Peter J. Pizzi
Selina M. Ellis
WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP
Three Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street, Fifteenth Floor
Newark, New Jersey 07102
Tel: (973) 757-1100

Of Counsel:

Charles J. Harder (to be admitted *pro hac vice*) HARDER LLP 100 Park Avenue, Sixteenth Floor New York, New York 10017 Tel: (212) 799-1400

Counsel for Plaintiff Binance Holdings Limited

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

BINANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED,	Civil Action No.

Plaintiff,

v.

FORBES MEDIA LLC, MICHAEL DEL CASTILLO and JASON BRETT,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Filed Electronically

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Binance Holdings Limited ("Binance"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby complains against defendants Forbes Media LLC, Michael del Castillo and Jason Brett (collectively, "Defendants") as follows:

SUMMARY OF ACTION

- 1. Binance is a cryptocurrency exchange.
- 2. On October 29, 2020, Defendants published a story on the Forbes Media, LLC ("Forbes") website bearing the headline: "Leaked 'Tai Chi' Document Reveals Binance's Elaborate Scheme To Evade Bitcoin Regulators," at www.forbes.com/sites/michaeldelcastillo/2020/10/29/leaked-tai-chi-document-reveals-binances-elaborate-scheme-to-evade-bitcoin-regulators (the "Story").
- 3. The Story contains numerous false, misleading and defamatory statements about Binance. Among other things, the Story falsely states that Binance created an "elaborate scheme to evade Bitcoin regulators," "conceived of an elaborate corporate structure designed to intentionally deceive regulators," had an "ulterior motive" in setting up a U.S. entity, sought to "execute a bait and switch," sought to "surreptitiously profit from crypto investors in the United States," "funneled back" revenue from its U.S. entity to the parent company, used its U.S. entity as "a decoy," sought to "undermine the ability of anti-money laundering and U.S. sanctions enforcement to detect illicit activity," is "reminiscent of Amway-style multi-level marketing organizations," "has been side-stepping American regulators," exhibits a "key 'red flag' characteristic of money laundering" and that "there is speculation that the FBI and the IRS may be investigating" Binance.
- 4. All of these statements by the Defendants, as well as the full list of statements from the Story provided further below, are false and defamatory. Among other things, neither Binance, nor anyone on its behalf, created the purported 2018 slideshow presentation referenced in the Story. Binance also has not implemented any of the suggestions in that proposal, which

was created by a third party. The Story falsely states that "senior Binance executives" both created and implemented it.

- 5. Importantly, Binance does not violate, and fully complies with, all applicable laws, rules and regulations in its operations. Binance does not seek to evade or "side-step" any regulatory entities in any jurisdictions in connection with their role in ensuring that all laws, rules and regulations of their jurisdictions are complied with.
- 6. Binance also does not move or "funnel back" revenue from a U.S. entity to Binance, nor does Binance engage in any activity that could even remotely be described as "money laundering."
- 7. Defendants' false public statements, misrepresentations and innuendo that Binance does not comply with applicable law, seeks to evade regulators, and is engaged in activity "characteristic of money laundering" are highly damaging to Binance.
- 8. Prior to filing this action, Binance sent Defendants a letter demanding that Defendants remove, retract and apologize for the numerous false statements in the Story, identified herein. Defendants refused to do so, thereby necessitating this lawsuit. Binance has filed this action to protect its hard-earned reputation and business, which has been severely damaged by Defendants' false and defamatory statements and wrongful conduct.

THE PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Binance Holdings Limited is a limited company organized under the laws of the Cayman Islands.
- 10. On information and belief, defendant Forbes is a New Jersey limited liability company, with its principal place of business in Jersey City, New Jersey.

- 11. On information and belief, defendant Michael del Castillo is an individual residing in or around New York City, New York, and is a staff writer for Forbes.
- 12. On information and belief, defendant Jason Brett is an individual residing in or around Washington, D.C., and is a contributing writer for Forbes.
- 13. On information and belief, Defendants, and each of them, were and are the agents, licensees, employees, partners, joint-venturers, co-conspirators, owners, principals and/or employers of each other and each of them are, and at all times mentioned herein were, acting within the course and scope of that agency, license, partnership, employment, conspiracy, ownership or joint venture. Upon further information and belief, the acts and conduct herein alleged of each of the Defendants were known to, authorized by and/or ratified by the other Defendants and each of them.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the parties are completely diverse and the amount in controversy exceeds the statutory minimum.
- 15. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) & (b)(2), in that the primary defendant, Forbes, is a domiciliary of the state of New Jersey and resides in this judicial district. The other defendants (Forbes' writers who wrote the Story) do business in this judicial district in connection with their services for and on behalf of Forbes, including in connection with writing the Story at issue. Thus, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this action also occurred in this judicial district.

STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

- 16. Forbes published the Story, written by defendant del Castillo "[w]ith additional reporting from [defendant Brett]" on October 29, 2020. It contains the following false, misleading and defamatory statements about Binance:
 - a. Binance is pursuing an "Elaborate Scheme To Evade Bitcoin Regulators."
 - b. Binance "conceived of an elaborate corporate structure designed to intentionally deceive regulators."
 - c. Binance sought to "surreptitiously profit from crypto investors in the United States."
 - d. The document on which the Story is based was "created by" "senior Binance executives."
 - e. "While Binance appears to have gone out of its way to submit to U.S. regulations by establishing a compliant subsidiary, Binance.US, an ulterior motive is now apparent."
 - f. Binance had a "strategic plan to execute a bait and switch."
 - g. "While the then-unnamed entity set up operations in the United States to distract regulators with feigned interest in compliance, measures would be put in place to move revenue in the form of licensing fees and more to the parent company, Binance."
 - h. "All the while, potential customers would be taught how to evade geographic restrictions while technological work-arounds were put in place."
 - i. "[Binance] Chief compliance officer [Samuel] Lim had previously sent an email to Forbes confirming that [Harry] Zhou had been a Binance employee"

- j. "[M]any of the specifics outlined within" the scheme "are already in place."
- k. The plan discussed in the Story was created by a "former Binance employee."
- 1. "[Binance sought] to undermine the ability of anti-money laundering and U.S. sanctions enforcement to detect illicit activity."
- m. Strong implication that "engagement of CipherTrace was part of a larger investigation into Binance or Binance.US" by the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- n. Under Binance's plan, "revenue from the U.S. business could be funneled back to Binance, the parent company.... But unlike an actual subsidiary whose parent company could be held accountable for regulatory violations, the Tai Chi entity would have little more than a contractual relationship.... Essentially, it would be a decoy."
- o. "Binance.US is expected to engage the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Commodities Futures Trading Commission and the New York Department of Financial Services, but importantly . . . it doesn't expect to gain approvals from any of them."
- p. "Binance.US [is] the Tai Chi entity" referenced in the document on which the Story is based.
- q. "[Binance is] reminiscent of Amway-style multi-level marketing organizations."
- r. "Binance has been side-stepping American regulators."
- s. Binance exhibits a "key 'red flag' characteristic of money laundering."

- t. "[T]here is speculation that the FBI and the IRS may be investigating"

 Binance.
- 17. The foregoing statements are false, misleading and highly defamatory to Binance. Neither Binance, nor anyone on its behalf, created the purported 2018 slideshow presentation referenced in the Story. The person who Forbes claims created it, Harry Zhou, is not and never was an employee of Binance. Binance has not implemented any of the suggestions in the proposal, which was created by a third party. The Story falsely states that Binance both created and implemented the plan.
- 18. Binance does not violate, and fully complies with, all applicable laws, rules and regulations in its operations. Binance also does not seek to evade or "side-step" any regulators in any jurisdictions.
- 19. Binance also does not "funnel back" or otherwise move revenue from any U.S. entity to Binance, nor does Binance engage in any activity that could even remotely be described as a "money laundering."
- 20. Defendants' false public statements, misrepresentations and innuendo that Binance does not comply with applicable law, seeks to evade regulators, and is engaged in activity "characteristic of money laundering," among other false statements referenced above, are highly damaging to Binance.
- 21. Defendants were aware, when they published the Story, that the purported 2018 slideshow presentation was nothing more than a proposal by a third party, and that it was not a "strategic plan" created by Binance. Defendants also were aware that the person who purportedly created it, Harry Zhou, was not a Binance employee, nor acted on its behalf.

 Defendants also grossly mischaracterized the 2018 slideshow proposal in the Story as being an

effort to evade regulators, "funnel back" money from a U.S. entity to Binance, and engage in activity "characteristic of money laundering." In doing so, Defendants were aware that all such statements were false and/or grossly misleading. No facts or evidence in Defendants' possession at the time of publishing the Story, or otherwise, suggested, let alone demonstrated, that:

- (a) the U.S. entity discussed in the Story "funneled back" or moved any money to Binance;
- (b) Binance engaged in any activity that truthfully could be described as "characteristic of money laundering"; or that
- (c) Binance engaged in any activity that could truthfully be described as evading regulators, or violating any law, rule or regulation of any jurisdiction.

Nonetheless, Defendants proceeded to publish the false, misleading and defamatory statements in the Story, knowing that they would cause substantial harm to Binance. Defendants published these statements knowing that they were false, or made them with reckless disregard for the truth.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Defamation)

- 22. Binance realleges and incorporates by this reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 23. The statements by Defendants set forth in Paragraph 16 herein were false.
 - 24. The false statements were of and concerning Binance.
- 25. To the extent that actual malice is required to be pleaded and proven, Defendants made the false statements either knowing that the statements were false or with reckless disregard for the truth.

- 26. The false statements are not protected by any privilege or authorization.
- 27. Binance has been seriously damaged as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' publication of the false statements, the full amount of which will be proven at trial, believed to be in the millions of dollars. Further, the false statements constitute defamation *per se* because they impugn the basic integrity, creditworthiness and/or competence of Binance's business.
- 28. Defendants' conduct was willful, malicious, and oppressive, in that Defendants knew full well that the false statements would harm Binance. As such, in addition to compensatory damages and/or presumed damages, Binance demands punitive damages relating to Defendants' publication and maintenance of the above-referenced false statements, in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Binance respectfully requests:

- i. An award of compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- ii. An award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- iii. An order granting permanent injunctive relief to prevent Defendants from making the same defamatory statements about Binance, and requiring Defendants to permanently remove the defamatory statements about Binance;
- iv. An award of all costs of suit; and
- v. An award of such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Binance hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in this action.

Dated: November 18, 2020 WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP

By: /s Peter J. Pizzi

Peter J. Pizzi

Selina M. Ellis

Three Gateway Center

100 Mulberry Street, Fifteenth Floor

Newark, New Jersey 07102

Tel: (973) 757-1100

Of Counsel:

Charles J. Harder (to be admitted pro hac vice)

HARDER LLP

100 Park Avenue, Sixteenth Floor

New York, New York 10017

Tel: (212) 799-1400

Counsel for Plaintiff Binance Holdings Limited

RULE 11.2 CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other pending or anticipated litigation in any court or arbitration proceeding, nor are there any non-parties known to Plaintiff that should be joined to this action. In addition, I recognize a continuing obligation during the course of this litigation to file and to serve on all other parties and with the Court an amended certification if there is a change in the facts stated in this original certification.

Dated: November 18, 2020 WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP

By: /s Peter J. Pizzi

Peter J. Pizzi Selina M. Ellis

Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street, Fifteenth Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102

Tel: (973) 757-11100

Of Counsel:

Charles J. Harder (to be admitted *pro hac vice*) HARDER LLP

100 Park Avenue, Sixteenth Floor New York, New York 10017

Tel: (212) 799-1400

Counsel for Binance Holdings Limited

RULE 201.1 CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the above-captioned matter is not subject to compulsory arbitration in that the Plaintiff seeks, *inter alia*, damages in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs and any claim for punitive damages, and injunctive relief.

Dated: November 18, 2020 WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP

By: /s Peter J. Pizzi

Peter J. Pizzi Selina M. Ellis

Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street, Fifteenth Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102

Tel: (973) 757-11100

Of Counsel:

Charles J. Harder (to be admitted *pro hac vice*) HARDER LLP 100 Park Avenue, Sixteenth Floor New York, NY 10017

Tel: (212) 799-1400

Counsel for Binance Holdings Limited

Case 2:20-cv-16398-JMV-JAD Document 1-1 Filed 11/18/20 Page 1 of 1 PageID: 13 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	OF THIS FO	DRM.)	, 1				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
BINANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED,				FORBES MEDIA LLC, MICHAEL DEL CASTILLO and JASON BRETT					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Grand Cayman, Cayman (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP, Three Gateway Center, 100 Mulberry Street, 15th Fl., Newark, NJ 07102, (973) 757-1100 ppizzi@walsh.law				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Hudson County, NJ (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	L TIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in (One Box fo	or Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		r Defendar PTF □ 4	<i>nt)</i> DEF ☼ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	J 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	X 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			I E	ODERITHDE/DENATTV		here for: Nature of			
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEF 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Fraud Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 5310 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1	CABOR LABOR Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Chabor Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note: Inmigration Actions	422 Apper 423 Without 28 U: PROPER 820 Copy: 830 Paten 835 Paten New 840 Trade 861 HIA (862 Black 863 DIW() 864 SSID 865 RSI (870 Taxes 870 Taxes 870 Taxes 871 IRS 26 U:	SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights t t - Abbreviated Drug Application mark SECURITY (1395ff) t Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) LAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced ar □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedu □ Act/Review or Appeal of □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of □ State Statutes		ed and ons dities/ tions ters ation cedure
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court		1	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. § 1332 Brief description of ca	use:							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain □No	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 11/18/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at s/Peter J. Pizzi		OF RECORD					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		