbara. 9 It's cozy in here and --- very cozy. And your sugar is probably diving after lunch so 10 11 I'll try to amp it up a little bit. 12 My name is Jeff Smith, I'm with the Office of Science Division of Regulatory Science 13 14 Informatics. I'll be presenting about some electronic submissions, issues and 15 16 considerations. 17 And Deborah Sholtes, who's a branch 18 chief, will be sitting on the panel to entertain 19 questions. So, after I give my presentation I'll 20 duck for cover. I want to mention a few things about 21 22 where we came from. Some of the challenges that

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

we had standing up a new center and dealing with 1 2 a newly regulated entity. To put it in context. And then highlight one of our more 3 recent advances, which were really in response to 4 5 the feedback we've received from industry and from you, to try to help in your submission 6 7 submittal part and communications. Then some technical considerations, 8 9 lessons that we've learned, that we'd like to 10 share. Many of those lessons we've actually now 11 put out in documents. 12 You also get some of those lessons in 13 those pre-submission meetings that Barbara spoke 14 of as well. Then that's important for those people who, the technical people who are actually 15 16 putting together these submissions to submit to 17 us. 18 Okay. Then, I want to talk about 19 where we're headed toward an existing electronic 20 submission standard. And that's very important 21 for, also those technical people as well, but 22 also important for the commercial marketplace of

solutions that we'll be building and providing 1 2 tools built around those standards. So, the Tobacco Control Act was 3 enacted in June of 2009, and within six months we 4 5 had to be prepared to receive this. We were all challenged on both sides. You in assembling and 6 submitting and us, we, on receiving. 7 8 And so we actually began receiving 9 other kinds of submissions within three months, even before we were staffed very well. 10 So we had to track those and whose, what the status of 11 12 So we had to beg, borrow and steal pretty those. 13 much, from across centers. 14 Government contracting can be slow. 15 All contracting can be slow. And so that's why, 16 and then developing only begins to occur after 17 that. 18 So, eSubmitter was a good choice. Ι 19 think it's really helped. It's been well received. eSubmitter is the TurboTax like tool 20 21 that you can fill out questions and answers, who 22 are you and what is this about. Then you can

	4
1	begin to attach those files.
2	And it's been very well received and
3	it's served us well for the creation part of the
4	submission.
5	Next is the transmission part. The
6	agency already had an electronic submission
7	secured gateway. And we got a lot of feedback
8	about that.
9	And Russell alluded to that issue. It
10	requires a high technical capability and in a
11	newly regulated industry, especially with a lot
12	of small businesses that's not always available.
13	So we heard you and we responded.
14	Internal systems and building what we
15	could in-house so we could begin to track
16	everything we began to receive. And then of
17	course the FDA unified registration listing of
18	which, soon thereafter, the tobacco TRLM module
19	under that was established.
20	So, in response to concerns and
21	questions and frustrations, we rolled out, in
22	August of 2016, the CTP Portal. And it really

1

was a first for FDA.

2	It's more like your HMO where you go
3	in, it's an environment that your company and all
4	the users that your company assigns can share in
5	that environment, in their interaction with
6	Center for Tobacco.
7	And it allows you to easily click and
8	upload. So you don't have to negotiate the ESG
9	any longer, you simply click on a button and
10	upload it.
11	That was well received also, but more
12	than subjectively. When we rolled that out we
13	were majority paper. More than 70 percent paper.
14	After, just after a year, that had flipped. We
15	were 70 percent electronic.
16	Companies and people who had never
17	submitted electronically were submitting it. It
18	was easier than mailing. So that is a real
19	testament to that. And CTP loves data. So I had
20	to tell you that.
21	In order to make this fly, because we
22	appreciate the concerns about confidentiality of

company material, we did not want to put 1 2 ourselves in a position of assigning all of the accounts within that company, knowing whose 3 coming and going. So we created the concept of 4 5 the industry account manager, and then put it in the hands of the companies. Put it in your 6 7 hands, to manage who in your company can and 8 cannot get access. 9 A little screenshot there. So, when 10 you go into the home screen you'll see different 11 areas. Pretty self-explanatory here. 12 It lets you see the actions, the letters that were issued. It doesn't let you see 13 14 the content of the letters yet, but it does give you administrative information about the letters. 15 16 To the right you will see some 17 notifications and the bottom you'll see the most 18 recent files that your company has uploaded. So 19 anybody with that account that that company is 20 given will see these screens. 21 I've clicked on the submission screen, 22 so across the top actually. You can't really see

1	it, I feel sorry for you folks in the back, but
2	the submission screen across the top.
3	And what is good about this is not
4	only you can easily upload whatever you have
5	created with eSubmitter, but you can also see
6	when it was assigned in STN. You can see that
7	submission tracking number, STN.
8	If you click on that hyperlink, for
9	the STN, it will drill down and give you more
10	information about it. It won't tell you and show
11	you the content, but it will show you the
12	administrative information.
13	So you can say, yes, FDA received it,
14	they assigned this STN to it. And you can even
15	see what files you had uploaded, the files names
16	that are associated with that STN. So there's no
17	doubt.
18	When you're ready to upload, the
19	pointer is not going to do me any good here, so
20	to the upper right there's a little button,
21	orange button. And you'll get a screen showing
22	you the list of the files that your company has

so far uploaded. And if you're sharing this role 1 2 with another person in your company you can say, oh, she hasn't uploaded it yet, so now I'm going 3 4 to upload that submission. 5 And you click. And then you browse to your hard drive or your file share and you click 6 and you upload it. 7 What are you uploading? What are you 8 9 attaching within eSubmitter? Now, I'm no fan of electronic. 10 Actually I, going from molecules to electrons, I 11 like my molecules, they serve me well. 12 They're 13 allowing me to stand right here. I'm sure you 14 love your molecules too. But it's really only when those data 15 can be provided in a form and format that can be 16 17 further utilized by computers. So we have to be 18 able to open, we have to be able process, read, 19 archive. 20 It's great if it provides more 21 capability than paper because then, now the 22 electrons have more capability than paper. So,

1

if they're searchable.

	-
2	So, here are some of the most common
3	file types, the extensions for PDF is an
4	excellent, I think, standard everybody is aware
5	of. It's an open format.
6	Great for the narrative body, for
7	telling your story, for guiding a reviewer
8	through. And then of course you may refer them
9	to associated data which there are formats
10	appropriate for those SAS transport, unopened SAS
11	format. Excellent for data, comma separated
12	values.
13	Excel if you have to. But anything
14	but PDF because, believe it or not, we do get
15	some of those still with paginations and we're
16	taking our time pulling the data out rather than
17	reviewing, and that serves nobody any good.
18	So, also nonproprietary. When we get
19	submissions in SAS, that's proprietary format.
20	We prefer SAS transport. That's what the Center
21	for Drugs, Biologics and Devices have been
22	receiving for years. We're simply following

their lead.

1

2	Naming the files is important. You
3	wouldn't believe how difficult it can be just
4	knowing the entry point for the reviewer of where
5	to go and dive in and begin reviewing. And these
6	files number in the hundreds. It's just a
7	swimming pool of files.
8	And so, naming it explicitly, MainTOC
9	or MainBody, MainTOC would be good, has your
10	table of contents, your main body from there. It
11	can link to other pieces of your submission and
12	reference.
13	So, we've had much difficulty with
14	special characters and foreign characters. And
15	when we start to have to rename files, that can
16	break your links.
17	You may be referencing the files
18	somewhere deep in your submission, now we cannot
19	find the file because we renamed the file. We
20	don't want to be in the business of doing that so
21	that could slow things down and we'll have to get
22	back with you about possibly resubmitting a

portion or more of the submission.

1

2	We're a Windows shop right now, we're
3	hoping to change that, but there's a limit to the
4	kind of files we can read and the length of the
5	file names and the overall path. The overall
6	path is folder, folder, subfolder, subfolder,
7	subfolder/file name.
8	And when it goes too long we can see
9	it, you can try this at home if you have a Linux
10	machine and open it on Windows, you can see it
11	but you can't get a handle on that file, Windows
12	won't let you open it, it says, file cannot be
13	found, but I'm looking right at it.
14	So, that's been a problem for us and
15	we've had to work around those problems. So,
16	keep it down to 180 characters in total. It's
17	consistent with the other centers.
18	We're actually offering you more
19	characters than the other centers. We think we
20	can do that based on the way we're managing our
21	files.
22	I'll refer you to some documents in a

couple of slides that detail some of this much better.

When you do create your submission 3 4 file, your main body, it's helpful to you, and it 5 saves time to generate it directly from the source rather than print it out and scan it in. 6 7 It provides what's called a functional PDF. 8 It's searchable, but the great thing 9 about PDFs you generate from the source is, you can zoom up, see letters and they don't pixelate, 10 11 it just, so they don't become fuzzy. Sometimes 12 things are scanned at low dots per inch and it's 13 unclear and then when you zoom in it's just 14 bigger but it's still unclear. 15 So, minimally, if you do scan it 300 16 dots per inch at least. And then OCR it because, 17 again, that makes use out of those electrons. 18 It gives an advantage over paper then, 19 and that's to all our benefit. And even in the 20 company, if they have to search for the 21 submission themselves and find information, which they often have to do too. 22

1

	27
1	Table of contents is very important in
2	that you reference every file throughout. We
3	cannot presume the intention of a particular data
4	set or file, we really need you to tell us why
5	it's there and how it supports your claim. We
6	cannot presume what you intended with the file.
7	So, everything has to be referenced in
8	some way. Hyperlinks and bookmarks, I've heard
9	mentioned here several times they're very
10	helpful.
11	Existing templates. A minute ago,
12	Sharyn talked about some resources available.
13	One of those is the ingredients template.
14	Ingredients template, use that when
15	you submit your ingredient submissions. But,
16	that template is available on the CTP
17	manufacturer website. You can find a lot of this
18	on the CTP manufacturer website so I don't need
19	to give you all these little links. You can find
20	it there.
21	And that spreadsheet could also be
22	used in support of your MRTP or your SE. It's an

1	ingredients template. Use it for your other
2	application pathways. It benefits everyone.
3	Please test also. Often, well, not
4	often, a few times we have had to open a
5	submission, we've had difficulty. And the
6	company discovered they could not open it either.
7	Because people have third parties create some of
8	these things and submit, so it's helpful if the
9	company knows it can be opened as well.
10	And virus scan also, as regulated.
11	We're also regulated. The federal government has
12	information security, regulations and laws. And
13	that's good for you because your data is secure.
14	And we do scan everything as it comes
15	in, but we also require that, and the agency has
16	made this a requirement, so CTP has to follow
17	suit, that you scan and indicate what you used to
18	scan it with if you're sending physical media in.
19	If we do receive something that is virus
20	contaminated, it is going to create a problem
21	receiving anything further from that company
22	until we can work this out.

I	4
1	And no need to encrypt or password
2	protect. The portal encrypts at the point of
3	origin. It goes over the wire encrypted, and
4	when it's received it's decrypted. It uses
5	secure socket layer.
6	Also, FDA has a long history of
7	maintaining confidentiality. Okay.
8	So, here is some references, I'm not
9	going to get into them, but they're references of
10	what I've been talking about. And also, the pre-
11	application meetings are important for that
12	purpose.
13	But when you're ready, you can
14	download eSubmitter, learn it. When you get
15	ready to submit you'll need a portal account. It
16	takes some lead time, ten to 14 days.
17	You'll need to submit a letter from
18	the company, on company letterhead, appointing an
19	industry account manager, and rules of behavior.
20	And then you're ready to go. Open up the portal,
21	browse to where your file is and upload it.
22	So now I'm going to try to speed this

up because now we're looking toward the future. 1 2 Toward a structured electronic submission that can even stage us for more benefits. 3 And there's generally four areas of 4 5 standards. One is a laboratory standards test, protocols and such, ISO and Caressa (phonetic) 6 7 and so forth. There is a submission content, which 8 9 is how the data is arrayed and coded. You'll hear acronyms like CDISC, STTM, HL7. 10 11 Analysis standards, statistical 12 standards, statistical assays. But what I want to comment here on is the container. The actual 13 submission. The electronic submission itself 14 15 that breaks a part a submission, assemblies it, 16 packages it and send it to us so everything 17 you've attached is sent to us. 18 FDA does try to make use of standards 19 whenever possible. 21 CFR 10.95 requires us to 20 participate, and utilize when possible and 21 appropriate, and we do. And the eCTD, the 22 electronic common technical document, is one such

standard that has been in use for almost 15 1 2 years. The regulated product submission 3 4 builds upon that standard but it still uses eCTD 5 as the underlying code. Now, we're going to have to modify 6 7 this slightly to avoid confusing and angering 8 people in eCTD. We're calling it the electronic 9 tobacco technical document. The eCTD breaks a submission into 10 several discrete units. Not just theoretically, 11 several separate files by area, by discipline, 12 13 administrative area, clinical, quality, which 14 would be CMC manufacturing and so on. Going into each of these modules, it 15 16 breaks it down even further. And for our 17 purpose, we may have to remove some. Pediatric 18 does apply here but we might need some behavioral 19 studies, population health studies and we'll have 20 to modify what we can without messing too much of 21 the standard up and getting people angry at us. 22 The RPS builds on this, so not just an

individual submission standard, but all the 1 2 submissions pertain to a product lifecycle. So it has a file cabinet or a dossier, where all the 3 information pertaining to the life of that 4 product would be stored. 5 So you had drawers for different 6 7 application types. If SE applied you'd have SE 8 In this case it probably would be SE here. 9 exemption if there's a PMTA. Then, a folder as a submission unit. 10 11 The first one to come in might create that PMTA. 12 An amendment would be another submission unit folder. Documents within it are the content. 13 14 And so, it's fully metadata driven and so there's no need for folders. 15 16 The good thing about this is, the 17 companies know where to put stuff, irregardless 18 of the application pathway, and we know where to 19 find stuff. And we can avail ourselves of more 20 automation and tools to do that. 21 We can actually reference one piece 22 within a submission to another piece within

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1	another submission. And this is valuable instead
2	of just referencing one application to another.
3	The most important thing, take home
4	message is that, by subscribing to this type of
5	standard, we both avail ourselves of a whole
6	commercial marketplace of tools and solutions to
7	create, submit and review and analyze these data.
8	And it has served other parts of FDA well.
9	Just an example, how you have your
10	documents and then you have your metadata file.
11	So, a metadata file is simply saying, hey
12	document, here's stuff, here's how to use it,
13	this supersedes the previous one we sent and now
14	when you look at your application you'll see this
15	one and what it's to be used for.
16	A little bit of code here, and I'm
17	almost done, a little bit of code just to show
18	you some code. But actually, your email looks a
19	lot like this if you were to look under the hood.
20	Computer communication is like this
21	for financial data and medical data. And we're
22	currently working on several technical documents,

1 highly technical documents, for those software 2 firms and for the technical folks. And I list them out here. And some 3 4 sample files. Which is what was done for eCTD. 5 And we're building in-house the databases and architecture to receive it. 6 We've actually completed a successful 7 8 receipt of an eCTD from pilot participants in a 9 software industry that support the pharmaceutical industry with these tools. They were able to 10 11 figure out, from our technical specification, how 12 to build an electronic eCTD and submit it to us. And we were able to receive that. 13 14 And then of course, we will go to the 15 standard part of the good guidance process and 16 make these documents available for public 17 comment. But Dr. Holman wanted us to put our 18 ducks in a row and validate this before we did 19 that, and that's what we've done. 20 So, I think I'm two minutes over but 21 thank you very much for your time. And I think 22 this is going to benefit, as it has with CDER and

1 CBER, both sides and all parties, in the end. So 2 thank you very much. (Applause.) 3 4 MS. RUDOLPH: Before we head into our 5 panel we're going to take a ten minute break. So if everybody could come back at about 3:05 that 6 7 would be great. 8 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 9 went off the record at 2:53 p.m. and resumed at 10 3:07 p.m.) 11 MS. RUDOLPH: So welcome back 12 everyone. We're coming to the end of Session No. 13 4 with our distinguished panel and we will give 14 -- as we have previously -- each person who's an 15 outside representative five minutes to introduce 16 him or herself -- actually herself in this case 17 -- and to have an opportunity to kind of reflect 18 on the session. And then we will move into 19 questions from the audience, both in person and 20 on the Web. 21 Paisley? 22 Thanks. Paisley MS. CAMERON:

1	Cameron. I'm with JTI USA. I've been working
2	with this Matt and CTP for I guess since
3	inception in 2009 now. So I wanted to thank both
4	Matt and everyone here for having such a
5	workshop. I think it's absolutely a great
6	opportunity, and obviously we've come a long way
7	since over the last eight years that we're now
8	being able to collaborate on these items.
9	I'll just touch very briefly on both
10	topics. The first one with the eSubmitter, and
11	I'll qualify that by saying I don't really have
12	the direct experience myself. Fortunately we
13	have other people with better technical expertise
14	than I do within our organization who handle
15	these things. But my understanding is that we
16	have used them for certain in certain
17	instances. The ingredient submission for
18	example, which Jeff had talked about earlier.
19	And there is a template that's there
20	to be used, but in our case we found that the
21	information how we, let's say, keep it in our
22	systems, don't necessarily match with the way CTP

puts it in their template. So we then had to 1 2 build like an interface and a mapping tool so that we could get it from our system into a 3 template or into an Excel format that could then 4 5 be easily uploaded in to the eSubmitter format. So it takes a little bit of time to do that, but 6 7 in our case it made it easier for the long run. 8 And also even just setting up the eSubmitter 9 gateway in the first instance took a little bit of time as well. 10

And I think I heard a number of times 11 12 sort of the technical expertise -- there is a 13 fairly high level of technical expertise that's 14 required for this. So I would encourage people 15 to have sort of dedicated people within their 16 organization who can do this, especially -- it 17 sounds like in the future it's going to be more 18 complex and although more beneficial I think 19 because that way you're not going to lose track 20 and you can more easily, let's say, track where 21 your submission is at and you can get your STN numbers more quickly. And so -- and it will make 22

1

it easier for both CTP and for industry.

2	The one thing I would recommend is
3	that there is sort of this continued dialogue and
4	to understand or at least for CTP and industry
5	to make sure that the system allows for let's say
6	some flexibility for different product types of
7	categories and for different information that
8	needs to be loaded through the system for these
9	different products as they come up for either
10	substantial equivalent or PMTA or MRTP.
11	On the web site, look, there is a
12	significant amount of valuable information out on
13	the web site, clearly. There is everything from
14	submission data to webinars to product metrics.
15	I mean, you name it, it's there. It's just not
16	always easiest to find. I mean, if you looked at
17	the categories, they're not always let's say
18	intuitive of how you can find information, so it
19	does take a little bit of hunt and peck at times
20	to go through and find the right information that
21	you're looking for.

22

So one of the suggestions we might

have is maybe some quick links so that you can 1 2 find information much more easily, say for example, the NSE determination summary, which I 3 think was addressed by Christi this morning, that 4 5 they're going to look at that as far as -- I think they're now calling it Appendix of Common 6 7 Deficiencies that will be submitted or out there. But one of the things that's let's say 8 9 maybe even lacking in the past is a versioning of What's the actual date? What's the most 10 that. recent version of that information that's out 11 12 It was hard to know is there anything there. 13 new? Is there anything different? And then if 14 there was, sort of you had to look and see what your old version said and compare it to your new 15 16 one. So if there was some way that CTP could 17 version those or give us dates so that we would 18 know when things were updated, even sometimes 19 when to go look for information. 20 We don't always -- like I said, 21 because there's so much information out on the

22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

web site and a lot of it's, like I said, really

valuable information that can be used by the 1 2 industry. When those things are updated it would be nice to get a flag or a notice somehow that, 3 okay, there's new information out there. 4 Mavbe on the main page a list of what's been put up 5 recently, and then an actual link to that 6 7 information I think would be very helpful. And just a note on those sort of 8 9 deficiency lists, I think if we could get a

little bit more substantive information on what 10 exactly it is that CTP is looking for in each of 11 12 those instances. A lot of times it would just say the information is deficient. But if we 13 14 could get some insights onto exactly what was missing, what would have been the solution, what 15 16 would maybe CTP be looking for in that particular 17 instance, it would provide some more transparency 18 and clarity to the industry so that they would 19 have let's say more complete applications in the 20 first instance, and it would be an easier, quicker review time for CTP. And I guess that's 21 22 -- my time is up.

	1
1	(Laughter.)
2	MS. CAMERON: My cue. Thank you.
3	MS. RUDOLPH: Leann?
4	MS. CAMPBELL: Good afternoon. My
5	name is Leann Campbell. I'm from RAI Services
6	Company. I'm a senior manager in the
7	eSubmissions Group and the Scientific and
8	Regulatory Affairs. I also want to thank CTP for
9	giving us this workshop and this opportunity to
10	talk to you.
11	So my comments are mostly confined to
12	the areas of MRTP and PMTA, of which I have
13	direct experience dating back to my time working
14	on clinical studies as a bio-statistician through
15	converting some old legacy data for use in one of
16	these type of applications, and then the actual
17	compilation of these applications.
18	And then as for what has been working
19	well with both of those application types, the
20	available tools that are from FDA we feel like
21	more or less we've been able to successfully use
22	them or adapt them. For example, the ECTD

structure. It wasn't put together necessarily
 for us, but it does lend itself very well to
 providing a structure for an application of this
 type, particularly the scientific studies
 sections.

Another area that I think we have been 6 able to have a successful adaptation is 7 8 translating the guidances into a submission 9 format absent a standalone guidance that's specifically for the tobacco product applications 10 11 and absent a common table of contents. So it's 12 sort of left to us to -- or and to our own devices to devise a submission structure for 13 14 these applications.

15 And then speaking to something that 16 came up in Jeff's talk, he didn't -- I don't 17 remember hearing him say the word "flat folder 18 structure," but that is the environment that we 19 are building our applications in now and I feel 20 like even though the eCTD structure utilizes 21 folders, you're able to take the logic from the eCTD and translate into a flat folder environment 22

	n
1	and then add metadata on top of that so you have
2	a nice he's giving me a thumbs up
3	(Laughter.)
4	MS. CAMPBELL: so you have a nice
5	organized way to present hundreds and hundreds of
6	files that go into a product application of these
7	types.
8	And then one area that we've been
9	limited thus far is using eSubmitter for either
10	of these types of applications. I don't
11	personally have experience working with the HPHC
12	reporting and the ingredient listings, and I know
13	our company has been able to use eSubmitter for
14	those. We have not been successfully using them
15	for MRTPs or PMTAs.
16	MS. RUDOLPH: Anuschka?
17	MS. MERSON: Hi, I'm Anuschka Merson.
18	I work for ITG Brands. First I'll start with the
19	FDA website. I've set up a process where we
20	track the FDA website on a daily basis it's
21	the CTP to understand what has changed. And
22	there is a date at the bottom of each page, but

sometimes it's really hard to understand what has 1 2 changed. It's not always clear. So if we could have something like that says new and the section 3 that's changed currently. We have a printout of 4 5 each page, and we compare that to determine if like something small changed. And if it's no 6 7 worry, to let your stakeholders know. Also I have experience in the 8 9 eSubmitter tool and the CTP Portal. We love the The ESG we never used because we 10 CTP Portal. 11 weren't confident in it. The eSubmitter, the 12 forms are very easy to use, and it tells you when 13 you've made a mistake and what you need to go 14 fix. I think the only thing where we would like some more guidance on PDFs like the submission, 15 16 like a general format of how to submit an SE 17 submission, for instance. Do you want it in 18 smaller documents? Just a general format I think 19 would be very helpful. Thank you. 20 MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you very much. 21 And you heard from Sharyn, but would you like to

22 introduce yourself?

	2
1	MS. MILLER: Hi, everyone. Sharyn
2	Miller, Regulatory Health Project Manager in the
3	Division of Regulatory Project Management within
4	the Office of Science.
5	MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. Deborah?
6	MS. SHOLTES: I'm Deborah Sholtes.
7	I'm a Branch Chief with the Division of
8	Regulatory Science Informatics in the Office of
9	Science.
10	MS. RUDOLPH: Fantastic. So before we
11	get into and in case you all have not had a
12	chance to write down your question, write it down
13	now because we have got a little bit of room. We
14	have one question so far submitted. So if you
15	have anything, send it on over to your folks here
16	on the ends of the rows.
17	But before we get into this one
18	question that we do have, or others that may
19	come, Deborah, as you were listening to the
20	panelists talk, the other folks, do you have any
21	comments or thoughts about what you heard them
22	say?

I	∠
1	MS. SHOLTES: I do. There are a
2	couple of things that people tend to get confused
3	because their names are so very similar. One is
4	the Electronic Submission Gateway it's also
5	called the ESG. And that's a very technical
6	piece of the infrastructure, and that is very
7	different from the eSubmitter tool. And the
8	reason it gets confused is not just because the
9	names are similar, but they're used in the same
10	process of submitting an electronic submission to
11	FDA.
12	So our portal actually is a simplified
13	way of accessing the ESG, the Electronic
14	Submission Gateway. It keeps you from having to
15	have that really high technical expertise in
16	house and makes it the simple point and click,
17	attach your files. The type of files to attach
18	are files you've created using the eSubmitter
19	tool. So the names are very similar; the tools
20	are quite different.
21	MS. RUDOLPH: Very helpful. Thank
22	you.

	25
1	And Sharyn, do you have anything to
2	comment on from what you heard from the other
3	folks at this point?
4	MS. MILLER: Yes, I think I'll
5	piggyback on what Deborah was saying. And just
6	to clarify with the holidays quickly approaching
7	I think that it's easy for us to put into
8	perspective eSubmitter, ESG and CTP Portal in
9	terms of packaging gifts. So I'm going to do
10	that for you.
11	If you want to think about eSubmitter
12	as packaging that gift for the holiday season and
13	then ESG and the CTP Portal as a way to get that
14	gift to your designated recipient, I think that's
15	just an alternative way to consider and think
16	about those two different
17	(Simultaneous speaking.)
18	MS. SHOLTES: So the portal is Santa's
19	sleigh. Is that what you're saying?
20	(Laughter.)
21	MS. SHOLTES: I'll take that.
22	MS. MILLER: And to Paisley's point

that she mentioned the website not always being 1 2 the easiest to find information, we certainly acknowledge that and want to continue having 3 proactive discussions and collaborative efforts 4 5 to ensure that our website enables for and allows intuitive navigation to find you the information 6 and resources you need to complete the submission 7 8 process and also to become more familiar with the 9 regulatory items we have available. 10 That being said, I'd just encourage

everyone to periodically check back with our web sites as we are continuously looking for areas to improve and continue quality enhancements to make that more intuitive.

One item that we've recently done and 15 have in the past done -- Deborah can probably 16 17 speak to previously -- is usability testing of 18 some of our systems in place to see how 19 manufacturers and industry are able to navigate 20 through the information we have available and to 21 use that as a resource and way to identify areas 22 that further require improving. So continue to

check back.

2	I'll also say that in addition to
3	having the date, the version date at the bottom
4	left-hand side, in cases where we've updated
5	recent forms, we will provide that version date
6	right beside the form as just a quick reference
7	and easy way to identify as opposed to scrolling
8	to the bottom of that particular page.
9	So those are just a few of the more
10	recent updates and things that we've done in the
11	past to try and solicit feedback and really make
12	this a collaborative effort to improve our
13	processes.
14	MS. RUDOLPH: Thank you. So I have
15	here now two questions: So the first one is
16	actually from FDA. Can you speak about the IAM
17	request process? What's the average time from
18	request submitted to account creation?
19	MS. SHOLTES: Typically it's a couple
20	of weeks if all of the information is correctly
21	provided. We don't always get completely or
22	completely correct submissions and then we have

to go back to the company and request 1 2 resubmission. Some of the issues that we see sometimes is that the correct people have not 3 4 signed in the right locations. The authorized 5 party has to be able to sign for the -- the block for the authorized party. That would typically 6 7 be an executive of the company. And the person 8 who is going to be the IAM, the industry account 9 manager for that company may very well likely not 10 be the company executive. They may delegate that 11 job to somebody who is more familiar with the 12 submission process. And so it is the IAM 13 themselves who must sign the Rules of Behavior 14 form. So sometimes we get those two signatures backwards. 15

MS. RUDOLPH: So you addressed some of the next question, which is directed for both the folks who are outside representatives as well as for FDA, and that's what are some of the common reasons IMS gets held up? You were talking a little bit about the signatures, but maybe from both viewpoints, are there other issues that

folks from industry in setting it up have had 1 2 difficulty with or things that you could identify there might be reasons why it gets held up? 3 That's a question from our audience. 4 MS. SHOLTES: Not sending in the Rules 5 of the signed Rules of Behavior is also an issue. 6 7 MS. RUDOLPH: Yes. MS. SHOLTES: So we'll get the 8 9 request, the letter without the signed Rules of So it has to be complete. 10 Behavior. 11 MS. RUDOLPH: Okay. Any comments from 12 other folks? 13 (No audible response.) 14 MS. RUDOLPH: No? And then there's one specific to Anuschka. When you had talked in 15 16 your opening you had talked a little bit about 17 not trusting ESG. Can you speak a little bit 18 more about why it is that you don't trust ESG? 19 MS. MERSON: Sure. When you put the 20 submission in, it doesn't tell you your 21 submission is received. It just -- it's kind of 22 out there. With the CTP Portal you can put in

your submission and it will say submission in 1 2 progress and then it will say submission received. So it's just kind of a trust factor 3 4 that you know you've met your deadline to the FDA 5 and there's no proof that it went into the ESG. We just -- it was a trust factor. So we used to 6 7 send it in on CDs --8 MS. RUDOLPH: Okay. 9 MS. MERSON: -- with FedEx where we were able to track and ensure the FDA --10 11 MS. RUDOLPH: So some kind of read 12 receipt? Correct. And it didn't 13 MS. MERSON: 14 have -- it doesn't have that capability when we 15 -- the time we were using it. 16 MS. RUDOLPH: Okay. Okay. 17 MS. MERSON: Does that make sense? 18 MS. RUDOLPH: It absolutely does. 19 All right. Are there other things 20 from the panel here? This has been a short time 21 together, but welcome to take any thoughts that 22 you all have amongst yourselves at this time.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

1 Otherwise, we're a wrap. 2 (No audible response.) MS. RUDOLPH: Looks that way. Then we 3 4 are a wrap. Well, thank you very much. 5 (Applause.) Good afternoon. MS. CHANG: Hi. 6 7 Well, welcome to a very informative day and we're 8 going close to the end, but I'm very excited talk 9 to you about environmental assessment since it has been advertised at least five times during 10 11 today's presentation. 12 (Laughter.) 13 MS. CHANG: All right. So all right. 14 Let's start. 15 I'm Hoshing Chang, and I'm the Environmental Science Branch Chief in the Office 16 of Science within the Center for Tobacco 17 18 Products. I'm going to talk today about 19 environmental assessments and claims of 20 categorical exclusion for tobacco product 21 application submitted to CTP. 22 I will briefly discuss the National

Environmental Policy Act and its purpose, the 1 2 environmental assessment, or EA, outline for a product application, the probability availability 3 of the EA, and how to handle confidential 4 5 information, and the categorical exclusion, or CatEx outline for a product application. At the 6 end of the presentation I will talk about 7 available resources for the applicants and go 8 9 over an example EA. The National Environmental Policy Act, 10 or NEPA, was sign into law on January 1st, 1970. 11 To quote NEPA, it is "a national policy which 12 13 will encourage productive and enjoyable harmony between man and its environment." To further 14 15 quote NEPA, its purposes include "to promote 16 efforts which will prevent or eliminate damage to 17 the environment and biosphere and stimulate the 18 health and welfare of man to enrich the 19 understanding of ecological systems and natural 20 resources important to the nation, to establish a 21 Council on Environmental Quality." 22 Why is an EA needed? An EA is

	د ا
1	required by law under NEPA for such things as:
2	promulgation of new regulations, requests for
3	actions such as product marketing orders.
4	Finally, the Code of Federal
5	Regulations, under 21 C.F.R. 25.15(a), states:
6	"All applications or petitions requesting agency
7	action require the submission of an EA or a claim
8	of categorical exclusion."
9	The useful information in the EA
10	outline as the following elements: A cover page,
11	a table of contents, the table of the EA, which I
12	will discuss in more detail later, and any
13	appendices.
14	The useful information in the EA
15	includes a cover page with the following
16	information: The title of the document; for
17	example, Environmental Assessment for the
18	Marketing Order for, your new product name and
19	manufacture by, name of the applicant, the agency
20	for which the EA was prepared; for example,
21	prepared for the Center for Tobacco Products,
22	U.S. Food and Drug Administration. And finally,

1 the date the EA was prepared.

2	The next section of the EA is a useful
3	information in a table of contents. The table of
4	contents includes EA section titles, EA
5	subsection titles, appendices and confidential
6	appendices. All office sections are listed with
7	associated page numbers.
8	The body of the EA follows the table
9	of contents and includes each EA section as
10	described in the table of contents. I will go
11	through the useful information in those sections
12	now.
13	Section 1 titled "Applicant and
14	Manufacture Information" includes the company or
15	individual name of the applicant, the applicant's
16	address, which includes the street address, the
17	city, state and ZIP code, or the comparable
18	information for a location outside of the United
19	States, and the country when outside of the
20	United States, the manufacturer's name and the
21	address where the products are manufactured in
22	the same format as used for the applicant's

address.

1

2	In Section 2, "Product Informations"
3	describes useful information including the new
4	product name, the name product the new product
5	submission tracking number, STN, if available,
6	and the predicate or original product name, if
7	applicable. In addition product identification
8	is provided include the product type, product
9	subcategory, product package and product quantity
10	per retail sale unit.
11	The next section is Section 3 titled
12	"The Need for the Proposed Action." The useful
13	information in this section identifies the
14	proposed action and applicant marketing intent.
15	For example, for the SE pathway, the applicant
16	may state the proposed action requested by the
17	applicant is for FDA to issue a marketing order,
18	finding a new product substantial equivalent to
19	the predicate products under the provisions of
20	Section 19 in 905(j) of the Federal Food, Drug
21	and Cosmetic Act, the applicant wishes to
22	introduce the new tobacco product into interstate

commerce for commercial distribution in the United States.

Finally, if the application is for the 3 4 SE pathway or an exemption request the useful 5 information in this section identifies the status of the predicate and original product, 6 7 respectively. Also this section gives a brief 8 non-confidential description of how the new 9 product differs from the predicate or original product. A detailed description of the 10 differences are included in a confidential 11 12 appendix which I will discuss later. Section 4 is titled "Alternatives to 13 14 the Proposed Action." This section discusses any 15 identified alternatives to the proposed action. One such alternative is the no action 16 17 alternative, meaning the action of not 18 authorizing the new product. For that 19 alternatives, the EA could state the no action alternative is -- FDA does not issue the 20 21 marketing order for the new tobacco product in 22 the United States.

(202) 234-4433

1

2

1	Section 5 to 7 further address the
2	potential environment impacts of the proposed
3	action and alternatives. Section 5 includes the
4	useful information to address impacts of
5	manufacturing the new products. Section 6, use
6	of the new product; and Section 7, disposal of
7	the new product. These sections include several
8	subsections which I will now go over.
9	The first sub-section is the affected
10	environment. The useful information in this
11	subsection describes the land use around the
12	manufacturing facility and includes an aerial
13	photograph showing the described area. It also
14	describes the environment where the product will
15	be used or disposed of.
16	The rest of the subsections described
17	the evaluation of potential environmental impacts
18	on the environmental resources where applicable.
19	The useful information describes the
20	environmental resources including air quality,
21	water resources, land use and zoning, biological
22	resources, geological features and soils,

socioeconomic conditions, solid waste and
 hazardous materials, flat plains, wetlands and
 coast zones and regulatory compliance. The
 analyses can be presented in a tabular form,
 however, the traditional paragraph form is
 appropriated for lengthy discussions.

7 One subsection is cumulative impacts. 8 This subsection discussions the impacts on the 9 environment which results from the described 10 impacts of the proposed action when added to 11 other past, present and foreseeable future 12 actions. These subsections would also include 13 any mitigation of the identified impacts.

14 Section 8 titled "List of Preparers." 15 The useful information in this section is to 16 identify the individuals who were primarily 17 responsible for preparing and reviewing the EA. 18 For each individual their name, title, 19 organization, relevant education, relevant 20 experience and relevant expertise is included. 21 Section 9 titled "Listing of Agency and Persons Consulted." The useful information 22

in this section is to identify agencies consulted 1 2 and states what information this agency provided during the preparation of the EA, as well as the 3 name, title and organization of the person 4 5 contacted. The EA concludes with sections of 6 references and appendices, also useful 7 8 information. Section 10 titled "References" 9 provide any citation that were referenced in the 10 EA. 11 The EA concludes with appendices where 12 This also includes confidential necessary. 13 appendices that contain information deemed 14 business confidential. Examples of the 15 information that would be appropriate for the 16 confidential appendices include: Specific 17 modifications or changes between a new and 18 predicate product, calculation that were made 19 base on confidential information about the new 20 and predicate products or original products often 21 related to the projected market share 22 information, the identities of the suppliers when

they are not part of the company that submits the
 application and the location of any supplier
 manufacturing facility.

Here I would like to emphasize the EA 4 5 is available to the public with the confidential information redacted. As noted in 21 C.F.R. 6 7 25.51(a) when confidential information is 8 pertinent to the environmental review of a 9 proposed action, that information should be submitted separately in a confidential section 10 11 and summarized in the EA to the extent possible. 12 21 C.F.R. 25.51(b) notes that FONSIS and EAs will be available to the public in accordance with 40 13 14 C.F.R. 1506.6.

15 If an applicant believes they are 16 marketing order request may qualify for a 17 categorical exclusion, CatEx, they may submit a 18 CatEx claim. The CatEx claim should identify the 19 relevant CatEx by including a statement of 20 compliance with the specific CatEx criteria. The 21 applicant should also state to the best of their 22 knowledge no actual ordinary circumstances exist.

Currently CTP has one class of actions relevant to tobacco product market applications. The criteria for that CatEx claim is that the new product is a provisional product and the criteria of the claim is listed in 21 C.F.R. 25.35(a) as described in the slide.

7 Shown here are resources for 8 applicants for obtaining more information about a 9 EA process. Examples of EA posted on the CTP web 10 site in a webinar titled "Environmental 11 Considerations of Tobacco Product Applications 12 Submitted to CTP 2016."

13 Examples EA as described by previous 14 speaker can be found on the web page of marketing 15 orders for SE. When you click on the EA of your 16 interest, you can read a redacted agency-prepared EA as shown in the next slides. These EAs have 17 18 made -- have had any confidential information 19 redacted from the public document. Using example 20 of one of these redacted agency-prepared EA I 21 will walk you through each section that I have 22 previously discussed.

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

1	You see here the cover page and the
2	table of contents. The cover page includes the
3	title of the document, who prepared the EA and
4	the date the EA was completed. When the EA is
5	prepared by the applicant, the "prepared by"
6	portion of the cover page will note the company
7	name of the new product. The table of contents
8	include a section of and associated page
9	numbers.
10	As we move into the EA, you can see
11	the first page contains the product name and
12	other product information and the need for the
13	proposed action. The next page begins the
14	evaluation of impacts of manufacturing the
15	product. The subsequent pages contain
16	evaluations of impact of use and disposal of the
17	product. And then as noted, the EA includes the
18	list of preparers.
19	As noted previously, here is where the
20	EA notes the government agency consorted. None
21	for this document as it was prepared by the
22	agency. This is followed in by the references

and appendices and then this EA concludes with a 1 2 confidential appendix, which includes the confidential information important for the 3 evaluation of the potential environmental 4 5 impacts. As you can see here the potential -the confidential information is redacted 6 7 according to the mention regulation when posted 8 on FDA's web page. 9 This concludes my presentation about the EA and CatEx for tobacco product applications 10 11 submit to CTP. So you can visit us on the web 12 site, you can call us, and you can email us. And I'd like to thank you for your attention. 13 14 (Applause.) 15 MS. CONEWAY: Good afternoon. My name 16 is Renee Coneway and I'm a lead program analyst in CTP's Office of Science. 17 Today I will be 18 speaking about the transfer of ownership process 19 for OS. 20 First, I will provide an overview of 21 the transfer program and go over some key terms. Then I'll discuss the information we have 22

requested from applicants in order to complete a transfer of ownership, how to submit the request and finally the transfer acknowledgment.

In this section I will provide an 4 5 overview of the Transfer of Ownership Program. Transfer of Ownership is a program with CTP in 6 7 which an applicant transfers the rights and 8 responsibilities for their applications to 9 another company. An applicant typically transfers ownership of their applications if 10 11 they're selling all or part of their company, 12 merging with another company, or both. Currently 13 there are no requirements to transfer ownership. 14 Please note this process is independent from application review. 15

In OS, we commonly see two types of transfer requests: A one-to-one transfer where an applicant transfers all applications to a single applicant and a one-to-many transfer where an applicant may transfer different applications for their tobacco products to two or more applicants. Applicants subject -- applications

1

2

subject to transfer of ownership may include
 PMTAs, SEs, and EXs.

Here are some of the key terms to 3 assist with the transfer of ownership process. 4 5 The current applicant is the entity listed as the applicant of record. The current applicant is 6 7 also the originator of the transfer request. The new applicant is the entity assuming ownership of 8 9 the applications from the current applicant. And a treatment plan request is a signed letter from 10 11 an authorized representative that contains 12 sufficient information for CTP to start the 13 transfer process.

14 In this section I will go over the 15 process to complete a transfer request. Before 16 getting into specific details, I would like to 17 provide some examples of requests we receive that 18 are not actual requests to transfer ownership. 19 We commonly receive requests notifying 20 us of changes such as: a company name change, a 21 notice of bankruptcy or sale statement, a change 22 in legal representation and withdrawal requests;

however, these requests do not initiate the 1 2 transfer process. For example, we receive inquiries from applicants who state I've already 3 notified CTP that my company is bankrupt. 4 Isn't 5 that notification sufficient to transfer ownership? The answer is no because a 6 7 notification of bankruptcy is not considered a 8 transfer request. It is only a notification of 9 bankruptcy.

If the applicant would like to 10 11 transfer ownership, they should submit a request 12 to CTP and include the party accepting responsibility for the transfer to be effective. 13 14 Your RHPM can assist you with what it means to 15 withdraw an application and the appropriate 16 paperwork for that action, for that decision. 17 They can also assist with updating authorized 18 contacts and specific application-related 19 questions. 20 Transfer of ownership is important to

22 appropriate individuals are communicating with

ensure accuracy of all records and the

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Let me elaborate on this further. 1 FDA. Τf 2 applicant A were to submit an SE report and later selled that report under -- I'm sorry. 3 If an applicant -- if applicant A were to submit an SE 4 report and later sell that product under the 5 report to applicant X and they do not update 6 7 their files to show the transfer of ownership, applicant A will continue to receive all 8 9 regulatory correspondence and decision making authority for that application. 10 11 It is important that CTP is made aware 12 of the changes so the correct applicant may 13 respond appropriately, which in this case --14 which in this example is applicant X. In 15 general, CTP follows a standard process for 16 transfer of ownership. 17 Now let me walk you through the 18 Prior to completing a transfer request process. 19 it is helpful if the current applicant conducts 20 an inventory and determines which specific 21 applications will be included in the transfer. 22 Currently there are no standard forms for a

transfer of ownership request. We generally have requested that the applicant -- that the current applicant submit a signed transfer request letter that clearly states the request is to transfer ownership to the new applicant.

We have also requested that the letter 6 includes the specific applications and products 7 -- product names by STN, a statement that all 8 9 rights of the applications have been transferred to the new applicant, the point of contact 10 information and the effective date of the 11 12 transfer based on business transaction 13 agreements. As a reminder, applications 14 generally included in a transfer are PMTAs, SEs If additional information is needed, we 15 and EXs. 16 will communicate directly with the applicant. Now I will go over the process for the 17

17 new applicant. In processing the request we have 18 new applicant. In processing the request we have 19 requested a signed transfer acceptance letter 20 that includes the specific applications and 21 products being accepted, a commitment to all 22 agreements, promises and conditions made by the

1

2

3

4

current applicant of record, a statement that the applicant has a complete copy of all applications or state they will request one, a statement that no modifications have been made to the transfer applications, and finally the effective date of the transfer.

7 So there are different options for 8 submitting a transfer request. Applicants can 9 submit electronically through the CTP Portal if 10 they have an established account, via U.S. mail 11 or through a courier service. Applicants can 12 obtain the CTP mailing address, which is listed 13 on the FDA web site at www.fda.gov/tobacco.

14 We will review the transfer request letters for completeness. If the letter is 15 16 missing information, we may reach out to the 17 applicant. Specifically when dealing with pre-18 market applications your RHPM will call to ask 19 clarifying questions and verify if the request is 20 truly for a transfer of ownership. If the 21 request is not for a -- is not a transfer, for 22 example, but a change in legal representation,

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

your RHPM can assist you with those updates. If the request is for a transfer of ownership, your RHPM will clarify if additional information will be helpful.

During the review phase we generally 5 only communicate with the current applicant. 6 The 7 purpose is to protect the applicant's confidential commercial information. It is the 8 9 responsibility of the current applicant to ensure that the new applicant has the sufficient 10 information for their transfer acceptance letter. 11 12 Once the transfer request is complete 13 and all items are present to support the request, 14 we will update the official records to reflect the new applicant's information and issue a 15 16 transfer acknowledgement letter to both parties.

17 It is important to note that CTP's

18 acknowledgement does not represent the agency's 19 support with regard to a company's business plans 20 or operations. We will continue to communicate 21 with the current applicant until the 22 acknowledgment letter is issued. Also, the new

1

2

3

applicant may be subject to other requirements such as registration.

With the issue of the acknowledgment 3 4 letters this completes the transfer of ownership This means all records have been 5 process. updated to reflect the new applicant and CTP is 6 7 now communicating with the appropriate party for 8 decisions on the transferred applications. 9 In my earlier example where applicant A sold their product to applicant X that had a 10 11 pending SE report, both applicant A and applicant 12 X had received transfer acknowledgment letters 13 and CTP is now only communicating with applicant 14 X, who is the new applicant of record. 15 This concludes my presentation on 16 transfer of ownership. If you have any additional questions, I encourage you to ask 17 18 during the next panel discussion or you can reach 19 out to your RHPM, contact our call center or send an email to Ask -- to the Ask CTP mailbox. 20 Thank 21 you.

22

(202) 234-4433

1

2

(Applause.)

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

ĺ	32
1	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you again to our
2	presenters. As she mentioned, we have our final
3	panel. So if our panelists for Session 5 could
4	make their way to the front, that would be great.
5	Again, if you have any questions, please put
6	those on the cards, the index cards. Raise your
7	hand if you need one. Oh, there's one in back.
8	Okay.
9	(Off the record comments).
10	All right. So we'll have our industry
11	panelists introduce themselves and make comments
12	and statements on the presentations that we just
13	witnessed, and we'll go on from there.
14	We'll start with you, Tony.
15	MR. ABBOUD: Thank you so much.
16	Appreciate the opportunity. My name is Tony
17	Abboud. I'm the Executive Director of the Vapor
18	Technology Association.
19	The Vapor Technology Association is a
20	membership organization. We are an advocacy
21	organization. Our members include manufacturers,
22	the largest manufacturers of devices and e-

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

liquids, the largest distributors of those products as well in the United States, and the largest number of flavoring companies and also vapor shops around the country. So we take a holistic and a unified view to the regulatory experience.

7 The focus of our advocacy is typically 8 promoting a rational regulatory scheme that 9 recognizes and truly embraces the lifesaving potential that ENDS products have. We also take 10 11 the biggest issues of the industry to heart. In 12 particular our focus on limiting youth access has 13 been a priority of ours for the last two years, 14 as well as the implementation of new requirements and new standards that relate to and can limit 15 the access of those products. 16

Now I very much appreciate the detailed explanation and presentation that we just heard primarily on environmental assessments and categorical exclusions, however, I find when I frequently speak on these subjects I'm also reminding folks that we're kind of speaking from

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

a unique position, and in this particular case 1 2 it's no different because ENDS products typically are not -- cannot access this particular aspect 3 of the process. And first, as was noted, 4 5 categorical exclusions are available only to provisional products that are submitted through 6 an SE pathway. And of course ENDS products don't 7 have that pathway available to them. 8 The PMTA 9 pathway is the single available pathway for our 10 products.

11 So from that perspective I can't 12 really comment on that process except to offer a 13 couple of thoughts: The first thought I would 14 offer is that the recent modifications that were made to the categorical exclusion and the 15 16 environmental assessment rule in Part 25 was done 17 before of course the deeming regulation was put 18 into effect. And so companies that were in the 19 vapor industry or manufacturers of ENDS didn't 20 really have an opportunity to comment on that 21 process.

22

(202) 234-4433

The Vapor Technology Association took

an opportunity to comment on this aspect of it when we submitted comments to FDA in February of this year in response to their request for comments on the PMTA process. And we appreciate the opportunity to amplify those just briefly here.

7 Very shortly, the key issues from our 8 perspective is that because we cannot make a 9 CatEx claim, we need to consider whether or not 10 it's appropriate for ENDS products to receive 11 that same treatment. So we would suggest that a 12 categorical exclusion is provided for for both 13 devices as well as for e-liquids.

I think as Jeff Walker earlier noted 14 that sometimes it's helpful to examine how FDA 15 16 approaches these issues from a drug device or a 17 combo perspective. I think that's -- it makes 18 for an interesting analysis here. FDA would 19 treat, would likely treat a device, an 20 aerosolizing apparatus that is being sold and marketed with a cessation claim as a device or as 21 22 a combo. And in that case it would probably

1

2

3

4

5

6

receive the broadest exclusions that are 1 2 available to all drug products or drug devices. I mean, this is typically true that these 3 categorical exclusions arise for pre-market 4 approvals, for pre-market notifications, 5 510(k)'s, for investigative device exemptions, as 6 well as humanitarian device exemptions. 7 So take just for example the medical 8 9 device for asthma, a product which contains Freon 134a, I'm told. This is a product that has well-10 11 recognized environmental aspects, yet there is no 12 environmental assessment required for that 13 product. The same would be true with respect to 14 lithium ion batteries that are included in medical devices. Again, functionally similar 15 16 batteries in these products not subject to 17 environmental assessment requirement.

So whether FDA is evaluating the product, evaluating the same device from the perspective of whether it should be treated as a medical device and whether it should be treated as a tobacco product by nature of the claim, then

an environmental assessment, if it's not
 necessary in the case of the former, should not
 be necessary in the case of the latter. So with
 that respect a categorical exclusion for ENDS
 products promotes consistency as well as avoids
 costly redundancy.

The last note I would quickly add, 7 8 because I see my timer is coming to relieve me, 9 is a similar analysis; and we won't belabor it, could be made for e-liquids where you have 10 11 commonplace exclusions granted for a variety of 12 drugs or biologics, the biohazards of which are 13 not known or will not be known for many years 14 because of the infancy of the process through which they are in. But at the end of the day the 15 16 question is can FDA through the PMTA process use 17 a technological -- the toxicological data that it 18 will be collecting as well as the battery 19 standards and any other sort of technological requirements to solve the issue that would 20 21 otherwise be addressed by an environmental 22 assessment so we can avoid redundancy?

I	3 1
1	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you, Tony.
2	Karen?
3	MS. COOK: Good afternoon. I'm Karen
4	Cook with ITG Brands. I'm the Manager of
5	Regulatory Affairs and I'm responsible for
6	various regulatory submissions including
7	grandfathering. My product experience is with
8	cigarettes and cigars. Understandably, the
9	grandfathering component to this discussion panel
10	was canceled today. Hopefully CTP will provide
11	another opportunity to discuss this important
12	topic in the future.
13	I have just a couple of comments with
14	regard to environmental assessments and transfer
15	of ownership. With regards to the EA, a template
16	would be great and some additional guidance,
17	however, today's presentation did provide a lot
18	of helpful information, so really appreciate
19	that. Thank you.
20	With regard to the transfer of
21	ownership, again a guidance document would be
22	extremely helpful on this topic. ITG Brands did

experience a transfer of ownership. The process
 was very lengthy and not well-defined. It was as
 if the FDA was kind of learning the process along
 with us at the time when we were going through
 the transfer. So again, just a guidance document
 on this topic would be very helpful.

Just want to thank CTP for this
 opportunity today and for being here. Thank you.
 MS. POWELL: Thank you. My name is
 Christie Powell and I'm a master scientist within
 the Submissions and Engagement Group and the
 Scientific and Regulatory Affairs Department of
 RAI Services Company.

14 My experience at RAIS involves the generation and submission of tobacco product 15 16 applications including regular substantial 17 equivalence filings, exemption requests, pre-18 market tobacco applications and modified risk 19 tobacco applications, as well as their environmental assessments? 20 21 Now I know that there were three 22 topics for today, so since my experience really is primarily with environmental assessments, I'll keep my talking points to that, although I would like to make one note if it's okay regarding stand-alone grandfather submissions.

So RAIS has observed inconsistent 5 review time frames for stand-alone submissions. 6 7 So sometimes decisions are made in less than three months, which is great, and then we found 8 9 that others can take a year or longer. And so we feel these are fairly straightforward submissions 10 11 and so it's a little unclear why the review is so 12 inconsistent. So this may be an area of 13 opportunity for improvement.

14 So now back to the area of my 15 expertise, EAs. So as highlighted today, the FDA 16 has the authority to refuse to accept or file 17 certain applications if the company's 18 environmental assessments are found to be 19 inadequate. And as mentioned a couple times, 20 substantial equivalence is for provisional 21 products, so the SE reports submitted between 22 February 15th, 2007 and March 22nd, 2011 can

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

claim categorical exclusion, meaning that an EA 1 2 is not required for these submissions. This means that all other submissions 3 4 including non-provisional SE reports, exemption 5 requests, pre-market tobacco applications and modified risk tobacco product applications 6 7 require an EA. Therefore, having a solid 8 understanding of how to generate an environmental 9 assessment is an important component of tobacco product applications. 10 11 So as someone who has been actively 12 involved in the process of EAs at -- and the EA 13 process at RAIS, I'd like to share a few 14 learnings and observations on this topic. 15 First, there are quite a few guidance 16 documents, there are some rules, there's the 17 webinar that was mentioned today and there's 18 examples that are provided by CTP, and I found 19 those to all be very beneficial. 20 I do point anyone who is new to 21 generating an EA to the Code of Federal Regulations Title 21, Section 25.40 on 22

1	environmental assessments. This is a good place
2	to start. It just provides a high-level overview
3	of what an environmental assessment is, outlines
4	some information to include and some other
5	considerations for your environmental assessment.
6	But I think more importantly are the
7	publicly-available EAs on CTP's web site.
8	Examples are extremely beneficial. They
9	highlight the types of information that the
10	agency evaluates in order to make a decision on
11	environmental impact of the authorization of a
12	tobacco marketing tobacco product marketing
13	order, excuse me.
14	From these examples I've learned that
15	it's important to take a holistic approach when
16	evaluating a potential environmental impact of a
17	product. By that I mean it is necessary to
18	assess the environmental impact of the product
19	through its life. So starting with the impact
20	from manufacturing, the impact during product use
21	and then its eventual disposal.
22	And then lastly I'll just note that

while the guidance and examples are extremely 1 2 helpful, they also highlight the fact that there's no one-size-fits-all environmental 3 assessment that can be applied across different 4 product categories or applications. So different 5 products may have different considerations that 6 7 need to be made when it comes to their potential environmental impact. 8

9 And so right now there is no reference 10 or guidance document currently available that 11 breaks down on a product category level the types 12 of information required for an environmental 13 assessment. So it really is up to the 14 manufacturer to determine what types of 15 information need to be included in the 16 environmental assessments in order to provide 17 enough information for the agency to make a 18 determination of the proposed action. Thank you. 19 That was perfect timing. MS. JOHNSON: 20 Perfect timing. Thank you for that. 21 Would my FDA colleagues like to introduce themselves? 22

		55
1	MS. BELTRE: Hi, Rosanna Beltre again	
2	here. Hi, I'm from the Office of Science,	
3	Division of Regulatory Project Management, if	
4	anyone has just entered the room.	
5	I was being a smart aleck.	
6	MS. BENSON: I'm Kimberly Benson and	
7	I'm the Director of the Division of Non-Clinical	
8	Science in the Office of Science.	
9	MS. CHANG: Kim is my boss.	
10	(Laughter.)	
11	MS. CHANG: I'm the Branch Chief for	
12	the Environmental Science Branch in Division of	
13	Non-Clinical Science, Office of Science.	
14	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you. So do you	
15	all have any reactions, any comments that you	
16	wanted to make after the statements by our	
17	industry colleagues? Any points you want to make?	
18	MS. BENSON: Sure, I'll start off.	
19	First, I do appreciate that you all are	
20	appreciating seeing the agency written documents	
21	on our web site, and I'm glad they're helpful.	
22	That's been our goal to get them out there. And	

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

hopefully you can see that they are also 1 2 evolving, so as you point people towards them, I would point them to the most recent ones, 3 because as we gain more experience and understand 4 things better by evaluating them more, the 5 template -- our documents are changing as well. 6 7 So I do appreciate the idea that it's not one-size-fits-all and a guidance that directs 8 9 you to specific information dependent on the 10 product or perhaps changes within a product 11 guidances are hard to prioritize. There are, as we heard today, many, many topics that people 12 13 would like to see a guidance on. And we would 14 certainly like to pursue something like that ourselves, but where it fits on that chain I 15 16 can't attest to. 17 I would recommend though you could 18 contact us if you had a question, if you were 19 working on an application for something that felt

very different and you weren't sure what you
should address, that you could reach out to us.
And that's one of those meetings that could be

1

totally done via written comments.

2	So, and then to the second part about
3	the CatEx and ENDS not actually being under our
4	purview at the time that that rule was amended,
5	certainly appreciate that. We couldn't foresee
6	at the time, but I have to say even if we could,
7	we would not have been able to make any additions
8	to that for something we had no experience with.
9	So we work with the Center for
10	Environmental Quality and they really stress the
11	well, how much experience, how many times have
12	you evaluated that, how many EAs have you
13	received on that? So we would like to pursue
14	options as well as we gain more knowledge to add
15	to our CatEx role now that we could say that to
16	CEQ, that we have certain experience with
17	different changes that might be able to be
18	CatEx'd.
19	We're always looking out for ways to
20	address that. And that different centers might
21	handle it different, that's also a difference of
22	time. Those things were CatEx'd in other centers

I don't know if they would be 1 15, 20 years ago. 2 CatEx'd now. That's just my non-FDA opinion. That's just Kim Benson, standard scientist at 3 4 home. 5 (Laughter.) MS. BENSON: We function under our 6 regs and with our knowledge and we pursue what we 7 8 can with CEQ, and we will certainly continue to 9 do that and to gain more experience and knowledge and a look towards amending that role in the 10 11 future. 12 MS. CHANG: All right. So I would 13 like to follow up on Kim, Tony and Christie's 14 comments. Yes, as Christie mentioned that 15 there's no one-size-fit-all EA, so whenever we 16 prepare a EA, we always consider proposed action. 17 So therefore, for CEDRs, their electronic 18 cigarette approval, their proposed action is 19 different from ours, so that therefore the environmental consideration would be the same. 20 21 We don't have enough experience to say the 22 direction to go. We don't have enough experience

	د ا
1	to say that every product application
2	authorization for electronic cigarettes, there's
3	no significant impact. We don't know unless we
4	see the application and the product itself.
5	But if I could follow up,
6	Environmental Science Branch we have published a
7	paper, and it that paper we identify the gap of
8	research gap of environmental impacts related
9	to electronic cigarettes. It's published in
10	Tobacco Control. I think that document could be
11	helpful. All right. Thank you.
12	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
13	MS. BELTRE: Okay. So I know everyone
14	has talked about EAs, but I'm going to bring it
15	back to transfer of ownership.
16	I have six points here that I would
17	like to make sure that are clear since this is a
18	relatively new topic that we haven't discussed
19	necessarily in the past, and as you mentioned it
20	was sort of convoluted, and we understand that
21	and we hear you. I help processed that, so I know
22	how painful that was. But a couple of things that

I think would go a long way when transferring. 1 2 One, plan for your transfer. You can't send a notice to the agency and disconnect 3 your phones and expect us to be able to process 4 your request. It's important for us to have 5 accurate and updated information. 6 7 Ensure your files are up to date. 8 Ensure that you work with the new owner to 9 provide them that information that they need. If you need to provide them ingredient information, 10 11 please do that in advance of the transfer. It 12 may be that your ingredient submissions are bundled and contain information that is not being 13 14 transferred, and that could be a challenge for the agency. So in terms of how long the process, 15 16 as part of the -- it's sort of teasing out all 17 the submissions that you have in house and 18 ensuring that we are not transferring things that 19 we shouldn't. So that's sort of the learning 20 curve for I think both industry and the agency. 21 So continue to work with your current 22 If we send you a request for information owner.

outlining information that is needed because the
initial request comes from the current owner,
make sure that you communicate any information
that may be necessary or helpful to the new
owner, because we're not communicating with them.
So sort of making sure that there's an open
communication in that process.

8 Clarify what you're requesting for. 9 Like we mentioned, a notice of bankruptcy is not If you're changing your company name 10 a transfer. and that is all that you're letting us know, 11 12 please be clear. Please be clear that this not 13 company A being called B, or now it's A who's 14 selling to B. We can't read between the lines, so articulating that clearly will go a really 15 16 long way.

17 Let's see. What else do I have here? 18 I think that covers it. So hopefully that's 19 enough information to help some people assemble 20 their transfer requests and ensure that we have 21 up-to-date information. I can speak a -- that 22 was the sixth -- this is the sixth thing.

I	
1	So some of the challenges that we've
2	had are disconnected phone numbers, addresses,
3	returned mail. That may not be the case for some
4	of the larger companies, but these are some
5	challenges. And again, the bundled submission
6	and ensuring that you provide that opinion sort
7	of outside of the process. The only thing the
8	agency can sort of manage is the applications
9	that we have in house, and trying to protect your
10	information is obviously of most importance. And
11	we hope that moving forward it's less painful.
12	And we'll continue to take your feedback and try
13	to make this program more widely known and easier
14	moving forward.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
16	Tony, did you have something to follow
17	up?
18	MR. ABBOUD: (No audible response.)
19	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. So we did have a
20	few questions. We had one about changes of
21	ownership process, so I'm going to start with
22	that.

1Rosanna, since you were speaking on2that. It's just one that asks what is the change3ownership process where no marketing applications4are involved such as only establishment's5registrations, product listings, ingredient lists6are being transferred?

7 MS. BELTRE: So what we presented here 8 today I can only speak to transfer of ownership 9 within the Office of Science and applications and submissions that are housed within the Office of 10 11 Unfortunately, there's nobody here from Science. 12 the Office of Compliance and Enforcement that can 13 speak to what process they utilize for that, but 14 obviously notifying the agency.

One thing that may not be very clear 15 16 to everyone is that if a request is sent, whether it's to the Office of Science or to the Office of 17 18 Compliance and Enforcement, the regulatory project manager will try to triage that 19 20 information. So even if it was a transfer that 21 came to us because a project manager may be sort 22 of the only point of contact that a company has,

we will transfer that to the office, to the
 appropriate office to make sure that it's
 processed correctly.

MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.

5 Going back to EAs, we have a few 6 questions on that. This question asks why are 7 EAs needed at all for non-provisional products in 8 the SD and SE exemption pathways? Shouldn't 9 there be a CatEx for those products as there is provisional products? And what is the 10 for 11 justification for treating these two classes of 12 products differently for EA purposes? 13 MS. CHANG: Well, there are a lot of 14 questions. 15 MS. JOHNSON: Yes, we can finish the 16 rest of the time on --17 (Laughter.) 18 MS. CHANG: So all the federal -- all 19 the decisions made by a Federal Government agency 20 require a NEPA document. All the action. Everv 21 agency. So to allow a product to be on the market is an action, is a decision for the 22

agency, so therefore an EA -- at least an EA is 1 2 needed. When I say "at least," that means maybe an environmental impact statement, but that's not 3 in our regulation for -- in 21 C.F.R. 25.40 that 4 5 we're talking today. So therefore, that's the reason every action, every decision made by any 6 7 agency needs an EA. 8 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. So then it asks 9 the justification for treating the two classes of 10 products different for EA purposes. So that's --11 MS. CHANG: Yes, mean EX and SE? 12 PARTICIPANT: No. MS. CHANG: 13 No? 14 PARTICIPANT: No, provisionals. 15 MS. CHANG: Oh, do you want to go? 16 MS. BENSON: Yes, so one of the 17 reasons, as I had said, it as about having the 18 experience with the products. So when we were 19 working on this rule with the Center for 20 environmental Quality, we proposed a number of 21 things. And we had no experience with them, but they're just kind of instant and that we needed 22

1

to get more experience.

2	But the provisionals were on the
3	market before the act, right? So we were able to
4	write a strong justification to say that those
5	could be categorically excluded. As is always
6	the case when talking about the Office of
7	Science, it's about a strong scientific
8	justification. And in this case made towards the
9	Center for Environmental Quality.
10	MS. CHANG: So if I could add on my
11	boss' comment. So all the NEPA regulation needs
12	to be cleared by Council on Environmental
13	Quality. And what do they look for? They look
14	for if the agency have that the agency has
15	enough experience to say that their action has no
16	significant impact. Currently under the regular
17	SE program we don't have enough information to
18	say that yet. So it's under evaluation.
19	MS. COOK: So you stated that you made
20	the decision because these products were already
21	on the market back in 2007, so with the newly-
22	deemed products like cigars with the SEs not due

www.nealrgross.com

1	until 2020, will you consider that for that
2	product portfolio?
3	MS. BENSON: It's certainly something
4	we will evaluate with all the newly-deemed
5	products to see if there are obvious strong cases
6	for categorical exclusions in there. And I
7	usually like to say why do they need an EA? You
8	can blame Richard Nixon because that's his act.
9	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you. We have time
10	for one more question. The question asks if the
11	tobacco product was manufactured abroad, must we
12	submit an EA discussing the environmental effects
13	of a foreign factory?
14	MS. CHANG: That's correct. It's in
15	our regulation. In 21 C.F.R. states that we need
16	to evaluate the environmental impacts due to the
17	federal actions as a result of FDA's actions. So
18	if FDA allowed this product to be marketed in the
19	United States, but it's manufactured abroad, then
20	we do have to evaluate the impacts of that
21	particular country.
22	MS. BENSON: This is something we hear

	3:
1	a lot of because everything else about the
2	Tobacco Control Act is about in the United
3	States. So even internally we would get, well,
4	why were you talking about this? It's a foreign
5	country. But since everything about that is
6	governed by the National Environmental Policy Act
7	and then FDA's regs that are tied to it, that's
8	what's driving all of it. And it does address
9	anything done in a foreign country as well.
10	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you. Any other
11	comments from our panelists?
12	(No audible response.)
13	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Give them a round
14	of applause. Thank you so much for your time and
15	your expertise.
16	(Applause.)
17	MS. JOHNSON: Matt? Matt, you going
18	to send us home?
19	MR. HOLMAN: (No audible response.)
20	MS. JOHNSON: All right.
21	MR. HOLMAN: So I just want to say
22	thank you to all my colleagues for their

1	hopefully (off the record comments).
2	very helpful presentations. Hopefully there's
3	a lot of good information that you all received
4	today through those presentations.
5	I also want to give a big thanks to
6	all of our panelists. I appreciate their
7	willingness to come up here and tell us what
8	things they've seen improvements on and other
9	areas where there are still struggles, just a
10	lack of transparency and consistency.
11	I've certainly taken a lot of notes
12	today. I think there are a lot of good points
13	being made that me and my colleagues will take
14	back to the office. I look forward to continuing
15	this same type of dialogue tomorrow. Today was
16	more focused on process. Tomorrow is going to be
17	more focused on the information contained within
18	the applications. So I look forward to the same
19	type of same level of conversation hopefully
20	tomorrow as we had today.
21	I do want to make a couple of notes
22	about tomorrow. We are going to be moved down

the hall to the Plaza Ballroom, so we won't be 1 2 here tomorrow. We'll we just down the hall. There will be signs up like there was today, so 3 you should be able to find it, no problem. 4 5 The other point I want to make is that our Session 8 at the end of the day tomorrow was 6 focused on deemed products. 7 That was going to be 8 presented by our colleagues in the Office of 9 Compliance and Enforcement. As Mitch mentioned this morning, they will not be participating in 10 11 this meeting tomorrow. 12 However, we still want to have some 13 discussion around deemed products, so our 14 panelists are going to actually share their remarks, their perspective with us. And we're 15 16 going to conduct that session a little 17 differently than the other ones because we won't 18 have a presentation and we won't have our 19 colleagues from OCE here to present. 20 Instead what we're going to do during 21 that last session is we're going to have 22 microphones available so that those in the room

that have additional thoughts and perspective and 1 2 want to share them, they have that opportunity. Certainly we are recording and transcribing this 3 4 meeting. We are also taking notes. So we will 5 certainly take back any input we hear, any of the feedback that's provided tomorrow during Session 6 7 8. 8 So just want to give people a heads up 9 so you guys could be thinking it. If you do want 10 to share your perspective on the deemed products and how you're dealing with those and meeting 11 12 our regulatory requirements under the application 13 review programs, that opportunity will be 14 provided. We will be starting tomorrow morning 15 16 at 8:30 promptly as I said in the Plaza Ballroom. 17 So thanks again for everyone's 18 participation today and I look forward to seeing 19 you guys tomorrow morning. 20 (Applause.) 21 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 22 went off the record at 4:26 p.m.)

Α a.m 1:11 4:2 108:20,21 265:2 266:15 A/I 227:14 Abboud 326:15.17 345:18 abbreviated 20:20 23:13,18 29:7 32:22 33:3,22 34:6,12 36:16 36:19 37:17 171:15 abbreviation 19:14 ability 133:20 **able** 10:1 49:9 96:5 116:6 119:2 132:2 134:22 136:19 154:8 154:10 205:22 216:9 218:6 237:7 243:12 247:3 274:18,18 286:10,13 288:8 293:21 294:7,21 295:13 300:19 302:5 304:10 340:7,17 343:4 349:3 353:4 above-entitled 108:19 198:2 287:8 354:21 abreast 250:21 abroad 350:11,19 abruptly 9:4 absence 101:17 absent 294:9,11 absolutely 145:10 288:5 304:18 abstract 104:12 abuse 27:13 accelerate 13:18 accelerating 80:2 acceleration 13:19 accept 21:14,18 28:5 29:22 30:3 37:8 65:12 65:19 115:6,13 123:5 131:12,14 163:7,15 173:3 334:16 acceptability 21:21 acceptance 23:20 24:1 28:1 29:14,22 35:7,21 42:10,14,20 85:13 86:10,12 87:3,17 113:8 114:21,21 116:3 118:12 128:20 131:1.1 134:14 322:19 324:11 accepted 30:5 44:5 87:7 91:6 114:2 116:8 119:4 129:14 132:5 192:8 232:18 322:21 accepting 18:15 320:12 accepts 37:11 196:12 access 99:17 183:7

200:22 201:3 219:2 250:14 251:16 257:16 272:8 327:12,16 328:3 accessible 259:20 accessing 298:13 accompany 183:2 accomplish 250:6 account 72:6,20 112:4 134:6 243:8,10 261:2 272:5,19 281:15,19 301:18 302:8 323:10 accounts 272:3 accreditations 231:12 accuracy 320:21 accurate 343:6 achieve 7:5 15:11 184:21 acids 83:1 acknowledge 141:5 147:6 300:3 acknowledged 43:4 123:3,6,7 acknowledgement 324:16,18 acknowledges 34:2 204:19 258:10 acknowledging 14:4 122:14 139:10 acknowledgment 30:1 34:1 35:8.11 62:5 65:12,13,18,19 115:17 131:18 163:22 173:3 209:21 210:6 243:14 318:3 324:22 325:3.12 acronyms 282:10 act 21:5 26:1 29:5 33:6 33:12 35:14 36:5 37:1 39:2,9 46:15,21 53:4 53:5,7 70:21 111:3 121:4 124:13 126:12 130:1 138:5 143:17 251:22 253:18 258:15 266:5 269:3 306:1.10 309:21 349:3 350:8 351:2,6 action 6:8 30:7 31:12 32:1,10 46:10 64:18 113:10 119:6 127:13 128:21 135:7 136:14 138:18 140:11 141:11 145:17 167:4 194:22 247:13 255:6 307:7 309:12,14,16 310:14 310:15,16,17,19 311:3 312:10 314:9 316:13 320:16 337:18

341:16.18 347:20.22 348:6 349:15 actionable 80:19 144:6 actions 33:18 96:4 250:13 256:18 263:22 272:12 307:3 312:12 315:1 350:17,17 active 14:11 15:13 156:7 157:12 205:10 257:21 263:1 actively 92:5 335:11 activities 3:5 137:16,18 155:8 249:13 250:22 activity 155:1 172:13 actual 133:9 221:2 233:18 282:13 291:10 292:6 293:16 314:22 319:18 adapt 293:22 adaptation 294:7 add 45:22 83:11 94:4 105:10 122:21 145:8 147:18 162:5 164:17 169:3 295:1 331:7 340:14 349:10 added 169:14 211:10 312:10 addiction 7:3 addictiveness 27:13 adding 22:10 addition 44:18 46:17 50:5 58:6 64:8 125:12 166:12 178:19 183:15 183:17 197:9 238:16 250:9 258:22 263:3 301:2 309:7 additional 5:20 8:6 25:4 26:6 31:14,17 33:1 34:9,15,21 36:15 37:13,14 42:22 45:6 46:14,16 54:12 55:5 58:19 59:12,13 67:16 71:11 87:2 98:3 100:17 125:8 141:15 142:22 144:5 145:22 146:8 148:5,6 152:12 157:21 158:9 159:22 169:1,2 186:9,18 193:22 194:3,9 197:1 210:10 233:13 243:21 245:16,17 247:4 250:1 255:22 257:3 260:10 261:12 262:11 322:15 324:3 325:17 332:16 354:1 additionally 47:4 49:21 58:1 59:16 112:10 114:17 128:7 141:18

161:3 202:9 216:7 259:17 additions 340:7 additive 22:10,12 74:11 74:21 75:4,9 91:8 93:3 171:18 additives 26:6 91:6,6 117:8 211:15 address 26:22 50:20 52:16 117:14 130:10 152:9 159:22 176:1 204:10 208:13,14 235:11 239:14 259:2 260:16 262:7 264:18 308:16,16,21 309:1 311:1,4 323:12 339:21 340:20 351:8 addressed 144:15,15 166:1,15 216:3 262:18 267:2 291:4 302:16 331:21 addresses 345:2 addressing 62:16 250:16 adequate 60:4 112:18 186:1 205:1 213:4,8 215:9 236:11 adequately 216:3 254:19 adhesion 146:18 Adjourn 3:20 adiust 230:7 admin 206:22 administration 1:1 148:20 307:22 administrative 202:16 206:20 251:22 272:15 273:12 283:13 adulterated 257:4 adults 7:3 advance 9:7 114:4 184:12 188:16 197:13 221:13 343:11 advanced 105:21 251:19 advances 268:4 advantage 278:18 adverse 6:5 121:15,16 207:22 advertise 147:22 advertised 144:14 305:10 advertising 127:1,12,15 132:19 advice 31:16 46:2 58:13 101:11 150:14 152:3 155:1 160:14,22 168:19 173:5 191:5

advise 149:5 178:20 advisement 89:17 advisers 151:2 advisor 144:8 advisory 119:19 136:7 255:19 advocacy 326:20 327:7 aerial 311:12 aerosolized 254:2 aerosolizing 329:20 affairs 69:11 73:9,17 76:4 225:17 293:8 332:5 333:12 affect 167:20 affiliated 186:22 aforementioned 134:17 afternoon 10:2 181:2 197:8 198:8.20 219:22 234:22 259:11 293:4 305:6 317:15 332:3 agencies 313:1 agency 53:6,16 69:20 71:4 78:7 79:1 80:22 91:12 95:4 98:12.13 104:3 145:19 157:13 158:21 159:3,4 253:4 254:12,20 270:6 280:15 307:6,19 312:21 313:2 316:20 316:22 336:10 337:17 338:20 343:3.15.20 345:8 346:14 347:19 347:21 348:1,7 349:14,14 agency's 4:20 252:4,9 324:18 agency-prepared 315:16,20 agenda 9:22 18:13 109:7 185:20 186:1,5 agent 11:19 28:14 86:22 154:17 aggregate 95:15 167:12 167:15 ago 4:14 153:21 220:15 279:11 341:1 agree 103:12 152:16 176:18 agreed 194:11 agreements 194:21 322:13,22 ahead 110:7 142:2,17 AI 31:16,20 32:10 35:15 37:12 96:22 179:5 aid 23:8 67:11 184:17 AINP 50:22 104:14 air 105:2 311:20

airfare 245:19 AIRs 152:3,14 al 80:15 aleck 338:5 align 188:11 aligns 183:16 186:7 alleviate 224:15 230:17 alleviates 245:16 allotted 186:9 allow 14:7 142:19 179:10 200:5,19 226:21 347:21 allowed 122:9 139:5 166:20 171:21 350:18 allowing 202:7,13 206:14 274:13 allows 5:12 16:7,15 45:5 60:4 129:9,15 130:2 252:11 263:19 271:7 290:5 300:5 **alluded** 84:21 94:19 156:14 227:11 270:9 alongside 183:22 191:17 alpha 68:12,16 **alphabetical** 18:6 142:9 220:8 alternative 7:4 25:12.13 39:6 187:14 241:5 253:2 255:6,8 299:15 310:16.17.20 alternatively 135:2 203:21 alternatives 310:13,15 310:19 311:3 Altria 11:16 225:17,18 amend 45:6 49:14 214:2 amended 63:11 215:2 340:4 amending 341:10 amendment 60:12,17 150:7 165:12 168:11 169:12,14 284:12 amendments 45:10 50:17 51:18 52:5,7 61:6.8 amends 60:10 American 76:6,9,17 amidst 13:14 amount 59:17 61:15 74:15 92:7 94:12 101:1 105:13 187:7 245:11 290:12 amp 267:11 amplify 153:11 329:5 analyses 207:22 231:4 231:5,6 312:4

analysis 146:20 191:9 207:21 234:5 255:8 282:11 329:18 331:9 analyst 234:20 317:16 analyte 241:4 analytical 230:21 231:3 231:3,17 analyze 285:7 anchorman 73:21 and/or 123:16 199:19 200:11 255:8 ANDAs 146:19 angering 283:7 angry 283:21 announce 8:4,7 announced 5:11 6:22 53:15 64:18 65:5 253:20 announcement 5:4 9:7 announcements 264:1 annual 121:19 anonymous 14:12 ANPRMs 251:20 252:5 answer 8:11 147:13 166:9,14 168:16 177:3 191:1 221:7 224:15 243:18 246:3 247:3 248:20 320:6 answered 110:4 144:16 answers 161:11 179:15 183:5 223:14 257:4 269:21 anticipate 109:11 245:6 anticipated 103:1 187:13,19 246:9 anticipating 237:1 Anuschka 295:16,17 303:15 anybody 182:4 222:8 272:19 anybody's 146:3 anyway 103:7 Apelberg 135:17 141:19 153:1 apologies 9:6 10:7 apparatus 329:20 **appeal** 27:12 appears 1:20 115:15 131:16 147:8 228:10 appendices 62:6,20 64:9 71:11 98:16 99:7 99:11,13 106:8 107:5 241:15 307:13 308:5 308:6 313:7,11,13,16 317:1 appendix 36:17 62:12 62:14 63:9,14 64:5 291:6 310:12 317:2

applause 10:21 17:8 38:2 68:4 108:13,14 219:20 267:4 287:3 305:5 317:14 325:22 351:14,16 354:20 applicable 28:2 33:20 45:16 50:19 62:22 63:8 93:5 115:5 131:8 174:11 252:21 253:3 259:15 309:7 311:18 applicant's 63:3 117:15 184:4 185:10 191:7 193:21 212:3 215:13 246:21 308:15,22 324:7,15 applicants 5:21,21 44:20 49:8,14,20 50:8 54:12 55:1 56:16 58:13,18 59:7,10 62:1 62:3 63:10 79:1 80:15 86:4 87:11 101:11 106:9 110:22 113:20 122:7,9 126:9 129:10 129:17,20 130:2,21 137:16 138:21,22 139:5,18 162:9 179:1 179:10,15 181:14,20 195:1,5 222:20,21 226:7 238:4 240:9 258:12 306:8 315:8 318:1,22,22 320:3 323:8.11 application-related 52:14 320:18 applied 143:14 284:7 337:4 applies 13:3 21:16 117:19 apply 28:7 30:8 112:11 112:13,17 148:22 160:20 283:18 appointing 281:18 **appreciate** 72:3 97:22 220:19 271:22 326:16 327:17 329:4 332:18 338:19 339:7 340:5 352:6 appreciating 338:20 approach 5:8,12 42:4 43:9,15 53:9,16 64:13 77:20 91:13 119:7 132:11 135:12 164:3 200:7 253:2,2 260:2 336:15 approaches 329:16 approaching 299:6 appropriate 22:18 23:9 43:14 47:1,15 58:9

92:6 93:1 111:20 113:21 114:9 117:5 119:12 120:11 121:1 125:2,5 170:2,14 173:2 185:8 187:11 189:4,19 190:7,13,14 193:9,18 215:3 265:12 275:10 282:21 313:15 320:15.22 325:7 329:10 347:2 appropriated 312:6 appropriately 47:6 89:17 93:21 169:17 244:6 321:13 approval 341:18 approvals 330:5 approved 137:18 approximate 53:10,17 approximately 53:20 185:22 April 64:18 65:1 253:12 260:17 architecture 286:6 archive 274:19 area 61:16 98:2 146:5 255:2 283:12.13 294:6 295:8 311:13 334:12,14 areas 5:5 48:1 52:18,20 78:3 86:11 93:7 97:18 144:2 178:15.21 223:5 238:15 239:1 272:11 282:4 293:12 300:12,21 352:9 argument 79:10 array 230:18 arrayed 282:9 arrows 182:5 articles 264:5 articulate 79:9 articulating 344:15 AskCTP 265:10 askctp@fda.hhs.gov 219:18 asked 177:8 183:6 190:21 asking 52:6 109:8 110:2 228:12 247:2 asks 83:13 183:18 229:8 346:2 347:6 348:8 350:10 aspect 6:16 253:8 328:3 329:1 aspects 330:11 assays 282:12 **assemble** 344:19 assemblies 282:15 assembling 85:2 269:6

assess 91:8 162:12 336:18 assessed 75:11 assessing 146:18 assessment 27:16 29:9 37:4 91:3 163:18 305:9 306:2 307:17 328:16 330:12,17 331:1,22 335:9 336:3 336:5 337:4,13 assessments 3:11 250:15 258:4 305:19 327:19 332:14 333:20 334:1,18 336:1 337:16 assign 125:1 232:7 243:5 assigned 42:18 45:19 47:2 52:10 60:11 67:4 68:20 77:13 79:18 86:9 115:19 131:21 172:10 187:4 192:16 192:20 210:3 219:12 219:15 242:18,22 243:10 273:6,14 assigning 272:2 assigns 271:4 assist 23:6 49:20 52:14 67:6 200:16 203:10 218:20 250:2.16 253:15 260:11 265:1 319:4 320:14,17 324:1 assisting 17:15 associated 75:2 126:20 202:15 216:11 266:19 273:16 275:9 308:7 316:8 Association 326:18,19 328:22 assume 170:16 assuming 81:18 319:8 assumption 88:6 assure 8:2 asthma 330:9 attach 270:1 298:17,17 attached 282:17 attaching 274:9 attachment 209:3 attendance 187:1 attended 177:20 attendee 188:1 attendees 186:15,20 187:7 attending 4:8 192:15 attention 37:19 109:18 125:15 198:21 239:12 317:13

attest 339:16 attributing 100:11 attribution 14:13 **attuned** 177:10 audible 303:13 305:2 345:18 351:12,19 audience 10:5 16:22 74:3 81:12 173:22 262:17 287:19 303:4 August 6:6 7:18 21:9 270:22 authorities 22:2 authority 19:22 21:2,3 21:6 23:11 38:17 159:6 321:10 334:16 authorization 41:1,22 120:16,17 123:11 124:8 126:15 128:3 201:19,20 206:2,4,8 206:10,13,15 207:5 208:16 209:4,6 212:4 212:8 213:2 226:8 336:11 342:2 authorizations 123:13 166:17 authorize 140:15 authorized 7:8 28:14 29:4 40:11 120:21 121:10 124:4 155:14 171:9 200:19 201:3 205:19,20 206:3,9 207:3,4 208:15,17 210:22 212:2,5,19 213:1 214:13 215:6 215:22 216:19,22 218:8,11,22 227:19 302:4,6 319:11 320:17 authorizes 137:9 authorizing 119:11 128:8 310:18 automatically 96:10 256:11 automation 284:20 avail 284:19 285:5 availability 109:8 188:2 306.3 available 6:7 19:20 20:6 20:8 32:19 49:19 54:10 64:11 66:11,20 77:18 89:20 98:10 99:14 104:19 109:10 109:13,15 110:21 122:7 126:9 139:18 139:21 149:20 165:13 181:12 182:16 187:16 190:15 204:2 216:21 250:10 254:7 255:13

258:2.7 260:6.21 265:1,11 270:12 279:12,16 286:16 293:20 300:9,20 306:8 309:5 314:5.13 328:5,8,9 330:2 337:10 353:22 average 301:17 avoid 195:19 283:7 331:22 avoids 331:5 aware 34:3 77:21 105:11 247:18 252:4 275:4 321:11 awareness 266:4,9 В **b** 30:2 201:10,14,16,18 202:6 344:13,14 B's 202:3 back 10:8 15:21 18:20 19:2 55:21 56:17 69:16 101:5 104:6.8 108:17 129:21 143:13 151:13,13 154:4 155:20 159:1 168:12 169:1 172:16 177:18 178:2.4 179:14 180:13 197:19,22 198:5 224:7 229:14 229:20 230:1 236:15 237:2 239:19 245:7 245:11 246:9 273:1 276:22 287:6,11 293:13 300:11 301:1 302:1 326:7 334:14 342:15 347:5 349:21 352:14 354:5 backdrop 13:21 background 73:15 76:12 177:9 186:6,14 backlogged 100:19 backwards 154:8 161:22 302:15 balance 175:7 Ballroom 353:1 354:16 balls 105:1 Banchero 2:17 166:11 180:17 181:1,3 182:2 182:8,11 bankrupt 320:4 bankruptcy 319:21 320:7,9 344:9 bar 162:11,16 Barbara 2:17 180:17,22 181:3 267:8 268:13 **bars** 162:17,20 base 151:6 160:8

313:19 based 7:1 39:22 42:15 48:7 50:10 58:12 77:17 79:22 85:22 121:13 158:8 187:6 187:17 188:1 189:6 192:20 211:19 213:3 214:17 235:8 239:16 254:11 259:22 261:21 277:20 322:12 bases 83:1 basic 21:22 37:9 105:20 155:15 163:11 252:1 basically 40:21 168:10 174:21 basis 13:1 47:7,9 85:12 86:14 101:6 163:20 256:17 261:10 295:20 batteries 330:14,16 battery 331:18 **bead** 57:6 Bear 181:1 bears 76:6 beg 269:12 began 269:8 270:16 beginning 13:3 155:16 172:9 begins 269:16 316:13 behalf 10:14 77:4 202:1 205:21 behavior 138:1 281:19 302:13 303:6.10 behavioral 13:10 283:18 **belabor** 331:9 believe 56:10 59:8 166:10 170:7 275:14 276:3 **believes** 314:15 believing 127:17 belongs 240:8 Beltre 68:17 69:5,6 84:20 87:5 88:10 89:4 94:3 96:2 106:4 108:5 157:18,19 158:14 162:2,4 164:17 173:12 338:1,1 342:13 346:7 Ben 153:1 beneficial 27:3 185:6 191:3 200:14 216:10 218:18 289:18 335:19 336:8 benefit 6:20 179:4 231:21 250:6 278:19 286:22 benefits 112:1 202:5,6 202:10 231:7 280:2

282:3 Benson 338:6,6,18 341:3,6 348:16 350:3 350:22 best 7:9 70:3 85:6 114:3 150:22 161:16 162:12 168:5 188:12 199:11 218:1 236:7 240:10 254:21 264:16 314:21 better 5:13 6:18 15:4 16:1,7,8,16 29:13 49:9 61:12 85:3 130:3 146:12 170:19 175:12 222:9,10,20 234:10 257:16 278:2 288:13 339:5 beyond 7:20 8:5 9:22 biannual 121:19 bias 223:18,20 **big** 234:11 352:5 **bigger** 278:14 biggest 327:11 **bin** 100:15 binary 223:22 224:2,4 binding 252:22 bio-statistician 293:14 biohazards 331:12 **biologic** 146:21 biological 13:10 311:21 biologics 147:2 172:19 275:21 331:12 biomarker 193:1 biosphere 306:17 bipartisan 148:18 **bit** 15:21 77:7 85:17 88:15 92:13 93:12 94:22 100:1,6 109:22 137:4 161:14 176:6 204:14 225:19 226:1 228:1 229:11 267:11 285:16,17 289:6,9 290:19 292:10 297:13 302:21 303:16,17 blame 350:8 blanket 100:21 blend 74:14 82:20 202:21 203:2,7 207:16 blends 58:3 blindfolded 225:1 block 302:5 body 149:21 275:6 276:10 278:4 308:8 bolus 260:14 bookmarks 279:8 **books** 235:19 borrow 269:12

boss 338:9 **boss'** 349:11 **bottom** 52:12 219:14 272:17 295:22 301:3 301:8 boundaries 159:6 **box** 251:3 boy 220:14 branch 235:1 267:17 297:7 305:16 338:11 338:12 342:6 brand 48:22 57:12 82:13 brands 69:11 105:14 244:13 295:18 332:4 332:22 break 108:11,17 197:17 229:5 276:16 287:5 breaks 282:15 283:10 283:16 337:11 bridging 150:19 brief 9:11 76:12 79:16 144:1,2 224:8,9 251:10 310:7 briefing 151:20,22 156:19,20 177:14 briefly 155:10 171:6 199:6 288:9 305:22 329:5 bring 20:8 146:3 161:9 179:13 342:14 bringing 142:21 147:9 Brittani 69:9,13 99:5 broad 14:16 **broaden** 262:22 broadening 17:2 broadest 330:1 broken 42:9 96:3 104:20 brought 40:3 55:21 81:13 109:17 browse 274:5 281:21 Bryan 220:9 227:11 235:14 239:17 247:15 Bryan's 238:8 build 286:12 289:2 building 4:14 269:1 270:14 286:5 294:19 builds 283:4,22 built 269:2 **bullet** 98:21 bullets 82:7 bundled 124:16,20 125:3 343:13 345:5 burden 202:19 burdensome 229:6 **burned** 254:2 business 219:17

237:22 244:3 265:21 266:2,17 276:20 313:14 322:12 324:19 businesses 270:12 button 182:12 271:9 273:20,21

С

C 202:21 **C.F.R** 307:5 314:6,12 314:14 315:5 348:4 350:15 cabinet 284:3 calculation 313:18 calendar 90:9,11 call 47:11 150:10 165:19,20,21 166:2 172:15,15 196:17 200:19 219:17 244:3 244:20 264:22 317:12 323:18 325:19 called 5:8 278:7 298:5 344:13 Callers 265:4 calling 173:14 176:21 283:8 291:6 calls 165:10 Calverton 239:6 Camel 151:21 178:4 256:4 Cameron 287:22 288:1 293:2 Campbell 293:4,5 295:4 campus 187:11 239:9 239:12 245:13 cancel 194:5 canceled 332:10 cancellation 32:11,12 cancelled 195:15,20 197:2 cancelling 195:19 candidly 177:5 capability 270:10 274:21,22 304:14 capacity 54:3,4 72:19 99:20 100:12 capture 43:18 captures 258:17 card 69:1 81:13 cards 68:22 326:6.6 career 154:1 careers 12:3 careful 104:13 carefully 16:4 155:6 174:8 Caressa 282:6 case 67:14 168:14 178:10 194:6 215:9

231:10.10 233:2 284:8 287:16 288:20 289:7 297:11 321:13 328:1 329:22 331:2,3 345:3 349:6,8 case-by-case 101:5 cases 74:9 80:20 175:5 257:14 301:4 350:5 cast 109:10 categorical 3:12 29:8 29:10 305:20 306:5 307:8 314:17 327:20 328:5,15 329:12 330:4 331:4 335:1 350:6 categorically 349:5 categories 49:18 57:15 71:12 82:17 93:6 290:7,17 337:5 categorized 43:17 category 26:13 57:12 62:8,21 146:16 261:4 337:11 CatEx 306:6 314:17,18 314:18,19,20 315:3 317:10 329:9 340:3 340:15 347:9 CatEx'd 340:18,22 341:2 cause 63:6 247:21 caused 62:17 259:2 **CBER** 287:1 CCI 200:11 214:14 225:4 **CDER** 146:15 286:22 **CDISC** 282:10 CDs 25:2 304:7 **Cecil** 64:12 93:14 **CEDRs** 341:17 center 1:3.11 4:7 8:15 17:13 85:3 109:3 131:4 142:20 169:8,9 176:8,8 179:2 196:17 203:22 219:17 244:4 249:22 263:21 264:22 266:13 268:1 271:6 275:20 305:17 307:21 325:19 340:9 348:19 349:9 centered 71:3 centers 162:1 167:8 169:7 174:10 179:19 210:17 269:13 277:17 277:19 340:20,22 central 232:5 **CEO** 147:12 CEQ 340:16 341:8 certain 5:2 6:1 56:20

64:21 78:20 83:8 93:3 129:19 136:10 147:14 149:13 174:7 178:14 178:21 202:13 206:15 224:4 232:8 237:12 255:11 256:17 288:16 288:16 334:17 340:16 certainly 69:16 99:2 151:13 156:18 157:3 300:2 339:14 340:5 341:8 350:3 352:11 354:3,5 certification 27:8 certify 36:21 cessation 329:21 CFR 21:8,15 37:3 282:19 chain 339:15 challenge 233:8,13 343:14 challenged 269:6 challenges 12:16 48:13 106:18 175:3,16 232:16 233:6 267:22 345:1,5 challenging 174:5 233:12 chance 142:10 297:12 Chang 3:13 305:6,13,15 338:9,11 341:12 347:13.18 348:11.13 348:15 349:10 350:14 Chang's 259:11 change 6:6 53:15 54:15 97:8 142:14 171:2,4 171:19 178:10 187:9 253:11 277:3 319:20 319:21 323:22 346:2 changed 4:9 295:21 296:2,4,6 changes 8:18 51:12 57:18,21 58:2 59:22 62:17 74:14 82:20,22 83:5,8 93:4 97:12 99:21 139:2 182:18 189:15 194:14,17 218:9,10,11 313:17 319:20 321:12 339:10 340:17 345:20 changing 75:6 339:6 344:10 channel 265:17 chaotic 78:9 Chapter 26:1 37:5,7 258:14 character 177:7 characteristic 76:22 characteristics 39:16

39:18 80:16 characterization 57:9 124:21 characters 276:14,14 277:16,19 **charge** 180:20 check 152:18 172:17 239:18 300:11 301:1 chemical 231:4 chemist 45:19 193:3 chemistry 135:13 179:7 chewed 133:4 chicken 222:22 chief 11:16 143:8 235:1 267:18 297:7 305:16 338:11 child 263:8 choice 269:18 choose 189:16 194:5 205:8 217:17 251:6 **Christi** 2:8 291:4 Christie 333:10 341:14 Christie's 341:13 cigarette 48:21 57:7,16 57:22,22 62:11 91:8 201:6,8,10 240:15 341:18 cigarettes 7:2 62:13 63:15,18 77:5,6 91:7 332:8 342:2,9 cigars 73:7 105:15 332:8 349:22 circumstances 65:9 222:6 223:7 224:4 314:22 citation 313:9 cite 214:4 cited 159:21,22 **CITMO** 70:18 city 308:17 claim 29:8 44:15 126:16 128:9 129:20,21 132:21,21 133:11 134:6 135:16 136:17 136:20 137:7,10 140:15 154:7,9 279:5 307:7 314:18,18 315:3,5 329:9,21 330:22 335:1 claims 3:11 165:17 177:18 305:19 clarification 186:3 187:12 193:22 194:4 195:4 238:13 239:2 clarifications 245:7 clarified 50:16 90:21 145:16 clarify 8:11 67:10 94:6

97:16 106:10 108:2 152:13 173:12 179:18 237:7 299:6 324:3 344:8 **clarifying** 61:13 94:18 96:6 114:10 173:11 230:4 237:3 267:1 323:19 clarity 52:6 80:16 292:18 class 140:13 315:1 classes 347:11 348:9 classification 43:20 81:17,19 clear 11:21 49:5 51:21 97:20 102:11,12 107:14 110:1 144:11 144:12 145:3 148:1 160:21 165:2,5 208:9 209:2 226:10,18 230:16 237:8 248:11 296:2 342:17 344:12 344:12 346:15 clearance 77:19 cleared 349:12 clearly 50:18 79:8 145:6 161:2 164:18 164:22 165:4 177:16 184:19 290:13 322:4 344:15 **click** 182:10,12 271:7,9 273:8 274:5.6 298:16 315:15 clicked 272:21 **Clicking** 258:8 client 149:5 clients 232:4,8 clinical 119:15 130:7 135:22 147:1 154:12 185:10 207:19 283:13 293:14 **clock** 104:10,10,11 146:1 167:20 168:7,7 168:8,16,21 169:3 175:3 clocks 169:6 174:21 clogged 142:7 close 66:10,19 67:3 70:2 73:12 180:11 205:9 216:9,13 217:14 305:8 closed 77:12 95:8 134:21 136:9 193:12 216:17,21 closes 96:3 closing 9:3 closure 32:11,13 35:16 199:11 205:7,10

216:7,15,20 217:3,12 217:22 218:1 closure- 65:22 closure-type 65:14 CMC 283:14 coast 312:3 code 283:5 285:16,17 285:18 307:4 308:17 335:21 coded 41:20 282:9 **cohort** 66:10,18 96:2 collaborate 288:8 collaborative 300:4 301:12 collate 64:10 collated 107:6 colleague 9:10 17:14 178:2 180:16 colleagues 8:15 9:20 110:8 142:12 157:14 157:16 158:7 163:2 165:21 234:17 235:7 337:21 338:17 351:22 352:13 353:8,19 collect 137:20 collecting 331:18 collective 8:22 12:20 collectively 50:2 71:1 column 24:6 25:18 26:16 combination 153:16 combo 329:17.22 combustible 77:5 combustibles 147:21 234:6 241:12 come 18:2 68:7 82:12 107:20 108:6 109:22 111:13 142:4 161:8 168:10 170:7 172:1 172:16 197:19.21 198:17 220:2 223:15 235:10 237:12 248:1 284:11 287:6 288:6 290:9 297:19 352:7 comes 10:12 21:4,6 88:2 105:12 225:3 226:19 280:14 337:7 344:2 comfortable 177:2 coming 13:18 19:6 71:14,15 72:18 75:19 110:10 125:19 166:10 180:10 181:3 221:18 245:13 272:4 287:12 331:8 comma 275:11 commence 60:16 215:3 commencing 66:2

comment 71:21 89:13 89:20 139:22 158:12 165:9 170:6 174:16 225:8 226:12 235:13 236:17 237:21 238:8 246:16 247:17 248:7 248:15 250:10 251:15 252:2 253:5 255:13 255:16 256:1 282:13 286:17 299:2 328:12 328:20 329:1 349:11 commenting 255:2 comments 11:13,22 12:2 16:17 18:7 68:10 71:6 73:14 89:9,14 143:18 145:15 146:5 153:13 157:4,10,21 158:9 160:11.13 169:18 194:16 216:3 225:20 235:14 238:7 247:11 249:6,15 252:10 253:6 254:8 254:14,15,17,18 255:1,11 256:7,9 293:11 297:21 303:11 326:9,11 329:2,4 332:13 338:15 340:1 341:14 351:11 352:1 commerce 39:12 41:16 55:11 56:13 120:14 121:6 128:2 166:21 310:1 commercial 1:21 41:16 55:11 56:13 135:10 140:2,7 199:19 268:22 285:6 310:1 324:8 commercially 33:17 40:8 126:21 127:4,20 Commissioner 4:20 5:7 7:21 8:1 10:14 53:8 84:12 147:8 157:4 commitment 322:21 committed 7:10 10:10 committee 119:19 136:7 255:19 common 8:21 48:4 61:18,20 62:2,7 78:19 107:13,21 275:2 282:22 291:6 294:11 302:19 commonly 318:16 319:19 commonplace 331:11 communicate 79:8 191:14 205:21 216:1 220:6 243:1 247:13 322:16 324:6,20

344:3 communicated 124:7 145:6 214:6 communicating 61:18 78:14 97:19 320:22 325:7,13 344:5 communication 50:12 52:16,18 61:12 80:22 97:14,19 172:20,22 175:16 176:1.18 179:1 223:21 225:13 226:21 227:12,15,21 230:13 266:6 285:20 344:7 communications 50:7 50:21 97:13 154:20 172:5,7 177:2 181:20 191:17 268:7 companies 6:7 7:13 12:6 69:20 70:13 76:8 98:6 158:17 159:12 238:17 271:16 272:6 284:17 327:3 328:18 345:4 company 6:5 12:2 16:11 71:18 73:6,20 75:18 76:4,5,8,9,10 76:10.18 92:4 103:14 149:5 150:16 155:3,5 186:22 201:6,9,10,14 201:15,16,18,18,22 202:1,3,5,6,8,17,21 205:15,16 206:14 208:18,21 240:5 271:3,4 272:1,3,7,18 272:19 273:22 274:2 278:20 280:6,9,21 281:18,18 293:6 295:13 302:1,7,9,10 308:14 314:1 316:6 318:9.11.12 319:20 320:4 333:13 344:10 344:13 346:22 company's 208:15 245:17 324:19 334:17 comparable 308:17 comparator 92:22 compare 169:5 291:15 296:5 compared 49:4 57:16 57:20 86:1 123:16 comparing 57:22 82:16 92:14 comparison 40:1 48:19 92:15 94:21 106:11 comparisons 58:2 104:1 106:21 competitive 200:6

compilation 293:17 complaint 170:10 complete 4:18 31:7,18 31:22 35:6 37:10 51:9 54:10 114:1 115:2 129:12 146:20 184:17 202:6 214:22 218:6 292:19 300:7 303:10 318:1 319:15 323:2 324:12 **completed** 32:6 46:4 47:1 89:4 136:13 176:10 179:7 286:7 316:4 completely 24:12 92:9 98:11 301:21,22 completeness 323:15 completes 131:5 325:4 completing 321:18 completion 20:17 95:11 120:9 137:6 258:11 complex 13:7,8 189:16 289:18 complexity 16:1 **compliance** 7:17 9:13 33:11 44:16 45:15 46:19 76:7 84:11 119:17 136:1 143:8 183:9 193:5 199:17 251:7 258:18 259:18 260:7 261:12.16 263:6 264:1 312:3 314:20 346:12,18 353:9 compliant 265:6 comply 33:19 46:14 71:19 252:20 261:19 component 118:7 200:1,2,3,4,11,15 218:19 332:9 335:9 components 117:7.21 132:17 134:17 211:14 253:22 composition 201:13 203:1 comprehensive 4:21 6:22 10:11 260:2 computer 180:21 285:20 computer-based 94:14 computers 17:1 274:17 concentrate 144:1 concept 272:4 concepts 161:9 concern 100:10,20 224:21 225:3 concerned 100:4 concerns 72:19 75:18

75:20 166:1 260:16 270:20 271:22 concludes 37:16 68:2 219:8 266:21 313:6 313:11 317:1,9 325:15 conclusion 16:21 conclusions 258:18 concurrent 213:6 conditions 133:1,18 312:1 322:22 conduct 44:7 116:12 119:15 132:10 135:21 137:17 210:18,20 223:3 227:8 353:16 conducted 88:11,12,18 120:8 129:19 133:15 135:20 145:12 147:7 159:2 conducting 53:13 150:19 220:11 227:7 conducts 34:6 321:19 **Coneway** 317:15,16 conference 165:10,19 165:20 166:2 244:20 confident 296:11 confidential 135:10 140:1,7 199:19 201:1 201:4 259:14 306:4 308:5 310:11 313:12 313:14.16.19 314:5.7 314:10 315:18 317:2 317:3,6 324:8 confidentiality 271:22 281:7 confined 293:11 **confirm** 89:22 confirmation 88:19 confirming 209:22 conform 112:16 conformance 112:11 confront 14:17 confused 298:2,8 confusing 283:7 confusion 8:12 conjunction 119:16 136:1 **Connect** 263:16 264:2 connection 144:18 158:19 consensus 107:21 consent 208:1 consider 11:9 16:14 62:12 63:14 99:7 114:6 119:22 139:2 181:15 184:14 188:12 195:16 197:12 219:10 226:2 237:16 238:19

240:13 241:2 253:9 299:15 329:9 341:16 350:1 consideration 111:22 112:3 120:5 242:8 245:1 341:20 considerations 87:20 184:16 187:15 189:21 203:14 267:16 268:8 315:11 336:5 337:6 considered 56:22 84:5 97:1 113:18 127:9 129:2,7 193:20 194:6 241:15 253:6 265:20 320:7 considering 101:1 256:13 considers 27:5,6 32:3 190:2 205:14 206:3 240:20 261:21 consistency 48:8 71:5 80:6,7,11 331:5 352:10 consistent 34:22 55:19 56:18 183:19 210:17 215:4 277:17 consistently 79:6 consorted 316:20 constituents 57:20 constitutes 24:20 constraints 105:6 221:21 construct 228:13 constructive 144:17 consult 143:10 consultant 11:18 143:9 consultants 143:22 178:17,20 186:19 consulted 312:22 313:1 consumer 138:1 150:20 165:16 170:20 consumers 127:14,17 134:4 148:3 contact 26:19,21 28:16 47:2 67:6 115:21 116:3 131:22 183:8 208:12,17 210:3,11 218:5 219:12,13,16 243:11,16,22 262:1 264:20 265:19 266:9 322:10 325:19 339:18 346:22 contacted 45:14 116:1 313:5 contacts 320:18 contain 29:2 30:4 37:4 48:21 58:1 112:18 115:15 127:7 131:16

207:7 208:2 313:13 316:15 343:13 contained 51:17 205:17 352:17 **container** 282:13 containing 28:18 254:1 contains 42:22 115:4 116:11 127:5 131:6 132:8 135:7 190:8 200:10 206:22 207:14 207:19 209:13 316:11 319:11 330:9 contaminated 280:20 contemplate 147:8 content 26:17 79:7 183:12,16 189:5 197:10 206:20 207:6 210:16 211:3 213:7 213:16 217:1 219:2 227:9 231:8 259:19 259:22 272:14 273:11 282:8 284:13 contents 2:1 3:1 45:21 125:14 141:20 146:19 207:3 276:10 279:1 294:11 307:11 308:3 308:4,9,10 316:2,7 context 16:9.20 74:8 219:5 222:15 268:2 continue 6:9,11 30:2 42:6 53:16 54:11 57:2 118:14 138:13.18 181:18 192:2 213:11 261:8 300:3,13,22 321:8 324:20 341:8 343:21 345:12 continued 13:19 63:21 290:3 continues 12:22 13:17 31:21 continuing 7:10 143:10 352:14 continuously 300:12 continuum 148:3 contracting 269:14,15 contrast 41:4 90:10 92:19 155:12 169:6 211:12 214:3 contrasted 92:12 contribute 17:5 control 12:19 105:21 143:17 203:22 266:5 266:13 269:3 342:10 351:2 controls 117:11 convene 108:17 185:13 convened 1:10 184:8 193:13

convening 185:1 conversation 13:22 14:7 17:2,5 177:13 227:18 352:19 conversations 13:13 converting 293:15 convey 214:12 convoluted 342:20 Conway 3:16 **Cook** 332:3,4 349:19 coordinate 216:1 Copenhagen 256:3 **copies** 6:6 **copy** 47:3,5 167:2 195:5 201:20 218:6 232:11 323:2 copying 203:8 correct 96:11 176:2 180:1,1,2 301:22 302:3 304:13 321:12 350:14 corrected 1:20 correction 36:9 correctly 301:20 347:3 correspondence 27:1 34:10,15 50:9,15 55:2 58:11 78:11 80:21 115:11 118:13 131:10 134:16 136:15 172:21 173:9 192:1 193:7,11 203:18 244:5 266:11 321:9 corresponding 41:8 46:8 233:19 234:3 256:6 259:1 corresponds 260:19 Cosmetic 39:9 46:21 111:3 126:12 253:18 258:15 309:21 **costly** 331:6 costs 202:16 **Council** 70:18 306:21 349:12 counsel 143:5,8 151:1 countries 91:5 country 308:19 327:4 350:21 351:5,9 couple 12:15 14:20 15:20 78:1 80:12 81:10 83:13 94:5 106:10 109:7 144:5 149:19 163:1 174:2 235:13 241:17 246:4 278:1 298:2 301:19 328:13 332:13 334:19 342:22 352:21 courier 323:11 course 145:4 147:7

148:5 166:1 223:13 270:17 275:8 286:14 328:7,17 **Court's** 80:14 courtesy 47:3,5,11 cover 18:14 120:1 129:4 130:5 135:18 159:18 199:6 207:2 208:8 209:16,17 212:15 267:20 307:10 307:15 316:1,2,6 coverage 5:1 covered 33:13 75:17 131.15covers 240:6 344:18 **cozy** 267:9,9 **CPT** 9:9,17 48:7 CPT's 9:5 create 7:2 232:2 233:11 278:3 280:7,20 284:11 285:7 created 74:19 232:20 260:9 272:4 273:5 298:18 creation 260:13 270:3 301:18 credentials 186:21 254:22 Cristi 38:4 68:6 71:22 81:5 83:12 94:19 97:5 142:17 157:22 158:1 Cristi's 84:20 106:6 165:10 criteria 22:21 24:2,4 25:9 28:6 29:14 41:10 55:3 56:21 81:17 85:13 86:11 87:12,13 111:19 145:2,6 160:12 163:8 164:11 244:18 260:18 314:20 315:3.4 critical 6:3 73:12 78:13 254:9 cross 204:10 crushable 57:6 CTP's 11:4 19:8 54:2 64:13 80:1 110:12 119:17 125:21 199:3 216:2 217:8 230:10 256:19 257:21 258:21 266:9,12 317:17 324:17 336:7 CTP-initiated 217:11 CTPs 181:5 183:9 cue 293:2 cumulative 312:7 current 7:16 32:20 62:22 103:11 161:21

182:16 183:16 189:14 196:7 218:6 228:3 252:13 253:11 264:5 264:7 319:5,6,9 321:19 322:2 323:1 324:6,9,21 343:21 344:2 currently 21:9 28:18 37:11 41:20 43:12 77:1 81:2 83:16 89:10 141:12 181:11 207:9 216:19 239:7 243:7,9 244:14 250:9 255:15 285:22 296:4 315:1 318:12 321:22 337:10 349:16 curtain 15:21 curve 106:6 343:20 **Cushman** 69:9,10,13 95:6 96:6 97:21 100:5 cut 18:11 174:22 256:4 cutting 238:20 cycle 35:14 47:8 52:1 61:9,10 90:2 91:22 92:2 96:17 168:11 204:13 cycles 90:9 D **D.C** 80:14 daily 295:20 damage 306:16 dangers 263:8 data 36:10 45:21 64:6 66:10,11,19 74:11 79:9 104:2,2 105:20 116:13 117:3 119:8,9 121:13 134:3,5 135:14 164:9 184:6 188:15 189:3 191:9 202:17 207:18,22 211:17,19 221:3 271:19 274:15 275:9 275:11,16 279:3 280:13 282:9 285:7 285:21,21 290:14 293:15 331:17 databases 286:5 date 31:20 43:11 45:3 51:19 54:7 55:9 60:17 61:5,7 80:18 155:16 188:2 192:11,12 196:3 214:20 216:12 216:16 254:5,6,8 257:13 260:8 262:11 262:20 266:16 291:10 295:22 301:3,3,5 308:1 316:4 322:11

323:5 343:7 dated 182:17 dates 50:18 143:13 188:1 291:17 dating 293:13 David 9:10,11,16 10:1,5 11.2day 4:16 61:4,5 90:3 101:15 106:13 154:9 154:16,16,19,19 167:20 168:3,12 169:19 170:8 172:16 198:9,14 204:2 247:6 266:17 305:7 331:15 353:6 Daylight 265:2 days 8:8,20 12:15 13:22 15:20 16:6 18:1 33:6 34:13,16 35:5,12,21 36:6 39:10 51:19 52:2 58:16,17 59:7,9,13,21 60:11 61:1 65:11,13 65:17,21 66:1,4 70:10 71:20 72:12 90:2,9,11 91:18 100:3 103:8,10 106:3 120:15 121:16 124:14 140:9 145:16 146:1 167:21 168:11 168:13,22 175:1,11 178:1 188:16,21 194:19 196:8 204:2 229:15.20 230:1.5 235:22 237:22 253:6 281:16 DC/Rockville 1:10 deadline 145:17.18.19 145:21 147:9 152:9 170:9 304:4 deadlines 7:18 70:2 deal 155:2,3 225:8,21 dealing 69:21 268:1 323:17 354:11 deals 112:12 119:5 242:13 dealt 70:21 dear 9:9 Deborah 267:17 297:5 297:6,19 299:5 300:16 decades 12:5 **December** 150:5 decent 236:11 decide 195:10,13 decision 6:5,8 31:15 35:21 43:5 47:8,10 55:13 72:3 87:19 96:19 120:19 122:11 134:13 140:8,9 150:6

150:7 151:4.5 159:20 160:7 166:20 167:4,5 167:11,13 169:20 173:2,8 176:7,10 184:4 187:8 197:6 214:19 240:7 258:4 258:16 320:16 321:9 336:10 347:22 348:6 349:20 decision-making 6:3 decisional 191:5 decisions 6:12 8:3 29:5 32:14 47:4,17,18 150:22 153:7 191:14 194:20 205:22 254:11 325:8 334:7 347:19 decreased 112:5,7 decreasing 22:11 95:21 decrypted 281:4 dedicated 183:3 234:5 289:15 deemed 7:17 54:21 83:14,16 96:11 100:9 100:13 105:12 107:10 107:15,20 143:7 147:10 222:16 253:15 313:13 349:22 353:7 353:13 354:10 deeming 222:15 328:17 deep 276:18 deeper 125:13 deeply 73:18 deficiencies 59:11 60:5 60:13,14,20,22 61:3 62:20 63:16 72:4 98:20 101:2 106:15 107:2,3,12 159:21 213:12 214:1,5,12 291:7 deficiency 46:1 48:4 50:19,21 51:2 52:1 58:9,15 59:1,4,4,5,14 60:9 61:16 65:14,22 71:17 75:16 92:1 96:9 96:16 99:22 100:16 102:3,7 167:19 175:5 214:21 218:14 292:9 deficient 214:8 292:13 defined 23:10 98:7 126:18 definitely 87:12,14 107:1,19 159:9 165:6 definition 84:1 degree 15:11 delay 60:5 265:15 delegate 302:10 deleting 22:10 deliberation 246:1

deliver 121:5 delivered 41:15 55:10 56:12 120:13 128:1 **deliveries** 266:15 delivery 33:7 146:19 266:14 delve 8:19 demographics 146:11 demonstrate 33:9 39:15,20 74:22 80:3 119:10,10 demonstrates 100:16 253:10 denial 100:2 123:13 136:18 137:1 138:20 167:6 192:3,4 denials 167:14 denied 120:18 191:12 192:5 195:9 197:4 215:21 denies 196:13 deny 181:18 184:4 190:2 department 105:18 333:12 depend 219:7 dependent 339:9 depending 45:20 166:4 169:13 171:16 205:3 214:9 depends 177:7.8 246:7 247:6 248:16 249:2 depository 234:11 depth 113:14 116:13 125:13 132:11 258:21 deputy 69:7 157:19 derived 253:22 describe 78:16 110:16 126:3 133:2 205:13 described 43:22 111:2 113:12 119:13 121:8 126:11 132:20 231:8 308:10 311:13,16 312:9 315:6,13 describes 309:3 311:11 311:14,19 description 76:12 117:10 132:17 251:11 310:8,10 descriptors 127:11 design 16:15 57:18,21 82:15 146:10 147:1 207:16 252:16 designated 299:14 designates 253:5 designs 146:17 desirable 147:19 desk 262:2 265:14,19

266:1 desks 265:11 desperately 103:8 despite 13:15 detail 20:21 25:10 64:15 98:21 102:17 121:21 176:6 190:4 204:14 205:12 278:1 307:12 detailed 23:21 146:8 189:3 310:10 327:18 detailing 146:16 213:22 details 85:10 102:18 145:4 214:7 319:16 detected 223:18 determination 23:5 27:11 29:21 30:12 31:3 32:1 35:7 39:14 44:2,17 45:7,16 46:9 46:12 83:19 124:22 139:7 187:3 193:22 205:1 208:3 245:3 291:3 337:18 determinations 95:20 138:4 determine 20:1 22:4,8 42:21 43:3 46:5,18 48:17 63:10 115:3 116:10,14,18 117:16 119:9 120:6,10 131:6 132:8.12.16 135:15 137:22 138:17 159:17 187:17 244:18 296:5 337:14 determined 43:13 53:18.21 55:17 85:14 111:22 determines 136:16,20 137:7 138:3 170:13 194:3,9 212:18 213:7 213:15 321:20 determining 23:8 develop 7:11 191:8 developed 183:19 261:1 developing 153:16 269:16 development 64:19 73:18 114:14 147:2 181:10 185:2,7 188:10 189:7 191:4 194:14 237:18 deviations 112:19 121:18 device 153:16,18 329:16,19,21 330:6,7 330:9,19,21 devices 154:5 156:3

172:19 275:21 294:13 326:22 329:13 330:2 330:15 devise 294:13 dialog 6:17 8:9 14:3,14 15:14 16:7,16 17:6,18 48:7 49:12 73:12 74:4 dialogue 290:3 352:15 diameter 49:2 dictate 106:14 107:1 dictated 74:2 difference 40:18 51:2 63:1,4,6 68:14 96:13 163:3 340:21 differences 57:15 86:3 92:16 106:22 107:4 211:4 310:11 different 5:16 13:8 14:5 14:5 39:18,21 43:19 44:1 53:19 54:6 56:9 63:7 64:1 70:14 72:14 75:11 79:5 86:6 91:14 92:13 105:2,14,19 149:9 150:10 153:22 156:12 162:17,20 196:1 211:2,3,18 231:4 232:4 234:3 242:9 244:13 246:12 272:10 284:6 290:6,7 290:9 291:13 298:7 298:20 299:16 318:20 323:7 328:2 337:4.5.6 339:20 340:17,20,21 341:19 348:10 differentiate 148:7 differently 347:12 353:17 differs 195:3 310:9 difficult 62:1 106:2 230:5 276:3 difficulty 227:22 276:13 280:5 303:2 digesting 230:2 direct 106:11 177:17 251:16 255:20 256:11 260:21 262:12 288:12 293:13 directed 83:13 127:13 302:17 direction 157:1 341:22 directly 12:17 278:5 322:16 director 4:6 8:15 9:12 17:12,13 38:5 69:7 76:2 81:5 84:13 97:3 109:4 148:4 157:5,19 158:2 225:17 326:17 338:7

directs 251:13 339:8 disabilities 259:20 disagreed 149:14 disagreeing 255:5 disagreements 194:21 discipline 47:8 179:21 180:6 188:13 283:12 disciplines 13:9,12 45:22 135:12 164:4 186:16 190:22 192:14 192:20 disclose 214:13 disclosing 202:8 disconnect 98:5 343:3 disconnected 345:2 discounting 89:2 discovered 280:6 discrete 283:11 discretion 120:4 discuss 11:10 20:15 21:1 24:1,7 25:19 26:17 31:11 38:9 64:14 75:14 111:1 122:3,4 134:11 136:3 139:13 173:16 174:3 185:9,16 190:1 193:1 194:12 205:11 209:10 227:8 228:6,19 250:21 252:14 305:22 307:12 310:12 317:22 332:11 discussed 20:21 27:18 28:8 37:20 49:21 82:4 91:13 112:20 115:8 118:1 125:10 128:10 129:22 139:5 140:11 189:9 190:3 194:16 215:11 216:5 217:22 315:22 342:18 discusses 182:19 310:14 discussing 91:1 350:12 discussion 2:9,14,21 3:8,18 5:1 19:15 28:10 37:22 63:5 73:3 74:1 93:12 101:8 110:19 114:6 126:6 129:16 130:14 135:18 136:10 142:15 170:19 174:13,20 178:7,12 181:16,19 184:14 186:2,7,12 187:7,12 187:19,21 194:1,4,9 194:20,22 195:2 197:9 198:12 219:11 239:1 245:7,12 246:19 247:4 267:3 325:18 332:9 353:13

discussions 114:9 125:11 141:22 300:4 312:6.8 disease 126:20 127:3 127:19 diseases 133:18 disparate 79:9 displayed 132:21 257:3 displays 251:19 disposal 259:4 311:6 316:16 336:21 disposed 311:15 distinct 23:20 78:9 113:2 128:13 129:2 distinguish 255:1 distinguished 287:13 distributed 126:19 distribution 41:17 55:11 56:13 121:7 310:1 distributors 327:1 District 80:14 dive 276:5 diverse 16:5 divided 23:19 113:1 128:13 diving 267:10 division 9:12 38:5 69:7 81:5 157:19 158:2 235:2 267:13 297:3,7 338:3.7.12 docket 16:20 dockets 89:19 143:19 document 150:4 151:5 151:9,15,22 160:7 163:18 183:2 194:19 203:12,21 206:8 228:4 232:11 233:11 238:9 242:2,4 255:13 260:1 266:12 282:22 283:9 285:12 307:16 315:19 316:3,21 332:21 333:5 337:10 342:10 347:20 documented 229:2 documents 6:1,7 24:11 47:10,16 80:18 133:13 145:7 146:15 147:5,11 151:7,16,21 153:8 156:20 159:8 159:16 164:7 174:8,9 177:15 199:15,18 215:8,15,19 228:3 250:8,9 251:15,17 252:12,14,19,22 253:4 254:5,6 256:17 257:16 259:13,17 268:11 277:22 284:13

285:10,22 286:1,16 296:18 335:16 338:20 339:6 doing 70:14 91:4 150:17 151:12 155:20 159:11 165:12 168:4 169:15 188:19 220:8 220:15 226:14 276:20 Dolling 234:22 235:1 237:20 240:7 241:1 241:17 242:3,7 243:5 244:14 247:10 domestic 143:6 door 69:18 dossier 284:3 dots 278:12,16 doubt 273:17 download 252:12 260:21 281:14 dozen 226:1 **Dr** 98:1 104:8 108:9 125:12 135:17 141:18 168:1 170:18 173:21 259:11 286:17 draft 151:16 156:1.2 253:4,7 dramatically 91:3,10 drawers 284:6 drill 273:9 drive 274:6 driven 284:14 drives 25:3 driving 351:8 Drs 64:12 93:14 drug 1:1 39:9 46:20 111:3 126:12 146:21 153:16 169:10 253:18 258:15 307:22 309:20 329:16 330:2,2 drugs 147:2 154:5 156:2 158:20 172:18 275:21 331:12 duck 267:20 ducks 286:18 due 50:18 51:21 83:16 187:14 196:3 349:22 350:16 duplicate 236:20 duplicative 50:17 265:14 **DVDs** 25:2 dynamic 13:2 Е

e- 326:22 e-cigarette 262:8 e-liquid 234:5 e-liquids 241:12,22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

329:13 331:10 EA 27:16 29:11 32:18 37:10,12,13,14 86:20 88:2 258:5 259:1,5,10 306:2,4,9,22,22 307:7 307:9,11,14,20 308:1 308:2,4,4,8,9 310:19 312:17 313:3,6,10,11 314:4,11 315:9,9,13 315:15,17,20 316:3,4 316:4,10,17,20 317:1 317:10 332:15 335:1 335:7,12,21 341:15 341:16 347:12 348:1 348:1,7,10 350:7,12 earlier 58:10 70:7 96:8 103:1 115:8 147:10 169:18 171:20 186:4 208:5 209:15 221:22 223:10 288:18 325:9 329:14 early 36:9 60:9,10 66:11,20 149:20 175:11 ears 142:6 EAs 314:12 315:17 334:15 335:12 336:7 340:12 342:14 347:5 347:7 ease 50:20 52:16 91:11 130:16,19 138:22 easier 99:3 236:10 271:18 289:7 290:1 292:20 345:13 easiest 290:16 300:2 easily 241:7 271:7 273:4 289:5,20 291:2 easing 202:18 Eastern 265:2 easy 296:12 299:7 301:7 Ebony 2:13 125:20 ecological 306:19 eCTD 282:21 283:4,8 283:10 286:4,8,12 293:22 294:20,22 edited 1:19 editorial 182:18 educate 263:8 educated 170:20 178:13 education 233:5 251:9 261:16 312:19 educational 250:20 260:4 264:6 effect 133:17 328:18 effective 21:8,16 73:13 165:14 166:4 222:9

253:12 254:6.8.17.18 255:9 320:13 322:11 323:5 effectively 76:14 168:20 effects 350:12 efficiency 5:13 10:12 efficient 34:22 74:7 91:4 99:2 146:22 169:16 226:17 effort 10:16 53:11 85:9 301:12 efforts 5:5,20 10:11 34:21 50:3 88:14 236:20 264:8 300:4 306:16 egg 222:22 eight 11:14 18:1 123:9 141:10 149:9 152:4 153:21 155:13 161:1 220:15 222:12 288:7 either 12:2 18:20,21 29:22 40:7 43:1,3 44:9 60:21 88:16 95:11 104:10 120:15 171:11 216:8 224:1 236:14 242:3 246:1 280:6 290:9 295:9 elaborate 97:8 146:10 321:1 elapse 34:4 elapsed 34:13 60:11 elapses 61:1 electronic 3:4 24:20,21 24:21 25:1,4,11 183:7 203:19 204:1 232:12 232:14,17 234:11 249:12 260:12 266:11 266:18 267:15 268:19 270:6 271:15 274:10 282:2.14.22 283:8 286:12 298:4,10,13 341:17 342:2,9 electronically 25:7 203:19 204:4 261:7 271:17 323:9 electrons 274:11,22 278:17 element 115:12 131:11 elements 5:2 37:10 48:8 82:19 85:19 115:16 116:7 119:3 131:17 307:10 eliciting 244:16 eligibility 23:11 eligible 20:1 22:4 40:6 44:8 55:1 62:15

171:10

eliminate 306:16 email 50:20 52:15 68:1 79:16 208:14 219:18 244:2 263:4,13,15 264:9,15,16 285:18 317:12 325:20 emailing 265:10 embraces 327:9 emphasize 16:21 314:4 employers 143:11 enables 300:5 enacted 269:4 encompasses 42:11 encountered 232:16 encourage 14:10 37:21 106:19 125:10 141:21 155:6,19 156:6,18 157:12 162:10,17 183:10,21 189:8 197:8,12 215:22 226:4 238:7,13 239:12 240:9,17 262:4 289:14 300:10 306:13 325:17 encouraged 18:15 129:9 204:3 encouragement 228:9 encourages 113:20 217:18 264:21 encouraging 203:18 228:8 encrypt 281:1 encrypted 281:3 encrypts 281:2 encumbered 149:12 endorse 150:3 endpoints 114:10 129:21 193:1 ends 52:1 134:13 205:1 297:16 327:10 328:2 328:7,19 329:10 331:4 340:3 enforce 253:20 enforcement 9:12,14 44:16 45:15 119:17 136:2 193:5 251:8 261:13 263:22 266:6 346:12,18 353:9 engage 8:9 16:7 157:12 engaged 84:15 engagement 15:15 76:3 79:14 266:9 333:11 engineer 45:20 193:4 engineering 135:13 English 24:15,17 enhanced 48:8 enhancements 300:13 enhancing 17:2

enjoy 17:6 enjoyable 177:22 198:7 306:13 enjoyed 198:21 enormous 74:15 105:13 enrich 306:18 ensure 34:7 35:1 44:7 62:2 110:2 114:22 129:20 131:2 188:3 199:17 202:5 209:13 210:12 215:14 259:18 262:20 265:11 300:5 304:10 320:21 324:9 343:7,8 344:20 ensuring 215:7 343:18 345:6 enter 94:17 entered 44:21 123:1 141:3 149:14 173:13 338:4 enterprise 105:4 enters 38:17 entertain 267:18 Enthalpy 230:21 231:2 enthusiasm 12:8,11 entire 154:7 entirely 144:11 entities 196:15 entitled 183:11 entity 205:14 268:2 319:5.8 entry 276:4 environment 72:13 105:16 259:9 271:3,5 294:18,22 306:14,17 311:2,10,14 312:9 environmental 3:11 27:15 29:9 37:4 45:20 46:15 87:20 163:17 250:15 258:4 259:2 305:9,16,19 306:1,2 306:10,21 307:17 311:17,18,20 314:8 315:10 317:4 327:19 328:16 330:11,12,17 331:1,21 332:14 333:20 334:1,18 335:8 336:1,3,5,11,16 336:18 337:3,8,12,16 338:12 340:10 341:20 342:6,8 348:3,20 349:9,12 350:12,16 351:6 **EPA** 148:21 epidemic 262:8 equipment 105:21 equivalence 2:6,7 5:9

19:12 20:11.12 22:8 38:8 39:6,15 42:16 76:16 111:8,9 115:9 124:5 257:12,13,22 333:17 334:20 equivalent 40:5,10 41:7 46:7,8 124:2 290:10 309:18 ER 153:13 errors 203:6 ESG 25:1 271:8 296:10 298:5,13 299:8,13 303:17,18 304:5 Eshael 17:11 especially 10:4 70:12 161:7 270:11 289:16 establish 201:16 203:13 204:17 207:1 208:10 209:12,14,20 232:18 240:8,14,15 242:4 306:20 established 21:11,18 35:2 196:4 210:7 231:15 232:17 270:19 323:10 establishes 252:1 establishing 240:12 establishment 199:9 204:16 209:11 210:1 210:11 216:6 establishment's 346:4 estimated 54:8 eSubmissions 293:7 eSubmitter 203:20 260:22 265:8 266:20 269:18.20 273:5 274:9 281:14 288:10 289:5,8 295:9,13 296:9,11 298:7,18 299:8,11 et 80:15 evaluate 7:9 181:17 186:17 190:8 244:22 254:13 264:17 350:4 350:16,20 evaluated 340:12 evaluates 336:10 evaluating 84:22 88:17 330:18,19 336:16 339:5 evaluation 44:3 190:3 191:19,21 256:22 311:17 316:14 317:4 349:18 evaluations 316:16 event 61:6 70:7 73:11 121:15,17 207:22 eventual 336:21

evervbodv 198:6 219:21 275:4 287:6 everybody's 110:5 everyone's 197:16 354:17 evidence 62:15 63:5 149:21 254:13 evidently 109:20 evolution 79:2 175:13 evolutions 13:16 evolve 12:22 evolved 50:10 58:11 79:1 evolving 79:7 107:7 339:2 **EX** 19:14 28:22 128:4 348:11 exactly 14:2 103:5 150:18 151:11 180:8 292:11,14 examination 72:4 examine 5:7 53:9 58:8 329:15 examined 52:21 examining 58:22 59:16 example 5:6 24:10 48:10,20 50:14 55:8 57:5 59:2 60:13,19 62:10 74:20 91:4 100:17 133:9 146:14 171:4 185:7 186:4 189:17 190:14.21 199:21 201:5 202:20 205:15 206:1,13 207:2,13 208:7,19,21 209:7,17 211:4 212:4 215:11 217:7 227:17 228:2 234:4 237:13 237:16 238:11 240:11 240:13,16 241:1,3,4 241:11.15.20 243:7 244:12 253:12 262:14 285:9 288:18 291:3 293:22 306:9 307:17 307:20 309:15 315:19 320:2 321:14 323:22 325:9 330:8 examples 48:6 49:17 51:20 57:1 62:13 74:7 79:2 97:15 98:18,22 121:14 130:20 204:9 207:12 261:3 313:14 315:9,13 319:17 335:18 336:8,14 337:1 exceeded 35:20 Excel 275:13 289:4 excellent 90:16 275:4

275:11 exception 139:22 exceptions 80:5 excerpts 51:11 excited 305:8 excluded 349:5 excluding 29:7 140:6 exclusion 3:12 29:9 305:20 306:5 307:8 314:17 328:15 329:12 331:4 335:1 exclusions 29:10 327:20 328:5 330:1,4 331:11 350:6 excuse 119:21 127:8 171:1 193:20 336:13 executive 1:11 151:11 302:7,10 326:17 exempt 20:18 22:7 23:1 23:17 32:11,13,17 35:16,17 36:17 77:16 exemption 2:5 19:11,13 19:22 20:2,12,13,14 20:16 21:2,4,6,7,9,12 22:3,5,17 23:12,15,16 24:2,3,4 25:16,22 27:16,19,22 28:3,9,19 29:11,15,16,18 30:9 30:10 31:4,6,9,12,13 31:21 32:2,3,7,14,22 35:3,6,13,19 36:5,11 37:2,3,9,11,17 40:12 75:10 76:16 77:9,21 92:12,20 93:7 96:13 96:20 111:8 171:8,18 172:1 257:12 284:9 310:4 333:17 335:4 347:8 exemptions 21:13 33:14 76:22 80:2 115:9 171:20 330:6,7 exist 314:22 existing 5:8 6:15 22:12 53:9 112:5 268:19 279:11 exists 63:2 176:16 expect 13:22 42:6 51:16 52:2 114:17 264:2 343:4 expectation 15:10 16:3 248:11 expectations 14:21,22 50:12 80:9 103:19 130:4,6 149:2 152:18 expected 78:6 102:11 127:16 expecting 248:15 expedient 85:6

expedite 147:1 expeditiously 8:3 experience 36:15 38:12 53:13 69:14 70:5,16 70:17 73:15 77:8 79:22 88:1 90:16,22 103:6 144:13 145:9 151:3 154:16 160:6 160:17 186:15 225:19 228:15 254:22 255:4 288:12 293:13 295:11 296:8 312:20 327:6 332:7 333:1,14,22 339:4 340:8,11,16 341:9,21,22 348:18 348:21 349:1,15 experienced 74:8 77:15 233:7 experiences 15:7 71:1 231:1 expertise 142:21,22 178:14 247:5 288:13 289:12,13 298:15 312:20 334:15 351:15 experts 18:2 explain 25:10 110:17 126:5 163:3 224:13 250:5 252:8 254:19 256:17 explaining 234:14 explains 21:13 258:11 explanation 25:13 27:2 95:1 255:7 327:18 explanations 30:4 explicitly 127:1 276:8 explore 260:4 exposure 127:6,19 133:21 153:17 expressing 72:18 100:9 EXs 319:2 322:15 extend 99:22 145:21 extended 59:5,20 65:1 extending 59:9 60:1 extension 59:12 100:2 103:10 104:9 169:13 extensions 58:21 59:14 60:2 72:1,4,21 99:20 275:3 extensive 107:2 146:8 150:20 187:12,18 245:6 extent 63:8 174:18 212:3 213:1 226:13 314:11 external 69:10 186:18 196:15 extrapolate 162:19 extremely 144:16,17

145:15 332:22 336:8 337:1 eyeballing 104:1 F face 115:4 131:6 161:12 161:12,14,14 165:15 165:15 166:4,4 187:10,10 193:12,12 193:16,16 face-to-face 244:19 245:8 246:5 Facebook 263:3 facelift 4:18 facilitate 17:18 79:11 124:19 212:11 facilities 117:11,15 119:16 130:8,11 135:22 240:4 facility 311:12 314:3 facing 106:18 264:18 fact 12:10 13:1 89:2 337:2 factor 146:21 304:3,6 factors 190:3 factory 350:13 fail 96:21 145:5 163:8 fails 215:19 fair 91:15 92:9 248:8 249:1.4 fairly 78:9,12 149:11 157:8 289:13 334:10 falls 115:1 131:3 false 112:14 familiar 4:12 169:7 300:8 302:11 fan 274:10 **Fantastic** 142:16 297:10 far 90:16 107:3 123:11 152:15 161:1 216:5 228:17 237:18 245:8 245:11 274:1 291:5 295:9 297:14 faster 161:14 fault 90:19 favor 145:18 favorable 133:19 FD 156:6 FD&C 21:5 26:1 33:6 37:1 121:4 138:5 FDA's 4:4,6 19:21 21:1 21:3,5 36:18 53:8 63:11 135:11 136:1 192:18 195:6 203:16 250:4,7 251:2 253:11 317:8 350:17 351:7 FDA-regulated 12:5

FDAs 156:10 252:13 Fe 76:9,17 77:4 feature 74:12 129:3 featured 262:6 264:5 features 52:10 57:6 82:15 110:20 122:5 123:15 126:7 139:15 139:19 246:4 311:22 February 40:9 41:17 44:14 56:14 84:3 329:2 334:22 federal 39:8 46:20 89:18 111:3 126:12 253:17 255:21 258:14 280:11 307:4 309:20 335:21 347:18,19 350:17 FedEx 304:9 feedback 6:1 8:17 14:18 42:7 50:7,11 58:12 60:1 64:9 70:22 81:8 93:9 98:2 114:7 129:11 130:13,15 165:18 184:8,15 185:6 187:20 191:4 193:9,17,20 236:8,11 237:7 238:2 249:21 254:9 256:12 268:5 270:7 301:11 345:12 354:6 feel 14:9 101:22 145:5 222:13 248:18 273:1 293:20 294:19 334:10 feels 178:3 felt 177:2 339:19 fence 177:19 fewer 161:1 179:5 fifth 26:11 figure 95:10 176:17 286:11 file 5:22 25:5 118:16 123:9 125:4 134:19 173:4 200:8,9 202:11 202:14 203:14 205:6 205:9,17,22 206:11 207:2,10,14 208:11 209:1,10,14,18,21 210:7 212:1,10,14,19 212:21,22 213:6,7,13 213:16,17 214:2,5 215:9,12 216:14,16 216:20 217:4,5,12,17 218:5,7,9,13 219:4 227:2 231:14,15,16 232:19,20 233:14,22 234:5,8,11,12 240:8 241:2,12,16,19 242:8 244:11 261:5 274:6

275:3 276:19,19 277:5,11,12 278:4 279:2,4,6 281:21 284:3 285:10,11 334:16 filed 69:16 114:2 118:21 123:7,12 129:14 135:5,5,8 141:7 149:19 167:10 files 2:18 198:11,22 199:4 218:18 224:19 224:21 231:21 232:13 233:9 234:2 240:12 240:18 241:3,14 270:1 272:18 273:15 273:15,22 276:2,6,7 276:15,17 277:4,21 283:12 286:4 295:6 298:17,17,18 321:7 343:7 filing 70:2 113:9 116:9 116:10 118:18 123:4 128:20 130:1 132:7,7 134:13 135:1,3 140:4 141:6 155:5 164:1,2,6 164:10.15 173:4 198:17 filings 333:17 fill 106:2 269:21 filler 83:6 159:18 filter 57:21 58:1 filtered 57:22 filtering 68:19 final 6:8 16:3 31:2 32:13 34:12 46:9 66:20 83:19 180:11 187:3,8 188:14,18 193:8,11 194:7 214:19 251:21 252:21 326:2 finalized 146:6 253:7 finally 20:3 27:15 47:14 71:19 118:5 157:3 307:4,22 310:3 318:3 323:5 financial 285:21 find 13:14 50:22 62:1 100:18 113:21 129:11 178:18 180:21 183:5 255:21 262:12 276:19 278:21 279:17,19 284:19 290:16,18,20 291:2 300:2,6 327:20 353:4 finding 22:6 41:6 42:1 46:3,13 51:4 58:15 85:5 101:13 103:2 146:2 169:16 213:3

258:5 309:18 findings 32:9 43:2 56:7 133:15 138:17 207:18 264:11 finds 104:14 fine 239:20 256:3 finish 223:12 347:15 firms 286:2 first 10:6 12:4 15:3,13 17:22 20:18 21:7 23:16 24:1,6,9 25:21 26:19 29:18 30:18 31:1 35:5 41:11 42:13 45:2 50:15 54:2 55:9 56:1 73:10 74:5 77:10 81:15 92:9 102:1,2 108:7 109:11 110:16 111:19 122:8 126:3 142:18 143:15,16 144:2,8 145:14 149:17 152:5 154:1 161:17 163:7 177:22 178:1 182:16 184:3 185:5 198:9 212:2 215:1 218:17 220:18 220:18 223:15 225:10 232:10,16 233:11 242:17 244:10 249:9 250:7 251:11 262:14 263:20 271:1 284:11 288:10 289:9 292:20 295:18 301:15 311:9 316:11 317:20 328:4 328:13 335:15 338:19 first- 84:9 first-in 43:9,14 84:9 first-reviewed 43:9,14 firsthand 70:17 **fiscal** 36:1,6,7,12,13 65:3 67:1 91:19 122:5 139:16 196:9,9,20 fit 88:9 93:21 fits 228:11 229:5 339:15 five 11:15 18:7 60:21 68:9 110:17 112:22 113:1 117:20 123:9 126:5 128:12,13 133:13 141:8 142:10 148:11 220:6 225:22 287:15 305:10 fix 296:14 fixed 137:12 138:11 fixing 267:6 flag 292:3 flagged 173:22 flags 113:2 128:14 flat 294:17,22 312:2

flavor 241:4 flavoring 327:3 flavors 241:21 flexibility 290:6 **flipped** 271:14 flows 133:7 fly 271:21 focus 12:14 20:13 28:9 38:8 42:13 47:22 52:19 53:11 54:3 64:17 79:6 80:12 111:19 152:22 181:13 185:18 199:4 211:7 211:14 238:14 239:1 327:7,12 focused 5:1 39:5 56:20 73:7 104:20,21,22 352:16,17 353:7 focuses 177:11 focusing 48:3 54:18 58:6 61:13 160:5 folder 234:12 277:6,6 284:10,13 294:17,22 folders 284:15 294:21 folks 68:19 108:22 109:8,21 198:16 273:1 286:2 297:15 297:20 299:3 302:18 303:1,12 327:22 follow 18:12 137:15 280:16 341:13 342:5 345:16 follow- 95:6 follow-up 88:4 168:18 179:9 followed 181:16 186:6 257:19 316:22 following 6:8 9:11 18:4 22:8,21 24:4,8 25:9 30:17 32:9 33:10 35:8 35:15 41:9 48:1 55:22 109:9 144:9 191:18 191:20 194:8 198:13 199:7 212:13 214:11 249:13 261:2 266:16 275:22 307:10,15 follows 65:10 132:17 308:8 321:15 FONSI 258:6 259:5 FONSIs 314:12 Food 1:1 39:9 46:20 111:3 126:12 253:18 258:14 307:22 309:20 foreign 24:16 28:13 87:1 276:14 350:13 351:4,9 foresee 340:5 foreseeable 312:11

foraet 68:11 form 79:7 80:22 95:3 232:13 274:16 301:6 302:14 312:4,5 formal 17:4 67:11 166:12 233:1 formally 161:12 format 24:7,20,21 25:11 25:12,13 36:18,20 79:7 130:13 187:6,8,9 233:15,15 245:4 274:16 275:5,11,19 289:4,5 294:9 296:16 296:18 308:22 formats 24:21 25:5 275:9 former 143:10 331:2 forms 28:18,19 208:1 260:11 266:19 296:12 301:5 321:22 formulate 16:13 254:20 formulation 133:5 forth 245:7,12 246:9 282:7 fortunately 154:14 225:7 288:12 forum 194:12 forward 8:16 74:6 90:1 103:3,13 107:18 147:9 157:11 217:21 345:11,14 352:14,18 354:18 found 35:19 40:5.10 44:11 49:11 151:22 171:12 177:1 198:6 213:17 214:5 233:3 238:17 277:13 288:20 315:14 334:8,18 335:18 found-exempt 33:4,15 foundation 226:18 foundational 226:11 230:16 four 22:17 111:19 113:11 128:22 133:8 141:8 263:15 282:4 fourth 26:9 30:7 219:4 framed 161:19 frames 334:6 framework 154:8 frameworks 154:3,12 frank 14:3 172:9 frankly 175:18 free 127:8 Freedom 5:22 frenzy 172:13 Freon 330:9 frequently 62:20 63:17

183:6.18 327:21 front 98:6 170:12 221:7 224:13 326:4 fruit 247:2 frustrating 152:2,8 frustration 170:11,16 frustrations 270:21 **FSPTCA** 156:1 FTA 226:7 full 5:17 26:11 44:2 82:14 114:7 116:19 117:10 132:9 170:3 179:21 180:7 184:15 189:3 201:11 fully 58:19 82:12 98:7 284:14 fulsome 16:15 function 105:5 341:6 functional 278:7 functionally 330:15 funeral 9:21 further 6:4 7:11 50:1 114:15 137:20 148:5 148:6 187:21 194:22 247:4 249:5 250:16 274:17 280:21 283:16 300:22 306:14 311:1 321:1 Furthermore 117:16 future 89:3 202:18 238:10 239:9 241:7 252:6 262:1 282:1 289:17 312:11 332:12 341:11 fuzzy 278:11 **FY17** 66:6,14,16 **FY18** 66:7,10,15,17,18 **FY22** 66:7,16 67:2 G gain 129:10 130:3,13 185:13 339:4 340:14 341:9 gained 36:15 38:12 game 152:11 gap 106:1 342:7,8 gaps 261:9 gate 163:7 gateway 25:1 203:20 270:7 289:9 298:4,14 gather 161:8 gathered 103:19 gathering 10:9 gears 9:4 general 33:22 39:10 46:16 47:12,16 67:7 67:22 78:2,6 86:4 101:10 120:14 130:6

136:8 143:8 149:8.10 163:9 167:1,1 168:6 169:4 170:8 218:3 227:5 237:14,17 247:7 258:1 261:10 264:21 265:5,9 296:16,18 321:15 generally 38:15 40:2 45:18 105:3 121:11 124:13 140:6 185:4 204:1 236:20 243:5 245:5 246:8,18 253:5 282:4 322:1,14 324:5 generate 105:8,8 278:5 278:9 335:8 generating 335:21 generation 333:15 geological 311:22 getting 70:1 71:22 72:21 108:8 142:10 224:12 283:21 319:16 **GF** 45:13 giant 233:22 gift 299:12,14 **gifts** 299:9 gigantic 234:8 give 17:19 56:21 67:18 84:14 87:18 105:6 108:13 142:3 149:16 153:12 158:20 168:21 173:22 189:18 236:1 236:6,7,11,14 246:3 267:19 272:14 273:9 279:19 287:13 291:17 351:13 352:5 354:8 given 13:21 18:9 80:13 81:12 98:11 145:10 147:5,7 166:3 238:21 243:2 272:20 **gives** 151:19 156:10 278:18 310:7 giving 167:10 220:4 293:9 295:2 glad 159:10,14 338:21 go-to 176:19 goal 8:21 35:20 36:4 64:10 66:6,15,22 160:19,21 182:1 196:14 226:6 338:22 goals 48:5 54:4 65:10 66:4,13,17 91:18 168:3 184:21 goodness 152:6 gotten 70:22 101:12 228:21 Gottlieb 4:20 157:5 Gottlieb's 170:18 governed 351:6

aovernment 176:14 196:16 269:14 280:11 316:20 347:19 grandfather 44:17 45:16 128:6 334:4 grandfathered 22:22 40:7 44:10,14 75:4 171:12 208:3 grandfathering 332:7,9 grant 59:13 181:17 184:4 190:2 206:1 239:13 granted 25:15 33:14 184:6 187:2 188:20 191:2 192:10 195:9 195:12 197:1,2 206:4 244:19 247:20 331:11 granting 237:17 grants 206:9 264:11 gray 251:3 greater 5:14 52:20 53:14 greatest 5:15 53:12,18 254:15 grenade 108:10 ground 248:9 group 70:20 73:6 76:3 79:4 178:13 188:12 293:7 333:11 **groups** 104:20,21,22 105:3 growing 102:13 grown 104:18 growth 48:10 guaranteed 172:21 auess 94:3 95:4 98:4 174:16 177:11 224:22 225:22 235:5,6 288:2 292:21 quessing 176:9 **auests** 220:4 guidance 7:11 80:18 114:12 144:6 145:7 146:6,7,8,15 147:5,11 156:1,2 166:13 182:17,17,19 183:4 183:15,17,17 184:9 190:9,16 203:11,12 223:1,2 226:14 228:3 228:4,14,21 229:11 230:11 247:18 250:8 251:7,12,17 252:11 252:19,22 253:4,9,10 253:14,19 256:7,21 260:17 263:22 286:15 294:9 296:15 332:16 332:21 333:5 335:15 337:1,10 339:8,13

guidances 67:15 294:8 339:11 guide 148:2 250:20 quides 98:15 quiding 275:7 guilty 176:20 н half 11:17 12:5 151:10 154:15 226:1 hall 353:1,2 hand 18:8,20 69:1,2 81:12 152:10 157:21 326:7 handed 244:9 handle 277:11 288:14 306:4 340:21 handled 83:15 hands 272:6,7 hanging 247:1 happen 12:9 89:2 176:11 happened 149:17 222:13 happening 173:17 happens 154:20 167:21 213:15 263:21 happy 12:8 71:14 168:2 175:20 hard 9:19 18:12 25:2 232:11 234:8 274:6 291:12 296:1 339:11 harder 159:12 harm 40:3 126:19 127:18 146:4 147:18 147:22 148:1 200:7 226:4 harmful 7:5,6 57:19,19 127:3 harmony 306:13 Hasbrouck 2:11 110:9 110.11 HAYNES 220:10 247:17 248:13,17 249:1,4 hazardous 312:2 head 143:5 198:8 219:22 287:4 headed 268:19 heads 354:8 health 5:16 6:21 9:18 12:19 13:4,11 19:8 39:21 40:4 42:19 43:6 43:16,20 44:1 52:11 52:20 53:12,20 54:3,6 56:9 63:7 67:4 75:1 79:18 85:10 86:7,15 93:2 110:12 111:21 115:2 116:21 117:5

119:12 120:12 121:2 125:21 131:20 133:22 137:21 138:2 163:10 170:2,14 172:10 173:10,14 181:4 199:2,15 210:4 237:5 242:14 249:19 251:8 283:19 297:2 306:18 health-related 133:18 healthy 178:9 hear 6:9 8:7 15:7 16:6 19:13 64:12 67:12 68:8 87:21 94:22 100:1 125:5 159:10 163:19 172:11,14 174:3 175:20 264:19 282:10 342:21 350:22 354:5 heard 6:1 14:10 39:3 68:11 86:19 91:14 97:13 111:7 126:14 144:20,22 158:8 172:12 174:4 175:2 228:1,8 235:8,14 236:3 239:17 270:13 279:8 289:11 296:21 297:21 299:2 327:19 339:12 hearing 8:16 88:22 138:8 294:17 heart 327:11 heat 256:6 heated 133:3 heavily 76:14 held 14:6 114:4 118:2,8 134:12 167:8 184:12 187:10 188:20 190:17 239:15 302:20 303:3 help 17:17 50:1 61:17 64:20 67:9 148:2 164:21 165:6 179:15 233:21 235:18 252:19 262:1 265:11,14,19 266:1 268:6 342:21 344:19 helped 269:19 helpful 67:19 89:14 97:14 109:7,14,19 120:2 125:7 133:2 141:14 144:17,21 145:1,16 148:7,21 154:10 161:19 174:14 191:16 220:20 221:13 223:8 224:5 227:15 228:2 230:9,16 238:19 250:2,18 256:16 278:4 279:10 280:8 292:7 296:19

298:21 321:19 324:4 329:15 332:18,22 333:6 337:2 338:21 342:11 344:4 352:2 helpfully 228:22 helping 254:10 helps 80:9 176:17 186:16 221:15 254:20 266:3 hesitant 248:4 hey 174:22 285:11 hi 69:9 230:20 234:19 295:17 297:1 305:6 338:1.2 hide 168:15 high 95:19 115:3 131:5 270:10 289:13 298:15 high- 42:3 88:11 high-level 38:10 47:19 77:8 336:2 highlight 123:18 124:15 126:7 139:20 268:3 336:9 337:2 highlighted 97:11 148:3 334:15 highlights 262:6 highly 13:7 162:10 177:1 286:1 highs 77:16 Hilton 1:10 4:17 Historically 143:3 history 281:6 hit 83:21 90:17 95:20 166:15 hits 169:19 hitting 168:13 **HL7** 282:10 **HMO** 271:2 hold 4:15 188:1 246:2 holding 187:15 holds 185:4,11 holiday 299:12 holidays 299:6 holistic 327:5 336:15 Holman 8:13 97:2 98:1 108:9 173:21 174:2 286:17 351:19,21 Holman's 104:8 168:1 home 4:16 16:22 199:12 218:17 272:10 277:9 285:3 341:4 351:18 honest 14:3 168:1 Honestly 147:12 hood 285:19 hope 8:7,20 74:5 75:13 106:8 144:12 153:3 198:6 345:11

hopeful 8:10 hopefully 145:22 170:16 198:20 222:17 332:10 339:1 344:18 352:1,2,19 hoping 15:20 160:18 277:3 Hoshing 3:13 305:15 hosting 73:11 hotel 1:10 4:13,15 hour 185:22 197:18,21 221:19 238:8,17 hours 204:2 265:2 266:14 house 298:16 343:17 345:9 housed 346:10 How's 142:5 HPHC 72:17 207:16 295:11 HPHCs 82:22 human 133:21 146:21 259:8 humanitarian 330:7 hundreds 94:7,7 231:3 233:9 276:6 295:5,5 hungry 197:17 hunt 290:19 hyperlink 273:8 hyperlinked 183:5 hyperlinks 233:16,18 234:9 279:8 hypothetical 100:6 L i.e 145:19 **IAM** 301:16 302:8,12 iCO 256:5 idea 71:13,22 72:20 98:2 100:22 209:9 261:22 339:7 ideas 93:10 261:22 identification 26:11 48:2,13,21,22 49:10 49:15,18,21 50:2,3,6 63:20,22 211:9,9 309:7 identified 25:21 28:15 29:1 50:18 51:1 57:11 61:17 62:7 82:12 118:7 132:20 134:1 310:15 312:13 identifies 124:21 210:2 309:13 310:5 identify 19:16 61:12 164:18,22 165:5 184:19 206:12 243:15 256:20 261:8 300:21

301:7 303:2 312:16 313:1 314:18 342:7 identifying 48:15 57:12 62:2 86:21 117:17 254:22 identities 313:22 illness 9:11 illustrate 51:12 illustrated 51:15 IM 243:8,9 image 51:11 images 133:10 imagine 9:18 176:13 immediate 30:14 256:12 immediately 179:8,9 impact 43:6,16 52:20 53:12 137:22 254:16 258:6 259:8 316:16 336:11,16,18,19,20 337:8 342:3 348:3 349:16 impacts 9:5 259:2 311:2,4,17 312:7,8,10 312:13 316:14 317:5 342:8 350:16,20 implementation 36:1 327:14 implemented 5:19 implicitly 127:1 implies 231:2 **importance** 6:10 67:4 345:10 **important** 12:10,12 17:1,18 32:16 45:9 52:9 62:19 73:11 123:16 124:3 145:5 151:19 159:17 178:8 183:14 186:13 189:2 194:11 196:13 203:17 205:12 215:5 216:10 226:5,10 248:19 253:8 263:1 268:14 268:20,22 276:2 279:1 281:11 285:3 306:20 317:3 320:20 321:11 324:17 332:11 335:9 336:15 343:5 importantly 15:6 165:22 336:6 importers 261:17 impression 78:9 improve 5:20 9:1 10:11 42:6 50:12 64:20 79:10 175:15 196:5 256:14 300:13 301:12 improved 50:3 80:13 221:1

improvement 36:6 49:8 52:17 61:17 78:5 223:5 334:13 improvements 6:14,20 8:6 48:6 97:6 352:8 improving 50:5 80:9 300:22 IMS 302:20 in-116:12 132:10 in-depth 141:19 in-house 41:3 270:15 286:5 in-person 165:11 inadequate 57:8 118:14 192:2 205:2 213:4,16 334:19 inception 288:3 inch 278:12,16 include 24:8,17,21 25:8 25:13 26:20 29:8 30:16 37:9,11 51:20 52:15 57:5 62:6 114:8 115:14 117:6,14 118:16 120:1 121:14 133:6 134:10,19 182:20 184:20 186:14 186:20 187:22 189:21 192:4 193:2 199:9,18 207:13,15,20 208:6 208:22 212:12 216:15 231:13 254:19 255:7 261:10 263:16 306:15 309:8 311:7 312:12 313:16 316:8 319:1 320:12 326:21 336:4 **included** 24:11 26:12 26:17 27:16 50:20 62:14 130:9 189:1,11 206:18 231:16 310:11 312:20 321:21 322:14 330:14 337:15 includes 26:13 29:22 42:14 51:11 77:18 116:18 117:17 126:22 131:13 132:16 201:16 202:22 203:12 208:13 209:4,6 218:5,10 231:11 259:6 260:16 264:3 307:15 308:4,9 308:14,16 311:3,12 313:12 316:2,17 317:2 322:7,20 including 10:11 51:18 64:21 76:8,21 112:2 133:13,19 221:13 251:6 255:6 256:3 257:3 263:2,21 266:5 266:11 309:3 311:20

314:19 332:6 333:16 335:4 inclusion 36:10 incomplete 60:18 inconsistencies 74:18 inconsistent 334:5,12 incorporate 151:14 incorporated 61:10 increase 27:12 34:19 57:19 58:3 74:20 75:9 87:7,15 91:10 95:19 237:22 266:4 increased 5:13 61:11 79:14 112:4.7 increases 67:1 82:21 increasing 22:11 61:15 independent 11:18 70:19 143:9 318:14 index 326:6 indicate 113:4 139:1 280:17 indicated 140:10 indicates 12:12 indication 151:19 indispensable 147:11 individual 80:8 140:13 192:20 265:16 284:1 308:15 312:18 individuals 99:11 312:16 320:22 industrv 12:17 15:7 48:1,7 49:12 60:1 64:9 73:16 80:10 84:16 85:15 87:5,15 91:11 97:4 100:7 114:13 143:11 144:8 144:20,22 147:12,19 148:19 153:15 156:17 157:13 174:15 181:13 185:15 196:16 203:10 221:18 235:18 249:21 252:19 260:16,17 261:8 265:17,19 266:1 268:5 270:11 272:5 281:19 286:9 286:10 290:1,4 292:2 292:18 300:19 302:8 303:1 326:10 327:11 328:19 338:17 343:20 industry's 61:17 142:22 Inevitably 248:1 infancy 331:14 influences 221:8 inform 9:1 31:14 44:20 115:17 131:18 156:3 197:11 212:8 222:19 252:6 informal 79:15 138:8

Informatics 267:14 297:8 informational 185:12 Informations 309:2 informative 305:7 informed 109:15 208:1 263:14 informs 45:12 76:13 infrastructure 298:6 ingested 254:2 ingredient 62:17 133:7 199:15,22 200:2,15 204:7,11 207:17 211:5,7,8,9,10,11 218:19 253:16,20 260:7,9,15,20 261:3,5 261:6 279:15 288:17 295:12 343:10,12 346:5 ingredient-listing 204:9 ingredients 82:15 117:7 136:11 201:12 202:2 203:1 211:15 253:13 254:1 279:13 279:14 280:1 inhaled 133:3 initial 69:15 104:4 120:2 344:2 initially 53:6 initiate 61:3 116:11 132:9 320:1 initiated 185:15 216:8 initiates 205:9 initiative 170:19 initiatives 250:22 266:7 inkling 167:11 innovation 226:4 innovative 226:9 230:13 **input** 354:5 inquiries 67:7 68:1 260:14 261:11,22 265:10,11,16 320:3 insight 156:10 insights 292:14 inspectional 56:6 inspections 114:10 119:15 130:7 135:21 155:3 193:2 inspire 74:4 installation 117:13 instance 117:2 146:10 148:21 151:21 159:20 179:6 289:9 292:17 292:20 296:17 instances 78:10 288:17 292:12 instant 348:22

intend 59:13 61:8 210:18 244:15 247:13 intended 15:6 53:7 133:3 185:16 191:6 191:10 237:8 279:6 intends 31:7 32:17 36:8 55:16 65:11,17,22 140:8 181:17 185:21 191:14 194:18 195:18 201:6 210:20 217:3 217:12 intent 217:13,19 252:9 253:20 309:14 intention 279:3 intentions 252:4 interact 156:5 interaction 149:3 271:5 interactive 23:7 154:21 155:4 interchangeably 19:16 interest 12:7,20 144:2 146:3 235:11 236:18 240:10 315:16 interested 54:9 177:1 263:9 interesting 152:1 177:22 329:18 interests 264:16 interface 289:2 internal 79:3 245:22 247:4 270:14 internally 351:3 International 11:19 143:4 interpreted 88:16 interstate 39:12 41:16 55:11 56:12 120:14 121:6 128:2 166:21 309:22 introduce 18:6 19:3 29:15 68:9 109:1 111:5 121:5 142:11 142:12 157:17 166:21 220:5 234:17 287:15 296:22 309:22 326:11 337:22 introduced 41:2 55:10 56:11 84:3 120:13 128:1.7 introduction 19:19 20:5 33:7,7 39:11 41:15 55:10 56:12 120:13 121:6 128:2 153:12 introductions 68:17 introductory 227:3 intuitive 290:18 300:6 300:14 inventory 321:20

investigative 330:6 investigators 114:14 181:8 investing 54:4 investors 147:13 invitation 11:8 involved 13:13 73:18 76:14,19 149:7 164:21 165:6 256:15 335:12 346:4 involvement 143:12 **involves** 333:14 ion 330:14 irregardless 284:17 ISO 282:6 isolation 104:12 issuance 35:14 147:20 **issue** 30:1,3 31:16 34:1 34:9 35:7 48:16 65:12 65:14,17,22 115:11 115:16 118:12,20 122:13 128:8 131:10 131:17 134:15 135:4 139:9 155:11 166:5 167:19 169:22 179:14 179:20 188:13 191:22 193:7 209:21 215:3 217:12 222:22 270:9 303:6 309:17 310:20 324:15 325:3 331:20 issued 20:19 23:17 31:3 33:14 37:12 46:3 59:6 114:12 136:15 136:18,22 137:1,9 140:12 143:19 146:2 175:6 193:16 214:15 228:5 253:13 257:14 272:13 324:22 issues 14:9,17 16:8,14 32:9 33:3 41:6 46:17 47:1 48:4 59:18,19 61:18,20 62:2,7 69:19 70:21 71:5 81:8 107:13,21 115:22 156:16 170:7 194:22 213:16 221:15 252:14 252:15 264:6,18 267:15 302:2,22 327:11 329:7,16 issuing 52:1 95:17 145:17 168:8 195:9 197:5 252:9 item 99:18 134:11 160:9 257:2 262:11 262:15 300:15 items 28:10 43:1 48:5 82:16 86:17 87:1,2 93:4 98:22 136:10

160:13 161:6.22 163:11,14 164:13 173:9 181:14 188:22 194:22 207:1 209:16 218:4 236:16 238:13 247:13 288:8 300:9 324:13 **ITG** 295:18 332:4,22 J Jackson 2:13 125:18 125:20 January 21:16 306:11 **Jeff** 3:6 10:19 18:15 153:9 174:8 249:11 267:12 288:18 329:14 Jeff's 294:16 Jennifer 2:6 19:3,4,7 68:5 79:20 86:19 **Jim** 148:9,11 153:9 155:1,11 156:14 165:8 174:6 221:22 236:4 Jim's 160:13 Joanna 234:19 237:20 240:2 **job** 17:17 19:2 142:3 221:5,11 234:14 302:11 iobs 70:14 Johnson 17:9.11 68:5 81:7,21 83:12 84:17 85:7 89:6,21 90:14 108:6 326:1 332:1 337:19 338:14 342:12 345:15,19 347:4,15 348:8 350:9 351:10 351:13,17,20 joining 9:21 **JTI** 288:1 judge 224:10 judgement 150:22 153:8 July 4:19 53:8 114:12 182:17 jump 82:2 174:19 June 77:10 127:13 149:18 269:4 iurisdiction 42:22 115:1 131:3 163:12 justification 112:18 347:11 348:9 349:4,8 justify 107:4 Κ

Karen 109:2 332:2,3 Karin 17:15,15,21 18:20 keep 8:21 16:16 107:19

111:14 152:17 185:20 189:14 202:13 217:17 220:14 277:16 288:21 334:2 keeping 186:3 216:11 keeps 298:14 **Keith** 9:10 key 5:5 6:16 110:20 122:5 126:7 139:15 139:19 199:8.12 205:13 218:16 226:9 317:21 319:3 329:7 kicking 91:19 Kim 338:9 341:3,13 **Kimberly** 338:6 kinds 13:13 106:15 155:18 269:9 knew 10:5 148:21,22 220:14 knowing 102:18 176:16 272:3 276:4 knowledge 8:22 106:2 170:10 185:14 261:9 314:22 340:14 341:7 341:9 known 40:15 110:14 116:20.20 119:20 126:2 136:7 199:5 331:13,13 345:13 knows 280:9 **KOVACEVIC** 142:19 178:22 180:1.5.8 Kovacevik 143:3 1 lab 99:20 100:12,18 101:1,3 label 126:22 127:11,15 149:13 154:6 labeling 112:13 118:3 126:22 127:11,15 132:19 133:9,10 252:16 labels 133:8,10 laboratory 282:5 labs 72:10,15,16 100:8 100:8 143:7 lack 48:14,22 352:10 lacked 80:19 lacking 291:9 laid 164:10 land 311:11,21 landing 183:3 language 24:15,16 48:2 50:11,16,18 51:21 52:4 61:13 63:15,21 159:19 160:3

large 43:10 58:2 82:21 161:8 163:16 164:19 167:14 189:15 largely 149:21 larger 95:17 345:4 largest 326:22 327:1,3 Lars-Erik 178:2 lastly 78:4 80:5,12,20 181:22 184:7 190:19 205:7 219:6 224:7 336:22 late 60:10 76:13 248:12 latest 263:10 laughter 68:15 77:14 79:21 93:18 232:6 240:22 293:1 295:3 299:20 305:12 338:10 341:5 347:17 law 13:10 138:9 149:13 199:15,17 225:17 254:12 306:11 307:1 laws 252:20 280:12 layer 281:5 lead 6:18 32:18 47:6 77:1 143:14 150:2 151:8 167:3 178:6 190:7 234:20 276:1 281:16 317:16 leader 9:16 leadership 264:4 leading 191:20 leads 78:1 Leann 293:3.5 learn 12:13 13:3 129:17 160:19 250:13 261:18 281:14 learned 78:12 87:12 107:17 149:3 160:20 225:14 268:9 336:14 learning 8:16 10:6 12:16 69:21 103:20 106:6 151:3 174:15 222:12 225:13 232:9 241:10 263:10 333:3 343:19 learnings 17:3 74:9 335:14 leave 73:1 led 76:20 143:17 leeway 223:16 left 261:13 294:12 left-hand 301:4 legacy 293:15 legal 42:2 143:17 319:22 323:22 legally 22:14,20 26:3 30:20 38:20 40:19 41:5 53:3 111:5,10

languishing 92:8

123:19 128:1,7 171:21,22 legible 24:9,10 legibly 109:20 lend 294:2 length 49:2 277:4 lengthy 312:6 333:2 lessons 160:20 268:9 268:10.12 let's 27:20 38:13 42:3 42:13 47:20 48:12 51:6 60:7 66:3 96:16 101:20 108:12,17 142:2,17 167:13 168:21 173:22 184:16 188:6 196:19 197:20 199:13 201:5 209:10 213:5 218:1 244:17 251:1 256:19 257:20 260:4 288:21 289:20 290:5,17 291:8 292:19 305:14 344:17 letterhead 281:18 letters 32:10,13,17 35:8 35:15 48:4 50:12.22 50:22 51:7 52:13 58:9 58:14,15,16 59:1,5,6 59:11,15 60:3 61:16 62:6 65:18,19 67:10 70:9,11,15 71:10 75:16 97:17 98:19 99:22 140:11 155:2 160:15,22 173:6,6,8 175:5 179:21 213:18 214:15 216:2 217:8 218:14 219:14 250:14 258:3 272:13,14,15 278:10 323:15 325:4 325:12 letting 344:11 level 42:4 74:21 77:20 83:3 88:12 91:7 115:3 127:6 131:5 169:13 169:14 170:16 289:13 337:11 352:19 leverage 8:22 liability 27:14 117:3 liaison 52:13 lieu 203:3 life 204:13 284:4 336:19 lifecycle 284:2 lifesaving 327:9 light 127:10 175:16 liked 170:12 likelihood 112:5,7 limit 225:20 230:11,12 246:19 277:3 327:15 limitations 206:13

207:4 208:16 209:6 218:12 limited 62:15 114:8 213:21 221:17 229:17 295.9 limiting 245:11 327:12 Lindegaard 73:4,5 90:15 93:17 102:9 105:10 108:4 line 149:10 208:9 209:2 lines 79:10 264:22 344:14 link 109:14 276:11 292:6 links 67:17 255:20 256:10 276:16 279:19 291:1 Linux 277:9 liquids 327:1 list 54:10,11 99:9 188:8 190:9 192:14 207:3,4 218:10 262:3 264:15 264:16 273:22 286:3 292:5 312:14 316:18 listed 28:6 29:17 52:12 57:10 59:1 60:14 82:19 125:7 141:14 164:14 167:18 254:5 308:6 315:5 319:5 323:12 listen 16:4 141:21 151:1 153:7 177:3,14 listening 125:12 158:10 185:13 197:10 198:10 220:13 297:19 listing 57:12 82:14 199:22 201:12 204:7 207:17 211:5,7 253:13,21 260:7,10 260:15,18,20 261:3,5 270:17 312:21 listings 199:16 253:17 261:6 295:12 346:5 lists 57:1 64:5 105:1 208:14 263:16 292:9 346:5 lithium 330:14 litigator 224:8 little 15:21 67:18 70:12 77:7 80:15 88:15 92:13 94:22 100:1 109:22 137:4 142:6,7 153:12 159:12 161:13 176:6 182:2,5 197:16 204:14,14 205:12 226:1 246:4 267:11 272:9 273:20 279:19 285:16,17 289:6,9

290:19 292:10 297:13 302:21 303:16.17 334:11 353:16 LOA 201:20,21 206:6,7 206:12 208:20,22 209:3,8 212:13 loaded 290:8 lobbing 108:9 locate 141:17 located 202:3 212:17 250:9 256:20 locating 251:1 location 4:10 62:1,3 192:12 239:10 262:17 308:18 314:2 locations 302:4 logic 254:12 294:21 logical 207:11 240:19 logistical 192:11 logistics 239:3 long 69:18 70:6 71:14 82:9 95:3 107:16 108:1 248:11 277:8 281:6 288:6 289:7 343:1,15 344:16 longer 7:2 64:4 138:4 179:3 195:13 216:21 217:1 221:19 271:9 334:9 longest 92:8 look 8:16 44:18 60:7 84:9 86:12,12,14,14 91:5 92:7,20,20 93:1 103:3 104:11 109:14 112:10 117:2 152:20 157:11 158:7 161:5 162:10,18 163:8 164:4 171:14,15,17 180:4 188:6 196:19 197:20 201:5 202:1 211:19 222:1 227:1 228:2 235:7 238:3 240:9 250:11 254:12 257:20 285:14,19 290:11 291:5,14,19 341:10 349:13,13 352:14,18 354:18 looked 52:18 82:11 83:3,7 86:1,2 290:16 looking 66:13,21 83:4 86:16,20 88:19 92:11 92:16 93:3,6 96:15 103:21 111:14,16 161:3,6,7,19,22 162:9 163:11,14 164:7,12 167:9 168:6 170:1 173:5 174:7,9 178:9 240:20 246:6 263:6

263:11 277:13 282:1 290:21 292:11,16 300:12 340:19 looks 51:8 72:13 103:15 110:5 209:16 285:18 305:3 looming 260:8 Lorillard 143:5 lose 289:19 loss 9:17 11:4 lost 103:9 lot 15:14 18:13 37:20 70:5 71:3,6 73:1 102:10,16,17,18,19 103:11 104:14,19 105:1 125:9 154:22 155:20,21,22 156:10 156:13 159:16 160:2 160:5 162:5,6 172:14 174:6,12 182:12 219:9 225:14 232:4 241:10 270:7,11 279:17 285:19 291:22 292:12 332:17 347:13 351:1 352:3,11,12 lots 156:21 love 230:17 274:14 296:9 loves 271:19 low 24:12 127:10 247:1 278:12 low-tech 105:16 lower 15:18 127:2 lower- 83:2 lows 77:16 lump 245:9 lunch 180:15 197:17 198:5,21 267:10 Μ ma'am 180:5.8 machine 277:10 mad 18:11 mail 70:8 203:21 323:10 345:3 mailbox 243:2 325:20 mailing 208:13 271:18 323:12

main 40:18 67:5 82:6 111:19 115:21 151:14 218:4 241:16 276:10 278:4 292:5 MainBody 276:9 maintain 218:6 maintaining 203:15 281:7 maintains 183:3 MainTOC 276:8,9

major 57:21 72:18 82:20 169:12 194:13 majority 41:3 45:17 80:20 238:18 271:13 making 5:14 74:6 85:1 94:20 106:21 155:7 158:19 165:4 176:7 321:9 344:6 man 306:14.18 manage 155:8 242:10 272:7 345:8 manageable 241:6 management 38:6 69:8 81:6 154:17 157:20 158:3 196:7 235:3 297:3 338:3 manager 19:8 42:19 47:12 52:11 67:5 110:12 115:2 125:21 131:21 163:10 172:10 173:11,15 176:19,21 181:4 199:2 210:4 237:5 249:20 272:5 281:19 293:6 297:2 302:9 332:4 346:19 346:21 managers 79:19 154:19 172:18 243:15 managing 77:3 154:19 155:9 277:20 mandated 43:1 mandatory 160:1 manner 170:5 207:11 209:22 240:19 manufacture 64:5 307:19 308:14 manufactured 308:21 350:11,19 manufacturer 22:3 25:6 26:10,21 27:5,6,10 29:19 30:19 31:1,19 33:2,8,19 34:2,11,14 34:16 38:22 39:15 56:3 127:12 133:16 143:6 199:22 200:2,5 201:6 202:20 203:6 263:6 279:17,18 337:14 manufacturer's 26:19 308:20 manufacturers 23:6 34:3,22 36:20 38:14 70:19,20 105:17,19 172:5 181:7 200:15 218:19 231:22 242:16 250:1 253:15 260:9 261:18 300:19 326:21 326:22 328:19

manufacturing 9:13 78:18 112:12 117:12 117:15 119:16 121:18 130:7 133:6 135:22 202:3 203:1 207:17 211:16 240:3 252:17 259:3 283:14 311:5 311:12 314:3 316:14 336:20 map 71:18 mapping 289:2 Marcella 235:1 240:1 March 41:12,18 56:14 84:2.4 165:14 334:22 mark 147:16 marked 36:6 87:7 markedly 79:5 market 7:18 19:20 20:6 20:9,17 33:2 34:17 38:18 39:4 40:3 41:2 43:12 83:19 111:5,10 111:13 123:19 124:3 126:15 127:22 138:13 146:4 171:1,2,6,21 176:12 215:17 223:12 313:21 315:2 323:18 333:18 347:22 349:3 349:21 marketed 22:14,20 26:4 30:20 33:17 38:20 40:8.20 41:6 44:13 126:21 127:4.20 132:22 171:22 329:21 350:18 marketing 20:6 22:1 23:2 34:4 41:1.22 42:2 111:17 118:19 119:11 120:10,16,16 120:22 121:8,10,12 121:21 122:11 123:10 123:12 124:8 128:4 139:4,7 143:16 147:20 165:17 166:17 175:2 250:12 251:14 257:5,6,7,9,10,15,18 257:19,20,21 258:2 258:11 259:6 261:20 265:6 307:3,18 309:14,17 310:21 314:16 315:14 336:12 336:12 346:3 marketplace 268:22 285:6 markets 38:22 Maryland 1:11 239:7 master 2:18 125:4 146:22 198:11,22 199:4 200:8 202:11

203:13 205:6.9.17.22 206:10 207:2,10,14 208:10 209:1,10,14 209:21 212:1,9,14,19 212:21,22 213:6,7,12 213:16,17 214:2,5 215:8,12 216:13,20 217:4,5,12,17 218:5,7 218:9,13,18 219:4 224:18,21 231:14,15 231:16,21 232:18,20 233:14,22 234:5 240:8,12,18 241:2,3 241:12,13,16,19 242:8 244:11 261:4 333:10 masterful 151:9 match 236:5 288:22 material 189:2 272:1 materials 156:19 186:17 188:4,6 189:19 201:12 202:2 250:21 264:12,18 312:2 Matt 8:13 156:9 288:2,4 351:17,17 matter 106:3 108:19 118:6 138:18 153:1 179:16 198:2 245:12 287:8 354:21 matured 87:6 maturity 85:4 max 67:2 maximize 54:2 mean 43:21 49:3 72:9 97:10 103:8 104:3 105:7,21 107:16 108:1 157:5 175:3 178:18 237:4,16 248:10,11,15 290:15 290:16 330:3 336:17 348:11 meaning 40:7 88:7 163:12 166:20 310:17 335:1 means 15:17,19 40:2 55:2,16 61:1 74:10 97:10 124:16 127:15 196:12 210:7 213:9 253:1 320:14 325:5 335:3 348:2 meant 43:18 measure 35:22 36:13 196:7,10,18 measures 35:2,4,18 36:2,8 64:19 65:2,6,6 90:7 175:11 196:5 mechanisms 232:1

media 5:1 25:2 127:14 263:2 280:18 medical 11:16 153:18 154:5 285:21 330:8 330:15,21 medicine 13:11 meet 22:21 38:18,21 66:8 135:1 161:12 162:16 170:8 181:12 185:8 245:15 255:8 meeting's 8:21 181:19 182:1,15 183:4,13 meetings 2:16 4:15 42:7 61:22 79:15 103:18 113:21 144:13 144:17 152:15 156:5 161:20 165:11 174:13 177:21 180:18 181:8 185:5,6,12,19,21 187:10 191:3,6,15 196:22 197:1 220:17 220:18,21 221:1,6,17 222:2,9,14,20,21 223:9,15,17 224:5 225:19,21 226:2,3,15 226:16,19,20,22 227:6,8 228:6,7,10,10 228:21,22 229:2 230:12,17,18 239:7,9 262:4 268:13 281:11 339:22 meets 111:18 118:18 135:3 170:18 member 255:17 members 156:16 177:9 178:20 193:4 326:21 membership 326:20 mention 244:1 267:21 317:7 mentioned 70:6 71:22 85:13 96:8 97:21 98:16 100:8 127:21 143:21 146:7 155:1 155:11 174:8 209:15 223:9 239:4 267:8 279:9 300:1 326:2 334:19 335:17 341:14 342:19 344:9 353:9 mentioning 11:14 merging 318:12 Merson 295:17,17 303:19 304:9,13,17 message 148:1 285:4 messages 264:4 messing 283:20 met 21:20 24:5 36:21 41:10 55:3 66:6,17 121:3 168:2 304:4

metadata 284:14 285:10,11 295:1 **method** 161:14 methods 117:11 207:16 211:16 231:11,12,13 231:16,18,19 233:10 233:10 234:1 metrics 110:20 122:4 122:16 126:8 139:16 140:19 290:14 microbiology 135:14 microphone 68:15 microphones 18:18 353:22 middle 248:9 mild 127:10 Miller 3:3 141:16 225:10,16 239:20 249:9,16,19 297:1,2 299:4,22 mind 8:21 16:16 97:18 107:19 185:21 189:14 235:10 mindful 221:20 minimally 278:15 minimize 155:19 minimum 21:20 78:19 236:1 minor 22:14 27:6 74:14 171:18 minors 27:13 minute 279:11 287:5 minutes 18:7 68:9 142:5,10 148:11 183:12 194:18 195:3 195:5,6,7 220:6 229:3 239:11 247:14 286:20 287:15 **mirrors** 139:4 misbranded 112:15 257:4 miscommunication 224:16 misleading 112:14 missing 55:8 115:12 131:11 163:17 164:10 164:13 292:15 323:16 mistake 296:13 **mistress** 17:20 Mitch 4:6 178:6 353:9 mitigation 312:13 model 62:17 moderators 17:14 modification 22:13 27:4,5,11 171:4 modifications 26:5 33:13,16 74:21 227:19 313:17 323:4

(202) 234-4433

328:14 modified 2:12 13:17 20:18 22:10 33:2,8 126:1,16,17 128:8,9 135:16 136:17,20 137:8,11,14 138:7,10 138:13,15,19 140:12 140:14 171:3,20 256:2 263:17 333:18 335:6 modify 30:19 171:21 283:6,20 module 270:18 modules 283:15 molecules 274:11,12 274:14 moment 137:5 174:1 moments 172:12 MONDAY 1:7 monitor 262:21 **month** 146:14 months 5:11 13:18 77:11 149:19 229:10 233:2 269:4,9 334:8 **moot** 65:21 morning 4:3 10:22 17:9 19:5 38:3 69:5 73:4 75:22 84:13 110:10 125:18 142:19 153:11 181:2 208:5 220:4 291:4 353:10 354:15 354:19 Morris 11:19 80:14 143:4 move 27:20 32:21 46:10 48:12 72:7 74:6 84:16 92:5 108:22 164:1 209:10 217:21 232:14,15 287:18 316:10 moved 123:3,7 141:5,7 352:22 moves 44:6 116:8 119:5 132:6 135:6 moving 72:14 104:9 107:18 110:6 267:7 345:11,14 **MRTP** 11:20 16:20 124:12 127:10,22 143:22 149:7,11,15 149:18 150:5 152:5 159:20 170:17 264:13 264:14 279:22 290:10 293:12 MRTPA 28:3,22 40:12 56:4 126:11 127:21 128:11,12 129:3 132:16 135:1,3 136:6

138:22 139:3.6.20 140:9,19 141:20 **MRTPAs** 77:1 126:2 130:22 131:8 139:15 140:4 141:3 **MRTPs** 126:18 155:16 164:12,20 165:12 173:7 174:13 177:21 295:15 multidisciplinary 5:17 77:2 116:13 119:7 132:11 135:12 164:3 192:19 multiple 49:4 58:20 160:14 161:7 199:20 202:12 203:9 205:4,5 232:2 237:13 240:3 240:12,14,18 242:10 260:19 264:20 Murphy 75:22 76:1 88:4 88:22 103:16 Murphy's 125:12 mutually 202:10 Ν name 13:12 17:11 19:7 26:14,20 38:4 48:22 69:6.13 73:5 76:1 82:13 110:11 125:20 130:10 157:18 181:3 186:21 192:15 199:1 219:13 231:2 243:16 249:18 258:8 267:12 277:7 290:15 293:5 307:18,19 308:15,20 309:4,4,6 312:18 313:4 316:7,11 317:15 319:20 326:16 333:9 344:10 names 273:15 277:5 298:3,9,19 322:8 naming 276:2,8 narrative 275:6 narrow 160:4 177:11 221:15 nation 306:20 national 46:15 305:22 306:10,12 351:6 natural 76:9 306:19 nature 166:5 178:11 188:10 237:15 247:7 330:22 navigate 157:8 251:3 256:19 300:19 navigated 176:15 navigating 67:20 250:4 navigation 251:18 255:10 256:21 261:13

300:6 NDA 169:11 necessarily 83:9 104:3 105:7 113:4 128:15 161:5 167:7 177:17 288:22 294:1 342:19 necessary 22:16 25:14 27:7 46:1,1 59:3 87:13 92:22 132:9 152:17 165:3 186:17 190:12,13 201:11 215:19 261:18 313:12 331:2,3 336:17 344:4 need 7:7 9:3 18:19 19:2 69:1 99:12 100:17 101:20 103:13 105:8 138:15,17 145:11 147:6 156:13 157:1,4 162:16 167:21 169:19 175:4 182:2,9 186:2 202:17 226:10,15,20 227:20 229:4 233:3 238:13 239:2 243:11 245:16,22 263:7 265:13 279:4,18 281:1,15,17 283:18 284:15 296:13 300:7 309:12 316:12 326:7 329:9 337:7,15 343:9 343:10 350:7,15 needed 5:21 25:19 37:13 46:14.16 58:19 59:2 62:15 72:5.5 74:16 86:13 95:22 101:2,18 103:4 104:6 104:7 136:21 186:19 187:7 194:1,4,10 195:13 210:10 306:22 322:15 344:1 347:7 348:2,22 needing 72:10 needs 49:10 72:20 97:20 146:6 211:3 290:8 348:7 349:11 negative 167:5 negotiate 271:8 neither 245:10 NEPA 306:11,12,15 307:1 347:20 349:11 never 15:17 271:16 296:10 newly 100:9 107:10,15 107:19 143:7 147:9 222:15 268:2 270:11 newly- 349:21 newly-deemed 350:4 news 9:4 10:4 263:16 263:19,20 264:4

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

newsletter 264:3 newsroom 251:8 262:5 262:22 nexus 13:8 nice 48:10 179:8 219:22 224:12 245:15,21 246:4 292:3 295:2,4 nice-to-have 51:5 Nicholas 2:11 110:8,11 142:3 Nicopure 143:7 nicotine 4:21 5:3 7:4 150:12 170:19 nicotine-delivering 7:6 night 69:18 nine 77:11 196:22 nitrosamines 58:3 Nixon 350:8 NNN 151:16 no-extension 100:21 no-extensions 101:4 nomenclature 78:15 nominations 255:18 non-112:2 non-clinical 154:12 338:7.13 non-combusted 77:5 non-confidential 310:8 non-conventional 57:4 82:7 non-FDA 341:2 non-provisional 335:4 347:7 nonproprietary 275:18 **north** 157:6,7 Nos 28:7 nosh 198:7 not-23:4 35:16 not-exempt 32:12,13 **notation** 212:14 note 19:12 28:7 32:16 36:7 40:14 42:5 51:8 54:15 56:16 62:19 66:9 77:9 79:12 85:21 91:18 109:6,10 113:2 115:20 119:18 122:8 122:17 124:3 126:13 128:14 140:20 161:10 167:12 183:14 186:9 189:2 194:11 196:14 198:15 203:17 208:8 209:2 215:5 230:10 254:5 266:14 292:8 316:6 318:14 324:17 331:7 334:3 336:22 notecards 18:17 noted 41:9 53:8 58:10 58:18 63:16 262:19

314:6 316:17,19 328:4 329:14 notes 114:3 314:12 316:20 352:11,21 354:4 notice 32:8 89:19 226:11 251:19 252:1 252:2 292:3 319:21 343:3 344:9 noticing 142:16 notification 23:20 30:6 30:8,16,21 31:10 42:11 44:6,20 51:7,9 55:18 56:18 59:18 62:6 86:5 91:21 98:19 217:13,15,21 320:5,7 320:8 notifications 272:17 330:5 notified 320:4 notifies 30:22 **notify** 118:20 135:4 195:1 216:14,19 notifying 121:1 218:8 218:10,12 319:19 346:14 noting 55:4 notwithstanding 80:6 novel 57:6 82:8 227:4 **November** 149:22 260:8 now-defunct 76:22 NPRMs 252:3 NSE 23:5 30:12 47:4,9 47:18 77:22 83:19 95:12 96:19 257:5 258:9 291:3 nuggets 156:22 number 26:22 43:10 72:8,9 95:17,20 97:5 107:1 115:18 124:18 125:1 131:19 132:17 133:1,5,8,13 134:3,8 145:11 147:6 154:10 156:8 161:8 163:16 167:14,15 175:5 176:18 208:13 209:5 257:9 273:7 276:6 289:11 309:5 327:3 348:20 numbers 66:20 95:14 95:15 117:22 122:20 125:2 141:1 167:12 168:4 242:5 257:8 289:22 308:7 316:9 345:2 numerous 147:16

Ο Oak 239:8,12 objective 255:9 262:17 objectives 184:21 186:8 187:18 188:11 192:21 195:21 obligated 45:9 observation 221:3 observations 78:2 220:17 335:14 observed 334:5 observing 174:17 obtain 5:22 22:6 126:15 323:12 obtained 128:3 137:14 206:5 obtaining 315:8 **obvious** 15:3 350:5 obviously 93:19 98:10 178:13 222:16 288:6 345:10 346:14 occasions 144:22 occur 204:13 217:7 269:16 occurred 43:7 occurring 47:22 184:3 205:4 occurs 31:15 45:18 55:22 205:1 **OCE** 9:13,16,20,22 136:2 353:19 **OCR** 278:16 October 1:8 62:4 83:17 91:20 92:1 offender 87:19 offer 14:20 47:3,5 263:4 328:12,14 offering 277:18 offers 250:18 251:16 office 2:16 8:14,15 9:13 17:12 19:9 38:6 44:15 44:16 45:14 90:1 97:3 102:14 109:3,3 110:12 119:17 125:21 136:1 180:18 181:5,8 183:11,20 184:18 185:4,11 190:4 193:4 196:21,21 199:3 219:17,17 222:8 228:7 235:2 239:5 244:3 249:20 266:2,3 267:13 297:4,8 305:16 308:6 317:17 338:2,8,13 346:9,10 346:12,17,17 347:1,2 349:6 352:14 353:8 officer 11:16 143:8 official 27:9 29:3,4

169:6.10 195:7 324:14 old 4:16 291:15 293:15 old-timers 4:16 **OMB** 89:10 148:22 ombudsman 219:18 244:3omitted 134:17 once 16:22 31:2,22 32:6 44:5 46:4,22 116:6 119:1 132:2 134:22 135:5,8 139:8 150:13 151:5 172:17 173:12 176:20 179:17 192:6 195:12 203:7 212:18 214:20 216:21 247:20 324:12 oncology 147:2 one-and-done 88:7 one-size-fit-all 341:15 one-size-fits-all 337:3 339:8 one-to-many 318:19 one-to-one 92:15 318:17 ones 82:6 83:3 116:1 167:1 339:3 353:17 ongoing 121:20 149:12 250:22 online 96:3 158:6 250:20 **Oops** 195:8 open 49:11 66:10,18 89:19 93:9 101:18 136:8 140:6 217:17 240:11 242:4 256:1 274:18 275:5 277:10 277:12 280:4,6 281:20 344:6 **opened** 280:9 opening 2:3 10:19 97:7 97:22 99:6,19 157:10 157:21 158:15 246:15 303:16 opens 89:13 operating 76:7 operation 117:9 211:16 operationalizing 76:15 operations 324:20 opinion 341:2 345:6 opinions 12:1 14:5,9,16 16:5.5 opportunities 144:4 227:12,17 237:3 **opportunity** 8:9 49:14 114:6 120:20 122:2 124:16 138:7 139:12 152:12 153:3 184:14

190:1 192:7 220:5.19 225:12 226:22 245:22 287:17 288:6 293:9 326:16 328:20 329:1 329:5 332:11 333:8 334:13 354:2,13 opposed 90:2 301:7 opted 50:11 option 118:22 134:21 137:2 138:21 187:16 188:17 192:5 251:10 251:12 263:4 265:4,7 options 8:1 31:5 64:6 171:13 241:18 251:6 257:3 323:7 340:14 orange 273:21 order 7:9 18:6 20:17,18 22:6 23:1,2,2,17 32:17 33:4,15 35:16 35:17 36:17 41:6,8 42:1,2 47:1,3,15 55:6 55:17 65:15 66:1 68:12,16 73:12 79:8 94:15 111:4 118:20 120:22 121:8,12,21 127:22 128:7,8 137:8 137:11,13,14 138:1,6 138:7,13,15,16,20 140:14,17 142:9 143:16 167:2 169:20 169:22 171:2 175:2 176:12 203:10 215:17 215:20,20 220:8 236:14 250:14 257:7 257:14,20 258:2,3,9 258:10,12,22 259:7 271:21 307:18 309:17 310:21 314:16 318:1 336:10,13 337:16 orders 77:19.22 95:17 121:10 138:11 140:12 147:20 250:12 257:5 257:9,10,19 307:3 315:15 ordinary 314:22 organization 94:21 104:18 148:19 288:14 289:16 312:19 313:4 326:20,21 organizational 130:18 organize 52:8 94:16 233:10,13 organized 206:19 207:10 234:3,10 295:5 organizing 233:9 orient 181:11 origin 281:3

original 26:10 30:11,19 182:18 235:21 309:6 310:6,9 313:20 originator 319:7 **OS** 190:1 234:21 236:18 317:19 318:16 outcome 85:12 147:19 248:16 outcomes 186:11 outline 98:20 124:9 130:8 306:2,6 307:10 outlined 116:16 130:1 132:14 140:16 161:2 165:4 186:8 229:11 246:20 247:9 outlines 336:3 outlining 344:1 outputs 222:17,19 outrageous 153:4 outset 98:8 outside 142:9 151:1,1 176:8 220:4 287:15 302:18 308:18,19 345:7 overall 277:5,5 overview 2:4 20:2 23:12 38:10,13 47:20 77:8 190:5 199:7,13 317:20 318:5 336:2 overwhelming 70:12 owner 200:12.19 205:8 205:14,18,21 206:6,9 206:14 207:1,8 208:12 209:4,8,18,22 210:2,11 212:8 213:14,19,21 214:6 214:16 215:14,18 216:1,8,13,14,18 217:8,13,17,18 240:8 242:10 343:8,22 344:2.5 owner's 210:7 owners 199:12 202:10 203:14 205:14 216:10 218:2,3 240:9 ownership 3:15 218:13 317:18 318:2,5,6,10 318:13 319:1,4,8,18 320:6,11,20 321:7,16 322:1,5 323:20 324:2 325:4,16 332:15,21 333:1 342:15 345:21 346:3,8 owns 205:16 Ρ **P** 225:10 239:20

4:1 p.m 198:3,4 265:2 266:15,16 287:9,10 354:22 pace 13:16 package 26:14 49:1,1 188:18 189:1,5,12,13 189:15,22 192:13,19 193:10,19 196:1,2 229:15 235:21 238:22 246:22 309:9 packages 189:4 282:16 packaging 83:8 117:13 299:9,12 page 94:10 109:5 151:10,10 170:12 183:3 251:13 256:11 260:10 262:12 292:5 295:22 296:5 301:8 307:10,15 308:7 315:14 316:1,2,6,8,11 316:13 317:8 pages 82:14 316:15 paginations 275:15 **PAHs** 58:4 painful 342:22 345:11 Paisley 287:21,22 Paisley's 299:22 pane 251:18 255:10 256:22 261:14 panel 2:9,14,21 3:8,18 19:15 37:22 68:14 69:3 72:16 81:14 93:20 108:7,10 109:1 110:6 125:11 141:22 142:14.15 166:15 172:4 180:12 197:9 198:12 219:11 220:1 249:6,14 267:2,18 287:5,13 304:20 325:18 326:3 332:9 panelist 18:5 235:8 panelists 18:5,21 68:7 97:4 108:12 142:4,9 158:9 180:13 220:1 297:20 326:3,11 351:11 352:6 353:14 panels 68:20 paper 201:9,13,17 202:2,7 209:7 271:13 271:13 274:21,22 278:18 342:7,7 papers 83:5 paperwork 320:16 paragraph 50:15 51:16 52:5 312:5 parameters 227:5 parent 263:7

Parklawn 4:14 parsing 161:15 part 9:5 29:12 37:5 47:21 56:19 65:3 74:20 93:11 145:15 160:10 165:21 201:1 211:9 222:10 229:19 231:7 235:16 268:7 270:3,5 282:15 286:15 314:1 318:11 328:16 340:2 343:16 partial 150:6,7 159:20 partially 224:22 participant 14:11 348:12,14 participants 245:18 286:8 participate 10:1 14:14 282:20 participating 10:16 15:8 174:17 353:10 participation 9:5 354:18 particular 14:18 75:4 77:12 80:3 88:8 104:15 144:9 153:18 162:15,21 212:5 227:6 228:17 279:3 292:16 301:8 327:12 328:1,3 350:21 particularly 15:13 16:19 152:22 156:19 158:15 166:3 177:11 223:11 228:7,18 230:13 294:4 parties 207:4 208:15 218:9,11 280:7 287:1 324:16 partly 90:19 parts 164:5 206:19 253:22 285:8 party 200:19 201:3 206:3 212:3 214:13 215:6,22 216:22 219:1 246:1 302:5,6 320:12 325:7 party's 210:22 pass 72:22 163:8 pass/fail 145:2 160:12 passed 9:10 18:17 164:14 214:21 passing 9:17 10:6 163:21 password 281:1 pasting 203:8 path 277:5,6 pathway 19:12,17,22 20:2 21:3,10 23:8,11

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

34:20 35:3 39:22 80:17 111:12,12 112:21 113:13 122:6 123:18,19,22 126:14 127:22 128:4 172:2 257:22 284:18 309:15 310:4 328:7,8,9,9 pathways 15:5 19:19 20:6,7 22:1 39:4 67:8 80:4 111:9.10 123:17 139:4 162:12 171:6 251:14 257:6,15 265:6 280:2 347:8 Patricia 142:17 143:3 152:16 174:21 225:16 235:15 239:17 Patricia's 160:11 Patrick 76:1 pay 198:21 239:12 PDF 232:13 233:15 275:3,14 278:7 PDFs 278:9 296:15 peck 290:19 **Pediatric** 283:17 **peek** 66:3 pending 30:20 31:8 36:12 40:12 53:17 56:2 150:9 190:22 193:21 227:10 325:11 people 12:10,18 15:8 68:18 69:17 87:22 95:22 99:16 152:7 153:7 159:9 231:17 259:20 268:15.15.21 271:16 280:7 283:8 283:21 288:13 289:14 289:15 298:2 302:3 339:2,12 344:19 354:8 perceived 78:8 percent 36:3,14 66:6,7 66:15,15,22 67:1,2 74:22 75:5,5 196:11 271:13,15 percentage 93:4 percentages 90:17 perception 138:1 150:21 165:16 perfect 337:19,20 perfectly 75:5 perform 42:20 59:3 191:8 performance 35:2,4,18 35:20 36:2,8,13 48:5 64:19 65:2,5,6 66:4 83:20 90:7 91:18 175:10 182:1 196:5,7 196:10,14

performs 184:6 period 18:14 31:10 36:4 72:7 89:13 95:9 101:5 113:13 128:16 137:12 138:12 140:16 147:14 217:5 237:22 253:5 periodically 262:22 300:11 permanent 137:11 138:11 permitted 83:18 person 29:4 70:13 109:6 176:20 205:15 205:19 206:4.10 227:12 265:12 274:2 287:14,19 302:7 313:4 personal 139:22 255:3 personally 9:19 11:2 295:11 personnel 187:4 221:6 persons 200:13 203:15 216:19 312:22 perspective 16:8 54:16 76:13 79:3,13 100:2 102:10 142:11 144:3 153:13 222:1 299:8 328:11 329:8.17 330:20 353:15 354:1 354:10 perspectives 11:22 12:1,18 16:13,18 pertain 284:2 pertaining 284:4 pertinent 314:8 petitions 307:6 pharmaceutical 286:9 **phase** 30:6,7,8,9,13,16 31:12 32:2,10 34:5,12 42:10,10,11,14,14 44:6,7,21 45:17 46:11 113:6,8,9,9,10,11,12 113:16,19,19 114:20 114:21,21 115:10 116:8 118:11,12 119:5,7,14 120:7 123:1,4 128:17,19,20 128:21,22 129:1,2,5,7 131:1,2,9 132:6,6 134:14,14 135:6,19 136:5,13 138:19 140:11 141:3,5,7 163:21 164:16 172:22 173:1,3,4 176:3 189:7 324:5 **phases** 23:16,20,22 42:10 110:17 112:22 113:2,3 126:5 128:12

128:13.15 153:22 184:3 PHI 55:17 81:22 82:5 85:21 88:8 89:4 **Philip** 11:19 80:14 143:4 phone 11:3 26:22 187:16 208:13 245:13 264:22 345:2 phones 343:4 phonetic 282:6 photograph 311:13 **physical** 13:9 25:2 280:18 physician 12:4 153:14 pick 93:19 picture 251:5 piece 176:1 284:21,22 298:6 pieces 276:11 piggyback 106:5 247:11 299:5 Pike 1:11 pilot 179:19 286:8 **pipe** 73:7 105:12 **pixelate** 278:10 place 29:11 71:15 73:13 85:3 90:18 98:12 194:10 239:8 300:18 336:1 placed 43:18 56:16 81:19 90:8 places 156:9 161:16 **plain** 50:16 plains 312:2 plan 4:21 5:2 6:16.22 10:11 38:9 101:19 102:6 114:16 124:17 152:20 185:7 188:11 191:4 194:14 207:21 238:20 319:10 343:2 planned 114:4 184:12 planning 154:11 plans 181:10 185:10 186:5 191:8 237:19 324:19 platforms 260:3 263:2 **plays** 159:4 254:9 Plaza 353:1 354:16 please 14:13,14 19:12 36:7 67:22 88:1 90:3 124:8,20 126:13 142:15 172:14 192:10 198:18 248:6,7 259:10 262:1 265:19 266:9,17 280:3 318:14 326:5 343:11 344:12,12

pleased 79:17 pleasure 11:5 125:22 154:18 **PMI** 154:18 **PMT** 124:18 143:22 145:13 151:12 155:13 **PMTA** 11:20 20:10 23:2 28:3,22 40:11 111:2 111:11,16 112:21,22 113:1,13 118:19 122:6,9,17 123:1,15 123:18,20 124:1,4,6 124:10,14 125:13,14 128:5 143:19 144:3 144:10 145:18 146:6 147:9 149:8,10,22 150:1,10,13,16,17 151:9,12 152:5 162:13 166:17,19 167:19,20 170:8,10 171:1,7,9,14,22 201:8 201:11,22 211:5,13 212:1,6 213:6,10,11 213:19,21 214:3,10 214:16,17,21 215:1,2 215:4,12,13 230:10 231:7 284:9,11 290:10 293:12 328:8 329:4 331:16 PMTA-related 72:17 PMTAs 20:15 76:21 110:15 111:9 115:6 123:13 147:5 163:5 163:16 164:11,20 209:19 212:6 231:19 231:22 295:15 319:2 322:14 pocket 104:6 point 22:19 26:21 58:20 67:5 69:11 74:5,17 75:15 85:22 88:21 89:3 91:15 94:18 98:13 99:16 105:10 106:5 108:3 115:21 145:3 156:22 173:19 174:20 176:5 201:2 204:22 208:17 214:15 218:4 219:1 276:4 281:2 298:16 299:3 299:22 322:10 335:20 339:2,3 346:22 353:5 pointer 273:19 points 8:12 74:3 75:13 91:15 93:20 98:22 114:6 174:4 184:14 194:20 199:12 218:17 334:2 338:17 342:16 352:12

policies 6:15 84:11 **policy** 7:17,22 8:5 13:11 46:15 97:9 100:21 101:4 234:20 252:6 254:10,21 306:1,10,12 351:6 pool 276:7 population 112:2 283:19 portal 24:22 130:17 203:19 204:5 265:8 266:20 270:22 281:2 281:15,20 296:9,10 298:12 299:8,13,18 303:22 323:9 portfolio 238:18 350:2 portion 24:15 46:10 63:19 113:5 277:1 316:6 pose 228:19 position 89:16 149:4 186:21 254:20 272:2 328:1 positive 8:18 166:20 possessed 133:16 possible 6:13 8:4 17:4 50:16 99:1 212:16 242:18 244:11 254:16 282:19,20 314:11 possibly 74:13 236:18 238:19 276:22 post 47:14 107:12,21 167:2 170:13 post-authorization 227:16 post-market 113:11 121:11 124:6 128:22 137:15,17 post-October 59:6 posted 47:17 49:16 61:21 64:11 140:3 167:15 264:14 315:9 317:7 posting 259:12,22 postpone 189:17,18 posts 256:16 potential 5:3,15 43:19 53:19 56:8 64:6 84:11 88:9 93:6 133:4 134:7 173:5 223:5 224:16 252:5 311:2,17 317:4 317:5 327:10 336:16 337:7 potentially 7:6 57:19 96:18 102:3 103:12 103:21 158:20 159:11 174:9 202:15 226:15 pouch 150:11

Powell 333:9.10 practicable 146:9 practical 8:17 43:13 154:16 practicalities 11:11 12:15 practices 112:13 199:11 218:1 pre- 215:16 223:11 281:10 323:17 333:17 pre-2009 79:5 pre-market 110:13 114:4 115:7 125:16 184:12 197:14 201:7 203:4 215:7,20 250:12 257:11 330:4 330:5 335:5 pre-marketing 143:15 pre-meeting 129:22 130:12 pre-MRTP 228:10 pre-MRTPA 128:18 129:6 pre-PMTA 113:7,17 166:8 185:9 186:4 192:22 228:9 pre-submission 2:16 101:17 103:18 118:1 118:8 129:15 220:18 223:11 226:2.3 227:1 242:17 268:13 preamble 156:1 predicate 39:17,18 40:1 40:4 41:8 44:8,9,13 46:8 48:15,18 49:16 57:9 62:16 63:2 64:4 64:7 86:2 92:14 106:12,13,20 123:21 124:4 171:11 309:6 309:19 310:6,9 313:18,20 predicates 75:3 predict 103:5 predictability 35:1 48:9 64:17,20 80:11 196:6 predictable 60:4 175:15 prefer 235:22 275:20 preferably 226:11 preference 223:2,4 245:2 preliminary 46:3 58:14 101:13 103:2 115:3 131:5 188:8 190:10 193:15,17,21 194:3,8 238:1,3 premarket 2:10 7:8 9:1 20:9 23:8 38:16,19,21 39:6 67:7 92:21

preparation 313:3 prepare 59:7 99:3 106:9 124:17 188:5 236:1 341:16 prepared 37:5 54:19 84:8,9 102:22 143:21 194:16 206:8 222:10 222:11,20 246:20 259:6 269:5 307:20 307:21 308:1 316:3,5 316:5,21 preparers 312:14 316:18 preparing 37:14 98:9 106:19 114:7 150:9 181:15 184:17 185:20 226:7 236:22 248:2 312:17 prerogative 97:3 present 18:3 40:3 86:16 86:20,22 127:18 141:17 143:9 147:21 152:19 153:3 156:11 163:18 186:5 208:8 208:12,20 209:20 210:13 295:5 312:11 324:13 353:19 presentation 18:9 19:15,18 20:13,22 37:15,16,19 38:7,9 39:3 47:21 49:22 68:2 84:21 86:20 87:21 93:14 96:7,10 106:7 111:20 125:16 163:20 166:10 180:21 183:22 185:18 198:10 199:3 199:6 204:15 218:17 219:8 244:1 249:17 259:11 266:18,21 267:19 305:11 306:7 317:9 325:15 327:18 332:17 353:18 presentations 8:10 18:4,4 20:14 26:8 68:11 109:12 126:13 131:15 156:7 165:3 180:15 208:4 249:8 250:19 326:12 352:2 352:4 presented 26:7 81:14 97:5 128:5 135:16 171:19 182:4 208:5 238:5 240:19 312:4 346:7 353:8 presenters 18:22 236:4 326:2 presenting 153:4 238:18 267:14

presents 116:22 127:2 127:6 President 69:10 73:8 press 262:3 pressing 182:5 presubmission 130:2.5 130:20 134:12 145:9 161:4 180:18 185:5 185:18 presubmissions 134:2 136:3 presumably 145:12 presume 213:5 279:3,6 pretty 70:2 103:22 229:16 248:11 269:12 272:11 prevent 265:14 306:16 previous 28:8 62:7,21 63:17 90:2 128:5 131:15 134:15 139:1 144:13 145:8 190:16 285:13 315:13 previously 5:21 37:8 40:10 44:11 61:21 111:7 119:13 123:22 127:21 138:10 139:4 140:5 171:12 173:7 189:8 220:3 287:14 300:17 315:22 316:19 primarily 73:16 232:12 312:16 327:19 334:1 primary 26:21 47:8 76:6 77:2 111:12 123:18 182:14 principle 117:8 211:15 principles 10:10 117:9 183:19 256:15 print 278:6 printout 296:4 prior 25:16 33:6 34:4 39:10 41:1,18,22 42:2 45:7 55:12 56:14 63:11 99:8 114:7 122:10 139:7 183:1 183:22 184:15 193:16 195:8 197:2,5 217:11 254:7 259:12 321:18 priorities 80:1 prioritize 339:11 prioritizing 81:15 priority 15:19 327:13 privacy 140:1 private 135:10 privilege 143:14 proactive 84:15 300:4 probability 306:3 probably 74:16 100:6 109:11 152:4 165:19

	1		
179:3 197:16,19	110:12 115:2 125:21	130:15 132:2 139:15	14:17 15:22 16:19
221:8 222:3 239:4	131:20 150:2 151:8	142:22 152:12 179:15	39:21 40:4 43:6,16,20
267:7,10 284:8	154:19 156:21 157:20	181:22 183:19 184:8	44:1 52:20 53:12,19
300:16 329:22	158:2 163:10 167:3	186:1 188:7,8 192:7	54:3,6 56:9 63:7
problem 159:15 277:14	172:10,18 173:10,14	194:16,18 195:4	71:16 75:1 85:10 86:7
280:20 353:4	176:19,21 181:4	201:11,15,18,20	93:2 98:17 99:6,8
problems 277:15	190:7 199:2 210:4	203:7 215:19 239:14	110:4 111:21 117:5
procedures 21:12	235:3 237:5 243:15	243:12 246:5,14,16	119:12 120:12 121:2
32:20 115:7 131:14	249:19 297:2,3 338:3	248:19 252:9 260:10	139:22 166:18,22
183:20 251:22	346:19,21	292:17 301:5 313:9	167:7 170:2,9,11,14
processed 266:12	projected 45:3 95:8	317:20 318:4 319:17	198:9 231:13 250:10
342:21 347:3	313:21	332:10,17 337:16	250:19 251:8,15
processes 6:12 8:18,20	promised 5:7	343:9,10 345:6	252:4,10 254:7,8
15:9 20:3 23:13,19	promises 322:22	provided 24:14 28:21	255:13,15,16 256:1,7
		32:4 34:8 36:18 37:15	256:14 258:7 261:11
37:18 78:18 84:22	promote 306:15		
153:18 176:15 181:12	promotes 331:5	49:13 50:1 61:21 63:9	261:22 262:15 264:18
204:12 205:11 301:13	promoting 327:8	64:1 95:2,2,3,5	286:16 314:5,13
processing 117:12	promotion 170:17	116:15 120:19,22	315:19
130:10 211:17 322:18	252:16	125:8 132:14 137:3	publically 135:9 165:13
produced 74:11 105:14	promptly 144:16	138:8 141:15 146:9	182:16
105:15	354:16	146:12 158:6 182:17	publications 264:11
produces 74:15	promulgation 307:2	200:3 213:20 217:16	publicly 7:20 9:8 32:19
product's 27:12 133:3	prong 80:17	249:22 256:12 274:16	77:18 99:14 149:20
137:21 194:14 200:17	proof 304:5	301:21 309:8 313:2	publicly-available
258:8	proper 246:3	329:12 335:18 354:6	336:7
		354:14	published 50:1 116:19
production 240:4	properly 7:7		135:9 146:15 203:11
252:16	properties 49:1,9,19	provides 27:10 31:19	
productive 306:13	57:13 63:22 117:8	39:9 49:17 67:16	342:6,9
professional 12:3	211:15	183:12 193:17 251:10	pull 95:14
186:14 255:3	propose 187:5	258:8 259:1 261:15	pulling 275:16
professionally 9:20	proposed 22:13 26:5	264:10 274:20 278:7	purchased 200:1
program 20:3 21:10	89:9 112:13 118:4	336:2	201:10
38:8,10,11,14 47:20	132:18,18,20 133:11	providing 19:19 52:7	purpose 10:8 27:3
48:6,10,14 50:9 51:1	136:20 137:10 186:1	64:6 76:11 89:14	30:13 44:22 50:14
58:11 64:14 84:22	187:22 251:19,20	145:22 199:22 269:1	51:14 54:1 116:9
86:11 87:6,10,14,22	252:2,8 255:5 256:8	294:3	132:7 184:20 190:18
92:11 95:7 96:13,14	309:12,14,16 310:14	provision 121:4	194:15 253:14 281:12
96:20 106:13 107:7	310:15 311:2 312:10	provisional 5:9 23:3	283:17 306:1 324:7
		•	purposes 185:5 191:11
110:18,19 122:17	314:9 316:13 337:18	30:11,21 31:2,8 40:13	
126:6 140:19 162:7	341:16,18 348:20	40:16 41:5,9,14 43:7	306:15 347:12 348:10
162:15 182:1 183:4	proposes 30:19	43:10,17 44:3,19 47:4	pursue 339:14 340:13
183:13 191:15 196:6	proposing 180:3	47:17 52:21 53:3,11	341:7
199:8,14 202:9	proprietary 225:4	53:17,21 54:9,16	purview 340:4
223:12 257:19 317:16	275:19	55:15,16,20 57:2 65:4	put 68:22 71:1 94:15
317:21 318:5,6	protect 259:13 281:2	65:16 66:21 69:15	149:3 154:3 163:2
345:13 349:17	324:7 345:9	76:21 77:3,12,19 81:1	168:14 221:7 224:9
programs 3:3 76:17	protection 93:2 111:21	81:16 83:18,21,22	246:2 268:2,11 272:1
77:9,17 78:8 85:4	117:5 119:12 120:11	84:5 89:22 90:8 91:16	272:5,6 284:17
158:16 160:17,18	121:2 170:2,14	108:1 315:4 328:6	286:17 292:5 294:1
162:8,11,21 175:14	protocol 137:19 207:21	334:20 347:10	299:7 303:19,22
179:20 185:15 191:13	protocols 146:22 282:6	provisionals 43:15	326:5 328:17
		-	
249:10,18 250:12	provide 8:8 11:8 23:11	59:20 65:7 70:4 98:9	puts 289:1
257:8,13 267:1	23:21 31:5 32:8 34:21	104:21 348:14 349:2	putting 268:16
354:13	38:16 47:12 49:15	provisions 38:16	
progress 304:2	52:2 59:6,17 63:4	309:19	Q
project 19:8 32:18 38:5	67:10 77:7 85:10 89:9	public 1:5,10 4:5 5:16	Q&A 73:1
42:19 47:6,11 52:11		0 00 0 40 40 4 44 40	
	89:15 110:3 114:15	6:20 9:18 10:4 11:10	qualify 288:11 314:16
67:5 69:8 79:19 81:6	89:15 110:3 114:15 116:3,6 119:2 120:3	6:20 9:18 10:4 11:10 12:19 13:4,11,11	quality 288:11 314:16 quality 79:10 105:20
67:5 69:8 79:19 81:6			

		l	1
177:7 259:8 283:13	range 14:16 252:13	185:6 191:3,18 192:3	
300:13 306:21 311:20	rapidly 6:2	192:9 193:9 213:22	
340:10 348:20 349:9	rare 87:9	214:4 243:13 263:13	r
349:13	rational 327:8	263:20 264:3 269:5	r
quantity 22:11 26:15	rationale 27:2,10 63:4	270:16 280:19 286:6	
49:2 211:11 309:9	89:15 120:19	286:13 319:17,19	r
quarter 36:12 122:5	re- 88:16 157:16	320:2 321:8 329:10	r
•	reach 86:8 172:14	330:1	r
139:16 151:10		received 1:20 23:1,4	Ľ
question 43:20 53:19	262:22 264:22 323:16	-	
81:20 84:17 85:8 86:7	325:18 339:21	28:13 29:19 33:21	
88:5 89:8 90:13 96:7	reaching 105:3	34:15 36:11 42:18	r
97:4,22 104:9 166:7	reaction 152:21	43:11 45:10 50:7	r
166:14 167:18 170:9	reactions 338:15	51:18 55:1,4,14 58:13	r
170:22 172:4 187:21	read 67:14 81:21	58:20 61:7 71:17 86:5	r
193:10,19 239:22	109:21 124:9 150:3	91:16 96:16 101:11	r
241:9 242:15,21	151:7 153:7 156:6,14	101:13 102:16 121:17	
243:19 246:1,11	156:19 157:4 165:13	123:1,3,10,12 138:19	1
247:7 297:12,14,18	274:18 277:4 304:11	141:3,10 143:15	1
302:17 303:4 331:16	315:16 344:14	150:1,6,16 152:4,5	r
339:18 347:6 350:10	readily 107:22 265:1	160:15 163:22 164:15	r
350:10	reading 155:21 159:8	164:21 168:12 190:6	1
question-and-answer	159:14 161:16 258:19	192:13 196:2,20,22	r
69:3	ready 19:4 47:10,13	204:18 210:14 214:22	r
queue 5:10 30:14 55:22	85:1 198:21 210:8	261:11 266:15 268:5	
56:17 57:1 58:5 65:4	230:4 273:18 281:13	269:20 270:2 271:11	
83:4 92:5	281:15,20	273:13 281:4 303:21	r
quick 66:3 168:18	Reagan 148:20	304:3 325:12 340:13	Ι.
247:17 291:1 301:6	real 155:10 233:4	352:3	
	271:18	receives 6:5 91:21	
uicker 292:21	real-world 15:7	139:8 199:14 244:21	r
quickly 8:4 51:6 78:13			Ľ
289:22 299:6 331:7	realize 147:15 169:19	receiving 6:2 41:20	
quite 14:6,19 15:13,16	reason 56:10 115:14	99:12 140:10 163:4	
15:17 75:10 85:17	118:17 120:3 122:10	210:6 238:1 269:7,8	
93:12 175:18 229:22	131:13 134:20 139:6	275:22 280:21	
298:20 335:15	216:15 298:8 348:6	recipient 299:14	
quote 100:10 146:17	reasonable 92:6 149:2	recognize 37:20 78:22	
306:12,15	195:18	recognized 71:4 330:11	
quote/unquote 79:15	reasonably 116:20	recognizes 327:9	
• •	447.04 407.40	receaning 221,16	
	117:21 127:16	recognizing 221:16	
R	reasoning 254:19	recommend 71:15	
R	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5	r
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13	reasoning 254:19	recommend 71:15	r
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5	ı
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3	1
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2	,
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17	
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 'aise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation	
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21	
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8	
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6 raised 16:9 166:7 184:9	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19 209:11 210:1 211:22	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8 140:5 189:10 194:21	1
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6 raised 16:9 166:7 184:9 192:21 193:10,19	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19 209:11 210:1 211:22 243:6 286:8 304:12	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8 140:5 189:10 194:21 recommended 113:7	1
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6 raised 16:9 166:7 184:9 192:21 193:10,19 194:12 229:18 264:19	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19 209:11 210:1 211:22 243:6 286:8 304:12 receive 16:17 26:22	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8 140:5 189:10 194:21 recommended 113:7 128:19 188:22 190:9	1
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6 raised 16:9 166:7 184:9 192:21 193:10,19 194:12 229:18 264:19 raising 43:19	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19 209:11 210:1 211:22 243:6 286:8 304:12 receive 16:17 26:22 30:21 43:4 54:20	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8 140:5 189:10 194:21 recommended 113:7 128:19 188:22 190:9 190:19 200:7 207:1	1
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6 raised 16:9 166:7 184:9 192:21 193:10,19 194:12 229:18 264:19 raising 43:19 Randazzo 234:19,20	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19 209:11 210:1 211:22 243:6 286:8 304:12 receive 16:17 26:22 30:21 43:4 54:20 55:18 56:5,17 62:12	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8 140:5 189:10 194:21 recommended 113:7 128:19 188:22 190:9 190:19 200:7 207:1 212:12 216:18	
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6 raised 16:9 166:7 184:9 192:21 193:10,19 194:12 229:18 264:19 raising 43:19 Randazzo 234:19,20 235:12 243:18,21	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19 209:11 210:1 211:22 243:6 286:8 304:12 receive 16:17 26:22 30:21 43:4 54:20 55:18 56:5,17 62:12 84:8 87:9 92:1 94:8,8	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8 140:5 189:10 194:21 recommended 113:7 128:19 188:22 190:9 190:19 200:7 207:1 212:12 216:18 recommends 182:20	r
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6 raised 16:9 166:7 184:9 192:21 193:10,19 194:12 229:18 264:19 raising 43:19 Randazzo 234:19,20 235:12 243:18,21 244:8,21 246:18	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19 209:11 210:1 211:22 243:6 286:8 304:12 receive 16:17 26:22 30:21 43:4 54:20 55:18 56:5,17 62:12 84:8 87:9 92:1 94:8,8 100:16 106:16 115:13	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8 140:5 189:10 194:21 recommended 113:7 128:19 188:22 190:9 190:19 200:7 207:1 212:12 216:18 recommends 182:20 182:22 184:11 188:14	r
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6 raised 16:9 166:7 184:9 192:21 193:10,19 194:12 229:18 264:19 raising 43:19 Randazzo 234:19,20 235:12 243:18,21 244:8,21 246:18 248:10,14,18 249:2	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19 209:11 210:1 211:22 243:6 286:8 304:12 receive 16:17 26:22 30:21 43:4 54:20 55:18 56:5,17 62:12 84:8 87:9 92:1 94:8,8 100:16 106:16 115:13 118:15 120:15 131:12	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8 140:5 189:10 194:21 recommended 113:7 128:19 188:22 190:9 190:19 200:7 207:1 212:12 216:18 recommends 182:20 182:22 184:11 188:14 207:10 208:20	r r r
R RAI 76:4,4 293:5 333:13 RAI's 76:7 RAIS 333:14 334:5 335:13 raise 5:16 39:21 44:1 53:19 54:5 56:9 63:7 68:22 74:3 81:12 86:6 326:6 raised 16:9 166:7 184:9 192:21 193:10,19 194:12 229:18 264:19 raising 43:19 Randazzo 234:19,20 235:12 243:18,21 244:8,21 246:18	reasoning 254:19 reasons 163:5,15 192:4 195:21 259:21 302:20 303:3 348:17 reassess 6:15 reassuring 152:2 receipt 6:8 34:2,14 35:5 35:12 60:16 122:13 188:21 196:9 204:19 209:11 210:1 211:22 243:6 286:8 304:12 receive 16:17 26:22 30:21 43:4 54:20 55:18 56:5,17 62:12 84:8 87:9 92:1 94:8,8 100:16 106:16 115:13	recommend 71:15 185:22 186:20 187:5 188:7 189:13 208:3 219:10 233:21 234:2 262:21 264:9 265:15 290:2 339:17 recommendation 237:21 recommendations 52:8 140:5 189:10 194:21 recommended 113:7 128:19 188:22 190:9 190:19 200:7 207:1 212:12 216:18 recommends 182:20 182:22 184:11 188:14	r r r r

323:1 325:14 326:9 352:1 354:22 ecording 203:3 354:3 ecords 320:21 324:14 325:5 ecruited 143:21 ecurring 235:13 redacted 47:6 98:19 140:2 314:6 315:16 315:19,20 317:6 edacting 135:9 redaction 32:20 edactions 47:16 155:2 edacts 259:12 educe 126:19 133:21 146:4 203:6 235:18 reduced 127:5,6,18 147:22 148:1 226:4 educes 202:15 eduction 147:18 eductions 75:9 redundancy 331:6,22 eevaluate 189:16 reexamination 65:4 reexamining 7:22 efer 15:11 25:3 120:4 140:4 192:10 208:4 259:11 266:17 267:7 275:8 277:22 eference 30:10 67:11 117:17 139:1 200:20 201:19 202:10 203:5 204:11 205:20 206:5 206:10,15 210:8 212:1,5,14,19 213:3 213:13 216:20,22 217:9 231:18 232:8 241:7 244:15 276:12 279:2 284:21 301:6 337:9 eferenced 151:8 207:8 210:22 211:6,13 212:15,17 213:10 217:1,4 219:3,5 279:7 313:9 eferences 183:15 204:21 209:1 211:20 281:8,9 313:7,8 316:22 eferencing 205:6 215:12 219:7 276:17 285:2 eferral 119:22 120:3 eferred 119:18 124:10 136:6 251:20 252:3 258:5,6,16 refers 196:14 refining 76:15

380

reflect 36:9 62:20 128:16 287:17 324:14 325:6 reflective 141:1 reflects 63:16 254:5 refusal 115:14 118:17 131:13 134:20 refuse 21:14,18 28:5 30:2 65:12 115:6,13 118:16 131:12,14 134:19 163:7,15 173:3,4 334:16 refuse-to- 65:18 refuse-to-accept 35:9 43:4 87:9 refused 37:8 116:5 118:22 123:5,9 132:1 134:20 refused-to-accept 257:10 regard 223:16 324:19 332:14,20 regarding 25:19 35:18 50:1,7 52:5 55:14 99:19 116:21 144:5 160:11.14 166:11 167:11 177:5 181:9 182:15 185:9 205:22 236:17 238:8 260:14 265:7 266:22 334:3 regards 28:17 81:1 332:15 Register 89:18 registered 12:11 registrar 255:21 registration 244:12,15 262:18 270:17 325:2 registrations 346:5 regs 341:7 351:7 regular 40:15,21 41:21 43:8 46:18 53:2 54:17 65:2,11 66:4,14 76:21 80:2 83:15 90:4,10 100:15 103:18,22 143:14 261:10 264:3 333:16 349:16 regulars 65:7 77:20 104:22 **regulate** 163:13 regulated 25:22 196:16 252:17 268:2 270:11 280:10,11 283:3 regulating 255:7 regulation 4:22 12:21 43:2 73:13 96:21 97:1 148:6 153:20 190:16 250:8 254:10 317:7 328:17 348:4 349:11

350:15 regulations 7:11 159:7 222:15 251:7,13,17 251:18 252:10,21 253:3 256:8.21 259:16 263:22 280:12 307:2,5 335:22 regulations.gov 256:9 **regulatory** 11:15,17 19:8,21 21:2,6 22:2 38:5 42:18 52:11 67:4 69:7 73:9,17 76:3,7 78:11 79:18 80:10,16 80:21 81:6 86:17 105:17 110:11 112:21 115:2,5 116:15,17 119:3 125:20 131:7 131:20 132:3,13,15 143:6,18 148:17 154:1 157:20 158:2 159:6 163:10 172:10 173:10,14 181:4 186:7 188:9 199:2 203:18 210:4 225:17 235:2 237:5 242:14 249:19 250:3,17 251:1 254:4,6,11 258:17 264:4,8 265:22 266:5,10 267:13 293:8 297:2,3 297:8 300:9 312:3 321:9 327:5.8 332:5.6 333:12 338:3 346:18 354:12 regulatory/statutory 87:13 reiterate 122:5 123:17 139:14 relate 190:21 252:15 327:15 related 84:18 115:22 122:17 133:18 140:19 163:1 181:10 202:16 237:15 242:13,21 252:14 255:12,12 256:8 264:1 265:5 313:21 342:8 relating 133:14,17,21 relations 17:12 109:3 266:3,10 relative 43:19 relatively 87:8 158:16 159:13 342:18 relax 17:4 relayed 214:8 release 167:12 **releases** 262:3 relevancy 185:14

relevant 117:13 118:5 134:6 188:15 255:3 256:16 257:16 312:19 312:19,20 314:19 315:1 relief 223:19 **relieve** 331:8 relocating 239:6 remain 56:22 58:4 82:5 83:18 remainder 198:14 remaining 5:10 53:10 60:22 61:3 remains 6:15 10:10 remarks 2:3 10:19 11:9 97:7,22 99:19 144:1 158:15 220:14 353:15 remember 171:19 214:18 294:17 remind 67:3 68:18 reminder 142:8 322:13 reminding 327:22 reminds 258:12 remote 267:6 remove 30:13 53:20 55:6,13 283:17 remove-from- 54:7 83:3 remove-from-review 54:22 removed 50:17 54:8,13 55:5,21 56:1 removing 55:15 60:2 rename 276:15 renamed 276:19 render 35:21 112:15 Renee 3:16 317:16 renewal 129:2 138:16 repeat 74:13 87:19 report 20:20 22:16 23:13,18 27:7 30:14 31:2,3,8 33:1,3,9,22 34:6 36:19 37:17 39:13 40:19 41:5,11 41:15 42:16 43:17,21 44:4,5,21 45:3,6,21 55:17 62:11 63:1,10 63:12 65:16 77:10 84:1 85:22 90:9 94:22 96:1 107:7 150:2 151:8 171:7,8,11 237:16 321:2,3,5,6 325:11 reported 36:10 reporting 113:11 121:11,15,20 124:7 208:1 257:7 295:12 reports 5:9 29:7 34:13 36:17 40:15,16,17,21

41:3.20.21 42:17 43:7 43:8,10 44:19 45:19 46:18 48:5 49:15 51:18 52:22 53:2,3,5 53:11,18,21 54:9,16 54:17 56:20 61:19 62:7,21 63:17 64:22 65:2,5,11,20 66:5,14 66:21 77:4 89:22 90:5 90:10 94:7.8 106:19 116:19 121:19,22,22 156:21 189:3 232:2 237:13 334:21 335:4 repository 232:5 represent 12:1,18 113:3 128:14 205:20 238:20 252:12 324:18 representation 319:22 323:22 representative 45:14 205:19 206:9 258:1 287:15 319:11 representatives 207:3 302:18 represents 127:1 **REQ** 19:14 requested 31:20 32:5 48:18 54:12 55:6,12 129:18 165:17 185:12 187:10 190:15 196:3 210:13 214:2.20 215:15 216:4 217:19 218:15 228:20 309:16 318:1 322:2,6,19 requesting 28:22 34:10 49:6 195:14 216:15 217:9 255:17 307:6 344:8 requestor 186:5 190:15 193:13 197:5 requestor's 245:2 requests 20:14 21:4,6 21:13 22:3 27:22 28:4 28:19 29:11 30:10,18 35:19 36:11 37:2,9,11 37:17 58:21 77:21 144:15 159:22 179:5 195:16 196:8,15,19 196:22 197:3,4 243:8 307:2 318:17 319:17 319:18,19,22 320:1 333:17 335:5 344:20 require 41:1 42:2 93:7 101:3 123:21 124:6 134:9 147:16 280:15 300:22 307:7 335:7 347:20 required 21:12 28:18

28:19 34:7 37:10 53:4 87:2 104:2 111:4 113:5,6,19 115:5,12 115:16 116:7 117:22 119:2 123:22 124:11 124:12,13 128:17,19 129:8 131:7,11,17 132:3,4,16 134:17 135:21 137:17 138:4 138:6,9 149:13 164:6 199:14 253:16 289:14 307:1 330:12 335:2 337:12 requirement 53:6 252:1 280:16 330:17 requirements 21:11 27:19,20 33:19 36:22 37:6 38:19,22 46:20 110:17 111:2 112:11 112:21 116:15 118:19 121:3 122:3 126:4,11 128:11 130:1,4 132:13 135:1,3 139:13 165:1 207:9 211:8 215:10,16 250:3 251:15 253:21 258:14 261:19 318:13 325:1 327:14 331:20 354:12 requires 20:16 23:15 33:6 34:9 41:22 259:19 270:10 282:19 reschedule 248:6,8,21 rescheduled 247:21 248:3 rescind 206:1 research 114:14 133:15 155:21 181:9 185:2 191:8 207:18 228:6 228:14,18 237:18 251:9 263:11 264:8 342:8 researchers 181:7 200:16 218:20 reserve 179:11 resides 28:14 resolution 24:13 resolve 103:10 resource 105:5 300:21 resources 3:2 5:13 48:3 52:19 54:19 56:20 58:7 61:14 67:9,17 104:19 109:7,9 110:21 122:7 125:8 126:9 139:17 141:15 141:18 155:7 177:16 181:12 182:15 189:9 249:10,17 250:5

256:13.20 260:5 263:7 264:6 266:22 279:12 300:7 306:8 306:20 311:18,20,21 311:22 315:7 respect 45:15 57:8,18 84:7 86:10 92:10 112:1 161:15 167:5 167:13 172:20 178:22 239:3 253:21 330:13 331:4 respectful 14:4 respective 78:8,21 respectively 58:17 310:7 respond 52:3 54:12 58:19 59:2,8,10,14 60:3,5,22 61:2,15 70:10,15 89:17 96:9 96:15,22 97:15 160:5 168:22 169:17 196:8 214:1,21 215:18 217:18 225:6 321:13 responded 51:3 60:13 60:20 101:13 150:6 270:13 responding 73:21 98:3 102:4 196:12 218:14 227:13 responds 60:15 156:17 156:17 215:14 **response** 31:7,20 34:20 38:11 48:3 50:18 51:21 52:8 58:8,16 59:9 60:1,8,19 61:5,7 64:9 71:10 97:9 102:7 136:22 137:1 138:20 141:11 160:13 161:13 166:3 173:6 176:22 187:20 193:8,15 194:3,6,8 214:11,22 217:16,20 229:14 237:2 238:1,3 244:20 249:21 265:13 268:4 270:20 303:13 305:2 329:3 345:18 351:12 351:19 responses 102:15 188:5 216:2 221:12 229:20 230:1,2 236:2 236:6,7 245:5 265:15 responsibilities 242:9 318:8 responsibility 63:3 76:6 77:3 191:7 215:13 320:13 324:9 responsible 27:9 29:3,4 73:8 215:6 218:3

312:17 332:5 responsive 59:3,17 217:8 responsiveness 79:18 243:2 rest 311:16 347:16 restriction 121:7 resubmission 129:3 302:2 resubmissions 137:4 resubmit 120:21 137:2 138:21 202:17 resubmitting 276:22 result 102:13 127:16 164:1 184:22 213:3 260:14 350:17 resulted 50:3 results 137:19,20 229:2 312:9 resumed 108:20 198:3 287:9 retail 309:10 retailer 263:6 retailers 261:17 returned 345:3 reviewed 7:7 23:17 28:1 33:21 43:8 57:3 84:10 189:20 199:11 213:13 219:3 259:18 reviewer 45:20 98:15 117:2 275:7 276:4 reviewers 46:4 80:8 116:17 132:15 179:13 193:2 254:12 reviewing 88:17 89:1 107:6 132:11 158:18 159:16 181:19 212:22 213:5 253:8 254:4,16 275:17 276:5 312:17 reviews 16:2 21:13 43:2 46:5 49:17 53:12.14 61:22 80:7,8 161:17 194:2 204:9 205:4 219:4 250:14 258:20 revise 36:8 revised 62:5 114:12 253:10,13,19 260:17 revision 253:15 revisions 147:16 253:10 revisit 101:4 **Reynolds** 76:5,8,10,13 76:17 77:4,8 78:15 79:3,6 104:17 RFR 55:3 56:19 61:14 81:19 88:14 **RFRs** 88:9 **RHPM** 42:20 47:2,5

50:20 60:11 86:9 115:19,21 131:4 190:6 192:16 210:4 219:13,15 242:18 243:10 320:14 323:18 324:1.3 325:19 RHPM's 116:3 **RHPMs** 49:19 242:14 243:6 Richard 350:8 **Richmond** 178:5 230:21 rid 71:22 72:21 rightfully 71:4 rights 318:7 322:9 righty 110:9 risk 2:12 13:17 117:1 126:1,16,17,20 127:2 127:18 128:8,9 133:21 135:16 136:17 136:20 137:8,11,14 137:22 138:7,10,13 138:15,20 140:12,14 148:3 256:2 263:17 333:18 335:6 risks 112:1 116:21 **RJ** 76:8,10 road 7:12 robust 144:6 160:16.21 **Rockville** 1:11,11 4:11 Rogers 64:12 93:14 role 17:1 115:20 159:3 203:16 254:9 274:1 340:15 341:10 roll 15:21 roll-your-own 77:6 rolled 270:21 271:12 rolling 201:9,13,17 202:2,7 209:7 room 8:22 14:8 78:5 79:20 100:7 152:21 158:6 247:5 297:13 338:4 353:22 Rosanna 68:16 69:4,6 157:18 338:1 346:1 Rosie 82:1 83:11 round 69:15 108:13 351:13 roundup 264:5 routed 244:5 265:12 routine 231:6 row 286:18 rows 297:16 **RPS** 283:22 **RTA** 21:14 24:3 27:20 28:1,11 49:22 86:17 87:19 131:14 163:4,5 163:20

RTA'd 141:6 RTAs 163:16 **RTF** 163:4,5 164:2,13 **RTF'd** 141:8 rubberbanded 70:9 **Rudolph** 17:15 108:22 109:2 142:2 148:9 153:9 157:15 158:4 162:3,22 165:8 166:6 166:16 167:17 170:21 172:3 173:21 180:10 182:4,9 197:19 198:5 219:21 230:19 234:16 235:4 239:16,21 242:12 243:17,20 244:7,9,17 246:10 247:15 249:5 287:4 287:11 293:3 295:16 296:20 297:5,10 298:21 301:14 302:16 303:7,11,14 304:8,11 304:16.18 305:3 rule 21:7,11,15,17 24:4 27:19,21 28:1,2,9,11 32:3 49:22 86:17 89:9 151:16.18 163:15 252:5 328:16 340:4 348:19 rulemaking 87:4 93:8 226:12 252:2,3,7 rulemakings 251:20 ruler 105:22 rules 7:12 143:19 226:11,18 230:16 251:18,21,21 252:8 252:21 281:19 302:13 303:5,6,9 335:16 **ruling** 80:14 run 17:19 179:19 289:7 running 197:15 Russ 225:21 230:20 240:20 241:1,18 Russell 230:19 270:9 Rutqvist 178:2 S **S** 249:16 sad 9:4 safe 88:6 sale 261:20 309:10 319:21 sales 121:7 sample 51:9 63:13,18 117:22 133:8 146:10 258:1 286:4 samples 114:9 117:20 124:12 129:17,17 134:10

sampling 185:10 Santa 76:9,17 77:4 Santa's 299:18 Sarah 2:19 198:16 199:1 231:7,20 234:13 Sarah's 244:1 SAS 275:10,10,19,20 satisfied 138:18 satisfies 253:2 save 203:5 saves 232:1 278:5 saw 90:6 161:18 163:16 saying 79:19 91:5 153:1 165:18 285:11 288:11 299:5,19 says 83:15 85:8 277:12 296:3 scale 106:1 113:4 128:15 260:9 scan 278:6,15 280:10 280:14,17,18 Scandinavian 73:6 scanned 24:11 278:12 scenario 105:20 192:22 211:13 scenarios 204:9 schedule 185:21 scheduled 188:3 195:19 235:17 239:8 239:10scheduling 192:2 221:1 221:9 scheme 327:8 **Schmitz** 2:6 19:3,5,7 171:19 Schmitz's 49:22 86:19 science 2:16 8:14 11:15 13:6,10 19:9 38:6 44:15 102:14 110:13 117:2 125:22 148:18 154:1 177:10 180:19 181:5,9 183:11,21 184:19 185:4,11 190:5 196:21,21 199:3 222:8 228:7 234:20 235:2 239:5 249:20 251:9 254:12 254:13 262:9 263:17 264:8,9,10 266:6 267:13,13 297:4,8,9 305:16,17 317:17 338:2,8,8,12,13,13 342:6 346:9,11,17 349:7 Science's 90:1 sciences 11:17 13:9,10 scientific 5:18 15:22

31:18 32:7 40:16 42:12 44:3 45:4.8.10 45:18 46:12 48:3 51:4 51:17 52:19 54:19 58:7 59:19 60:16 61:4 61:14 63:5 64:13 66:2 73:9,17,20 76:3 93:13 94:17 105:18 116:17 119:19 132:15 133:14 136:7 154:2,7,11 173:13,17 176:3,10 179:3,6,12 185:13 186:6,16 188:9 190:22 204:20,22 205:4 210:16,18,20 211:21 212:20 213:11 213:18 215:1 216:6 228:6,18 236:19 247:5 255:19 256:14 258:11,18 293:7 294:4 333:12 349:7 scientifically 46:6 199:10 scientist 263:9 333:10 341:3 **scope** 145:11 191:9 219:5 238:9 244:22 246:7 247:8 258:20 scoped 143:21 scramble 152:9 229:22 screen 51:11,22 208:7 272:10.21 273:2.21 screens 272:20 screenshot 272:9 **scripted** 229:12 scrolling 262:10 301:7 **SD** 347:8 **SE/NSE** 95:20 search 252:12 278:20 searchable 275:1 278:8 season 299:12 seating 68:20 seats 180:14 second 12:4 15:10 20:19 21:14 22:13 24:14 25:18 26:3 27:2 29:21 32:21 35:12 41:13 45:5 54:3 56:5 74:17 79:22 80:18 99:18 109:1 110:6 144:4 146:5 184:5 185:11 207:6 243:18 249:11 340:2 Secondly 218:22 secret 136:11 140:1,7 199:19 200:10 214:14 259:14 Secretary 134:9

section 21:5 33:5.20 63:13 64:2 111:2,4 112:12,15,17 113:12 116:16 117:18 121:3 121:4 126:11 132:14 138:5 206:20,21,22 207:6,7,15,20 209:7 233:17 241:20,21 251:4 253:17 255:11 259:18 296:3 308:2,4 308:9,13 309:2,11,11 309:13,20 310:5,7,13 310:14 311:1,3,5,6 312:14,15,21 313:1,8 314:10 315:21 316:8 318:4 319:14 335:22 sections 36:22 164:18 206:15 233:16 241:19 294:5 308:6,11 311:7 313:6 secure 232:5 280:13 281:5 secured 270:7 security 280:12 seeing 75:18 98:21 107:11 156:11 174:10 237:11 338:20 354:18 seek 6:4 7:3 138:16 157:13 seeking 118:19 129:19 237:6 248:16 255:15 265:21 seen 13:6 34:19 47:19 48:13 49:7 58:2 61:18 62:20 63:17 79:14 87:6 102:10 107:13 166:22 170:12 173:7 175:9 223:21 352:8 select 106:14 256:22 257:2,8,18 261:12 262:14 265:4 selected 106:20 **Selecting** 251:11,17 252:11 256:10 self-explanatory 272:11 sell 321:5 selled 321:3 selling 318:11 344:14 send 44:19 148:1 168:22 195:14 204:3 213:14,18 232:3,4 266:1 282:16 297:15 304:7 325:19 343:3 343:22 351:18 sending 195:11 280:18 303:5 senior 69:10 73:8 76:2

143:5 225:16 293:6 sense 14:16 56:21 74:19 75:7 87:18 100:22 102:10 104:5 169:4 178:12,16 304:17 sent 42:18 44:15 168:20 239:22 265:9 282:17 285:13 346:16 separate 234:2 241:13 241:14,19 242:5 248:21 283:12 separated 275:11 separately 202:12 314:10 September 122:22 141:2 150:8 204:8 sequential 179:16 series 261:15 serious 121:14 160:3 serve 191:6 195:6 255:18 274:12 served 270:3 285:8 serves 47:9 275:17 service 1:21 323:11 services 76:4.4 231:17 293:5 333:13 serving 218:4 **SEs** 76:21 80:2 83:16 83:18 90:18 319:2 322:14 349:22 session 2:5,10,15 3:2 3:10 69:3 110:4 142:15 180:16 185:13 198:12 249:8 267:3 287:12,18 326:3 353:6,16,21 354:6 sessions 18:1,2 136:9 198:14 set 64:19 80:9 102:14 138:14 198:19 232:3 279:4 295:19 setting 103:19 157:6 289:8 303:1 settling 110:5 setup 248:21 seven 28:17 50:8 58:10 204:2 **shape** 157:9 254:10 share 9:4 10:3 12:10 38:10 75:17 142:11 174:18 200:12 225:5 230:22 261:22 268:10 271:4 274:6 313:21 335:13 353:14 354:2 354:10 shared 85:14 97:16 162:5

sharing 233:6 235:9 260:4 274:1 Sharyn 3:3 141:16 249:9,18 267:5,8 279:12 296:21 297:1 299:1 shelved 15:18 **shift** 9:4 shock 9:17 Sholtes 267:17 297:6,6 298:1 299:18,21 301:19 303:5,8 shop 277:2 shops 327:4 short 18:14 81:4 224:20 236:13 304:20 shortened 221:4 shortening 223:6 shortly 66:11 99:9 140:4 329:7 **show** 17:19 103:6 273:10,11 285:17 321:7 showed 36:6 showing 122:16 140:18 273:21 311:13 shown 186:4 251:5 255:14 257:22 315:7 315:17 shows 63:19 251:13 257:9 262:10 side 153:18 175:9 301:4 side-by-side 94:20 sides 269:6 287:1 sign 264:14,15 302:5 302:13 306:11 signal 59:19 signature 29:3 signatures 302:14,21 signed 27:9 208:17 209:8,17 302:4 303:6 303:9 319:10 322:3 322:19 significant 60:5 82:21 258:6 259:8 290:12 342:3 349:16 significantly 79:1 196:1 Signing 263:19 signs 353:3 silence 70:5,8 172:12 silent 15:16 Silver 4:10 similar 65:7 118:11 127:10 130:22 134:15 150:11 160:18 167:8 172:17 175:13 191:13 256:7 298:3,9,19 330:15 331:9

simple 41:19 247:3 298:16 simplified 298:12 simplifies 202:15 **simply** 26:1 160:6 214:4 233:8 271:9 275:22 285:11 Simultaneous 299:17 sincere 11:5 single 52:7 145:9 146:7 240:6 318:19 328:9 sinusitis 142:7 sister 162:1 sit 178:15 221:19 224:13 site 100:9 183:18 187:11 232:19,21 233:4 240:6,14 290:11,13 291:22 315:10 317:12 323:13 336:7 338:21 sites 240:4,6,14 300:12 sitting 79:20 91:17 100:15 220:13 246:6 267:18 situation 25:6 72:14 103:11 105:15 178:15 six 48:5 90:20 118:3 134:3 251:6 256:4 269:4 342:16 sixth 344:22,22 size 146:11 228:11 229:5 233:12 skill 102:14 sleep 103:9 sleigh 299:19 slide 28:9 57:1 67:16 82:7,19 85:19 90:7 142:14 207:12 315:6 slides 198:18,19 209:15 244:1 278:1 315:17 slightly 283:7 slow 269:14,15 276:21 small 70:13,20 83:7 105:14 171:3 219:17 244:3 266:2 270:12 296:6 small- 260:8 small-scale 265:20 smaller 83:4 158:17 296:18 smart 338:5 smarter 102:16 Smith 3:6 249:11 267:5 267:12 Smith's 266:17 smoke 104:22 127:5 smokeless 57:17

151:17.17 234:7 240:16 241:13 256:4 256:5 **smokers** 148:2 **snapshot** 42:5 51:10 88:10 **snuff** 76:9,18 256:3 **Snus** 149:8,10 150:12 151:22 161:18 167:1 170:8 178:4 256:4 social 117:2 263:2 socioeconomic 312:1 socket 281:5 software 286:1,9 soils 311:22 sold 53:3 126:19 325:10 329:20 solely 77:17 215:6 solicit 252:5,10 254:8 301:11 soliciting 89:13 98:2 solid 312:1 335:7 solution 248:5 292:15 solutions 71:8 269:1 285:6 solve 331:20 Solyst 148:10,11 159:15 165:9 170:6 177:20 somebody 142:14 180:20 223:9 225:8 242:22 302:11 somewhat 9:3 75:16 163:1 soon 8:7 89:12 108:8 146:9 270:18 sooner 61:1 179:7 222:17 SOPs 232:3 233:18 sorry 10:3 11:4 42:1 111:15 119:10 123:6 142:6 162:2 182:12 195:8 273:1 321:3 sort 4:16 73:20 84:21 85:2 87:12 88:14 93:22 94:13,15 97:6,8 106:1,17 107:6,7,11 149:16 152:14 155:16 158:17,19,21,22 159:2,4,5,7 162:5,7 162:18,19 169:4 174:16,20 175:7 177:18 179:11 222:11 289:12,15 290:3 291:14 292:8 294:12 331:19 342:20 343:16 343:19 344:6 345:6,8 346:21

sorted 262:11 sound 142:6 **sounded** 96:9 sounds 90:15 104:11 248:10 289:17 source 164:9 278:6,9 sources 7:5 199:20 space 103:18 spaces 252:9 speak 11:8 70:16 83:14 84:10 89:8 300:17 301:16 303:17 327:21 344:21 346:8,13 speaker 68:9 315:14 speakers 9:15,22 70:6 speaking 19:10 110:13 126:1 141:19 181:6 294:15 299:17 317:18 327:22 346:1 special 276:14 **specific** 21:10 24:2 27:19 28:11 30:10 48:17 62:8 74:11 78:2 78:16 84:1 97:17 102:21 121:21 124:10 129:10 130:11 134:5 137:10 140:15 146:16 146:16 161:10 172:6 185:16 186:2 187:1 188:10 189:9 190:10 207:14 213:22 214:7 214:12 236:12 237:6 237:15,17 238:14 241:22 250:13,17 252:5,15 257:18 260:20 265:10 303:15 313:16 314:20 319:16 320:18 321:20 322:7 322:20 339:9 specifically 39:8 78:14 103:17 110:2 181:14 183:13 196:20 258:3 294:10 323:17 specification 286:11 specifications 25:5 78:17 specifics 173:16 **specified** 30:13 137:13 138:12 140:16 258:13 specimens 118:3 speed 94:1 281:22 **spell** 7:12 spend 154:10 222:2 238:17 spent 11:14 153:15 224:11,20 spoke 67:18 268:13 **spoken** 11:3

(202) 234-4433

spotlight 262:8 263:16 264:9 spreadsheet 279:21 spreadsheets 260:20 261:3 spring 4:10 88:19 stability 101:21 stack 70:9,11 staff 8:10 12:17 187:2 189:18 265:1 staffed 269:10 stage 123:8 164:1 204:17,21 205:8 214:9 282:3 stages 122:18 140:20 stakeholder 17:12 50:10 58:12 109:2 266:3,4,8,10 stakeholders 6:11 105:4 176:14 221:10 296:7 stamped 266:16 stand 81:8 274:13 **stand-alone** 334:4,6 standalone 294:9 standard 5:3 13:4 40:16 92:10 93:2,13 117:18 160:12 162:14 268:20 275:4 283:1,4,21 284:1 285:5 286:15 321:15.22 341:3 standards 3:4 83:20 112:17 249:12 269:2 282:5,5,11,12,18 327:15 331:19 standing 268:1 stands 206:7 star 157:6,7 Stark 2:8 38:3,4 81:4,5 81:18,22 83:21 85:16 87:18 89:12 90:6 91:14 95:13 96:12 99:5 101:7 158:1,1 160:9 163:6 166:9,19 167:22 169:5 171:5 172:8 179:18 180:3,6 start 11:13 35:13 38:13 45:3,8,10 59:18,19 64:3 68:16 69:12 82:1 102:7 103:21 107:11 110:7 112:8 154:6,6 161:21 166:8 168:12 199:13 220:9 223:12 276:15 295:18 305:14 319:12 326:14 336:2 338:18 345:21 started 58:7 142:4 148:10 220:1,15

235:5 starting 45:13 62:4 66:22 91:19 92:1 93:1 95:19 101:16 102:1 126:10 336:19 354:15 starts 91:22 155:22 state 84:13 96:21 101:20 144:10 172:16 308:17 309:16 310:19 314:21 320:3 323:3 stated 8:1 44:12 50:14 51:22 80:1 129:8 136:2 138:10 140:5 158:11 167:9 205:18 220:3 230:11 231:21 349:19 statement 27:8,9 86:16 117:7 165:10 314:19 319:21 322:8 323:1,3 348:3 statements 18:8 68:10 99:6 326:12 338:16 states 20:9 34:18 37:3 39:2 40:8,20 111:6,11 123:20 265:7 307:5 308:19,20 310:2,22 313:2 322:4 327:2 350:15.19 351:3 stating 51:16 statistical 207:21 282:11.12 statistician 193:3 stats 95:7.21 status 7:16 258:12 269:11 310:5 statute 43:1 53:4 84:1 86:13 **statutes** 253:3 259:15 statutory 19:21 21:1,3 22:2 38:19,21 107:8 110:16 111:1 115:4 116:14 119:2 122:3 126:4,10 128:11 131:7 132:3,13 139:13 145:17 165:1 215:10,16 251:14 261:19 stay 73:12 87:4 148:11 250:21 263:14 264:7 steal 269:12 step 32:21 33:1 43:6 94:1 158:22 steps 20:17 23:22 29:16 30:17 42:15 46:22 91:2 144:11 179:5 195:19 261:18 262:7 stepwide 42:4

stick 18:10 247:8 sticks 256:6 stilted 229:11 stimulate 306:17 **STN** 131:20 209:5 210:3 212:15 273:6.7.9.14 273:16 289:21 309:5 322:8 STN000001 77:13 STNs 92:3 Stockholm 178:5 stood 78:7 stop 112:6 146:1 169:21 stopped 168:9 stops 168:20 175:4 stored 284:5 stories 262:6 story 275:7 straightforward 149:11 334:10 strange 75:12 strategies 147:1 streamlines 202:14 streams 76:20 street 308:16 stress 340:10 strides 66:8 strive 6:11 strong 223:18 349:4,7 350:5 stronger 87:16 strongly 14:6 113:7 128:19 129:9 150:2 structure 207:9 227:8 229:6 294:1,3,13,18 294:20 structured 159:5 282:2 **structuring** 130:16,18 struggle 158:18 159:10 179:16 struggles 352:9 struggling 103:7 175:17,18 STTM 282:10 stuck 71:9 studies 16:15 121:20 129:1,21 145:11,22 147:6 150:19 154:12 154:13 164:8 227:6 228:19 283:19,19 293:14 294:4 study 114:10 137:16,18 146:7,10,11,17 150:21 155:12 185:10 188:13 189:3 207:19 264:11 stuff 155:22 220:15,16

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

284:17,19 285:12 sub-section 311:9 subcategories 49:18 57:13 62:9 64:1 subcategory 26:13 309:9 subdivision 205:16 subfolder 277:6,6 subfolder/file 277:7 subject 40:19 41:14 53:1,2 118:6 196:18 207:22 208:9 209:2 258:13 318:22 319:1 325:1 330:16 subjectively 271:12 subjects 327:21 submissions 3:4 13:16 24:22 30:9 76:2.20 77:1 79:4,11 105:2 115:8 124:5,16 129:5 146:21 147:17 164:20 199:14 202:12 203:9 203:11,15 204:4,8,11 205:5 208:6 210:9 211:2 231:7 232:12 237:14 241:8 242:10 260:12 261:5 266:12 266:18 267:15 268:16 269:9 275:19 279:15 284:2 301:22 332:6 333:11 334:4,6,10 335:2,3 343:12,17 346:10 submit 14:14 15:14 16:11 23:9 25:7,7,11 33:9 38:14 39:13 47:13 50:17 98:7 99:12 101:20 102:1 104:4 116:5 119:1 124:18 132:1 134:22 137:19 162:9 182:21 183:1 188:18 192:5 193:14 195:5 201:7 201:22 202:22 229:13 229:15 233:3 235:20 240:5,18 241:18 247:20 248:4,6 255:11 261:6 268:16 279:15 280:8 281:15 281:17 285:7 286:12 296:16 314:17 317:11 318:2 320:11 321:2,4 322:3 323:9 350:12 submits 20:20 23:18 62:11 195:15 242:17 314:1 submittal 268:7 submitted 24:16,19

29:12.19 39:19 41:12 45:22 56:3 60:9 65:20 73:19 77:10 84:2 88:3 111:18 116:14 118:10 118:13 119:8 121:13 122:1,12 132:12 135:15 143:18,20 149:18,21 150:8 184:7 188:16 192:1 196:17 200:10 204:18 219:16 232:21,22 234:1 235:6 238:11 243:7 248:12 256:9 271:17 291:7 297:14 301:18 305:21 314:10 315:12 328:6 329:2 334:21 submitter 210:12 submitting 7:13 14:11 25:16 87:15 103:21 109:18 130:17 155:9 184:1 197:14 202:11 208:22 224:20.22 225:2 231:22 241:3 254:17,18 260:18 265:16 269:7 271:17 298:10 323:8 subscribe 263:4 subscribing 263:13 285:4 subscription 264:10 subsection 308:5 311:11 312:7.8 subsections 311:8,16 312:12 subsequent 194:1 195:15 242:20 316:15 subsidiary 76:5 substance 127:7,8,19 substandard 164:15 **substantial** 2:5,7 5:9 19:11 20:10,12 22:7 38:8 39:5,14 42:15 76:16 111:7,8 115:9 124:5 200:6 257:12 257:13,22 290:10 309:18 333:16 334:20 substantially 40:5,10 41:7 46:7,7 substantially-equival... 23:5 substantiate 162:16 substantiated 135:17 136:18 137:8 substantive 32:6 42:12 80:22 94:11 113:10 116:12 118:15 119:5 119:6 120:6 123:8

128:21 132:10 135:7 136:16 137:6 141:7 145:2 292:10 substitute 191:7 success 49:11 77:20 successful 66:9 143:20 145:12 286:7 294:7 successfully 293:21 295:14 sudden 70:8.15 102:21 sufficient 59:10 116:11 119:9 137:3 187:22 188:4 192:7 213:10 319:12 320:5 324:10 sugar 267:10 suggest 176:4 184:19 254:16 255:6 329:11 suggested 36:18,20 117:22 suggesting 56:7 suggestions 8:17 144:5 147:17 174:7 229:1 290:22 suit 280:17 summaries 258:4 **summarize** 186:10 194:20 230:15 summarized 189:2 314:11 summary 47:7 86:15 151:11 167:3 188:15 252:8 258:16 291:3 summer 5:19 77:15 supersedes 285:13 supervisory 6:4 supplement 70:3 227:19 supplemental 247:19 supplier 314:2 suppliers 200:15,16 218:19,20 313:22 support 45:7 145:12 154:8 182:3 185:2 200:20 208:3 209:18 211:6,13 215:9,16,19 221:3 226:8 232:1 250:13 259:5 279:22 286:9 324:13,19 supported 133:15 supporting 215:8 supports 279:5 supposed 9:14 226:14 surrounding 145:4 surveillance 128:22 137:16,17 sustain 7:2 Swedish 236:4 sweet 81:4

swimming 276:7 switch 148:2 system 74:19 289:3 290:5,8 systems 146:19 256:5 270:14 288:22 300:18 306:19 Т table 8:2 24:6 25:18 26:16 28:7,8 171:10 207:2 235:9 276:10 279:1 294:11 307:11 307:11 308:3,3,8,10 316:2,7 tabular 312:4 tailored 65:8 taken 33:18 34:21 72:20 127:12 262:7 352:11 takes 112:4 211:18 235:17 281:16 289:6 talk 111:9,10 114:18 115:9 125:13 137:4 156:12,16 171:6 172:4 221:16 224:10 226:22 227:3.4 246:15.20 268:18 293:10 294:16 297:20 305:8,18 306:7 talked 82:20,21 85:19 88:14 162:6 279:12 288:18 303:15,16 342:14 talking 71:10 72:12 78:10 94:11 100:8 103:16 154:18 164:19 180:17 220:7 281:10 302:20 334:2 348:5 349:6 351:4 talks 111:7 197:10 228:5 target 90:18 targeted 226:17 task 17:20 team 8:14 77:2 143:13 236:21 teams 143:18 teasing 343:16 technical 32:18 47:6 130:15 150:1 151:8 156:20 167:3 182:3 190:7 268:8,15,21 270:10 282:22 283:9 285:22 286:1,2,11 288:13 289:12,13 298:5,15 technological 331:17

331:19 Technology 326:18,19 328:22 telecom 245:9 teleconference 187:16 193:16 teleconferences 79:16 245:14 tell 15:1 95:16 147:12 151:2 152:19 154:21 172:15 173:15 271:20 273:10 279:4 303:20 352:7 telling 275:7 tells 296:12 template 51:10 279:13 279:14,16 280:1 288:19 289:1,4 332:15 339:6 templates 279:11 ten 238:11 239:11 281:16 287:5 tend 210:12 247:7 298:2 tentative 192:14 term 19:13 58:15 138:14 termed 169:12 terminology 78:15,18 terms 19:16 41:19 78:13.20 85:4 87:15 94:19 106:5 177:15 199:8 205:13 228:12 299:9 317:21 319:3 343:15 terrified 247:22 test 102:6,11 104:2 165:16 232:19,21 280:3 282:5 testament 271:19 tested 103:13 testing 72:10,17,17 100:17 101:19,21 102:1,5 103:4 120:7 129:18 135:19 211:17 300:17 tests 59:3 thank 4:7 10:15,20 11:7 17:7,9 19:5 37:18 38:1 68:3,5 73:10 75:21 81:3 85:7 108:4 108:12,15,18 110:10 125:15,19 141:22 142:16,20 148:8,9 153:8,9 157:15 158:14 162:22 165:8 167:17 170:21 172:3 175:20 180:9,12

181:2 197:7,14 219:19 220:2,10 225:9,11,14 230:19 234:16 235:4 236:5 237:20 238:1 239:16 242:11 244:7,17 246:10 247:15 249:5 249:6 267:3 286:21 287:2 288:3 293:2,8 296:19,20 297:5 298:21 301:14 305:4 317:13 325:20 326:1 326:15 332:1,19 333:7,8,9 337:18,20 338:14 342:11,12 345:15 347:4 350:9 351:10,14,22 thanks 108:9 142:3,16 153:10 220:10,11 267:5,5 287:22 352:5 354:17 themes 151:14 theoretically 283:11 thereof 117:21 things 10:18 67:19 71:19 74:14 78:3,4,8 78:12 79:12,13 80:13 83:5 97:16 103:11 106:11 149:13 152:8 152:13 158:10.22 159:4 161:2 162:18 176:11,17 182:19 198:15 222:1 223:15 225:1,14 226:9 234:14 235:10 248:1 263:15 267:7.21 276:21 278:12 280:8 288:15 291:8,18 292:2 298:2 301:10 303:2 304:19 307:1 339:5 340:22 342:22 343:18 348:21 352:8 thinks 158:22 168:15 third 24:19 26:5,16 27:8 30:6 45:12 56:10 111:10 219:2 280:7 **Thomas** 73:5 thoroughly 124:9 thought 76:11 93:8 151:9 152:6 153:19 178:5,16 232:22 328:13 thoughts 71:2 150:14 175:7,14,19 180:11 182:6 220:7 297:21 304:21 328:13 354:1 thousands 53:13 72:9 75:19 103:12

threat 200:6 three 18:3 19:19 20:7 22:16 23:19 39:4 42:10,14 79:12 94:11 97:4 101:8 113:10 117:10 123:13 128:21 133:5 135:6 136:13 136:14 140:10,11 141:7 171:6 173:4 180:16 184:3 197:3 204:12 205:10,11 217:5 218:4,13 221:20 224:11 233:2 256:5 257:6,15 261:2 269:9 333:21 334:8 threefold 45:1 threshold 21:20 87:17 146:20 throw 94:4 101:7 thumbs 295:2 tickets 265:15 tie 177:17 tied 242:15 351:7 tier 43:18 55:18 82:5,5 82:18 85:17 94:6 tiering 85:10,11,16,21 88:7 tiers 43:21 82:3 86:5 94:5,11 timeframe 31:6 32:5 47:12 59:4 60:2 90:8 105:9 149:17 168:9 214:2,11 215:15 216:4 217:16,19 218:15 timeframes 80:1 101:15 timeline 34:4 90:3 103:15 214:17,19 timelines 20:3 23:14 59:22 72:5 75:15 90:4 121:22 160:11 219:6 265:18 timeliness 64:20 79:17 196:5 timely 12:9 170:5 190:20,20 209:22 243:3 timer 331:8 times 11:3 15:15 58:8 58:16 60:2 97:9 154:22 172:14 203:8 223:19 229:16 230:7 237:10 279:9 280:4 289:11 290:19 292:12 305:10 334:19 340:11 timing 16:1 337:19,20 tips 254:16,18 title 186:21 307:16

312:18 313:4 316:3 335:22 titled 204:7 251:3,12 308:13 309:11 310:13 312:14,21 313:8 315:10 titles 308:4,5 TMA 156:8,9 tobacco- 133:17 tobacco-related 126:20 127:3,18 today 9:6 10:9,16 11:6 17:14,17,19 19:6,10 20:15 37:22 38:7 67:13.19 71:6 74:2 93:11 99:9 106:7 110:10,13 114:18 125:6,12,19,22 139:5 141:16 142:1 162:6 165:3,21 181:3,6,11 199:3 220:7,11 225:14 228:1 234:15 241:10 305:18 317:17 332:10 333:8.22 334:15 335:17 339:12 346:8 348:5 352:4,12 352:15,20 353:3 354:18 today's 19:15 72:13 90:6 144:9 183:22 305:11 332:17 told 176:2 330:10 toll 9:19 tomorrow 9:6,15,21 10:2,9 17:14,20 64:12 94:22 125:13 141:19 197:10 208:5 352:15 352:16,20,22 353:2,6 353:11 354:6,15,19 tomorrow's 26:7 37:15 93:13.20 135:18 **Tony** 326:14,16 332:1 341:13 345:16 tool 23:7 106:8 174:15 200:14 218:18 226:4 269:20 289:2 296:9 298:7,19 tools 183:7 250:2 261:6 269:2 284:20 285:6 286:10 293:20 298:19 top 51:15 63:16,19 82:5 262:5 272:22 273:2 295:1 topic 18:8 26:7 185:14 230:7 247:8 251:11 253:11 262:13 332:12 332:22 333:6 335:14 342:18

topical 146:18 topics 64:15 156:12 186:2 199:7 229:17 246:12 261:11,17,21 262:18 288:10 333:22 339:12 total 277:16 totality 12:3 totally 340:1 touch 72:16 155:10 160:10 244:4 288:9 touched 61:11 71:7 97:6 toxicity 27:13 62:16 toxicological 231:5 331:17 toxicologist 45:19 193:3 toxicology 135:13 **TPL** 32:18 47:14 49:16 61:21 151:13 161:17 250:14 258:17,19,22 **TPLs** 64:11 **TPMF** 199:7,9,10,10,12 199:14 200:9,18,18 200:20 201:16.19 202:4,9,10,14,22 203:5,10,11,14,15,16 204:3,7,10,13,17,22 204:22 205:3,8,9,14 206:5.16.18.18 207:19 208:2 209:5 209:10,12 210:5,16 210:18,21,21 211:6 211:12,21 212:5,15 212:17 213:9,14 214:7 215:2,18 216:1 216:6,9,11,16,21 217:22 218:2 219:2,2 219:6,16 231:9,10 240:6,9 **TPMFs** 199:5 200:14 204:11 231:1 240:5 244:15 TPMS 225:19,21 **TPSAC** 119:20,21,22 120:5 124:11 136:8,8 140:5,6 151:22 156:14,16 174:13 177:5,9,15,21 178:9 178:19,20 track 269:11 270:15 289:19,20 295:20 304:10 tracking 115:18 125:2 131:19 209:5 242:5 273:7 309:5 trade 136:10 140:1,7

199:19 200:10 214:14 259:14 traditional 73:7 77:5 312:5 traffic 79:16 tragic 10:4 training 154:5 251:8 260:4,5 261:13,16 transaction 322:12 transcribing 1:21 354:3 transcript 1:19 109:12 transcripts 156:15 transdermal 146:18 transfer 3:15 24:12 74:9 218:12 317:18 317:21 318:2,3,5,6,13 318:17,17,19,20 319:1,4,7,13,15,18 320:2,5,8,11,13,20 321:7,16,18,21 322:1 322:3,4,12,14,19 323:4,6,8,14,20,21 324:2,11,12,16 325:4 325:12,16 332:14,20 333:1,5 342:15 343:2 343:11 344:10,20 346:8.20 347:1 transferred 322:9 325:8 343:14 346:6 transferring 343:1,18 transfers 318:7.10.18 transition 10:8 47:20 180:13 transitioned 153:15,21 transitioning 249:7 translate 54:17 83:9 294:22 translates 60:8 translating 294:8 translation 24:18 transmission 270:5 transparency 5:14,20 6:10 10:12 15:12 48:9 71:5 85:9 91:11 143:1 292:17 352:10 transparent 6:13 85:5 transport 275:10,20 travel 187:15 245:16,19 treat 329:19,19 treated 75:9 83:17 330:20,21 treating 347:11 348:9 treatment 319:10 329:11 trends 237:11 triage 346:19 trial 147:1 TRLM 270:18

trouble 109:22 true 107:8 227:1 330:3 330:13 truly 177:10 323:20 327:9 trust 303:18 304:3,6 trusting 303:17 try 18:12 84:15 103:3 149:5 151:14 155:19 161:8 178:16 222:1 236:5,6,20 267:11 268:6 277:9 281:22 282:18 301:11 345:12 346:19 trying 70:2 92:5,7 95:4 97:12,16 109:21 168:15 169:15 170:5 230:2,3 345:9 tuned 87:4 **TurboTax** 269:20 turn 10:18 129:13 198:15 turned 158:6 232:22 233:1 Turning 69:11 **Twenty** 141:6 twice 176:20 Twitter 263:3 two 8:20 11:17 12:3,4 13:22 16:6 17:13,22 18:3 20:17 23:16 35:3 35:22 40:14 41:9 70:11 79:2.5 82:14 86:11 91:14 94:10,19 100:19 106:22 107:5 113:9 115:11 117:6 118:12 128:20 131:10 132:6 133:1 134:16 141:5 142:15 144:2 153:22 154:14 158:16 160:17 170:6 171:13 173:3 175:13 176:18 177:21 178:1 180:14 182:14 185:5 191:22 193:7 197:1,4 198:13 206:19 214:15 218:8 221:20 226:9 229:9 229:20 230:1,5,11 233:2 237:22 238:13 238:14 242:12.16 244:10 249:8 254:1 286:20 299:16 301:15 302:14 318:16,21 327:13 347:11 348:9 two-day 11:10 two-way 6:17 17:18 225:12 226:21 227:15 twofold 54:1

type 26:14 28:20 42:15 49:1 66:1 93:22 103:5 134:5 155:15 159:18 167:10 171:4 173:6 199:8 206:12,17 228:11 230:7 285:4 293:16 294:4 298:17 309:8 352:15,19 types 6:14 21:17 22:1 29:6 40:14,17 58:22 79:9 83:8 84:10 96:4 104:1 115:11 118:13 129:18 131:10 134:16 136:15 179:2 181:20 189:10 191:17.22 193:7 208:6 230:18 231:4 258:1 275:3 284:7 290:6 293:19 295:7,10 318:16 336:9 337:11,14 typically 121:16 206:5 253:3 301:19 302:6 318:9 327:7 328:2 330:3 U **U.S** 11:18 28:14 39:12 41:2.17 44:14 55:12 56:13 86:22 154:17 307:22 323:10 ultimate 245:3 ultimately 80:10 153:6 unable 25:7 48:17 74:9 unaligned 78:19 uncertain 177:12 **uncertainly** 150:15,18 155:20 uncertainties 13:15 uncertainty 155:11 unclear 278:13,14 334:11 undergo 5:17 210:16 undergone 4:17 236:21 underlying 133:14 283:5 understand 16:1,12 27:3 49:9 58:13 72:2 89:10 95:22 98:11 125:9 145:1 185:1 186:16 190:12 205:13 219:9 230:2 238:4 252:20 257:16 290:4 295:21 296:1 339:4 342:20 understandable 12:21 13:2 Understandably 4:22 332:8

understanding 4:9 6:18 15:4 22:1 29:14 53:14 78:20 130:3 170:4 186:11 195:2 250:2 256:14 258:20 266:4 288:15 306:19 335:8 understands 189:5 undertaken 85:11 unequivocally 144:10 unexpected 121:15 unexpectedly 9:10 unfavorable 133:20 146:2 unfortunately 108:8 346:11 unified 270:17 327:5 **unique** 13:4 48:2,12 49:15,18,20 54:16 63:19 65:8 118:9 123:16 124:21 125:1 129:3 263:15 328:1 uniquely 48:14 57:11 132:20 unit 284:10,12 309:10 United 20:9 34:17 39:1 40:8.20 111:6.11 123:20 308:18,20 310:2,22 327:2 350:19 351:2 units 283:11 **unopened** 275:10 unprecedented 11:9 unveiled 4:20 up-front 165:5 up-to-date 344:21 upcoming 54:20 101:2 198:10 update 54:11 95:15 181:22 189:14 210:5 321:6 324:14 updated 51:7 52:4,15 99:15 217:6 228:5 232:11 233:14 260:11 291:18 292:2 301:4 325:6 343:6 updates 20:4 38:11 45:2 47:21 48:2 95:7 121:20 261:9 263:1,4 263:14,18 264:14 301:10 324:1 updating 99:15 320:17 upfront 68:8 98:4 102:5 upload 271:8,10 273:4 273:18 274:4,7 281:21 uploaded 272:18 273:15 274:1,3 289:5 uploading 274:8

upper 273:20 **urge** 17:3 **USA** 80:15 288:1 **usability** 300:17 use 5:13 8:18 14:1 27:12 36:20 43:14 62:17 64:3 67:22 68:15 69:2 78:21 79:14 112:8,9 117:3 126:19 133:3 134:4 137:21 140:15 150:22 161:4 173:10 200:5 202:7 203:16 220:5 231:17 234:10.11 244:11,15,18 253:1 254:3 259:3 262:8 263:9 278:17 279:14 280:1 282:18 283:1 285:12 293:15,21 295:13 296:12 300:21 311:5,11,21 316:16 331:16 336:20 **useful** 16:13 67:9 73:2 130:9,12,21 153:19 156:15,20 176:5,16 177:14 178:18 190:11 227:13 258:20 307:9 307:14 308:2.11 309:3,12 310:4 311:4 311:10,19 312:15,22 313:7 usefulness 264:17 users 112:2,3,5 133:4 134:7,7 271:4 **uses** 260:3 281:4 283:4 **usually** 13:12 79:16 152:21 154:6 221:15 252:14 350:7 utility 177:12 utilize 125:4 126:16 136:19 137:9 238:9 238:22 282:20 346:13 utilized 133:11 162:8 274:17 utilizes 135:11 201:9 202:21 294:20 utilizing 137:18 v **v** 80:15 valid 29:8 135:17 212:13 validate 286:18 validations 231:11,18 232:3 233:19 valuable 285:1 290:12 292:1

vaping 143:7 vapor 76:10 326:17,19 327:4 328:19,22 variety 16:4 260:3 261:16 263:2 331:11 various 8:19 71:12 80:6 80:8 110:21 122:18 126:8 140:20 143:19 144:21 155:8 164:5 165:16 179:12 233:16 332:6 vary 121:12 189:6 259:22 ventilation 49:3 venues 87:11 verify 60:12 212:2 323:19 version 140:2 291:11 291:15,17 301:3,5 versioning 291:9 versus 51:4 95:10 98:4 165:10 211:5 244:19 vet 247:12 vetted 246:3 viability 80:3 Vice 69:10 73:8 Vichensont 2:19 197:15 198:1.18 199:1 view 74:18 145:3 257:7 257:17 327:5 viewed 67:15 184:3 viewing 254:7 258:7 viewpoints 302:22 views 14:5 133:10 violation 39:2 Virginia 230:22 virus 280:10,19 visibly 51:1 vision 7:1,5 visit 262:4 317:11 vocabulary 79:4 volumes 105:14 voluminous 229:16 voluntarily 200:9 voted 178:11 votes 178:8,10 **VP** 11:16 W wade 234:8 wait 60:21 waiting 102:2 103:9 179:12 walk 15:4 42:3 51:6 69:2 206:17 250:4,7 315:21 321:17 Walker 10:19,22 153:10

168:18 175:22 329:14 Walters 93:14 wanted 67:15 108:2 123:17 124:15 239:18 286:17 288:3 338:16 wanting 245:18 wants 14:2 16:11 80:10 147:12 157:6 warning 149:13 warranted 120:4 222:5 Washington 1:10 148:19 170:13 wasn't 49:5 82:9 98:10 233:2 237:8 239:4 294:1 waste 312:1 watching 11:7 water 311:21 wave 17:15 way 10:4 14:15 75:8,11 78:16 94:16 95:4 102:5 159:5 170:15 178:3,9 228:20 235:17 238:4,9 243:1 245:15 277:20 279:8 288:6,22 289:19 291:16 295:5 298:13 299:13,15 300:21 301:7 305:3 326:4 343:1 344:16 ways 78:22 85:5 161:11 169:16 171:15 234:3 243:22 244:4 250:21 264:20 340:19 web 67:9 109:10 167:16 183:18 251:13 256:11 260:10 287:20 290:11 290:13 291:22 300:11 315:9,14 317:8,11 323:13 336:7 338:21 webcast 11:7 14:8 webinar 82:4 85:18 183:9,10,14,18 190:5 204:6,8 261:15,21 262:1 315:10 335:17 webinars 61:21 67:15 80:19 87:10 250:18 261:2,12 290:14 webpage 251:2 260:13 260:16 261:9 262:5 262:10 website 23:7 25:3 32:19 35:20 36:9 49:17 54:10 64:11 95:15 99:16 109:13 135:9 140:3 141:18 156:13 158:19 162:10 183:4 204:6 250:4,7 251:2

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

values 36:10 275:12

255:21 256:19 259:12 259:19 279:17,18 295:19,20 300:1,5 week 172:17 204:2 weekend 9:9 weekly 13:1 weeks 15:17 239:6 301:20 welcome 2:2 4:3 108:5 187:1,22 198:5 249:16 287:11 304:21 305:7 welcomed 14:19 welfare 306:18 well- 330:10 well-defined 333:2 went 72:2 82:18 85:18 95:11 106:7 108:20 154:4 165:15 178:1,4 198:3 287:9 304:5 354:22 weren't 82:11 175:10 296:11 339:20 wetlands 312:2 whichever 61:1 215:1 White 239:8.11 who've 15:8 wholly-owned 76:5 wide 252:13 widely 345:13 willingness 6:15 352:7 window 77:12 Windows 277:2,10,11 wire 281:3 wish 148:2 181:15 184:21 220:14 wishes 145:21 207:8 216:13 309:21 withdraw 65:13,17 122:9,11,14 138:6 139:6,9,10 195:10 320:15 withdrawal 35:10 96:11 139:3 319:22 withdrawals 95:10,18 95:21 96:4 122:8 257:11 withdrawn 31:9 32:4 35:10 63:11 97:1 122:20 123:14 140:22 141:9 197:4 withdraws 138:7 witnessed 326:13 Wolz 230:20,20 232:7 241:9 242:1,6,11 wonder 174:12 wondered 175:6 wonderful 9:16

wondering 97:7 210:15 word 14:1 294:17 words 94:5 145:20 229:13 work 4:13 74:15 76:20 91:11 101:1,3 107:6 144:14 152:7 154:7 159:2 161:21 165:16 169:1 170:1 171:17 202:16 210:12 211:22 216:11 231:3 236:14 237:4 277:15 280:22 295:18 340:9 343:8 343:21 worked 143:4 148:18 148:22,22 165:20 228:16 working 8:2,6 12:5 69:14,17,22 70:18 73:16 168:13 236:22 285:22 288:1 293:13 293:18 295:11 339:19 348:19 works 145:18 170:15 177:6,15 workshop 144:9 225:11 288:5 293:9 workshops 250:19 262:4 world 7:1 13:15 91:5 100:12.13 155:13 worry 14:13 296:7 worthwhile 91:1 wouldn't 222:3 276:3 wrap 110:20 122:6 126:8 139:17 305:1,4 write 18:19 109:20 224:8 297:12,12 349:4 writing 122:13 191:15 206:6 216:14 224:1 written 25:8 32:8 80:21 139:8 161:13 176:12 187:20 193:8 221:12 227:14 237:1 244:20 245:5 246:8 266:11 338:20 340:1 wrote 178:4 www.fda.gov/tobacco 323:13 Х X 209:7 321:6,14 325:10,12,14 Υ yang 152:14

36:13 65:1.3 66:19 67:1 91:20 101:22 102:20 150:8 156:9 196:9,10,20 217:5 271:14 329:3 334:9 years 4:14 11:14,15,18 13:19 35:22 50:8 53:13 58:10 73:15 90:20 100:19 103:20 106:3 107:6 153:14 153:16,21 154:11,15 156:8 205:10 220:15 222:13 223:13 224:12 225:18 226:1 275:22 283:2 288:7 327:13 331:13 341:1 yield 144:6 146:12 yin 152:14 young 155:4 158:16 youth 262:7 327:12 YouTube 263:3 Ζ **Z-Y-N** 150:11 **Zeller** 4:3,6 84:13 148:4 178:7 zero 113:6,16,19 128:17 129:5,8 **ZIP** 308:17 **Zoeller** 157:5 zones 312:3 **zoning** 311:21 **zoom** 278:10,13 **ZYN** 150:10,17 151:12 0 1 1,000 53:17 1.1 74:22 **1:15** 197:22 198:1 **1:18** 198:4 10 2:3 5:11 28:10 29:6 60:14,15,19 67:1 106:3 141:6 313:8 10.95 282:19 10:24 108:20 10:35 108:17 **10:39** 108:21 110 2:11 1105.10 21:15 1107.1(b) 21:8 1107.1(b)(9) 37:3 12:16 198:3 **120** 65:21 66:1 90:2,9 91:18 120-day 91:22 92:2 1200 54:8

125 2:13 13 164:4 253:12 134a 330:10 **14** 281:16 **142** 2:14 **15** 104:15 121:16 283:1 341:1 15-minute 108:16 1500 53:21 **1506.6** 314:14 15th 40:9 41:17 44:14 56:14 84:4 334:22 16 196:22 **17** 2:4 123:6,7 153:15 1750 1:11 179 169:19 **180** 51:19 52:2 59:7,9 59:12,21 60:11,22 71:20 72:12 100:3 103:10 106:3 120:14 124:14 145:16 146:1 167:20,21 168:3,13 170:8 174:22 277:16 **180-** 101:14 180-day 61:7 104:11 113:13 181 2:17 61:4 19 2:6 67:1 309:20 **1970** 306:11 **198** 2:19 **1986** 149:12 1st 59:6 62:4 91:20 92:2 306:11 2 **2** 2:10 42:10 68:14 123:4 169:14 265:7 309:2 2:53 287:9 **20** 70:14 341:1 **200** 175:1 234:1 **2006** 182:14 183:10 **2007** 40:9 41:18 44:14 56:14 84:4 334:22 349:21 2009 76:14 79:2 127:13 148:12,17 269:4 288:3 **2010** 77:11 91:17 **2011** 21:9 41:12.18 56:15 65:20 69:16 84:2,4 85:2 91:17 143:13 334:22 2012 182:18 183:15 228:5 2013 88:19 89:5 2014 64:18 149:19 196:4

year 4:19 7:1 36:1,7,7

l		
2015 149:20,22	367 123:5	905(j) 309:20
2016 7:18 114:13 150:5		905(j)(1) 39:8
	37 141:3,4	
182:17 190:4 203:12	38 2:8	905(j)(1)(A)(ii) 33:5
228:5,14,20 270:22	396 123:1	36:22
315:12		905(j)(1)(B) 37:1
2017 21:16 36:1,7,10	4	905(j)(3)(A) 21:5
53:8 196:9	4 2:2 3:2 249:8 287:13	906(e) 112:12
2018 1:8 36:7 59:6 62:4	310:13	907 33:20 112:17
91:20 92:2 122:22	4:00 265:2 266:15,16	117:18
141:2 196:20 204:8	4:26 354:22	910 111:3 121:4
253:12 260:8,17	40 183:12 314:13	910(a)(2) 111:4
2019 36:9 66:12,20	45 188:16,20 194:19	910(b) 116:16 164:11
2020 83:17 350:1	235:22	910(c) 121:3
2022 36:2,13 65:3	4th 21:9	910(c)(1)(a) 113:12
147:10 196:10		911 126:12 164:11
21 21:8,15 35:5,21 37:3	5	911(d) 132:14
65:11,16 66:4 196:8	5 3:10 28:7 75:5 311:1,3	911(g) 138:5
		311(g) 130.5
229:14 282:19 307:5	326:3	
314:6,12 315:5	50 66:22 179:16 202:21	
335:22 348:4 350:15	203:4,8	
219 2:21	508 259:18	
21st 84:2,4	510(k)'s 330:6	
22 1:8		
22nd 41:12,18 56:15	6	
127:13 334:22	6 28:10,12 93:20 311:5	
24 204:2	60 35:12 36:5 58:16	
249 3:3	70:15 103:8 168:11	
25 37:5 73:15 244:13	253:5	
328:16	60-day 104:10	
25.15(a) 307:5	61 168:12	
25.35(a) 315:5	67 2:9	
25.40 37:6 335:22 348:4		
25.51(a) 314:7	7	
25.51(b) 314:12	7 134:8 311:1,6	
250 233:10	70 66:5,15 271:13,15	
2500 53:10	700 12:10	
26 123:3 141:4	100 12:10	
267 3:6	8	
287 3:8		
	8 28:20 312:14 353:6	
3	354:7	
3 2:15 42:11 43:6 45:17	8:00 266:14	
	8:30 1:11 354:16	
75:5 119:5 123:8	8:33 4:2	
138:19 198:12 309:11	80 36:2,14 66:6,15 67:2	
3,000 94:9	800 4:14	
3:05 287:6	8th 7:18 260:8	
3:07 287:10		
30 58:16 70:10 103:8	9	
141:2 142:5 168:22	9 26:1 29:2 258:14	
30-day 104:10	312:21	
300 278:15	9:00 265:2	
305 3:13	90 33:6 34:13,16 39:10	
30th 21:16 122:22	65:13 90:11 196:10	
31 234:1	253:5	
317 3:16	90- 90:2	
326 3:18	90-day 34:3 66:13	
354 3:20	903 112:15	
360 140:9	904(a)(1) 253:17	
11		

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Tobacco Product Application Review

Before: US FDA

Date: 10-22-18

Place: Rockville, MD

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near Rans &

Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 392