Meeting

Г

	Page 1	
1	FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	
2	CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH	
3		
4		
5	The Future of Insulin Biosimilars:	
6	Increasing Access and Facilitating the	
7	Efficient Development of Biosimilar and	
8	Interchangeable Insulin Products	
9		
10	DATE: Monday, May 13, 2019	
11	TIME: 9:00 a.m.	
12	LOCATION: FDA White Oak Campus	
13	Building 31, Room 1503-B	
14	10903 New Hampshire Avenue	
15	Silver Spring, MD 20903	
16	REPORTED BY: KeVon Congo, Notary Public	
17	JOB No.: 3244910	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

		Page 2
1	I N D E X	
2		Page
3	Opening Remarks (Norman Sharpless)	3
4	Introductory Logistics Remarks (Eva Temkin)	12
5	Alexander Oshmyansky (Osh's Affordable	17
6	Pharmaceuticals)	
7	Dr. Steven Lucio (Vizient, Inc.)	24
8	Dr. Abhijit Barve (Mylan)	42
9	Dr. Sherry Martin (Eli Lilly and Company)	60
10	Dr. Jing Luo (Brigham and Women's Hospital)	69
11	Christine Simmon (Association for Accessible	
12	Medicines)	86
13	Dr. Sundar Ramanan (Biocon)	97
14	Dr. Marjana Marinac (JDRF)	113
15	Dr. Robert Ratner (American Diabetes Assoc.)	126
16	Robert Geho (Diasome Pharmaceuticals)	135
17	Dr. Mariana Socal (Johns Hopkins University)	148
18	Open Public Hearing	162
19	Closing Remarks (Eva Temkin)	175
20		
21		
22		
	www.CapitalReportingCompany.com	

Meeting

Page	3
------	---

	Page 3
1	MS. TEMKIN: Good morning. My name is Eva
2	Temkin. I am the acting director for policy in the
3	Office of Therapeutic Biologics and Biosimilars at
4	CDER here at FDA, and I'm going to be the presiding
5	officer for the hearing today.
6	It is my pleasure to introduce our Acting FDA
7	Commissioner, Dr. Sharpless. Dr. Sharpless joined the
8	FDA in April, after serving as the director of the
9	National Cancer Institute at NIH, and the director of
10	the University of North Carolina Lineberger
11	Comprehensive Cancer Center. In his time at FDA so
12	far, we have already seen Dr. Sharpless advocate for
13	increased competition in and access to markets for
14	lifesaving therapies, like insulin. Dr. Sharpless
15	will be providing opening remarks to set the tone for
16	the public hearing today, the future of biosimilars,
17	increasing access, and facilitating the efficient
18	development of biosimilar and interchangeable insulin
19	products. Dr. Sharpless?
20	DR. SHARPLESS: Good morning, and thank you
21	for having me today. I think it's a very important

never been more promising or exciting as it is now. 1 Biomedical research is really changing the way we 2 approach public health and has enormous opportunity. 3 4 But with these advances, I think it's important to mention comes with new challenges. And one of the 5 biggest challenges of the modern medicine era is the 6 7 cost of many of these new, and in some cases not so 8 new, treatments and devices.

The subject of today's public hearing, 9 10 facilitating the efficient development of biosimilar 11 and interchangeable insulin products tackles this 12 challenge in part. Insulin is a life-saving medicine. 13 The essential role in treating diabetes mellitus has been known since the time of Banting and Best in the 14 15 1920s, and as an internist I can say that no condition 16 is more satisfying to treat than diabetic 17 ketoacidosis. You would have these patients come in 18 so profoundly sick and we give them 10 or 20 units of 19 regular insulin, and these patients would rise like Lazarus and then tell you they wanted to go home so 20 21 quickly. So, it was a great -- it makes it evident 2.2 how important that drug is for patients in that

	Meeting May 13, 2019
	Page 5
1	condition. And if that doesn't make you feel like a
2	real doctor, then kind of nothing will.
3	But although insulin is an old medicine, the
4	recent advances in science and technology has been
5	improved in many ways in terms of formulation,
б	monitoring and delivery, making diabetic care much
7	simpler for patients and families in reducing the
8	risks of long-term diabetic complications.
9	Earlier this year, for instance, the FDA
10	approved the first interoperable insulin pump intended
11	to allow patients to customize treatment through their
12	individual diabetes management devices. And last year
13	we expanded the approval of an automated insulin
14	delivery and monitoring system for use in younger
15	pediatric patients.
16	FDA has approved three follow-on insulin
17	products Basaglar, Lusduna and Admelog since 2015.
18	For those of you who may wonder how I can pronounce
19	those, my wife is an endocrinologist, so I got some
20	coaching. But against this backdrop, ongoing and
21	hopeful medical progress is a continuing increase in
22	the prices of insulin products.

	Page 6
1	One study from the Schaefer Center documented
2	the average list price of four insulin categories
3	increased on the order of 16% per year from 2001 to
4	2015, and a report released late last year by the
5	Congressional Research Service noted a list price of
6	one type of insulin had increased nearly 600% from
7	2012 to 2016. It hardly needs to be said that these
8	kinds of whopping and steady price increases make it
9	increasingly difficult for many insulin-dependent
10	patients to afford basic medicines they need to
11	survive. As a physician, I find this intolerable. No
12	patient should have to choose between paying for their
13	medicine and paying for their rent.
14	I know this audience is well aware of the
15	recent news reports of people who have felt the need
16	to stockpile insulin, or reports of patients who
17	couldn't get the insulin they needed and have died
18	from lack of access.
19	As a regulatory agency focused on science and
20	evidence-based care, the FDA is working to support the
21	advancement of new treatments and to build a system of
22	public health that strengthens access to needed

1 medical care. At the same time, we're also very focused on making sure that the drugs patients need 2 are affordable and accessible. One of the best ways 3 4 to achieve this is to increase market competition 5 through the introduction and expansion of safe and effective generic drugs. We've seen great success in 6 7 this area with record levels of generic drug approvals 8 in recent years. Generic drugs account for 90% or more of the prescriptions in the United States, and 9 10 the generic drug supply in America is highly regulated 11 and safer than ever. Unfortunately, however, not all 12 pharmaceutical products are amenable to competition 13 through the generic pathway.

That has been the case for insulin products, 14 15 because insulin is regulated as a biologic presently, meaning a complex molecule generally manufactured in 16 17 living cells. Biologics increasingly are a mainstay 18 of modern medicine playing a critical role in the 19 treatment of serious illnesses and often presenting the only effective treatment for some patients. 20 In 21 fact, biologics today account for about a third of new 2.2 therapies approved by the FDA. Unfortunately, because

of their complexity, it's been difficult to increase
 competition in the market for biologic products.

3 One could think of it this way: Biosimilars 4 are to biologics as generics are to small molecule 5 drugs. Until recently, there was no pathway for FDA 6 to approve products that are biosimilar to or 7 interchangeable with brand-name products, as there is 8 for small molecules. Thanks to several important legislative, regulatory and policy changes, however, 9 10 the FDA expects that this is going to change, and the 11 opportunity for companies to develop new, less 12 expensive biosimilar interchangeable insulins will be 13 possible.

In 2010, Congress created a Biologics Price 14 Competition Innovation Act, which created a pathway 15 16 for approval of biosimilar and interchangeable 17 products. What this means is that biologics are now 18 open to competition, providing more treatment options 19 to patients at potentially lower prices. We've taken 20 important steps to implement this pathway and promote 21 this type of competition pursuant to Congress's 22 direction. Our Biosimilars Action Plan released last

year is designed to improve the efficiency of the
biosimilar and interchangeable product development and
approval process by providing increased scientific and
regulatory clarity for the biosimilar development
community, and we're seeing results. The FDA has
already approved 19 biosimilar products with many more
biosimilar development programs underway.
And last week the FDA issued a final guidance
on interchangeability of biosimilar products,
describing the regulatory path whereby biosimilars can
be substituted without the involvement of a prescriber
for branded biologics. This is important and it's a
key step to controlling the prices of biologic drugs
in general, but today we're here to specifically talk
about insulin.
At Congress's discretion, we are
transitioning, effective next March, certain
applications for biologic products currently approved
under the Food, Drug & Cosmetics Act of the FD&C to be
biologics under the Public Health Service Act of the
PHS. That's a mouthful, but make no mistake, it's
important, and let me try and explain. It offers a

```
1
     promise for insulin products.
 2
               While insulin products are proteins in more
     biologics presently, they historically have been
 3
 4
     regulated under the FD&C, which governs the approval
     of drugs and generics, rather than PHS, which governs
 5
     the approval of most biologics. By moving insulin and
 6
 7
     other applicable products to be under the PHS,
8
     Congress has promoted a pathway for follow-on insulin
     products to become available. So, this means that
9
10
     insulin and insulin analogs will now be open to
11
     biosimilar competition, which in turn can lead to the
12
     development of more affordable biosimilar insulin
13
     products, including products that are interchangeable
     with branded insulins without any compromise in safety
14
15
     and efficacy. We're hopeful that this approval of
16
     interchangeable products will translate into increased
     competition, meaning lower cost and increased access
17
18
     for patients.
19
               According to the timetable in the statute,
     insulins and other biological products historically
20
21
     regulated under the FD&C will not transition to the
2.2
     PHS until March of 2020. And while this is slower
```

	Page 11
1	than many of us would like, it's clear that there is
2	already a great deal of interest among potential
3	sponsors. We're not where we need to be yet, but
4	we're getting closer, and we've taken important steps.
5	Today's public hearing is another key step.
6	The opportunity to hear from you, stakeholders
7	directly affected by the price of insulin and who
8	would benefit by the impact of additional competition
9	from biosimilar and interchangeable products. We want
10	to hear from you about what factors we should consider
11	in evaluating information submitted by applicants for
12	new biosimilar products. What scientific standards
13	should we use for evaluating within the bounds set by
14	the statutory requirements whether an insulin product
15	is biosimilar or interchangeable to a reference
16	product? Do certain products, like insulin pumps or
17	continuous subcutaneous infusions, raise unique
18	scientific considerations that we should be
19	considering when evaluating biosimilar or
20	interchangeable insulin products? And we want to know
21	what aspects of the patient experience with insulin
22	products should FDA consider when making this

1 evaluation?

2 Finally, what kinds of information and resources do we need to develop and foster effective 3 4 communication and promote awareness among patients, clinicians, pharmacists, and other stakeholders about 5 biosimilar and interchangeable insulin products? Your 6 7 voices are what will help spur and shape the 8 development of our policy in this area to meet public health needs. Working together, I believe we can 9 10 advance the development of biosimilar insulin products that are more affordable, effective and accessible. 11 12 Thank you, and I look forward to your 13 comments, and have a great meeting. MS. TEMKIN: Good morning again to "The 14 Future of Insulin Biosimilars," this public hearing. 15 As the presiding officer, it is my pleasure to now 16 make an enormous slew of remarks on logistics of 17 18 today's hearing. 19 The purpose of the hearing is to provide an opportunity for broad public input as the Agency 20 21 prepares for the submission and review of applications

22 for biosimilar and interchangeable insulin products.

Page	13

1	Before we begin, here come the administrative
2	announcements.

3 First, please silence any cell phones or other mobile devices, as they may interfere with the 4 audio in the room today. Second, we ask that all 5 attendees sign in at the registration tables outside 6 7 the meeting room. Restrooms are located in the lobby 8 past the coffee area to the right and down the hallway. Finally, copies of today's presentations 9 10 will be available upon request. Contact information is also available at the registration table. 11 12 I would now like to ask the FDA panelists to 13 please introduce themselves. MR. UNLU: I'm Mustafa Unlu. I'm with the 14 15 Office of Chief Counsel. 16 MR. SCHILLER: Good morning. I'm Lowell Schiller, the principal associate commissioner for 17 18 policy. 19 MS. YIM: Sarah Yim, Acting Director of the 20 Office of Therapeutic Biologics and Biosimilars.

21 MS. LIAS: I'm Courtney Lias. I'm with the 22 Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

	Meeting May 13, 20
	Page 14
1	MS. YANOFF: Good morning. Lisa Yanoff,
2	Acting Director of the Division of Metabolism and
3	Endocrinology Products in CDER.
4	MR. STEIN: Good morning. Peter Stein,
5	Director of the Office of New Drugs, CDER.
6	MR. KOSLOWSKI: Steven Koslowski, Director of
7	the Office of Biotechnology Products, OPQ CDER.
8	MS. TEMKIN: Thank you. For media inquiries,
9	our press officer today is Lindsay Meyer. If any
10	members there she is. If any members of the media
11	are here today, please sign in, and if you have
12	questions or interest in speaking with the FDA about
13	this public hearing, please reach out to Lindsay
14	Meyer. The hearing is intended to give FDA the
15	opportunity to listen to the comments from the
16	presenters, so panelists and other FDA employees will
17	not be available to make statements to the media.
18	Although there are no rules of evidence for
19	this public hearing, there are some general procedural
20	rules. No participant can interrupt the presentation
21	of any other participant, and only FDA panel members
22	will be allowed to question the presenters. There

will be an open public comment period at the end of
 the day, once all the presenters have finished.

Public hearings are public administrative
proceedings and are subject to FDA policies and
procedures for electronic media coverage.

6 Representatives of the electronic media are permitted, 7 subject to certain limitations, to videotape, film or 8 otherwise record FDA's public proceedings, including 9 the presentations of today's speakers. This hearing 10 will also be transcribed, and copies of the transcript 11 can be ordered through the docket or accessed on our 12 website approximately 30 days after today's hearing.

13 Today we have 12 speakers registered. Each of them will have 10 minutes to present, and after 14 15 each presentation, five minutes are scheduled for panel members to ask questions. If a speaker finishes 16 17 early or if the questions from the panel do not take 18 the fully allotted five minutes, we intend to move on 19 to the next speaker. This means that speakers may find themselves being called upon to give their 20 21 presentations before the time that is listed on the 2.2 agenda. Although we may be adjusting the schedule as

Page 15

	Page 16
1	needed, we will keep our scheduled breaks at the time
2	listed on the agenda.
3	For the speakers, we have timer lights to
4	guide you. You'll see them when you get up here. The
5	light will indicate when you begin speaking and when
6	to stop. The timer will give you a two-minute warning
7	before the red light goes on. If you have not
8	concluded your remarks by the end of your allotted
9	time, I will have to ask you to do so.
10	Please remember that the hearing is being
11	transcribed, so please be sure to use the microphone
12	when speaking. If you didn't register to make an oral
13	presentation but you would like to do so at the end of
14	the hearing, you may be able to speak during the open
15	public comment period, which is scheduled to begin at
16	1:45 p.m. If you're interested, please sign up at the
17	registration table outside the meeting room no later
18	than 10 a.m. for one of the available three-minute
19	speaker slots. We also strongly encourage you to
20	submit to the docket. The Federal Register notice has
21	details on how to submit comments to the docket.
22	Extra copies of that notice are also available at the

1 registration table. As you can see from the slide, electronic or written comments can be submitted to the 2 3 public docket until May 31. This hearing is being 4 webcast live. However, the webcast is not interactive, so webcast viewers cannot comment or ask 5 questions. 6 7 In closing, I want to thank everyone, including our panelists and speakers, for 8 participating today. I look forward to a very 9 10 productive hearing. And with that, I will ask our 11 first speaker, Alexander Oshmyansky. 12 DR. OSHMYANSKY: All right. I would first 13 like to very much thank the FDA and all the members of the panel for allowing me to speak here today. 14 My 15 name is Dr. Alexander Oshmyansky, and I am the CEO of 16 Osh's Affordable Pharmaceuticals. I am here today to 17 speak about our spinoff company, The Insulin Club. 18 The Insulin Club is dedicated to producing 19 new, low-cost biosimilar versions of analog insulins. Our mission is that every American who needs insulin 20 21 should be able to easily afford it. We want to 2.2 dramatically decrease the cost of insulin.

1	We will be structured as a membership club,
2	similar to Costco. In exchange for a small annual
3	fee, we will supply insulin at a low fixed net margin.
4	One of our core tenets is complete radical price
5	transparency. We intend to tell our members exactly
6	what it costs us to manufacture, market and develop
7	our products so that they may be able to make
8	informed, rational decisions about their healthcare.
9	Our initial goal is to have biosimilar
10	glargine insulin available at a price of \$20 a vial
11	within the next three years.
12	Right now, the high cost of analog insulins
13	has devastating consequences. The average list price
14	of insulin has tripled between 2002 and 2013, and has
15	continued to rise since. This has resulted in
16	rationing of insulin for both type 1 and type 1
17	diabetics or, I'm sorry, type 2 diabetics. This
18	practice can result in undue blindness, amputation,
19	renal failure, and premature death. For a sense of
20	scale, approximately 30 million Americans live with
21	
21	diabetes. Diabetes and its complications cost the US

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com 202-857-3376

	Page 19
1	As it stands, three manufacturers control 99%
2	of the US insulin market, resulting in a severe lack
3	of competition and the potential for continued
4	increased price hikes.
5	At the moment, the regulatory structure
б	around insulin makes it difficult for new companies to
7	develop insulin. Fortunately, a new regulatory
8	framework for bringing insulin products to market is
9	on the horizon and which has the potential to increase
10	competition in the insulin marketplace and facilitate
11	new entrants such as ourselves. Starting in 2020,
12	insulin will be regulated as a biologic product under
13	the 351(k) pathway. However, development times for
14	biologic or biosimilar drugs remain lengthy and
15	costly.
16	Today I would like to present the case that
1 🗖	

17 it may be appropriate to expedite the path to market 18 for insulin biosimilars based on the inherent 19 characteristics of insulin as a biologic. This would 20 allow us to increase competition in the insulin 21 market, decrease cost to patients, and get lifesaving 22 medicines to patients faster.

1 In particular, we would like to propose a potential Phase 3 clinical trial waiver for insulin 2 products. Phase 3 trials are lengthy and extremely 3 4 costly. In addition, they do not provide scientific evidence in assessing biosimilarity, specifically, of 5 a biologic drug, which is the core aim of the 351(k) 6 pathway. They are not powered sufficiently to detect 7 8 meaningful differences in safety or immunogenicity to detect adverse events or detect differences in 9 10 efficacy.

11 It can actually be argued that the primary 12 purpose of Phase 3 trials is to create marketing data 13 for physicians in an effort to be able to increase claims in market share rather than truly to detect the 14 15 inherent safety of a biosimilar drug. A robust CMC package in Phase 1 clinical trial should leave little 16 uncertainty as to biosimilarity. Insulin, which is a 17 18 small, extensively studied protein discovered almost 19 100 years ago, is particularly amenable to this 20 approach.

At the moment, EU regulatory authoritiesaccept manufacturing changes without clinical trials

1 and focus instead on the physiochemical, analytic and 2 functional assessments to ensure comparability. So far, studies have not shown any meaningful differences 3 4 in clinical or safety profiles of the drugs regulated in this fashion. We would ask the FDA consider a 5 similar regulatory approach towards insulin 6 specifically, given the well-studied nature of this 7 8 small protein.

In the alternative, we would propose the 9 10 following: (1) A more robust Phase 1 trial with more 11 subjects taking place over a longer period of time 12 with questions to immunogenicity addressed as 13 endpoints; (2) we would propose rigorous post-market surveillance; and (3) we would recommend educating 14 15 physicians about the basis of biosimilarity rather 16 than creating trials for specific marketing claims.

17 In conclusion, insulin is a small protein 18 with a long history of data to support its efficacy, 19 safety and immunogenicity. Phase 3 trials are 20 lengthy, costly and redundant. Other regulatory 21 authorities already accept manufacturing changes 22 without full clinical trials and without reported

Page 22

1 adverse events. A robust CMC and Phase 1 package should be sufficient to demonstrate biosimilarity, and 2 post-market surveillance can be performed. 3 4 I thank you very much for your time here 5 today and would be delighted to answer any questions б you may have. 7 MS. YANOFF: Thank you very much. Can you 8 tell us a little more about your alternative 9 consideration for the Phase 3 study? 10 DR. OSHMYANSKY: Oh, sure. 11 MS. YANOFF: Enrolling more subjects, can you explain your reasoning for that, what that would 12 13 provide? And then in the subset that you want to 14 expose up to one year, what are you thinking there? 15 What would be the different angles of the larger group versus that subset? Just a little bit more detail 16 17 would be helpful. 18 DR. OSHMYANSKY: Oh, sure. So, you know, I 19 would say first, you know, we would like to have the large bulk of the evidence we provide for 20 21 biosimilarity to come from orthogonal experiments for 22 the actual CMC package we would produce. But in terms

Page 2	3
--------	---

1	of a Phase 1 trial, specifically, I think we can
2	address some by making it a more robust Phase 1
3	trial, we might be able to add additional endpoints to
4	the trial, which could address some of the concerns
5	that might otherwise be raised in a Phase 3 trial.
6	For example, we could add additional endpoints related
7	to immunogenicity, let's say, by making that Phase 1
8	trial more robust.
9	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, you had mentioned post-
10	market surveillance. So, are you envisioning post-
11	market surveillance that is different in nature than
12	the post-market surveillance expected for all
13	biological products?
14	DR. OSHMYANSKY: I think that's a topic for
15	conversation, but I think we could, in fact, provide
16	more robust post-market surveillance than is currently
17	done in lieu of a full Phase 3 trial. What exactly
18	that might entail I think is a topic for further
19	conversation, sort of outside the scope of the present
20	meeting.
21	MS. YANOFF: Has your group thought about
22	interchangeability and what the requirements would be

1	for that?
2	DR. OSHMYANSKY: We have. For our particular
3	business model as a membership club that we envision,
4	we don't see interchangeability as being particularly
5	critical for what we're doing. We think physicians
6	will refer direct or hope, at least, physicians
7	will refer directly to us. So, we're not going to be
8	seeking interchangeability, specifically, as part of
9	our sponsor package.
10	MS. TEMKIN: I think if there are no
11	additional questions, thank you very much.
12	DR. OSHMYANSKY: Thank you, guys.
13	MS. TEMKIN: And we'll ask Dr. Steven Lucio
14	to come up, please. Thank you.
15	DR. LUCIO: Good morning. My name is Steven
16	Lucio, and I'm the vice president for the Center of
17	Pharmacy Practice Excellence at Vizient. I am
18	speaking today on behalf of Vizient, the largest
19	member group and healthcare performance improvement
20	company in the United States. Vizient provides
21	innovative data-driven solutions, expertise and
22	collaborative opportunities that lead to improved

patient outcomes and lower costs. Vizient would like to express our deepest appreciation of the Food and Drug Administration not only for this open forum and the others that have preceded it, but also for its continued efforts to establish, implement and enhance the biosimilar approval process.

7 Vizient fully endorses the scientific
8 principles of biosimilarity, and the biosimilar
9 pathway is critical mechanisms to mitigate
10 accelerating growth of pharmaceutical expenditures
11 through the development and marketing of competing
12 biologics of comparable safety, purity and potency.

13 We also believe that we have reached a critical juncture in the maturation process of the 14 15 biosimilars market such that any inability or 16 unwillingness to address the residual barriers to 17 biosimilar adoption could permanently impair the 18 extended value we hope to achieve. As a result, we thank FDA for this opportunity to convey the 19 20 perspectives of the member organizations we serve and 21 to identify additional interventions to support and 22 sustain competition in the insulin market, and for

1	other biologic molecules.
2	Part of Vizient's many core capabilities is
3	our sourcing services, which represents over \$100
4	billion in annual healthcare expenditures. Much of it
5	is associated with pharmaceuticals. Our membership is
6	comprised of thousands of healthcare organizations who
7	provide care to most at risk and vulnerable patient
8	populations. The treatment intervention to licensed
9	practices are frequently high cost biologics;
10	therefore, the relevance of the biosimilar product
11	class to our membership is of the utmost importance.
12	Based upon our experiences, and more
13	importantly that of the diverse membership of leading
14	academic medical centers, pediatric facilities,
15	community hospitals, integrated health delivery
16	networks, critical access providers, and nonacute
17	healthcare practitioners, who have accumulated a
18	wealth of insight we would like to share and support
19	FDA's efforts in facilitating and expediting the
20	introduction of biosimilar and interchangeable and
21	insulin products.
22	Since 2010, Vizient has provided ongoing

1	training and education on the biosimilar paradigm to
2	its membership and other audiences in the form of over
3	200 in-person presentations and web conferences, has
4	developed evidence-based clinical resources to support
5	members in their formulary evaluations of approved and
6	pending biosimilars, and has worked with existing and
7	future biosimilar manufacturers on contractual
8	relationships to maximize the value and cost-savings
9	opportunities for our membership.
10	At present, Vizient has over 60 pharmacists
11	and other subject matter experts working to facilitate
12	the appropriate use of biosimilars and document the
13	financial value and sustained high quality of care
14	associated with these agents.
15	We are continuing to see progress in terms of
16	improved acceptance from clinicians; however, based
17	upon forecast and budget projections, including our
18	own, much work still remains.
19	One of the most important services we provide
20	for our members is projecting and predicting the
21	anticipated trends in the base pricing for
22	pharmaceuticals and the extended impact on pharmacy

1	department budgets. Twice a year, Vizient publishes
2	its drug price forecast, a document that estimates the
3	direction and degree of price changes for the
4	pharmaceuticals most commonly used by our membership.
5	Our most recent version of the forecast from
6	January of this year illustrates the challenge
7	presented by agents used in the treatment of diabetes.
8	Several prominent categories of diabetes medications,
9	including the DPP-4 inhibitors and the incretin
10	mimetics are expected to realize significant price
11	increases based upon ongoing pricing behavior and
12	expectations of continued market dynamics.
13	These trends, while not necessarily desirable
14	from a provider or patient standpoint, are neither
15	surprising. The drugs in these classes are newer
16	agents and still within their period of marketing
17	exclusivity and patent protection. As a result, we
18	are some years away from competing versions of these
19	molecules.
20	In contrast, numerous insulin produces, which
21	in some cases have enjoyed two decades of market
22	exclusivity, lack direct molecular level competition

Meeting

Page 29

and are anticipated to have similar increases in drug
 pricing as these new therapeutic categories.

Given this prolonged period of exclusivity, 3 4 the negative impact on drug budgets and the access barriers for patients, the introduction of biosimilar 5 insulins is required. As a result, we must do 6 7 everything to ensure that the transition of insulin 8 products from regulation drugs to biologics and enable the development of biosimilar proceeds as efficiently 9 10 as possible. To that end, we recommend the following 11 steps.

12 Vizient encourages FDA to apply the same 13 scientifically justified approach the approval of insulin biosimilars as it has to the products that 14 15 have already been approved. The approval methodology of maximizing analytical characterization data 16 demonstrates sameness, the efficiencies of bridging 17 18 and extrapolation in the use of PK and PT studies to 19 demonstrate comparability have repeatedly and reliably function as intended. Therefore, we believe the 20 21 approval process has already been established 2.2 appropriate for the evaluation and licensing of

1 biosimilar insulin products. One way that could function differently for 2 insulins as compared to already approved biosimilars 3 4 involves the concept of interchangeability and in contrast to what was here on the slide, the recent 5 publication by FDA of the final interchangeability 6 7 designation is very much applauded, and we appreciate 8 it in terms of helping us address lingering uncertainty about the requirements for this status. 9 10 We also hope that this step will enable the increased understanding of this designation. 11 12 Of the clinical topics pertaining to 13 biosimilars' interchangeability remains one of the most difficult for clinicians to grasp. 14 Two areas of 15 worry include concern about physicians being 16 disintermediated from substitution considerations and 17 the perception that noninterchangeable biosimilars are 18 somehow inferior to interchangeable biologics. 19 Vizient has been working to address both concerns and would request FDA's assistance in alleviating those 20 21 fears. 2.2 First, Vizient is working with its members

Page 31	1
---------	---

and their prescribers to highlight the fact that 1 biosimilars approved to date have primarily been for 2 products either directly administered by a healthcare 3 4 provider and/or managed for a specialty pharmacy mechanism due to their associated costs. As a result, 5 considerations about the use of a biosimilar in place 6 7 of an originator has had and continues to include 8 substantial prescriber interaction by a P&T committee 9 oversight, formulary management processes and prior 10 authorization requirements. There are few 11 circumstances where a dispensing pharmacist is 12 delivered a prescription with limited access to the 13 prescriber and/or access to detailed patient information. Vizient has encouraged its pharmacy 14 15 members to engage and educate its physician colleagues 16 on these facts as well as their essential role in ensuring the safe use of biosimilars, and to address 17 18 other concerns that could limit acceptance. 19 In contrast, while insulin management occurs within a health system environment, it also takes 20 21 place to a great extent in the retail dispensing 2.2 setting, where clarity regarding both the

Page	32

1 interchangeability status of a biologic as well as the 2 prescriber's intent toward product substitutability 3 must be as effective and efficient as possible. As a 4 result, the publication of finer interchangeability 5 guidance is of considerable importance.

In its final guidance, FDA provides two б 7 processes by which an interchangeable biologic could 8 be approved. One approach necessitates licensing versus biosimilar without an interchangeability 9 10 designation. The other allows for a direct pursuit of 11 interchangeability status. Vizient requests that FDA 12 specifically characterize the insulin agents as 13 products that could directly pursue interchangeability 14 without first being licensed as a noninterchangeable 15 biosimilar. The attributes of insulins relatively low structural complexity molecules from which highly 16 17 similar analytical comparability can be established 18 would seem to lend this category to licensing via a 19 single switching study. Enabling direct pursuit of interchangeability should limit the expense and time 20 21 investment needed to introduce competition.

22

In addition to these recommendations, Vizient

Meeting

1	would also like to identify three other areas for
2	requested change for biosimilars beyond just the
3	insulin products. First, Vizient applauds FDA's
4	approach to exclude transitional biological products
5	from the requirement to add a devoid of meaning suffix
6	to nonproprietary product name. Vizient asks FDA to
7	extend this approach to all biologics and biosimilars.
8	Since the release of the first draft guidance
9	on biosimilar naming, we have yet to encounter a
10	member representative that has endorsed the devoid of
11	meaning suffix approach. Members have continually
12	communicated their concern regarding this methodology
13	and have even stated they ignore this attribute to
14	avoid additional clinician confusion. Rather than
15	relying on the devoid of meaning suffix, members are
16	utilizing other product identifiers to track and
17	differentiate originator biologics or biosimilars.
18	We do recognize that there are even larger
19	hurdles to biosimilar adoption than nonproprietary
20	name requirements; however, even though this issue
21	might be of smaller magnitude as compared to
22	challenges such as biosimilar reimbursement, we should

	Meeting May 13, 201
	Page 34
1	refrain from introducing additional barriers.
2	Second, Vizient asks that FDA develop the
3	process to disclose information on biologic
4	manufacturing changes including those of originator
5	referenced products similar to disclosures that take
6	place in Europe. Vizient also requests that FDA make
7	available for approval the summary review documents
8	for all biosimilars and interchangeable biologics,
9	even those that do not undergo an advisory committee
10	discussion. Those sources of information would
11	increase the understanding and acceptance of
12	biosimilar approval process and improve clinicians'
13	perception of the requirements to manufacture and
14	license biological pharmaceuticals.
15	Again, we thank FDA for this forum, for its
16	commitment to providing the US with an avenue for safe
17	and effective medications that improve outcomes. Our
18	ability to sustain access to critical innovative
19	therapies will be substantially jeopardized if we are
20	unable to foster a stable environment for biosimilars.

22

21

Vizient remains committed to supporting this product

category and identifying additional strategies to

improve medication use across the patient population
 to which we all belong. I look forward to addressing
 your questions about these comments.

MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, a question about your
last point about publication of summary review
documents. So, at Drugs@FDA documents are posted, is
your concern about the timing and what's redacted, or
both?

DR. LUCIO: It is about the timing. 9 The 10 ideal circumstance or the better circumstances would 11 be if that information were immediately available, 12 because people are wanting to make formulary judgments 13 about these products, even in certain times in advance of when they come to market. So, in the attempt to be 14 15 ready to introduce the products, take advantage of the 16 reimbursement circumstances that CMS has articulated 17 for biosimilars, it's helpful if that information can 18 be available, so that way clinicians, physicians, 19 pharmacists can begin discussing it even in advance. Because the clinical trials might be published in 20 21 literature that are associated with those approvals, 2.2 but they might not be. And so it's been a great help

	Page 36
1	to have that information, and also to walk through
2	pharmacists and especially physicians on analytical
3	characterization. That information has been quite
4	helpful in terms of helping people overcome the
5	reticence to use biosimilars.
б	MR. KOSLOWSKI: Thank you.
7	MR. SCHILLER: Could you say a bit more about
8	the proposal to disclose manufacturing changes, which
9	categories of changes you envision that would apply to
10	and what benefit you think that information would
11	provide to prescribers and consumers?
12	DR. LUCIO: Particularly to the prescribers,
13	especially to physicians, it's been a very difficult
14	circumstance to help them understand that the
15	considerations that are taking into account for
16	biosimilars are not inherently novel to those
17	products, and that that sort of transitional
18	perspective takes place for all biologics. It's
19	managed for the originators through comparability.
20	And so the information, in fact, that was shared
21	one of the publications that was shared in the
22	preceding presentation, as well as others, that

Ρ	а	q	е	3	7

information from Europe has been helpful in helping to
erode some of that reluctance that physicians have to
understand that the originators are neither exactly
the same as they were when they were first brought
into the market. And so additional information would
be available.

7 And I know there's restrictions on the extent 8 to which certain content can be disclosed, but any information regarding the number of changes that 9 10 happen, what percentage of those changes are a higher 11 consideration, whether it's the source bacteria or, 12 you know, cell environment that is used to produce 13 those products, that would be helpful in setting the 14 context that, again, biosimilars are novel from the standpoint of variability and the impact of 15 manufacturing changes that occurs all the time. 16 And 17 that's part of this overall increased awareness of 18 manufacturing that really even transcends biosimilars 19 but is becoming increasingly important for compounded medications for generic medications. So, it's really 20 21 that transparency to help all clinicians understand the workings that take place to ensure the highest 22

quality pharmaceutical supply chain that we have in
 the US.

MR. SCHILLER: So, following up on that a 3 4 little bit. So, manufacturing changes are often classified by risk, preapproval supplements and other 5 classifications. Are you interested in the number of 6 7 those, or are you interested in further detail, 8 because there's the different level of information? Well, both. Again, to help 9 DR. LUCIO: 10 people understand what is going on, and particularly 11 the highest risk, because to your point, there are 12 certain changes that are not the magnitude of where 13 you're changing an active ingredient, you're changing the cell culture. But that's what you're doing in 14 15 biosimilars, usually, in different cell culture, and so now people are somewhat sensitized to the fact 16 17 that, well, again, the biosimilar is different, it's 18 using a different expression system, potentially. And 19 so knowing when those changes occur over the last cycle of the originator, again, puts it in context 20 21 that we're not attempting to stick something in that 2.2 the, you know, that the public or clinicians are not

	Page 39
1	going to understand. We're just adopting a similar
2	process for the separate category of agents.
3	MR. UNLU: Can you say a little more about
4	what status of this disclosure is in other
5	jurisdictions? I think you mentioned Europe?
б	DR. LUCIO: Yes. Again, the information that
7	we have seen available in the public discourse is
8	based upon European medication administration data
9	that is made available.
10	MR. UNLU: What kind of information, do you
11	know?
12	DR. LUCIO: Information has been there are
13	a number of changes, and to the extent they are either
14	of low, moderate or high impact in terms of the
15	underlying molecule.
16	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, this is changing the
17	topic a little bit.
18	DR. LUCIO: Sure.
19	MR. KOSLOWSKI: You mentioned that, you know,
20	your company is involved in educating clinicians about
21	biosimilarity, so do you have any thoughts about what
22	are some of the key hurdles and challenges in terms of

Γ

	Page 40
1	being able to, you know, talk to clinicians about use
2	of biosimilars?
3	DR. LUCIO: Again, that's for this, and I
4	really appreciate this narrative about how biologic
5	manufacturing takes place and the variability
6	associated with it, and the fact that biosimilarity is
7	intended for either mechanism comparable to the
8	comparability to process.
9	The other one that I mentioned, I think,
10	there is a lot of uncertainty what interchangeability
11	means. You mentioned the fact that, you know, I think
12	there is starting to be a perception as potentially
13	being closer to interchangeable biologics, that
14	they're somehow noninterchangeable biosimilars are
15	not as good. And if we have circumstances where we
16	first have to have a certain biosimilar approved that
17	is noninterchangeable, then go to interchangeability,
18	it's going to be hard to get adequate uptake of the
19	nonbiosimilar or, yeah, the noninterchangeable
20	biosimilar to generate enough of marketing
21	surveillance than to substantiate interchangeability.
22	So, again, for the especially for the less

1	complex molecules, like the insulins, if we're going
2	to continue with the interchangeability need, it would
3	be great to just be able to say these are the
4	molecules that would go down the interchangeability
5	direct pathway as compared to these that have to be
6	substantiated by a noninterchangeable biosimilar
7	approval first.
8	MS. TEMKIN: I'm just going to I know
9	we're out of time, but I'm going to ask you one last
10	question.
11	DR. LUCIO: Yes.
12	MS. TEMKIN: You mentioned some price, some
13	projected trends in pricing, and I was wondering if
14	you could speak a little bit about how you get to the
15	numbers of what you're projecting the price increases
16	to be for the upcoming period that's on your slide?
17	DR. LUCIO: Absolutely. A lot of it relies
18	on, first of all, the historical pricing trends that
19	we've seen across, you know, the member organizations
20	that we support. And then looking, obviously, at what
21	we think is going to happen in the market based upon
22	new product approvals that come into the market, as

	Page 42
1	well as the exclusivity loss that will take place.
2	So, that is what we as Vizient do in order to, again,
3	estimate six to 18 months down the road what's likely
4	to be happening from a pricing behavior standpoint.
5	MS. TEMKIN: Thank you very much.
6	DR. LUCIO: Sure. Thank you.
7	MS. TEMKIN: Dr. Barve?
8	DR. BARVE: Good morning. My name is Abhijit
9	Barve. I head global clinical research at Mylan. I
10	have been involved in biosimilar development for the
11	past 10 years and have seen rapid advances both from a
12	scientific and regulatory perspective. Thank you for
13	this opportunity to present Mylan's thoughts on this
14	important topic of increasing access and facilitating
15	efficient development of biosimilar insulins.
16	Today's topic of increasing access is near
17	and dear to our hearts. Mylan was established in 1961
18	in West Virginia with a commitment to increase access
19	to medicines. Last year we sold close to 59 billion
20	doses across 7,500 products in 165 countries. Our R&D
21	efforts for the past decade have specifically focused
22	on complex generics and biosimilars. We have started

	Page 43
1	seeing the results of these efforts with many firsts.
2	We were the first 40 mg twice-weekly
3	glatiramer acetate approved by FDA in 2017. In 2017,
4	we also received FDA approval for the first biosimilar
5	to Herceptin. This was followed in 2018 with the
6	approval of first biosimilar from Neulasta. And,
7	finally, a couple of months ago we received approval
8	for first generic respiratory drug Advair.
9	Coming to insulins, our biosimilar insulin
10	glargine is available in Europe since 2018, and is
11	also approved in 40 other countries. We have one of
12	the largest and most diverse biosimilar portfolio in
13	the industry.
14	Our portfolio includes simple biologics that
15	include four insulin analogs, larger biologics that
16	includes two products, and 14 complex biologics that
17	include 12 monoclonal antibodies and two fusion
18	proteins.
19	Insulins, as we all know, was discovered
20	nearly 100 year ago. It is a relatively simple
21	molecule with two chains of 21 and 30 amino acids, and
22	a molecule weight of 5.8 kDa. From a regulatory

May 13, 2019

Page 44

1	perspective, proteins less than 40 amino acids are
2	considered nonbiologic. Similarly, chemically
3	synthesized polypeptides up to 100 amino acids are
4	also considered nonbiologics. The scientific
5	requirements for approval of these small molecules is
б	limited and straightforward. When one looks at
7	insulin from that lens, it is more closer to a small
8	molecule than a biologic. Insulin and analogs are
9	very well characterized, and we have strong
10	understanding of their PK, BD safety and
11	immunogenicity.
12	This slide compares the complexity of
13	different biologics. On the left-hand side we have

13 different biologics. On the left-hand side we have got the simple biologic that includes insulin and 14 15 analogs. On the right side we have got larger and 16 complex biologics which have 3 to 30 times the number 17 of amino acids, and 3 to 30 times higher molecular 18 weight than simple biologics. These products, as you 19 can see, are structurally much more complex. Since 20 the inception of biosimilar pathway, we have 19 21 biosimilars approved by FDA in this category, and 22 there is a small typo there on the left-hand side.

	Page 45
1	We know that insulins will transition to
2	biologics next year. In this context, we would like
3	to make three a couple of points. Firstly, the
4	scientific conservation under the current 505(b)(2)
5	route are not very different compared to the proposed
6	biosimilar route.
7	Secondly, in Europe, insulins are considered
8	as biologics but with a significantly lower data
9	requirement. Most sponsors have global programs and
10	are already following the biosimilar approach.
11	This slide supports the argument that at
12	structural and functional level, it is much easier to
13	characterize insulin and we exactly know what is
14	needed to demonstrate sameness. Here we compare the
15	characteristics of insulin versus trastuzumab, a
16	complex biologic. Insulin's mechanism of action is
17	linked to its binding to the insulin receptor. Like
18	the monoclonal antibodies, we have multiple mechanisms
19	of action. Structurally, there are limited
20	phosphorylation of modifications for insulin versus
21	multiple posttranslational modifications for
22	monoclonal antibodies that can impact efficacy.

	Page 46
1	For insulin, the PK is largely structure
2	independent and can be accurately measured using
3	sensitive LC/MS method, which are traditionally used
4	for small molecules. So, monoclonal's PK is impacted
5	by glycosylation and FcR in binding.
6	With regards to PD, we have robust and
7	sensitive glucose plans that are highly discriminatory
8	for efficacy. No such correlative PD markers are
9	available for complex biologics. So, when one takes a
10	look at what residual uncertainty remains after
11	expensive characterization of insulins and a PK/PD
12	study, it really comes down to immunogenicity.
13	Talking about immunogenecity of insulins, we
14	know the following. Firstly, extensive immunogenecity
15	information is available for both insulins and
16	analogs. Secondly, anti-insulin antibodies are not
17	uncommon, but it has been consistently shown that they
18	do not impact PK/PD or safety. Thirdly, because of
19	the benign nature of these anti-insulin antibodies,
20	FDA until recently did not require assessment of the
21	neutralizing potential. Thus, the immunogenecity
22	considerations are no different than complex

3.4	
Me	eting
TATC	oung

biologics. Perhaps the risk is lower for insulins and
 hence the sample size requirements for assessing
 immunogenecity should be consistent with well established biosimilar principles.

We believe that any additional requirements 5 not based on risk or clinical relevance will only be a 6 7 barrier to development. In fact, we have an 8 opportunity to streamline development by having an integrated design that addresses both biosimilarity 9 10 and interchangeability in a single study as indicated 11 in the final interchangeability guidance. Mylan 12 believes that despite immunogenecity being of limited 13 clinical relevance for insulins, it should be evaluated in a realistic number of patients and that 14 15 innovative study designs are feasible to demonstrate interchangeability, saving time and cost. 16

Moving on to the second question. With regard to the requirements for insulin pumps and for substitution, the fundamental biosimilarity principle should hold good. We all know the biosimilarity principle, so for pumps, the only additional requirement should be in vitro compatibility testing

Page 4

1	with typical materials used in pumps. If the
2	biosimilar product is compatible and is no different
3	compared to the reference, then no additional
4	scientific data should be required.
5	With regards to substitution from a
6	scientific perspective, once a product is approved as
7	interchangeable, it is presumed to have the same PK/PD
8	safety, efficacy and immunogenecity as the reference,
9	and hence substitution should be allowed at a pharmacy
10	level.
11	Coming to the question on patient experience.
12	Drug delivery devices are important components of
13	patient experience. For any device, the intent is to
14	enable safe and effective way to deliver the right
15	dose with no adverse change in safety or risk profile
16	compared to the reference. It is well known that from
17	an external user interface perspective, there would be
18	limitations to exactly match the reference device due
19	to IP considerations. However, we believe that these
20	differences should not be a barrier for
21	interchangeable insulins as long as we can demonstrate
22	no negative impact over the reference product. In

May 13, 2019	May	13,	2019
--------------	-----	-----	------

Page 49

1 this regard, special analysis should form the 2 fundamental evaluation to assess the device and only 3 when other differences are identified should a 4 comparator use study be justified.

Finally, to information resources and 5 communication. Today there are multiple insulins, 6 7 multiple short- and long-acting brands that are 8 available, and specific patient training on the selection of right device is important. However, this 9 10 is irrespective of biosimilar or interchangeable 11 insulins. Also in this dynamic space, patients, 12 physicians and pharmacists are already exposed to 13 switching between insulin and analogs based on insurance coverage and formulary preferences. 14 15 Furthermore, most patients are experienced with self-16 administration, use of one or more drug delivery device, regular monitoring of blood glucose, and 17 18 recognition of adverse events. So, the risk for using 19 a biosimilar is no different.

20 At a broader level, education needs to 21 continue on emphasizing the scientific vigor of 22 biosimilar approval process including approval of drug

1	delivery devices.
2	In summary, from an operability perspective,
3	the scientific considerations should be no different
4	for insulins versus complex biologics. Most elements
5	of current interchangeability guidance apply to
6	insulin and efficient study designs are possible to
7	address safety and efficacy after switching.
8	Potential differences in device interface are
9	expected; however, as long as it does not impact the
10	risk profile, it should not be a barrier.
11	To conclude, insulins and insulin analogs are
12	relatively simple molecules and pragmatic and
13	scientifically valid approaches would increase the
14	access to these lifesavings products. Thank you for
15	your time.
16	MR. STEIN: Can I just ask, you mentioned a
17	single study assessing immunogenecity with
18	interchangeability design in a limited number of
19	patients versus the two-study approach. Can you just
20	expand on your comments and thoughts about what that
21	might look like?
22	DR. BARVE: Sure. You know, I know there are

	Page 51
1	multiple discussions that are ongoing both at our
2	level as sponsors and at FDA's level. I mean,
3	clearly, one of the things that is very apparent is
4	that once you do extensive characterization and you do
5	a PK/PD study, what remains, you know, the residual
6	uncertainty really is immunogenicity, and that applies
7	to both biosimilarity and interchangeability.
8	So, right now the expectation is to do a two-
9	step process: get the biologic approved as a
10	biosimilar first, and then get the interchangeability
11	designation. But here, because it is very different
12	from complex biologics, where we don't have access to
13	PD markers, we can actually do it in a single study,
14	where we can have a first spot which looks at
15	biosimilarity, because that might have separate
16	endpoints. And then look at interchangeability in a
17	single design, because that becomes much more
18	efficient. And then one can actually apply for both
19	interchangeability or biosimilarity and
20	interchangeability designation.
21	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, you had mentioned doing
22	the right number of patients to address immunogenecity

e	52
	e

1	as done for other biosimilar products. I'm kind of
2	curious what you think that number is and what your
3	endpoint would be?

4 DR. BARVE: I mean, today, if you really look at it, most of the products we have got -- we haven't 5 got approved -- we have got approval from multiple б 7 biosimilars and we have had this discussion with 8 multiple divisions. The expectation right now is that 9 none of these studies are designed for showing differences for immunogenicity, because, you know, the 10 11 data is not there for many of these products. You know, the immunogenecity assessment has dramatically 12 13 changed over -- since when the innovator got the 14 product approved. So, to design a study with the 15 typical thought process in terms of how we design an efficacy study with regards to meta-analysis, etc., 16 17 etc., we can't do that for it.

So, if this is going to be a little bit of a soft sign, so it has to be a totality of evidence as we have been all talking about, but it just doesn't focus on immunogenicity; it focuses on multiple things in case of insulin. It depends on the dose that has

been used in the study; it depends on the efficacy endpoints, although they might not be very sensitive. So, it's a host of things that need to be evaluated as part of the process versus saying that we need a power study based on immunogenicity, which is not easy to do, because we just don't have historical data for most of these things.

8 MR. KOSLOWSKI: One quick follow-up, because 9 you stated that the only residual uncertainty is 10 immunogenicity. So, you know, the answer is really 11 that you look at all these other things, but yet, you 12 know, your own presentation states that's the only 13 thing you really have concern left about.

Correct. So, when you have --14 DR. BARVE: 15 when you know it's a relatively simple molecule, which is extensively -- and if you look at how it is 16 17 approved in Europe today and what is the expectations 18 from a clinical study standpoint, it is limited. And the reason it is limited is because we have got a 19 robust PD marker, which we not necessarily have for 20 21 the other products that we talk about, like the 2.2 monoclonals. And in that particular case, if you show

1	that you have, you know, extensive analytical
2	characterization binding data, as well as you show
3	that the PK is similar. And here we have got LC/MS
4	method now, which earlier we had to do some kind of
5	subtraction to actually get the PK. Now you can
б	actually measure the exact molecule that we are
7	looking at, like we do for small molecules, and then
8	you have a robust plan, which is extremely sensitive,
9	the uncertainty that remains after that is relatively
10	limited. And if you really look at that, you don't
11	need that much information other than assessing
12	immunogenicity, which you can do it in a single dose
13	study or in a euglycemic clamp in a realistic way.
14	MS. YANOFF: So, in one of your slides you
15	say immunogenecity considerations should be no
16	different between insulins and other complex
17	biologics, but yet you also make important points that
18	these are sort of smaller and less complex proteins,
19	which are almost closer to small molecules. So, can
20	you help me understand why the immunogenecity
21	considerations are no different?
22	DR. BARVE: I mean as a qualified, you know,

they're probably lower in our mind, if you really look 1 at it from a risk perspective. You have got a complex 2 molecule which has got probably 30 times the number of 3 4 amino acids that we are talking about here with multiple chains, which can have multiple epitopes for 5 developing immunogenicity. Here you have a relatively 6 7 simple molecule, so the likelihood of having complex 8 immunogenecity -- so, what we are saying is that it should be no -- at least not higher than what is 9 10 required currently for complex biologics. If at all, 11 it should be on the lower side given that the molecule 12 is relatively simple. 13 MR. STEIN: And just to be clear, you 14 mentioned that -- you're suggesting endpoints, you 15 said clinically relevant impact of immunogenecity 16 would be assessed by SMBG in changes to insulin dose.

17 Is that what you're proposing, you'd use those as the 18 endpoints --

DR. BARVE: I think we have to look at it as totality of evidence. We can't really look at it either just based on PK, because we know that there are challenges in, you know, evaluating PK. We can't

	Page 56
1	give a fixed dose and take a PK and say that, look, it
2	is similar, because we treat patients to target.
3	There are designs even in that that we can think
4	about, where we can use a certain period where
5	patients can receive a fixed dose of the product.
6	We'll have to see whether this is consistent with the
7	standard of care. But we look at immunogenicity, we
8	look at the dose, we look at the fasting blood
9	glucose, as well as we look at Alc. So, we can't just
10	say that these points are if everything is moving
11	in a different direction, then we have a problem. But
12	if everything moves in the same direction, then we
13	know the answer. And the likelihood of it, after
14	doing extensive characterization and a PK/PD study, as
15	well as knowing the immunogenic potential of these
16	products, in our mind is going to be limited.
17	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, you had mentioned the
18	only thing necessary for the pump would be
19	compatibility. So, could you elaborate a little bit
20	about that?
21	DR. BARVE: So, our thoughts are that, you
22	know, if you really followed the biosimilarity

1 principle, and that's really the fundamental principle 2 that we're talking about, that if the product is 3 approved as a biosimilar or interchangeable, then it 4 should behave exactly the same way.

So, if you do the in vitro capability and 5 show that there is no blockage or there is no leaching 6 7 or whatever the factors are, then you don't have to do 8 anything beyond that, because we can really use this product along with, you know, replace it to the 9 10 reference product. That's our thought process in 11 terms of how we approach either problems, because the 12 fundamental bedrock to all of this is the 13 biosimilarity principle, right? You want to -- I know it's kind of cliché and it's kind of oversold to some 14 15 extent, but that's the reality. We are approving a product which is similar based on all these testings. 16 17 Now, why do we need another layer of complexity if we 18 show that there are no differences between the 19 compatibility?

20 MS. TEMKIN: And just to clarify, are you 21 talking, when you say that about biosimilarity, 22 interchangeability, or both, do you see a difference?

	rage 50
1	DR. BARVE: I don't see any difference,
2	because at the end it really should not. I mean, as
3	long as you show that there is no difference, because
4	we feel biosimilarity and interchangeability is just
5	one more step in terms of how these products are used.
6	Today we have insulins which are substituted without
7	any interchangeability. People are switching
8	insulins, you know, for multiple reasons including
9	formulary preferences, you know, insurance coverage,
10	etc. So, I don't think that should be an issue,
11	whether it's a biosimilar or interchangeable.
12	MS. YANOFF: I'm also going to follow up on
13	the same issue. So, for the biosimilarity, the
14	criteria there's a caveat notwithstanding minor
15	differences in nonactive ingredients. So, what is
16	your view on formulations that have same excipient
17	versus a different excipient, how that could affect
18	use in devices?
19	DR. BARVE: If you I mean, we are not
20	saying that they should not do anything. We are
21	saying that there has to be some degree of testing,
22	which includes in vitro compatibility, to make sure

	Page 59
1	that they are compatible. And if there is no
2	difference in terms of that, or in that particular
3	case, it should not matter, to an extent, in terms of
4	how they are used.
5	MS. YANOFF: Is there a possibility that the
б	excipient could interact with the patient interface,
7	you know, with the tubing inserts, and how would you
8	suggest that be assessed?
9	DR. BARVE: I mean, there are things that can
10	happen, but, again, it comes down to the fundamental
11	things that if it has been tested and shown, in our
12	minds, it should not be an issue.
13	MS. LIAS: I have a related question. So,
14	many times pump incompatibility may relate to
15	leachables and extractables.
16	DR. BARVE: Correct.
17	MS. LIAS: It also may relate to changes in
18	the PK/PD profile due to instability as the insulin
19	travels through the fluid path of different pumps.
20	So, how does a drug company might you suggest testing
21	across different pumps, pump designs and food paths?
22	DR. BARVE: I think some of these will

Γ

	Page 60
1	address as part of our comments to the docket. We
2	have got certain thoughts on this.
3	MS. LIAS: Thank you.
4	MS. TEMKIN: Thank you very much. Dr.
5	Martin?
6	DR. MARTIN: Thank you for holding this
7	hearing and inviting the views of patients,
8	manufacturers and other stakeholders. I'm Dr. Sherry
9	Martin, Vice President, Diabetes Global Medical
10	Affairs, Eli Lilly and Company, and I'm very pleased
11	to provide Lilly's views from the clinician
12	perspective. I will be focusing on the future of
13	biosimilars, as well as interchangeability of insulin
14	products.
15	As I said, this hearing is of special
16	importance to me, because I was a practicing clinician
17	for 20 years before I joined Lilly. After completion
18	of my training as an endocrinologist in 1992, I opened
19	the first endocrine clinic in North Mississippi,
20	serving a very large rural population of patients with
21	type 2 diabetes. I've co-authored multiple
22	publications on diabetes research and clinical care

1	considerations for patients with diabetes.
2	Lilly has been committed to diabetes care for
3	nearly a century. In 1923, when a diagnosis of
4	diabetes was virtually a death sentence, Lilly
5	introduced the world's first commercially available
6	insulin product. In 1982, we introduced human
7	insulin, the world's first medicine made using
8	recombinant DNA technology. In 1996, we lost Humalog,
9	the first approved insulin analog, and more recently,
10	in 2015, we obtained approval for Basaglar, the first
11	follow-on insulin biologic.
12	Over the years, we have helped advance
13	innovation in how insulin is administered, going from
14	the classic administration in vials and syringes to
15	today's pens and pump delivery systems. We believe
16	the future standard of care for patients with diabetes
17	will continue to evolve and will move into connected
18	diabetes ecosystems made up of insulin along with
19	digital health technologies and connected delivery
20	systems. I will address each in turn.
21	Lilly strongly supports FDA's efforts to
22	promote innovation, competition and access with regard

Page	62
------	----

1	to insulin products. My comments today are very much
2	in line with the principles of the final
3	interchangeability guidance that FDA issued last week,
4	particularly the Agency's recognition that more
5	detailed guidance is needed on interchangeability
6	considerations that are specific to each product
7	presentation. Lilly plans to submit written comments
8	as well, addressing a broader range of issues.
9	Lilly agrees with FDA that a robust showing
10	of biosimilarity is the first step in demonstration of
11	interchangeability. We recommend that FDA develop the
12	requirements for interchangeable insulin products
13	based on a case-by-case assessment of the strength of
14	the biosimilarity data. In the case of insulins, the
15	ability to characterize the molecule as a part of the
16	biosimilarity data package may reduce uncertainty at
17	the time of assessment of interchangeability. This
18	should include a particular focus on those portions of
19	the molecule known to affect immunogenicity. The
20	demonstration of fingerprint-like similarity and
21	functional binding as compared to the reference
22	product may further reduce uncertainty.

1	Beyond biosimilarity, interchangeability
2	requires evidence to ensure safe substitution in the
3	absence of prescriber oversight. Switching studies
4	provide additional confidence that there will be no
5	meaningful increase in immunogenecity from switching
6	or alternating between the biosimilar and originator
7	product. However, Lilly believes that FDA could take
8	steps to make the conduct of switching studies more
9	efficient and feasible. Most importantly, given the
10	lack of dose linearity with insulins, we recommend
11	that FDA consider whether an efficacy endpoint might
12	be more appropriate for these studies as compared to
13	the pharmacokinetic endpoint being recommended.
14	Furthermore, FDA could provide proactive
15	guidance on key elements of protocol design, including
16	patient population, such as whether data from a type 1
17	population is generalizable to a type 2 population,
18	and duration of each switching period. We are
19	committed to continue working with FDA to simplify
20	switching studies for insulin products, and will
21	provide additional details in our written comments.
22	The experience of interchangeability

determination is that the patient receives an insulin 1 2 product at the pharmacy that is different from the product prescribed by their healthcare professional 3 4 and potentially different from one that they have ever previously used. And all of this is done without the 5 oversight of the prescriber. This underscores the 6 7 importance of assessing any patient-facing components 8 of a proposed interchangeable insulin product, such as the delivery device, to ensure that no additional 9 10 training or prescriber oversight is needed for the switch. 11

12 Components of a connected diabetes ecosystem 13 may include beyond the insulin itself, a number of digital health technologies -- connected pens, mobile 14 15 medical applications, connected, continuous glucose monitors, cloud-based data storage, data analytics and 16 17 dosing algorithms, as well as a pump-based artificial 18 pancreas system. Improved outcomes can be 19 accomplished by providing tools to patients and physicians for better monitoring, insulin management 20 21 and patient motivation, which links to improved 2.2 treatment adherence and individualized patient care by

Page 65

1 providing aggregate data that leads to a better understanding of the disease, and by enabling data-2 driven conversations between a patient and their 3 4 healthcare provider to optimize and tailor treatment In circumstances where an insulin is delivered 5 plans. in a connected ecosystem, FDA should consider that 6 7 specific system in assessing interchangeability. How 8 the insulin product functions within the ecosystem will be relevant to whether a biosimilar may be 9 10 substituted for the reference product safely and 11 effectively without the involvement of the prescriber. 12 We do not believe that this assessment of 13 treatment ecosystem should represent a barrier to interchangeability of the more classic routes of 14 insulin administration. We recommend that FDA assess 15 interchangeability for insulins in current 16 17 presentations, such as vials, pens and pumps, 18 separately from interchangeability within a connected 19 This approach will enable FDA in the short svstem. term to focus on biosimilarity and interchangeability 20 21 of insulins in current presentations, and at the same 2.2 time enable FDA to proactively assess the complex

questions presented by the evolving connected
 ecosystem of diabetes care, with a focus on promoting
 innovation and competition.

4 FDA should consider the following questions 5 in assessing interchangeability where an insulin 6 product is part of the connected system. Will the 7 applicant seeking interchangeability have its own 8 connected ecosystem? If so, how do the components of this system, and the system overall, compare to those 9 10 of the reference product? How do patient outcomes 11 compare between these systems? How will switching 12 from a product within one ecosystem to another affect 13 the continuity and stability of care for the patient, and the datalink to their healthcare chain? 14 How will 15 interchangeability affect data security and data integrity of the reference product's secure ecosystem? 16

As I close today, I share Lilly's recommendations of the key issues FDA should consider when crafting insulin interchangeability standards for now and in the future. In the near term, we believe that FDA should focus on biosimilarity and

22 interchangeability of insulins in current

Paq	e	67

1	presentations. And in the future, interchangeability
2	for biosimilar insulins within a connected ecosystem
3	should be assessed separately. Ideally, this could be
4	part of the FDA's upcoming guidance on presentation-
5	related interchangeability issues. Lilly stands ready
б	to assist FDA with these new standards to help promote
7	patient access to insulin products. Thank you for the
8	opportunity to provide my comments, and I welcome your
9	questions.
10	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, regarding this concept of
11	an ecosystem, so the way you've described it, there
12	are multiple different ecosystems. Like, currently
13	patients move from one type of insulin to another or
14	across-the-board. Wouldn't it seem to make sense that
15	there would be one large ecosystem considering all the
16	different components in this? And you mention that
17	this won't be a barrier. I mean, potentially, if
18	large companies can create their own ecosystem, right,
19	it could be a tremendous barrier, because basically
20	you can't switch to anything else because you're kind
21	of fixed in that system.
22	DR. MARTIN: So, I think there are two parts

1	to the question. The first is, will there be
2	universal interoperability between connected
3	ecosystems that are being developed? And I think we
4	don't know the answer to that question today, but I
5	think we need to prepare for the fact that there could
б	be interoperability, whereas, one would need to
7	understand did a biosimilar insulin function as well
8	within another system? Is there the possibility that
9	there will not be interoperability in some systems? I
10	think that's also possible, and in that case, when a
11	patient is in a particular system, say, for instance,
12	using a connected pen that has an algorithmic-driven
13	dose, if they were to be moved to a vial and syringe
14	presentation, would that be a feasible alternative for
15	that patient? No, there would be a problem there.
16	Because the patient would then be asked to move into a
17	system where they didn't have the dosing prompt that
18	they had before, perhaps didn't have the connection to
19	continuous glucose monitoring. So, we do believe that
20	this does represent a new and more complex for our
21	side of the regulatory environment and the production
22	environment. The goal is that it actually, in the

right system that a patient has been prescribed and
 has been trained on, simplifies their care.

3 MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, as you said, clinicians 4 for decades who has taken care of diabetic patients, 5 wearing that clinician hat, would you like an 6 ecosystem that's interoperable?

7 DR. MARTIN: The ecosystem that I will be 8 looking at as a clinician is does it deliver the 9 outcomes that I would expect for a patient? The 10 interoperability I think will be dependent on what are 11 the methods of that ecosystem, the container closure, 12 other kinds of aspects that may exist within these 13 systems in the future. But I'll be looking at 14 outcomes. Thank you.

MS. TEMKIN: Thank you very much. I believe it's time for us to take a break, so we will reconvene at 10:40.

[Break.]

MS. TEMKIN: Welcome back. I hope everyone enjoyed their break, and we are now pleased to welcome Dr. Luo.

22

18

DR. LUO: Good morning, everyone. Can you

1	hear me? Great. My name is Jing Luo. I am an
2	instructor of medicine at Harvard Medical School, and
3	a faculty member in the Division of
4	Pharmacoepidemiology and pharmacoeconomics, which is
5	located within the Department of Medicine at the
6	Brigham and Women's Hospital. I'm also a practicing
7	physician. I am licensed to practice in the state of
8	Massachusetts. It's a pleasure to be with you all on
9	this rainy day. Here are my disclosures.
10	So, I've been following the pharmaceutical
11	market for about 15 years, and doctors are notoriously
12	bad at making prognosis, but let me go out on a limb
13	and make one important prediction this morning. The
14	approval of biosimilar, non-interchangeable insulins
15	will be insufficient to address existing failures in
16	the US insulin market. Therefore, I will focus the
17	bulk of my talk about issues specific to
18	interchangeability. I have three points for FDA's
19	consideration and our esteemed panelists.
20	First, minor differences in insulin efficacy
21	may not be clinically significant for patients.
22	Second, be cautious but pragmatic about claims of

Page 71

safety when you do hear them. And, third, a small
 pre-approval switching study, I believe, can meet all
 statutory requirements regarding interchangeability.

4 This is an advanced audience, so I do not need to spend much time, cost-related insulin underuse 5 6 is common even in contemporary cross-sectional studies 7 for which I have participated in. We estimate 8 somewhere between 1 in 4 patients who use insulin experienced this in 2019. It's associated with worst 9 10 clinical outcomes and, uncommonly, death. The global 11 need for insulin is staggering. I will note cite all 12 of the figures, but I'll just conclude this part by 13 saying that the reason this is such an important topic is because this is a serious disease. 14 Not using 15 insulin is universally and rapidly fatal for patients who require it. 16

The status quo is a boon for industry but a disaster for patients and for healthcare providers. Why do I say that? First, there is limited competition for insulin. There is a research letter by Emma Hernandez out of Pittsburgh published in JAMA this year.

Page	72	
------	----	--

1 Second, patients do not benefit from rebates or discounts negotiated between insulin manufacturers 2 and payers. I should put in parentheses, currently 3 benefit, because there are talk about making that no 4 longer be an issue. 5 Third, the private contracts that decrease б 7 net prices for insulin are extremely unkind towards 8 frontline healthcare providers and patients. I don't need to tell you about all of this because you are all 9 10 well aware that it's guite a headache to deal with 11 things like formulary restrictions, prior 12 authorizations, step therapy, quantity limits. I have 13 to fax forms to payers that say that my patients have 14 failed X, Y and Z for six months before they'll pay 15 for certain insulin pens. This is ridiculous. Fourth, Band-Aid solutions, like copay cards, 16 17 discounts, authorized generics, they do not work for 18 the majority of Americans, and we have published a large number of studies on this particular issue. 19 Ι 20 put up some references for you to read later. 21 Interchangeable insulins are the most efficient solution for the US market, because we don't 22

	Page 73
1	have rational centralized strategies to control
2	prices. We must rely on things like the market-based
3	solutions of which interchangeability will be very,
4	very important. The remarkable success of the
5	generics market in the US is primarily due to two
6	things: Hatch-Waxman, which was enacted the same year
7	I was born, 1984; and second-stage generic
8	substitution laws, which are really, really important
9	in this space. Existing state laws on biosimilar
10	medicines only allow substitutions of biosimilars that
11	are designated as interchangeables by you at the FDA.
12	Therefore, interchangeable insulins represent a
13	profound opportunity for FDA.
14	Three points. Point No. 1, minor differences
15	in efficacy, that is potency, may not be clinically
16	significant for patients. Insulin is titratable by
17	definition. Additionally, someone has already

16 significant for patients. Insulin is titratable by 17 definition. Additionally, someone has already 18 mentioned this, but medication switches happen all the 19 time in clinical medicine. It is a huge nuisance for 20 our patients and for providers such as myself. I just 21 list a couple of switches that happened today, of 22 which there is no regulatory concern. Levothyroxine

to levothyroxine, which are rated by the FDA as therapeutic equivalent rating of AB. Second, rapidacting analog, such as lispro to aspart, or viceversa; glargine to detemir, or vice-versa; glargine to glargine, or vice-versa.

And, finally, even happens between analog and б 7 human insulin products in the market. I was able to 8 participate in one of these studies. You can read 9 about it in JAMA. It came out in January of this 10 year, and we looked at things like utilization, 11 expenditures, hemoglobin Alc, no major difference that 12 I'd be willing to share with you, even switching 13 between analog and human insulins for type 2 diabetes. 14 Point No. 2. Be cautious but pragmatic about 15 claims of safety. Some claims of safety may be unverified or unsubstantiated by the totality of the 16 17 scientific evidence. What do I mean by that? 18 Multiple people have stood up today and talked about 19 immunogenicity. Let me remind you, immunogenecity is not part of the statute. You cannot find that word 20 21 anywhere in the BPCIA, okay? It's been made up. We're talking about it now because people believe it's 22

Page 75

1 theoretically important, yet I would argue that the development of anti-insulin antibodies, even 2 neutralizing antibodies, often have no or very little 3 4 clinical significance. We are talking about looking 5 at a biomarker which hopefully is associated with a surrogate marker, which is probably associated with a 6 7 clinical outcome. Okay, we're looking at a biomarker 8 for Alc which is probably a validated surrogate outcome that is meaningful for patients. This is what 9 10 we're talking about right now. 11 Additionally, their clinical events may be

12 impossible or impractical to identify in approval 13 studies and thus may require post-marketing observational studies that include things like 14 15 traceable real world evidence. Our division is quite good at doing these types of studies, but you don't 16 have to do observational studies. You can also do 17 18 them through registries or through the US sentinel 19 program.

Finally, this point at the bottom, it's buried there but it's super-important. People will talk about unusual, idiosyncratic, unpredictable

1	clinically meaningful safety events. These will
2	always happen irrespective of the product being
3	considered. However, these can always, always, always
4	be mitigated in the status quo because the provider
5	can simply check off dispenses written or brand name
б	medically necessary on his or her prescription.
7	Here's some examples of things we can look at
8	for safety events using observational data. I have
9	three minutes. Let me just skip to the second point,
10	which is I quote some statute here. The risk in terms
11	of safety or diminished efficacy of switching between
12	the use of interchangeable products and reference
13	products are not greater than the risk of using the
14	reference product alone without a switch.
15	I will propose to you one hypothetical study
16	design, which you can see here, which is my
17	interpretation of the draft guidance, because I don't
18	think I've had a chance to review the final guidance,
19	which came out after these slides were prepared. But
20	here's one hypothetical switching study for
21	demonstrating insulin interchangeability that uses no
22	less than three switches between the reference and

-		
Τ	-	

biosimilar product.

2 Let's say after you screen an appropriate patient population that may or may not already be 3 4 using insulin. Is there a laser on here? On the far 5 left you'll see that patients after screening are entering a two-week run-in phase -- that number of 6 weeks is variable -- where they're using the reference 7 8 insulin product. On day zero they're randomized to the top, where there's a no-switch arm, or the bottom, 9 10 where they are switched to a biosimilar insulin. 11 Subsequently, after a certain number of days, let's 12 say it's 10 days, which is about the amount of time 13 that one pen lasts, they are switched to a reference That's switch No. 2. Ten more days they are 14 insulin. 15 switched to a biosimilar insulin, and at the end of a certain number of period, let's say it's 3.5 half-16 lives, you compare the PK endpoints, clinically 17 18 relevant endpoints, the dose, the immunogenicity, and 19 safety risk, comparing the top versus the bottom randomized arms. This is one potential study design, 20 21 and I would suggest something on the range of 30 2.2 patients, let's just say. I'm not covering this

Paq	e	78	

1	slide.	And	I'll	finish	with	this	story	in	my	last
2	minute.									

3 Okay. I guess my animations didn't come 4 through, so you can't see the most important picture Ninety-seven years ago a 5-year-old boy named 5 here. Teddy Ryder was first treated with insulin in Fred 6 7 Banting's group at the University of Toronto. He came 8 in as -- in a wheelchair. Sometime later you see a picture of him as a rotund, healthy boy, okay? 9 And he 10 writes this letter, which currently a copy of which 11 sits on my desk. "Dear Dr. Banting. I wish you could 12 I am a fat boy now and I feel fine." come see me. 13 This is a picture of Ted Ryder. He survived well into his seventies, dying in the 1990s, holding up a 14 picture of what he looked like shortly after receiving 15 16 insulin.

I believe, personally, that it is a travesty we're nearing the 100-year anniversary without any true generic insulin in the US market. The time to act is not today, it was two years ago, when Alex Smith died for rationing his insulin and dying unfortunately of complications related to DKA, okay?

I urge you, I thank you for being here today, but let's not mistake ourselves, it's time to act. Thank you.

4 MS. YANOFF: Thank you so much. For your interchangeability study, a couple questions. 5 One is the number of patients you're thinking, and also the 6 considerations about the duration of the study 7 8 comparing that to what we know about insulin dosing and how long it takes to titrate for glycemic control. 9 10 So, how do you reconcile the short duration of the trial with the fact that a lot of the patients would 11 12 be dose titrating still at that point?

13 DR. LUO: Yeah, I mean, I'm not as familiar with the average length of titrations in pre-approval 14 15 studies for insulin. I imagine they're relatively 16 In clinical practice we kind of draw it out a short. 17 little bit because we're concerned about risk of hypoglycemia and we want to give patients time to kind 18 19 of get familiar with it. But I would argue that in a randomized trial setting, where you have people who 20 21 are monitoring safety events, that you should be able 2.2 to titrate up pretty aggressively. And, of course,

1 there are different titration algorithms that are out 2 there. I would argue for something aggressive, like 3 treat to target, where you can get to your fasting 4 goal relatively quickly.

5 The number of patients should be driven by 6 the science based on your primary outcome. So, if 7 your primary outcome is PK related, I believe those 8 studies can be extremely small. And why do I believe 9 that? Because, I'll just reference you guys to the 10 pivotal trial which led to the approval of intranasal 11 Narcan, where there were 30 patients.

12 MR. KOSLOWSKI: I just wanted to ask if you 13 could comment on something a little bit different. Ι noticed in the publication this was a switching 14 15 approach from a human -- from an analog to human 16 insulin, and I guess just more broadly, if you could 17 comment on some of the other barriers at the patient 18 level or physician level concerns that might occur 19 from implementing availability of interchangeability, why would you think there will be concerns from either 20 21 patients or physicians around switching from one form 2.2 of insulin to another?

	Page 81
1	DR. LUO: Yeah, I mean
2	MR. KOSLOWSKI: And how would you suggest we
3	consider those and mitigate them?
4	DR. LUO: I think history repeats itself.
5	I think the arguments you heard about switching
6	between levothyroxine from one manufacturer and
7	generic levothyroxine from another manufacturer, those
8	same arguments will come again and we will have to
9	beat them back with rigorous science. It could be
10	pre-approval studies and it could be a combination of
11	pre-approval and post-approval required or suggested
12	studies, which can come from real world evidence.
13	I think you'll probably get a lot of
14	resistance from patients or from healthcare providers
15	that have a lot of skin in the game. If they're
16	making a lot of money off the brand-name
17	pharmaceutical industry, I believe that they'll
18	probably have really strong arguments about why
19	switching is really, really bad for them. However, I
20	believe if you really focus on the science and you get
21	those endpoints right, that we should be able to back
22	those biased hypotheses.

	Meeting May 13, 2019
	Page 82
1	MS. TEMKIN: Thank you. I wanted to ask a
2	little bit more about your discussion of claims of
3	safety. I'm wondering if you can explain a little bit
4	what kinds of claims you're talking about, who's
5	DR. LUO: Yeah. I mean, I've heard of
6	comments from senior leadership at FDA talking about
7	things like, well, if you do repeated switching
8	between reference and biosimilar products, and between
9	different biosimilar manufacturer's products, that
10	will [pound] the immune system and make it really,
11	really problematic in terms of immunogenicity.
12	What science undergirds those claims?
13	Neutralizing antibodies are quite common. Non-
14	neutralizing antibodies are also quite common after
15	the use of insulin, but they have little or no
16	clinical impact, not on dose, not on its associations
17	with glycemic control, certainly not on hard clinical
18	endpoints. So, when we say things like that or even
19	hear things like that, it seems really important,
20	because it's about safety of our patients, but,
21	really, what evidence support those claims? That's
22	what I mean about claims about safety.

3.4	· •
Me	eting
1110	oung

Paq	e	83

1	And, actually, when I first read about the
2	guidance and I heard that piece in the Federal
3	Register, when I thought FDA was thinking about
4	safety, I thought you were referring to hypoglycemia
5	risk. But it's become apparent to me that safety can
6	also include things like immunogenicity, and I would
7	just argue that, well, you know what? My patients
8	probably care more about their risk of having a
9	hypoglycemic event than developing an antibody for
10	which clinicians do not even check in routine clinical
11	practice. These are subspecialty lab results that are
12	almost never ordered unless you are a researcher.
13	That's why I think they don't have very much clinical
14	significance, and that's why I think you should
15	probably down-weigh that particular endpoint when you
16	think about regulating these products.
17	MS. TEMKIN: I just want to unpack a little
18	bit which guidance you're talking are you talking
19	about biosimilarity, interchangeability?
20	DR. LUO: Well, how do you interpret the
21	statute? Do you interpret do you think the statute
22	mentions anything about immunogenicity?

	Page 84
1	MS. TEMKIN: It does, yeah. So,
2	DR. LUO: Can you quote that line to me?
3	MS. TEMKIN: Sure. In Section
4	351(k)(2)(A)(i)(cc).
5	DR. LUO: And what is the line?
6	MS. TEMKIN: It's in defining the content
7	that's required for a biosimilarity demonstration, and
8	it mentions a clinical study or studies, including the
9	assessment of immunogenecity and pharmacokinetics or
10	pharmacodynamics
11	DR. LUO: Okay.
12	MS. TEMKIN: sufficient to demonstrate
13	safety, purity and potency.
14	DR. LUO: So, it sounds like it's more for
15	interchangeability I'm sorry, for biosimilarity
16	than interchangeability.
17	MS. TEMKIN: Well, and then biosimilarity, of
18	course, is incorporated into the definition of
19	interchangeability.
20	DR. LUO: Yeah.
21	MS. TEMKIN: So, I'm just trying to
22	understand

	Page 85
1	DR. LUO: Yeah. Well, based on that statute,
2	it sounds like you probably do have to evaluate
3	immunogenicity. Whether you can do it in a PK study
4	or whether you'd have to do it as a large pre-approval
5	clinical study let's say a small pre-approval
6	clinical study, would be up to you.
7	MS. TEMKIN: Thank you.
8	DR. LUO: Thanks.
9	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, you had mentioned that
10	immunogenecity is low risk, and there's a lot of
11	evidence for that. So, clearly, even though the
12	statute mentions immunogenicity, obviously it's based
13	on the risk and understanding the risk of
14	immunogenecity what the expectations with that would
15	be. So, clearly, if you have information and
16	there's a lot of information about this that
17	supports the lack of importance of immunogenecity for
18	insulin, it's important to include that in the docket
19	or to share that with us.
20	DR. LUO: Sure. I'll find those studies and
21	summarize them for you.
22	MS. TEMKIN: If there are no additional

Page	86
rage	00

	Page 86
1	questions, thank you very much.
2	DR. LUO: Thank you.
3	MS. TEMKIN: And Christine Simmon, thank you.
4	MS. SIMMON: Hi. Thank you for the
5	opportunity to speak at today's hearing. I'm
б	Christine Simmon. I am the oh, yes, of course. I
7	actually don't have slides, so I will be happy to
8	there we go. So, I'm Christine Simmons, Vice
9	President of Policy and Strategic Alliances at the
10	Association for Accessible Medicines, and the
11	executive director of the Biosimilars Council, which
12	is a division of the association that represents the
13	manufacturers of biosimilars. I have no disclosure to
14	make today. Most significantly, I do not intend to
15	disclose the year I was born, but I will put my
16	glasses on, so that might give you a clue.
17	So, as former FDA Commissioner Gottlieb
18	noted, regulating insulin under the Public Health
19	Service Act will allow for more efficient development
20	of biosimilar and interchangeable insulin for
21	America's 7.5 million diabetes patients who rely on
22	insulin to manage their disease, a population that has

doubled in the past two decades. And we have seen in 1 2 the biosimilars space to date that competition works to bring down monopoly prices for costly biologics. 3 4 Marketed biosimilars are currently, on average, coming into the market discounted at 47% below their 5 respective reference products list price, and 18% 6 7 lower in terms of net price, ASP, in Medicare Part B. 8 As Congress has noted, competition is sorely needed in the insulin space, and we look forward to 9 10 working with the Agency and policymakers to achieve 11 this goal. 12 The insulin market in the United States is a 13 direct reflection of issues facing biosimilars more broadly. The current insulin market lacks significant 14 15 competition to the detriment of patient access and 16 health and has been characterized as a public health 17 crisis. The combination of regulatory challenges, 18 over-patenting to stave off competition, and anti-19 competitive rebating and contracting tactics by brand firms are some of the reasons for this lack of 20 21 competition.

22

Six of the most highly utilized brand name

Dago	00
Page	00

1	insulins increased in list price by more than 500%
2	from 2006 to 2015. Because patient cost-sharing is
3	often based on the list price before rebates or
4	discounts, increases in list price directly impact a
5	patient's ability to afford their medicines and can
6	cause increased patient abandonment and lower
7	adherence. In addition, in Medicare Part D, annual
8	out-of-pocket costs for insulin nearly doubled from
9	2007 to 2016, from \$324 to \$588, according to the
10	Kaiser Family Foundation.
11	Given the acute need for competition in the
12	insulin market, we absolutely applaud the FDA's recent
13	efforts in this space to ensure insulin biosimilars
14	are able to efficiently be developed and come to
15	market post-March 2020. We support the Agency's
16	timely guidance on interchangeability, particularly
17	its streamlined data and study design requirements
18	that allow flexibility and the use of the global
19	comparator products to support applications. We also
20	appreciate the removal of the ambiguous fingerprint-
21	like regulatory standard.

22

Now, while the interchangeability designation

does not confer any additional quality or safety
 attributes for approved biosimilars, the statutory
 requirement, as others have pointed out, under BPCIA
 makes the designation necessary for automatic
 substitution at the retail pharmacy.
 Interchangeability will therefore be particularly

7 important in the insulin space.

8 As the agency stated recently in a response to a letter from senators voicing concern over the 9 10 final guidance on the implementation of the deemed to 11 be a license provisions of the BPCIA, FDA has 12 considerable expertise and experience safely and 13 effectively regulating insulin, and with the highly similar regulatory standard that is applied to brand 14 15 biologics after manufacturing changes as well as to 16 biosimilars.

Further, insulin is a simpler molecule than other, more complex biologics such as monoclonal antibodies, and has been extensively characterized and significant real-world evidence related to the safety and efficacy of insulin exists. To that end, we support the Agency's step-wise approach to

Page 90	Page 9	90
---------	--------	----

1	interchangeability outlined in the final guidance.
2	Contrary to all too prevalent misinformation
3	campaigns around the safety and efficacy of
4	biosimilars driven by some brand manufacturers,
5	stakeholders to not need to wait for interchangeable
6	biologics to use biosimilars with their patients.
7	Significant evidence exists that a physician-led
8	transition from a reference product to a
9	noninterchangeable biosimilar does not result in a
10	loss of safety or efficacy.
11	In the insulin space, brand-to-brand switches
12	across insulin types occur frequently at the direction
13	of the provider, and given the highly similar nature
14	of a biosimilar to its reference product, the risk of
15	diminished safety or efficacy from a transition is
16	minimal.
17	Availability of biosimilar insulin is likely
18	to increase patient access and savings. To that end,
19	in terms of the Agency's educational efforts on
20	biosimilar insulin, we would like you to continue
21	emphasizing that a transition from a reference product
22	to a noninterchangeable biosimilar will not result in

1 changes to safety or effectiveness. 2 Finally, at the risk of piling on, I want to add our voice to the chorus of the stakeholders who 3 4 also believe the uptake -- excuse me, the updated FDA 5 quidance on naming does act as a barrier to biosimilars. We've commented on this previously, but 6 the FDA policy that requires four-letter random 7 8 suffixes be added to the biosimilars INN purportedly 9 to support pharmacovigilance and despite a global 10 consensus that a suffix only leads to patient and 11 prescriber confusion is disappointing to those of us 12 seeking to increase patient access to biosimilars. 13 FDA recently announced that it will abandon its prior commitment to add suffixes to previously 14 approved originator biologics, which includes insulin 15 products. Different requirements for originator 16 17 biologics and biosimilar competitors will create 18 patient and provider confusion, compounding reference 19 biologic manufacturer-supported misinformation 20 campaigns. And this is going to be particularly 21 challenging for insulins approved as interchangeable 2.2 biologics. It will differentiate the automatically

Paqe	92

	_
1	substitutable interchangeable biologics from their
2	reference products and undermining interchangeability
3	designation. The policy further erodes confidence in
4	biosimilars and results in billions in lost savings if
5	interchangeable biologics are not automatically
6	substituted for the reference product. So, we really
7	urge the Agency to reverse its policy on the random
8	suffixes, really, just rescind the guidance and kind
9	of come into line with the rest of the globe.
10	With that, I guess I would just conclude with
11	a few recommendations. FDA has significant experience
12	with insulin and highly similar regulatory standard,
13	and should apply that experience to biosimilar insulin
14	development. We'd like the Agency to continue to
15	highlight for stakeholders that interchangeability
16	does not confer quality but is a statutory standard
17	for automatic substitution at the pharmacy.
18	We'd like the Agency to continue to emphasize
19	that a transition from a reference product to a
20	noninterchangeable biosimilar will not result in
21	changes to safety or effectiveness.
22	Thank you for the opportunity to speak today

Page 9	3
--------	---

1	and your leadership in ensuring the development of a
2	competitive biosimilar market in the US. I look
3	forward to answering your questions and submitting
4	additional comments for the docket.
5	MS. TEMKIN: It seems that we don't have
6	questions at this time. Oh, I take it back.
7	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, earlier on we heard about
8	sort of this broader insulin ecosystem. What is AAM's
9	position on sort of how insulin fits into a broader
10	world with all kinds of apps and electronic links?
11	MS. SIMMON: Well, that's, you know, I think
12	that's the first time I've heard, really, that
13	application of the ecosystem analogy to insulin, and
14	from Lilly, so, we had an opportunity to discuss among
15	the members of our trade association. But I would say
16	that it does look that developing the ecosystem with
17	different parts that involve different products will
18	therefore likely involve additional patents. And as
19	parts of the ecosystem or the delivery system, in the
20	ecosystem are patented we do know that patent
21	tickets, over-patenting rebates, the rebate trap and
22	other patent issues are a big barrier to biosimilar

1	adoption. So, we would definitely want to know more
2	about it and take a look at it from that perspective.
3	MR. STEIN: You mentioned prescriber-
4	initiated switching based upon with biosimilars
5	that were not interchangeable. Can you speak a little
6	bit about your views on the potential success for
7	biosimilars that are not interchangeable, the value of
8	interchangeables with regard to increased use of that
9	product? And, also, well, maybe start with that?
10	MS. SIMMON: Okay. Yes. I mean, certainly,
11	biosimilars that have not or biosimilar applicants
12	who have not sought the interchangeability designation
13	but have their biosimilar approval should be
14	successful in the market, notwithstanding the
15	confusion around, you know, what interchangeability
16	really means from a layperson's perspective and from a
17	patient perspective. And the idea that
18	interchangeability sounds like a quality attribute,
19	when, of course, we know that it's not, and the FDA
20	has been very clear about that and we appreciate that.
21	Right now, because the market is mainly in
22	Part B, it's less of a concern. As the market moves

1	to Part D, hopefully, and the ongoing approvals of
2	more biosimilars, we do expect there to be some
3	challenges surrounding that, and certainly our
4	manufacturers are concerned.
5	MR. STEIN: And the second part I was going
б	to ask is in terms of the timing of it. Do you think
7	that it would be important for the Agency to come out
8	as interchangeable or sequentially biosimilar and then
9	interchangeable? Does that pose any differences in
10	likelihood of success of the product?
11	MS. SIMMON: Well, I think to the extent that
12	they could be contemporaneous would be helpful. But
13	we would support the idea that I think was mentioned
14	by others, that interchangeability, you know, in the
15	EU is a component of biosimilarity, it's not a
16	separate designation. And interchangeable biologics,
17	the interchangeability is already, from a product
18	perspective, is built in. So, ideally, while we know
19	it exists in the statute, it would be something that
20	could be weighted a great deal less.
21	MR. UNLU: I have a question. All morning
22	we've heard about interchangeability two ways. One is

	Page 96
1	describing the existing market, we've heard a lot
2	about how the existing market is de facto
3	interchangeable in ways, for example, driven by
4	insurance or prescriber decisions. And then we're
5	also talking about interchangeable insulins and how
б	they're really important. I guess I'm a little
7	confused. If the existing market is exhibiting
8	aspects of interchangeability as the prices keep
9	rising, what additional aspect of the interchangeable
10	approvals would help those prices come down? And how
11	many of those would we need? Because I also
12	understand that there are a handful of insulins
13	currently on the market and are apparently being used
14	interchangeably in many ways. So, can you shed some
15	light on that?
16	MS. SIMMON: Possibly. I think that, you
17	know, the degree to which interchangeability
18	designations will help drive down prices is directly,
19	of course, correlated to the degree to which those are
20	products that will be available at the pharmacy and
21	will be, therefore, automatically substituted. The
22	success of the generic industry in terms of market

Page 97 1 penetration is primarily based on automatic 2 substitution at the retail pharmacy level. That's why 3 we're at 90% of the market. So, you know, that's 4 really, if you have interchangeability but not retail 5 availability, then you may not see -- certainly, you won't see as rapid price competition, and that will 6 7 affect, I think, the rate of price competition, if not the level. 8 9 MS. TEMKIN: Great. Thank you. 10 MS. SIMMON: Thank you. 11 MS. TEMKIN: Dr. Ramanan? 12 DR. RAMANAN: Good morning. My name is 13 Sundar Ramanan. I am vice president of global regulatory affairs for Biocon. Thank you for the 14 15 opportunity this morning to present our policy position today. 16 17 The reason why we are passionate about this 18 topic is because our chairperson defines blockbuster 19 as being accessible to a billion patients, right? This vision has enabled us, Biocon, to be a pioneer in 20 21 affordable access to biologics. Patients in over 120-2.2 plus countries benefit from our high quality

biotherapeutics, both innovative and biosimilars. In
 2019 alone, we expect to improve more than 2.6 million
 patient lives, which 2.5 million will be diabetic
 patients. Patent metrics presented here also
 demonstrate our commitment to innovation.

When it comes to insulin, we have been 6 serving diabetic patients globally for over 15 years. 7 8 Specifically with recombinant human insulin, patients have benefited from more than two billion doses, which 9 10 correspond to more than 730 million patient days of 11 exposure in over 40 countries. Our products cover the 12 entire spectrum of patient needs with recombinant 13 human insulin, basal and bolus, available in vials, cartridges, as well as disposable and reusable pens. 14 15 The Agency has asked for feedback on four questions, and this is our presentation on question 16

17 1(a) specifically on biosimilarity.

One, insulins are small proteins relative to mAbs, and they can be completely characterized. Second, both efficacy and safety can be adequately evaluated using highly sensitive in vitro methods. Third, insulins have a PD marker, which means they can

evaluate efficacy in a clinical pharmacology setting
 along with safety. That leaves very little
 uncertainty with regards to immunogenicity. We have
 specific suggestions on factors to consider to address
 any theoretical or any residual uncertainty coming
 from analytical similarity exercise.

7 Unlike mAbs, which are large and complex, 8 insulins are simple proteins. We can completely characterize the drug product using multiple 9 10 orthogonal methods and up to a molecular level. 11 Therefore, once we do the characterization with 12 adequate sensitivity, we can also quantify residual 13 uncertainty risks. Specifically, the point I want to drive home on this slide is there are no unknown risks 14 15 after we complete analytical similarity exercise.

Second, once we complete the structural characterization using physiochemical methods functionally, incidents, the mycogenic as well as metabolic effects, efficacy and safety component can be adequately characterized using in vitro methods that are highly sensitive.

2.2

Therefore, as we go through the step-wise

Page 100

1 process, once we address the quality components as 2 well as nonclinical components, coupled with the 3 clinical pharmacology exercise, very little residual 4 uncertainty remain with regards to immunogenicity and 5 perhaps in most cases it's only a theoretical risk.

So, now we have specific considerations with 6 7 regards to immunogenicity. First, multiple studies have shown absence of correlation between insulin 8 antibodies and insulin resistance. In long-term 9 10 follow-up studies of children with type 1 diabetes, 11 neither the presence of insulin autoantibodies nor the 12 development of insulin antibodies caused an increased 13 need for insulin dose requirements.

14 Second, many clinical studies have shown 15 absence of significant correlation between insulin 16 antibodies and average glycemia. Therefore, insulin 17 antibodies are not correlated with loss of efficacy or 18 safety issues.

Now, when it comes to a biosimilar product, we can characterize the immunogenecity risk into two categories. One is product-related factors and patient-related factors. Insulin molecule is well

	Page 101
1	established to have multiple T cell epitopes that can
2	elicit adaptive immune response, and which is a
3	balance between effector and regulatory T cell
4	response. Since the T cell response or to the linear
5	peptides, and given the amino acid sequences identical
6	between the reference product and biosimilar product,
7	switching between these two is not expected to produce
8	differential T cell response. The goal of
9	biosimilarity is not to reestablish safety, is
10	something I would like to remind here only to
11	assess differential safety. Second, using high order
12	structure using NMR and x-ray crystallography, they
13	can further enhance the confidence that move
14	differential risks exist.
15	Lastly, for products where the excipients are
16	identical, no differential immunogenecity risks exist.
17	Moving on to patient-related factors,
18	multiple long-term clinical studies in type 1 diabetic
19	patients, their 70% of patients had a basal anti-
20	insulin antibody, and in type 2 diabetes patients
21	evaluating anti-insulin antibody formation, after
22	exposure to human insulin and insulin analogs indicate

	Wiedling Wiay 15, 2017
	Page 102
1	that anti-insulin antibody does not have a major
2	impact on patient safety and efficacy.
3	Now, lastly, the question of immunogenicity,
4	how do we go about addressing that? If we are to look
5	at treatment-emergent adverse reaction rates from
6	multiple clinical studies, from different sponsors for
7	the same reference product, it ranges from 1.9% to
8	40%. Such large observed differences have been found
9	to have no impact on efficacy or safety. Therefore,
10	specifying a certain margin which results in a
11	clinical trial size is non-value-added. A 300-patient
12	trial can produce the same level of confidence as a
13	500-patient trial. Therefore, we recommend that the
14	comparative immunogenecity specifically neutralizing
15	antibody and its effect on glucodynamic effect should
16	be viewed from a totality of evidence perspective.
17	Any residual uncertainty can be addressed using this -
18	- a single, approximately 300-patient trial.
19	Now, for products that have multiple
20	formulations and then the label of the reference
21	product for the safety section is the same, then the
22	immunogenecity assessment for the formulation of the

1	highest theoretical risk should be sufficient. They
2	should then be able to extrapolate safety and
3	immunogenecity to the other formulations. Similarly,
4	if the product has two different concentrations, they
5	should be able to extrapolate safety and
6	immunogenecity from one study to another based on
7	scientific justification.
8	For over-the-counter products, by the way,
9	insulin, recombinant human insulin is designated as
10	over-the-counter product. Safety and immunogenecity
11	data for a biosimilar product from a foreign
12	controlled trial, even if the reference product is
13	different, should be considered to watch toward the
14	totality of evidence with scientific justification.
15	Likewise, global pharmacovigilance data must be
16	considered towards totality of evidence for
17	biosimilarity.
18	Now, transitioning into the
19	interchangeability question, unlike other biologics,
20	insulin is the only protein to have been designated as
21	over-the-counter product. Here, we compare the
22	crystal dimensions and crystal morphology in terms of

	Page 104
1	length and width between two reference products, which
2	are largely different. Despite the large differences
3	in product characteristics, the Agency has allowed
4	switching between these two products. What this does
5	is that the effective therapeutic range is wide and
6	the same. The dosage is identical on a unit-for-unit
7	basis.
8	Now, interchangeability has three
9	considerations, the first one being biosimilarity; the
10	second one being same clinical effect for any given
11	patient, and the risk in terms of diminished efficacy.
12	Once we establish the biosimilarity, our position is
13	that there is no differential need for evidence
14	between biosimilarity and interchangeability, and
15	here's the reason.
16	Every patient currently takes the drug that
17	is titrated to their needs, and comparison of GAR
18	equivalent proves the drug is effective in any given
19	patient. So, it's irrelevant the same clinical effect
20	in any given patient criteria outlined primarily for
21	perhaps fixed dose product is irrelevant for insulins.
22	Second, risk in terms of diminished efficacy,

1	unlike mAbs, loss of efficacy due to anti-drug
2	antibody formation, as I demonstrated just now, is not
3	a concern for diabetic patients. Also, unlike mAbs,
4	vary the frequency of dose between the first and
5	second maybe weekly, monthly, or even longer, insulins
б	are taken daily and the single switch or a three-
7	switch study is not needed, and the immunogenecity
8	assessment can be done in parallel study as well.
9	There are multiple reference products or
10	biosimilars available to patients today, and these
11	products are frequently switched to each other, either
12	because of OTC rating or other drivers. Therefore, we
13	are asking the Agency to consider that when a
14	biosimilar is approved, it should be deemed as
15	interchangeable to all the reference products.
16	Now, when it comes to continuous infusion
17	pumps, if you systematically evaluate the risk,
18	starting with product-related factors and in terms of
19	device-related factors, we have already demonstrated
20	that there are no risks in regards to product-related
21	and device-related. So, the only residual component
22	is the compatibility. Compatibility study and

	Meeting May 13, 2019
	Page 106
1	extractable leachable should be sufficient.
2	In terms of patient experience, we request
3	the Agency to allow patient experience or patient
4	preference data to be utilized towards enabling
5	approval, access and adoption of biosimilars.
б	And, lastly, with regards to education, we
7	request the Agency to provide a level playing field
8	for both the reference product and biosimilar. Any
9	educational or promotional material casting
10	aspirations on the biosimilarity or interchangeability
11	should be discouraged.
12	And, lastly, sometimes loss of efficacy is
13	attributed to handling, so we request the Agency to
14	enhance education on handling of these products so
15	that there is no misattribution of loss of efficacy
16	due to biosimilars or switching.
17	In conclusion, insulins are simple proteins
18	and the regulatory requirements should reflect that.
19	Residual uncertainty can be accurately identified and
20	quantified. Such residual uncertainty can be
21	addressed in a single trial. The totality of evidence
22	required for biosimilarity and interchangeability is

Page 107 1 the same, and therefore we request the agency to 2 designate all insulin biosimilars as interchangeable. Comparability studies are necessary and 3 4 sufficient to address any residual risks, and patient 5 experience data should enable quicker access to biosimilars. If you put patient first and scienceб 7 based regulations, that will ensure efficient 8 development of biosimilar and interchangeable 9 products. Thank you for the opportunity, and I'm 10 happy to take questions. 11 MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, you mentioned patient experience should be a factor. So, are you saying 12 13 that whatever the expectations are, that patient 14 experience changes those expectations? 15 DR. RAMANAN: The patient experience in terms of real world evidence, pharmacovigilance data 16 17 globally, if available, we request the Agency to 18 consider that towards totality of evidence. 19 MR. KOSLOWSKI: Right. So, that would be a 20 factor going into what the expectations might be in a 21 particular case? 22 DR. RAMANAN: I wouldn't say it should be the

	Meeting May 15, 2017
	Page 108
1	expectation, but if the data is available from global
2	data, we request the Agency to consider that towards
3	totality of evidence. Requiring a new study, you
4	know, should not be needed if the data exists,
5	clinical data exists.
б	MR. KOSLOWSKI: And following up on another,
7	in your slide you had a comment in the
8	characterization slide that there are no unknown
9	risks.
10	DR. RAMANAN: Yeah.
11	MR. KOSLOWSKI: A pretty bold statement.
12	DR. RAMANAN: Yeah.
13	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, I want to explore that a
14	little bit further. So, does that mean there's no
15	unexpected risks or that all risks we could think of,
16	including immunogenicity, are dealt with?
17	DR. RAMANAN: So, words matter, right? So,
18	after we complete the analytical characterization, we
19	can actually and using MS technique, we will we
20	know exactly what the risks are. Unexpected risks can
21	come from either the product or patient-related
22	factors. What we are saying here is from a product-

1	related factors there will be no unknown risks.
2	MR. STEIN: If you could speak a little bit
3	to the proposal for the 300-patient study to look at
4	immunogenicity. So, in a prior slide you had
5	mentioned that the differential immunogenecity between
б	a biosimilar and a reference molecule would be
7	minimal, at low risk and therefore the immunogenicity
8	differential would be minimal, and yet you're
9	proposing a 300-patient study. Can I ask you two
10	questions about that? First of all, if you are
11	suggesting that the risk of differential
12	immunogenecity is minimal and the impact of
13	immunogenicity, if it were to occur, is minimal, what
14	was the reason that you were proposing the study?
15	And, secondly, where did you come up with the 300
16	number? Is that based upon experience or a particular
17	calculation?
18	DR. RAMANAN: Yeah, happy to answer that.
19	So, the clinical study that we are proposing is to
20	address any public health risk, theoretical or
21	otherwise, could exist, right? So, that's where the
22	study is coming from. The number 300 is what we're

Page 110 seeing is right now the 500-patient trial that --1 you've seen all these studies have been close to 500-2 3 patient trials. Comes from a certain tier rate 4 margin. What we are saying is, it doesn't really 5 matter -- we should be looking at it from a comparative setting. You can take a lower number and 6 7 can still get the same level of confidence from a 500patient trial. So, if you increase the margin, the 8 sample size will decrease, and so long as it's in a 9 10 comparative setting, comparative totality of evidence 11 requirement, the number should be fine. 12 Just to explore that a bit more, MR. STEIN: 13 you said to look at other potential risks. So, are you primarily proposing the study with 300 patients to 14 15 look at the residual risk of immunogenicity, or are 16 there other factors that you would specifically look

DR. RAMANAN: That's a good question. So, from a -- if you were to go by the step-wise process, what we are left with is the theoretical or any known risk coming from the analytical characterization. And the study that we are proposing is primarily only will

at, and what would the endpoint of the study be?

17

	Page III
1	be for the immunogenicity.
2	MS. YANOFF: I'm also interested in this same
3	issue. So, working backwards, you say there's no
4	impact on safety and efficacy of the anti-insulin
5	antibodies. Then working backwards, what is the
б	relative importance of this tier rate percent, that
7	you're saying, well, we can sort of compare the same
8	number with fewer patients. But what exactly what
9	number are you exactly wanting to compare and why?
10	DR. RAMANAN: We will provide those specific
11	comments to the docket, and the scientific rationale.
12	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, you made a comment about
13	interchangeability that should not be an additional
14	standard of biosimilarity for insulins or not require
15	additional information. You also mentioned
16	interchangeability should occur with all reference
17	products. I kind of wondered what you meant by that,
18	since biosimilarity is typically to a single reference
19	product?
20	DR. RAMANAN: So, from a it has two
21	components, right? First, even if the reference
22	product are many, the amino acid sequence is

Meeting

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 112 identical, practically, right? So, what we are saying is, from a differential risk, when we demonstrate interchangeability to one, we should practically get interchangeability to other reference products as well. I had a very similar question. MS. TEMKIN: So, I'll just ask, and you may not have thought of this. But have you given any consideration to the regulatory framework for the -- you know, you say when a biosimilar is approved, it should be deemed as an interchangeable. And this idea that it would be interchangeable to multiple reference products, have you thought at all about the regulatory structure of that? DR. RAMANAN: We will look into it and will provide comments to the docket. That would be great. Thank you. MS. TEMKIN: DR. RAMANAN: Yeah. MS. YANOFF: And also for the docket, perhaps if you could expand on why you think immunogenecity assessment should include neutralizing antibody assessment, because you mentioned that on one of your

Page 113 1 slides but didn't really discuss it much. DR. RAMANAN: 2 Okay. MS. YANOFF: And, also, if you have any 3 4 information on why the apparent large immune response in terms of anti-insulin antibodies in some trials has 5 absolutely no impact on safety and efficacy? 6 7 DR. RAMANAN: Okay. If you have information that 8 MS. YANOFF: 9 could explain what the reasoning is for that 10 scientifically, that would be helpful. 11 DR. RAMANAN: Okay. 12 I think that's all the time we MS. TEMKIN: 13 have to pepper you with questions. All right. Appreciate it. 14 DR. RAMANAN: 15 MS. TEMKIN: Thank you. Coby Watier? Okay. Maybe we have more time to pepper you with questions, 16 17 but we won't do that. Dr. Marinac? Thank you. 18 DR. MARINAC: Good morning. My name is Marjana Marinac, and I'm speaking to you today as a 19 staff member for the nonprofit JDRF. I'm also here as 20 21 a pharmacist, and most importantly as a person who has 2.2 lived with type 1 diabetes or T1D for 29 years.

May 13, 2019	2019	13.	May
--------------	------	-----	-----

Because of both my personal and professional
 background, the safety, effectively, availability and
 cost of insulin are of great importance to me. I am
 honored to be here today on behalf of JDRF.

As I've just mentioned, my disclosures are 5 that I am a full-time employee of JDRF International. 6 7 First, a little bit about our organization. JDRF was founded almost 50 years ago by moms and dads of 8 children with type 1 diabetes. We work to achieve our 9 10 vision by accelerating life-changing breakthroughs to 11 cure, prevent and treat type 1 diabetes and its 12 complications.

13 Since our founding, we have funded over \$2 billion towards T1D research globally, and 14 15 increasingly through clinical trials. Overall, 7.4 million people with diabetes rely on insulin every 16 17 day, and I cannot stress enough the importance of 18 insulin for the over 1.25 million Americans who have 19 type 1 diabetes, a condition which is fatal without 20 it.

JDRF is grateful to the FDA for holding thispublic hearing and for recognizing the importance of

1	affordable insulin and the role that regulatory
2	policies can play in access to medical products.
3	Access to and affordability of insulin is vitally
4	important to people with T1D. The cost of insulin has
5	soared in recent years. As an example, the Healthcare
6	Cost Institute found that among people with type 1
7	diabetes, the per-person annual spending on insulin,
8	as well as the point of sale price has doubled between
9	2012 and 2016. This has led people with diabetes to
10	go to drastic measures, such as rationing insulin to
11	meet those soaring costs, which can lead to
12	devastating and life-threatening consequences. No one
13	should suffer or die because they cannot access
14	insulin.
15	Through our coverage to control campaign,
16	JDRF has been rallying our community to call on
17	companies to lower the price of insulin and for health
18	plans, employers and the government to take steps to
19	lower out-of-pocket costs. As FDA has acknowledged,
20	an important part of those efforts is ensuring that

22

21

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com 202-857-3376

there is a healthy, competitive and innovative insulin

ecosystem. JDRF encourages the FDA to adopt policies

1 that will encourage biosimilar development, to 2 increase competition in the insulin market while at 3 the same time fostering innovation to continue to 4 improve the care for people with diabetes.

I'd now like to address some important 5 aspects of the diabetes patient experience with 6 insulin that FDA should consider as they evaluate 7 8 potential biosimilar or interchangeable products. Let's begin with hemoglobin Alc, a metric that people 9 10 with diabetes usually discuss with their healthcare 11 provider and an important indicator of the risk of 12 developing long-term complications. A biosimilar or 13 interchangeable insulin product should show consistent HbAlc results; however, this is not something that is 14 15 central to a patient's daily experience with insulin.

People with T1D are on intensive insulin regimens and must closely monitor and take into account many factors in determining their insulin dose, such as their glucose levels, their carbohydrate, protein and fat intake, and the amount of insulin they have taken and what remains in their body, also known as insulin onboard. These factors

and many others are oftentimes considered on a minute by-minute basis.

The reason for this close monitoring is to try, to the extent possible, to avoid hyper- and hypoglycemia, or said another way, to remain in a certain glycemic range, often 70 to 180 mg/dL, as measured by blood glucose meters or increasingly as shown here by continuous glucose monitors.

9 Because insulin has a narrow therapeutic
10 index, a biosimilar or interchangeable insulin product
11 should demonstrate consistency in the incidents of
12 hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia with existing insulins.

13 In order to get these clinical outcomes, there are insulin management regimens that people with 14 15 diabetes develop with their healthcare team to 16 calculate insulin dose, including insulin-to-carb ratios, insulin sensitivity factors, and basal rates. 17 18 Patient experience with these ratios should remain 19 consistent for a biosimilar or interchangeable 20 insulin.

Injecting insulin multiple times a day orcontinually infusing insulin through an insulin pump

Meeting

1	has an impact on a person's body that includes site
2	irritation or burning sensation. Any biosimilar or
3	interchangeable insulin should not introduce new site
4	impacts that existing insulins do not. Additionally,
5	biosimilar insulin products should be able to be
6	delivered in the same manner injection and, for
7	some, through an infusion pump.
8	Storage and handling conditions should be
9	similar and should maintain the safety and efficacy of
10	the biosimilar insulin product.
11	As insulin is transitioned to being regulated
12	as a biologic next year and as new types of biosimilar
13	and possibly interchangeable insulins are approved in
14	the coming years, it is imperative that information
15	resources be available for patients, clinicians,
16	pharmacists and other healthcare providers.
17	It will take a community-wide effort to have
18	a comprehensive communication strategy and plan. FDA
19	is, of course, an important stakeholder in this, but
20	JDRF also calls on our fellow patient organizations,
21	clinician organizations, industry and insurers to all
22	play a role in the development and implementation of

	Miccurig Willy 15, 201
	Page 119
1	effective communication and education strategies.
2	The type of information that needs to be
3	communicated includes what a biosimilar or
4	interchangeable insulin is; how to know what the
5	insulin is biosimilar for or interchangeable with; an
6	explanation of how these types of products are named
7	to avoid administration errors; and, finally, how
8	patients or providers can get help or more
9	information.
10	Allow me to elaborate more on the importance
11	of naming related to insulin products. Patients with
12	T1D may often use some combination of short- and long-
13	acting insulin that can either be injected or pumped,
14	and can come in various presentations, such as vials
15	and pens. Particularly for T1D, oftentimes all these
16	types and presentations of insulin may be on-hand.
17	Looking in my refrigerator this morning, they were all
18	there.
19	Biosimilar or interchangeable insulin
20	products, when available, would be, in part,
21	identified by nonproprietary names. Those
22	nonproprietary names are not commonly used today with

1 people with diabetes and may present challenges in identifying the correct insulin to use at the right 2 dose and at the right time. We need to work together 3 4 to ensure that patients can clearly and without doubt identify and understand which insulin they are taking. 5 Mistakenly administering a dose of short- or rapid-6 acting insulin with a dose meant to be of long-acting 7 8 insulin because of naming confusion could have 9 potentially dire consequences.

10 Steps to ensure all labeling from not only 11 the manufacturer but also pharmacy-affixed labels are 12 clear, concise and understandable will help to ensure 13 the safe use of biosimilar or interchangeable 14 products.

15 We foresee that patients may receive information from many different sources, so this 16 should be taken into consideration as communication 17 18 and education strategies are developed. Certainly, 19 some of this information should be included in patient labeling for products, but we also need to ensure that 20 21 all healthcare providers caring for patients taking 2.2 insulin are fully informed and have resources

Meeting

Page 121

1 available. Healthcare providers, including primary care physicians, endocrinologists, nurses and 2 pharmacists need to be equipped with appropriate 3 4 resources to keep patients with diabetes safe. We also need to consider how patients who use mail order 5 pharmacies will get the information they need to 6 safely use future biosimilar or interchangeable 7 8 insulin products.

In short, all of this points to the need for 9 10 a comprehensive and continuous education campaign. 11 Thank you for the time to speak with you today on this 12 important topic. JDRF appreciates the work FDA does, 13 and we stand ready to help make the transition of insulin as smooth as possible, and we look forward to 14 15 the day when there is a thriving, competitive, and 16 innovative market for insulin that provides people with diabetes with more choices for safe, effective 17 18 and affordable options of this lifesaving drug. I'm 19 happy to take any questions.

20 MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, you had mentioned the 21 importance for patients in their day-to-day life that 22 the insulin behaves the way that they expect. So, how

do you see demonstrating that, and is there any concern that with the expectations you've heard about today, which varied to some extent, but the expectations in terms of characterization and being highly similar, and whatever additional clinical studies are necessary, that that remain -- does that remain an uncertainty?

8 DR. MARINAC: I think patients -- there are many factors, and I only listed a few, and I've seen 9 10 data published, or I've seen that oftentimes there are 11 42 different factors that can be taken into 12 consideration when a patient is trying to figure out 13 what insulin to dose. So, I think we're expecting, or what we would like to see is that day-to-day 14 15 experience not vary so much that rates of hyper- and hypoglycemia aren't so drastically different between a 16 17 reference product and a biosimilar product that is 18 causing issues. If it is, then we need to ensure that 19 physicians and patients are educated and they understand what they need to do and where to go for 20 21 help to get more information.

22

MR. KOSLOWSKI: I think that there are so

1 many, probably, different factors, as you mentioned, stress, a whole slew of things, that it might be 2 extremely difficult, right, to be able to compare 3 4 things, because so much of it will be a patient factor 5 and not a product factor. б I also wanted to follow up on, I mean, you 7 talked about ecosystem a few times. So, from patient 8 groups, like JDRF, what are your thoughts, right? In 9 other words, in terms of the system. Because part of 10 that helps potentially with confusion about products 11 and other things to have systems in place that better 12 link products and understanding of their use. 13 I think it's going to be really DR. MARINAC: 14 important that patients understand what a biosimilar insulin or what they're similar to or interchangeable 15 with. And having that information I think is going to 16 17 be an important part of ensuring that those products

18 can be used safely.

MR. SCHILLER: We heard from a number of previous speakers that there's a fair amount of switching that goes on in the market today. From a patient perspective, how do you view existing levels

	Meeting May 13, 2019
	Page 124
1	of switching compared to what it might look like in a
2	world with biosimilars and interchangeables?
3	DR. MARINAC: Right. So, I think today, if
4	you look at the switching that's happening, those are
5	all happening with, you know, the proprietary products
6	that are available today. We haven't introduced
7	biosimilars or interchangeable products, which now
8	there might be multiples of. So, that adds another
9	layer, I think, of once those do become available,
10	that additional switching now. You know, switching
11	between Humalog and NovoLog because, let's say,
12	formulary issues. Yes, that does happen today, and
13	sometimes patients, when they potentially run out or
14	have to go to an OTC product, yes, they are doing some
15	of that today. But I think you add some complexity
16	and some additional layers when you introduce now
17	biosimilar products of those, where there might be
18	some additional switching going on in the future that
19	isn't happening necessarily today.
20	MS. LIAS: So, I was interested in the part
21	where you talked about multiple medications in the
22	refrigerator, for example, and that patients may

1 inadvertently grab the wrong medication. In Devices 2 we call that human factors. Do you have any ideas of ways that we should consider making it easier for 3 4 patients to avoid those mistakes?

5 DR. MARINAC: Some thoughts. Some clarity around what's a short-acting insulin versus a long-6 7 acting one. That information isn't really sort of --8 it's probably buried in insulin information that's 9 included with an insulin product, but I think ways to 10 clearly identify what's a rapid or short-acting 11 insulin versus what's a long-acting one. I think 12 there's also a lot of things that can be done with 13 color-coding. I think if we could look at -- I used to work for a generic injectables manufacturer, and 14 15 oftentimes we'd receive complaints about color-coding 16 and things for pharmacists were too close in name and color on the shelf, and sort of those medication 17 18 errors that could come from that. So, I think even 19 working with the community on potentially coloring 20 systems, right, for short, rapid, long, that might 21 also help avoid some of the future potential errors. 22 MS. TEMKIN: Thank you very much.

	Page 126
1	DR. MARINAC: Thank you.
2	MS. TEMKIN: I think we have time for one
3	more presenter before lunch, if Dr. Ratner is ready.
4	DR. RATNER: Thank you very much. I'm Robert
5	Ratner. I'm a trained endocrinologist who was
6	involved in patient care directly for 35 years. I'm
7	now professor of medicine at Georgetown University in
8	the Department of Medicine, and I represent the
9	American Diabetes Association today, for whom I served
10	as the chief scientific and medical officer for five
11	years.
12	Diabetes is a unique disease. The true
13	primary care provider for a person with diabetes is
14	the person with diabetes. It's unique because we ask
15	our patients, these people with diabetes, to monitor
16	their glucose by drawing blood, doing an analytical
17	test, deciding how much of a treatment they need of a
18	drug that has a very, very narrow therapeutic window,
19	and then administering that drug multiple times a day
20	via parenteral route. You can't say that about a
21	whole lot of diseases.
22	This isn't new information. It was

identified by Elliott Joslin the year after insulin
 was actually introduced, saying that it's a remedy
 primarily for the wise and not for the foolish, and he
 drew no distinction between doctors and patients. It
 takes brains to live long with diabetes, but to use
 insulin successfully requires more brains.

7 Where we are today is a very confusing state for trying to treat diabetes, and that's because it's 8 a very complex environment. You're looking at basal 9 10 insulin rates that control the glucose during the 11 fasting state and between meals, and then you see a 12 bolus of insulin that's required with each meal. And 13 all of this varies day-to-day on the basis of stress, exercise, and what you decide to eat at that 14 15 particular meal. So, it gets to be complicated. It's 16 led us in the profession to develop a basal-bolus 17 insulin concept, where we separate out the long-18 acting, or basal insulin, from the mealtime, or prandial bolus insulin, and try and individualize it 19 for each and every patient. We do that with a variety 20 21 of different insulin products. And as has been 2.2 mentioned multiple times, none of these are identified

Meeting

Page 128

1	as interchangeable, and yet we see the changes at the
2	level of the pharmacy or the health plan or the
3	formulary on a regular basis. What that does is it
4	adds confusion; it really makes life lots more
5	difficult in deciding which insulin you're taking; and
6	what its dynamics are because, despite the fact that
7	we can call things intermediate-acting or long-acting,
8	the PK/PD of these products are not the same and the
9	result is variability in glycemic control. So, let me
10	just demonstrate a little bit of this.
11	Regular human insulin versus two of the
12	insulin short-acting insulin analogs. You can see
13	the remarkable difference in terms of the time action
14	curves of these particular insulins. You look at
15	long-acting insulins, whether you're looking at NPH
16	human insulin, insulin glargine, or insulin detemir.
17	And the PK and ultimate PD is very, very different.
18	If you ask patients, and I've done this for 35 years,
19	when the formulary changes and they suddenly get
20	switched from glargine to detemir, or detemir to
21	glargine, or glargine to degludec, everything changes.

22 More telephone calls to the physician; more blood

б

glucose testing in order to readjust; more mild
hypoglycemia because of the peaks. It becomes highly
problematic and results in confusion and poor
outcomes. Not long-term outcomes, because once
insulin is in the blood, it all works the same way.
It gets to the receptor, turns the receptor on, and
that's what signals insulin action. It's before it
gets to the receptor that's different, and that's what
affects day-to-day management of diabetes.
You look at the variability here with the
long-acting insulins and it really becomes highly
problematic. We've seen one new insulin, branded
insulin, come onboard that actually has part of its
package insert citing safety from hypoglycemia.
Degludec actually has a much different PK and PD as
compared to any of the other insulins. They should
not be interchangeable. Even with glargine, the
concentration effects the PK and the PD, so that these
should not be interchangeable, either.
In essence, what I'm saying is that we really
don't need more insulins; we need better insulins and
we need insulins that are more predictable, and we

need insulins that are more reliable, more accessible,
 and cheaper.

What's important to people with diabetes? 3 4 They want to know that the insulin they take today 5 will work the same way tomorrow and the day after that and the day after that. And that's really talking 6 7 about reproducibility. This was brought up by one of 8 the panelists just -- in one of the recent presentations. You want to be able to demonstrate 9 10 reproducibility of a given dose in a given patient. 11 The more narrow this range, the more predictable the 12 biologic response is going to be.

13 So, having more insulins on the market isn't necessarily going to help things; it's going to 14 15 confuse things. That doesn't mean we don't need better insulins on the market, and it doesn't mean 16 17 that we don't want interchangeable insulins on the 18 market; we clearly do. But currently, insulin is the 19 leading cause of drug-induced adverse effects resulting in ER visits. Part of it is the narrow 20 21 therapeutic window; part of it is the wide variety of 2.2 products with different PKs and PDs; and part of it is

	Page 131
1	human error. We can't we have to be able to deal
2	with the products on the market today and make sure
3	that they are predictable, make sure they are
4	reliable, make sure they are accessible, and make sure
5	they are inexpensive.
6	The expense may be gotten to by the
7	interchangeability. I would second Dr. Luo in saying
8	biosimilar in the absence of interchangeability is of
9	no benefit of all. It's going to add to the
10	confusion, it's going to add to patient errors,
11	pharmacy errors and human errors. So, I would say go
12	directly to interchangeability and have the
13	requirements there be what's really required. That's
14	not all that difficult. This study looking at
15	glargine by reference product and a second product
16	coming to market demonstrates overlapping PKs,
17	overlapping PDs.
18	This is what's needed for interchangeability.
19	To have products like this on the market that are
20	interchangeable at the level of the pharmacy will tend
21	to bring down costs, make insulin more available; it
22	will make insulins cheaper; it will improve care. A

	Page 132
1	simple approval process basically demonstrated here
2	with the two forms of insulin glargine can really get
3	us to the point of having biosimilar-
4	interchangeability that actually benefits both
5	patients and providers. Thank you very much. I'm
6	happy to answer any questions.
7	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, this is kind of following
8	up on what we heard from JDRF, too. So, if, in fact,
9	errors that you've mentioned really are from
10	confusion, then do you have any suggestions about how
11	to avoid that? Because, again, you might have
12	interchangeable insulins that meet whatever criteria
13	are necessary, will deliver the same patient
14	experience, but what would be involved in making sure
15	there is no confusion between reference products,
16	between interchangeable products, etc.?
17	DR. RATNER: So, much of that is beyond the
18	scope of the FDA, because it really gets to the issue
19	of how the health plans or the formularies are really
20	developed. I think that interchangeability needs to
21	be product-by-product. So, the comment that was made
22	earlier about once you have a biosimilar to one, let's

Γ

	Page 133
1	generalize it, I would vigorously disagree with. I
2	think it needs to be one-for-one with
3	interchangeability, because the PK and the PD and the
4	variability are the same, and that's then, hopefully,
5	what will happen is rather than switching from detemir
6	to glargine, or glargine to degludec, the switch will
7	be made from one form of glargine to another form of
8	glargine, or one form of degludec to a different form
9	of degludec.
10	MR. STEIN: Can you comment on what you think
11	is necessary for interchangeability beyond a PK/PD
12	matching? So, you're showing nicely that on average
13	in a comparison you're seeing overlapping PK and PD.
14	You didn't mention the need for looking at differences
15	in immunogenicity. Do you think this is sufficient or
16	would you also suggest the need to look at
17	immunogenicity?
18	DR. RATNER: I think looking at
19	reproducibility is much more important than looking at
20	immunogenicity. I would agree with prior speakers
21	that immunogenicity has not been a major clinical
22	issue. I understand that there are certain safety

1 functions that need to be met within the regulatory sphere. I think that can be done within the framework 2 of relatively small Phase 1 PK/PD studies and 3 4 reproducibility studies. MR. STEIN: You mentioned reproducibility, 5 although this is looking at comparison rather than б 7 within patient reproducibility of the effect. Were 8 you also suggesting that reproducibility criteria for interchangeability would be necessary? That is to 9 10 say, that the biosimilar have a similar coefficient to 11 variation to the reference drug? Is that a criteria 12 you were suggesting? 13 DR. RATNER: I think that that would be worth 14 looking at. It is certainly important to both providers and to people with diabetes to have that 15 reproducibility and predictability. To do the 16 17 analyses of reproducibility is not difficult in a 18 Phase 1 trial. 19 MR. STEIN: So, you're suggesting that would be sort of a four-period trial with the reference and 20 21 the biosimilar candidate both being tested twice? 22 DR. RATNER: Correct.

	Page 135
1	MR. STEIN: I see. Thank you.
2	DR. RATNER: Thank you very much.
3	MS. TEMKIN: Thank you. We will take a break
4	for lunch and reconvene at 12:55.
5	[Lunch break.]
6	MS. TEMKIN: Welcome back, everyone. I hope
7	you had a nice lunch, and we are pleased to start
8	again with a presentation by Robert Geho.
9	MR. GEHO: Close enough. Close enough. So,
10	thanks, everybody, for being here, and thanks to the
11	FDA for the opportunity to speak today. I'm here as a
12	representative of Diasome Pharmaceuticals, which is a
13	Phase 2b stage clinical development company that is
14	working on a novel additive to any form of commercial
15	insulin. And the point of this additive is to address
16	the very abnormal biodistribution of all forms of
17	injected insulin therapy. And so our point in being
18	here today is that on the one hand we're very
19	supportive. Because we are insulin-agnostic and we
20	are an additive to any form of commercial insulin,
21	we're very supportive of the switch to biosimilar
22	regulation. At the same time, we have focused for the

Meeting

1 last several years of our development on our material, 2 which we call hepatocyte-directed vesicles, or HDV, 3 being a candidate for a 505(b)(2) pathway. So, my 4 remarks are all focused on the issues associated with 5 this transfer from a regulatory pathway that's coming 6 up in March 2020, and potentially losing a 505(b)(2) 7 type pathway.

8 Much has already been said about the rising As a type 1 patient myself for the 9 costs of insulin. 10 last 27 years, I know full well the cost of managing 11 type 1 diabetes, in particular from an insulin point 12 of view, from a continuous glucose monitoring point of 13 view, insulin pump costs. David Nathan is quoted as recently as last year somewhat provocatively as saying 14 15 that there really hasn't been a lot of change in the 16 insulin molecule itself. I think that insulin 17 developers in this room would probably take issue with 18 some parts of that. It is the case, however, that 19 once insulin molecules get out of the subcutaneous tissue and into the peripheral circulation, they all 20 21 act exactly the same way. So, peripheral fat and 2.2 muscle cells do not distinguish between a glargine

1	molecule and a NovoLog molecule.
2	One of the points, though, is that injected
3	insulins are not working. And I put working in terms
4	of my remarks in quotations, as someone who takes
5	insulin and is in good health, insulin does work for
6	me. At the same time, the recent data from the first
7	quarter of this year from the type 1 diabetes exchange
8	shows that insulins are really struggling to get
9	patients under good control. Essentially, 80% of all
10	type 1s across all age groups as a class are not able
11	to reach ADA treatment goals from an Alc point of
12	view. And for the patients who had data in the
13	outcomes study authored by Roy Beck and others, who
14	had data from 2010 to 2012, and then 2016 to 2018,
15	mean HbAlcs shockingly have gone from 7.8% to 8.4%.
16	The bulk of that increase is attributed to much poorer
17	Alc outcomes in children, young adults and the
18	elderly.
19	Before I move on from this slide, I do
20	acknowledge that Alc is a fairly rudimentary marker of
21	overall glycemic control. I along with others in the
22	insulin development space are very much focused on the

1 importance of time and range and other measurements. Nevertheless, Alc is still the outcome that FDA is 2 3 primarily concerned with. So, the reason why we say 4 that insulins aren't working is we're still struggling 5 to get people anywhere close to healthy Alcs. And we should all remember that the number of type 1s who 6 7 have Alcs of 4.9, 5%, 5.1, is alarmingly small. 8 So, the question is, why is this the case? We believe that, in addition to just the routine 9

10 complexity of managing type 1 diabetes, the fact of 11 the matter is that type 1 patients cannot inject 12 enough insulin safely to get some of that injected 13 insulin to the liver. Novo published what we think is one of the most important papers, coauthored by Alan 14 15 Cherrington at Vanderbilt, of the last several years just a month or two ago, in which they say that the 16 17 data clearly demonstrate that it is impossible to 18 normalize the glucose distribution between the liver 19 and muscle when regular insulin is administered peripherally. So, then the question is, why is this 20 21 important? It's important because the liver, and the 2.2 hepatocytes in the liver specifically, are the only

1	cells and I underline that and put it in bold
2	the only cells in the entire body system that can both
3	store glucose at the time of a meal in response to an
4	insulin signal from the pancreas, and then release
5	that stored glucose into the peripheral circulation in
6	response to pancreatic glucagon in order to counteract
7	hypoglycemia. So, if we want to fix the hypoglycemia
8	problem in a physiologic way, it is our opinion, and
9	it's supported by this Novo research, that getting
10	insulin to the liver preferentially is critically
11	important. The liver stores glucose during a meal,
12	thereby preventing hyperglycemia, and so an
13	insulinized liver should have a big impact both on
14	timing range and Alc. And then very importantly as a
15	physiologic way of addressing hypoglycemia, that
16	increased store of mealtime glucose should be
17	releasable into the peripheral circulation, but that
18	will only happen if the liver is seeing insulin.
19	Novo goes on to say in this paper, hepatic
20	and nonhepatic glucose metabolism could be fully
21	normalized by a hepato-preferential insulin analog.
22	Our position is that while improvements can be made in

terms of access to insulin, cost of insulin, even more rapid-acting insulins, slower-acting insulins, the fact of the matter is, until all of those insulins have some form of hepato-preferential cell targeting, patients are going to be at a significant disadvantage.

7 In summary for this part of my remarks, 8 insulin really has to have three different components 9 in order to be successful for patients. How much is 10 determined by blood glucose monitoring, insulin pumps, 11 artificial pancreas technology, the ecosystem that was 12 described in the morning session. The question of how 13 fast or how slow or for how long is being, I think, addressed by the insulin producers in the industry. 14 15 We are unique at this stage, in our opinion, in 16 seeking to address the question of where. You know, just as in real estate, it's location, location, 17 18 location; the same thing is absolutely true, in our 19 opinion, in terms of insulin therapy. Where that injected insulin goes is critically important. 20 Ι 21 think it's the case now that both Lilly and Novo have 2.2 abandoned their hepato-preferential insulin programs,

1030 111

1	at least from a clinical development point of view,
2	that we are alone in this. And so this question of
3	505(b)(2) pathway for us is critically important, even
4	if it's singular for us right at the moment.
5	So, how do we get insulin to the liver? We
6	develop this material, it's a 20 to 50 nanometer
7	phospholipid-based, Frisbee-shaped disk. It is
8	comprised of two different forms of phospholipids, a
9	small amount of cholesterol that's kind of a chemical
10	glue, and then the secret sauce component of this, if
11	you will, is a special form of the vitamin biotin,
12	which is embedded in the phospholipid matrix. We use
13	biotin because liver hepatocytes have an abundance of
14	biotin in their natural cell biology, and so biotin
15	becomes part of the Trojan horse aspect of this.
16	Importantly, for the 505(b)(2) consideration,
17	when we add $8/10$ mL of liquid HDV, which is
18	manufactured under CGMP conditions at commercial scale
19	now, 10 mL vial of standard commercial insulin, we
20	bind about 100 insulin molecules passively to that
21	Frisbee-shaped disk. It does nothing to change the
22	underlying structure of that insulin, making the HDV

1	system, from our perspective, anyway, ideal for a
2	505(b)(2) type pathway. HDV is specifically designed
3	to be added to any form of commercial insulin. As I
4	said, it's acceptable for pens, pumps, we've done the
5	leachable and extractable testing, and our goal is to
6	add, as I said, HDV, either by the patient or a
7	pharmacist, or by a commercial insulin manufacturer to
8	any form of commercial insulin.
9	So, our request is for consideration as to
10	how a technology like this and other technologies that
11	could impart things like liver preferential targeting
12	to already approved insulins, and any form of
13	biosimilar insulin as an equivalent, so that we can
14	take advantage of this type of pathway. Our entire
15	process has been predicated on the relatively
16	inexpensive development cost that should accrue to a
17	(b)(2) type pathway, and our concern, because of the
18	switch from the drug to the biologic side is that if
19	we lose this, it could impede the significant progress
20	that we especially have made over the last few years.
21	So, with that I'll conclude my remarks and
22	I'm very happy to take any questions. Thank you.

MS. TEMKIN: I was wondering if you have given any thought to the post-transition regulatory framework and how you see this type of pathway working, or whether you have a vision for what it would look like and how it would work?

Well, I think at a simple level, 6 MR. GEHO: 7 we'd like to be able to attach our application to 8 whatever form or class of insulin that we're adding the HDV technology to, which is why the (b)(2) pathway 9 10 is so attractive to us. If we're not able to do that, 11 then we would have to switch to an adjunctive or 12 combination product pathway, which has different 13 layers of complexity, from our point of view. So, as we've analyzed the entirety of the potential pathways 14 15 for us, we continue to think that the (b)(2) pathway is the most straightforward for us and would enable us 16 17 to move as quickly and efficiently as possible.

18 MR. KOSLOWSKI: This may be kind of more of 19 the same, but what would you envision actually needing 20 for, say, a combination product pathway that you 21 wouldn't need in a (b)(2) pathway?

2.2

MR. GEHO: So, at this point, I'd prefer to

Meeting

	Page 144
1	just provide those comments in written form. We're in
2	the process of analyzing that right now with our
3	entire regulatory pathway team, so we're trying to
4	figure out the pros and cons of those different
5	pathways. But I would say that up to this point,
6	everything that we've done has been predicated on the
7	(b)(2), and if we lose that, our sense is it will add
8	complexity and time.
9	MS. YANOFF: It would also be helpful if,
10	when you discuss the (b)(2) pathway, comment on
11	whether you would need to rely on another product or
12	whether there's a literature-based approach, or how
13	you envision the specific detail?
14	MR. GEHO: Part of that depends on whether or
15	not we've partnered with an insulin company or whether
16	we're going to market as a standalone. And so that
17	would also be something that we would have to factor
18	in when we head into Phase 3.
19	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, this is more general, but
20	aside from the regulatory pathway, what are things you
21	think could be helpful for innovating in this area as
22	sort of the you know, you said this is one example

1 of a kind of innovation, the targeting system, but to 2 really encourage this type of innovation, any thoughts 3 about that?

4 MR. GEHO: I think, generally speaking, it's our sense that the current insulins that are 5 available, if they can get where they're going, to put 6 7 it in the vernacular, are very appropriate insulin 8 therapies and can be made a lot safer. So, I think, generally speaking, an emphasis on using already 9 10 approved insulins or their biosimilar equivalents as 11 the backbone of incremental improvement -- and by 12 incremental, I don't mean in terms of the dramatic 13 effects of, for instance, what we're seeing with hepatic-specific insulin. But finding ways to use the 14 15 backbone of current insulins in a straightforward way 16 where we can add things, like tissue specificity, like 17 changing the absorption rate from a speed and duration 18 point of view without having to change the underlying 19 insulin itself would be very helpful to companies like Diasome, who are trying to add things to existing 20 21 products. And, again, the fear is that if we lose 2.2 that, it could really impede that kind of novel

1	development.
2	MS. YANOFF: So, is there what are the
3	business considerations for partnering versus having a
4	pathway where you wouldn't need to partner?
5	MR. GEHO: Our position is that if we can be
6	approved as a standalone additive that, for instance,
7	could be added by a pharmacist, then it enables us to
8	be independent of needing an insulin partnership,
9	which would by definition be the fastest way to get
10	into Phase 3 and then be approved. And so we would
11	like to be able to maintain that independence until
12	such time as it makes good business sense and good
13	sense from other perspectives to do an insulin
14	partnership. And we recognize that even as we are
15	pursuing that pathway from a product development point
16	of view, that that is entirely unique in the industry.
17	I'm not aware of any other company that is able to
18	formulate a product that could be added in a single
19	step to a commercial insulin. And so those the
20	pros and cons of partnering with an insulin company
21	are many. On the other hand, if we did have an
22	insulin partnership, then the 505(b)(2) pathway

	Wideling Wide 10, 201
	Page 147
1	wouldn't be quite as much of an issue for us, because
2	we could simply attach our information to the
3	originator insulins already approved documentation.
4	MR. KOSLOWSKI: This may be a bit more
5	technical, but obviously insulins are formulated in
6	very, very different ways. If you wanted to have
7	something standalone that you could kind of add a
8	variety of insulins to achieve this, how would you
9	deal with the fact that, in fact, there are very
10	different formulations, which may really interact with
11	your lipid bilayer in different ways?
12	MR. GEHO: So, our position is that it is
13	certainly incumbent upon Diasome, in this case as an
14	innovator, to ensure to the Agency that when we add
15	HDV to every one of those insulins it behaves in the
16	same way. We have the same amount of binding; we
17	don't do anything to negatively affect insulin
18	stability; we don't do anything to negatively affect
19	the utility of that new combined product and all of
20	the approved pumps, for instance. So, we view that as

22 able to do that across classes, so that if we do it

21

incumbent upon us. Our preference is that we would be

Page 148 with Humalog, then we can do it with NovoLog in a more simple, streamlined bridging study. But we understand that it's incumbent upon us to demonstrate all of the things that you would want to see in terms of safety, stability and efficacy. MS. TEMKIN: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you. MR. GEHO: MS. TEMKIN: Dr. Socal? Hello. Good afternoon. DR. SOCAL: My name is Mariana Socal. I'm a medical doctor. I have a PhD in health systems from Johns Hopkins University, a master's in public policy from Princeton. I currently work as an assistant scientist in the Department of Health Policy and Management at Johns Hopkins. I am speaking today on my own behalf and with the collaboration of my colleague, Dr. Jeremy Greene. Professor Greene is a medical doctor, a professor of medicine and a chair in the Department of History of Medicine at Johns Hopkins. Our statement today does not represent Johns Hopkins. We do want to thank our Arnold Ventures for supporting our research, although Arnold Ventures has had no role in us preparing our

Meeting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1 remarks today.

2 We would like to provide commentary on how the FDA could improve the scientific standards for 3 4 evaluating interchangeability of insulin products. We would like to start by defining that human insulin is 5 the first successful product of the modern biotech 6 industry. It has been on the market since 1982. 7 8 Human insulins are biological products because they require living organism bacteria to be produced, but 9 10 in the broader sense, insulins have been biologic drugs even before the biotech industry has developed. 11 12 We view the upcoming transition of insulins into the 13 regulatory framework established by the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, BPCI Act 14 15 or BPCIA, in 2020, with concern. We contend that if exceptions are not made, the transition will deepen 16 the great challenges that currently affect access and 17 18 affordability of insulins in America.

To encourage the production of high quality, affordable insulins, we propose that an exception should be made such that proof of biosimilarity should be considered ground for interchangeability in the

case of insulins. Transitioning insulin to the BPCIA 1 framework means that if a generic insulin were to come 2 into the market in or after 2020, it would not be 3 4 considered a substitute to the existing product, even if they were demonstrated to be the same molecule, 5 without additional trials. The FDA just issued last 6 7 week the final quidance explaining these requirements 8 that are placed on biosimilar competitors in order to gain interchangeability. For generic drugs in the 9 10 small molecule space, these requirements do not exist. In our view, there is no substantial differences 11 12 between insulin products and large molecule biologics 13 that provide adequate grounds for our proposal. First, immunogenecity in loss of efficacy, 14 15 the more substantial concerns driving the requirements for interchangeability on large molecule biologics 16 17 that exist today have not been a major concern across 18 different insulins after decades of monitoring. 19 Although insulin is a biologic, it's a relatively 20 small molecule comprised of about 50 amino acids, much smaller than other drugs, like Humira, at about 1300 21 2.2 amino acids. Even though autoantibodies may be

Page 151

developed by people utilizing human insulin, we have
 seen no evidence to date that these autoantibodies are
 associated with any clinically important changes. For
 example, changes in glucose control, hypoglycemia
 rates, or changes in dosage requirements for insulin.

There is also no evidence that development of б autoantibodies if and when it occurs, is associated 7 8 with any long-term complications of diabetes. Today, 9 the American Diabetes Association guidelines, to the 10 pharmacologic approach to diabetes, recommends the use 11 of insulins according to the therapeutic onset and 12 duration of effect. In other words, the standards of 13 care in diabetes already acknowledge that insulins 14 within the same class, for example, fast-acting insulins or intermediate-acting insulins, and so on, 15 they're similarly effective and can be selected at the 16 physician's discretion. While patients may have 17 18 preferences and experience with different brands, the 19 clinical literature supports equivalence across 20 treatments. 21 Second, in the case of insulin, even if a

22 theoretical risk of noninterchangeability were to

1 become a concern, the nature of diabetes management with robust biomarkers mitigates the possibility of 2 clinical failure going unnoticed. The day-to-day, 3 4 hour-to-hour effectiveness of insulins is quickly and easily measured via blood glucose levels by patients 5 and their physicians. Many patients also have 6 7 continuous glucose monitors that can provide immediate feedback. 8

If in theory a biosimilar insulin were for 9 10 some reason to provide inadequate clinical effect, 11 patients should be able to identify within the hour 12 and correct it. This is not a case of autobiologics, 13 for which if a clinical failure occurs, by the time it is identified, it may be too late to address it and 14 15 complications may have already ensued. Therefore, in 16 the case of insulin, we contend that there is no justification or credible evidence mobilized for 17 18 requiring additional studies for interchangeability. 19 There is no reason to indiscriminately apply a principle of the BPCIA that in the case of insulin 20 21 would apply to concerns that are merely theoretical at 2.2 this point.

In addition, we also believe that the
 differentiation between biosimilarity and
 interchangeability that will be imposed by
 transitioning insulins into the BPCIA framework has
 unintended consequences that could be harmful to
 patients, providers, and to the broader pharmaceutical
 market.

To patients, the negative consequences will 8 be as follows. Under the current regulation, there is 9 10 substitutability across some products, insulin 11 products, as long as prescribers do not indicate a 12 proprietary name, and as long as no proprietary 13 administration device is involved, like a pen, for example. When a provider prescribes a human insulin 14 15 by its nonproprietary name, say, for example, NPH 16 human insulin, the pharmacy may dispense any of the 17 existing brands of insulin to fil that prescription.

This substitutability prerogative is very important in light of the very real harm that already comes from rationing due to unaffordable prices in the insulin market. An insulin-dependent patient who ran out of their drug, they may not afford the time needed

1	to go back to the doctor and procure a new
2	prescription. In some cases, just a few hours without
3	insulin may be enough to send a person to the
4	emergency room for a serious exacerbation. Patient
5	safety would suffer if this pattern of direct
6	substitutability were to change. It's also unclear
7	if, under the new regulation, the availability of
8	insulins over-the-counter or without a medical
9	prescription would be maintained.
10	Diabetes is a lifelong condition, and
11	patients are very well educated to its management in
12	diverse occurrences. They know that fast-acting
13	insulins share a given therapeutic profile and long-
14	acting insulins are a different one. Introducing the
15	intricate and arbitrary divide between biosimilarity
16	and interchangeability to insulins will increase
17	complexity, decrease patient autonomy, and decrease
18	self-management abilities. This can have serious
19	consequences for treatment adherence and overall
20	glycemic control.
21	Insulin products are used by vastly more
22	patients than any other biologic drug. Nearly two

1 million Medicare beneficiaries use glargine alone, a
2 long-acting insulin. This is five times more than the
3 users of the top five biologics combined. We're
4 talking about Humira, Rituxan, Enbrel, Herceptin and
5 Avastin combined.

If, due to increased barriers to access, 6 7 hospitalization risks were increased by even a minor 8 percentage, given the immense population of insulin users, the additional cost to the system and the loss 9 10 of quality of life would be significant. To providers 11 who are familiar with the current practice, adding an 12 arbitrary divide between biosimilarity and 13 interchangeability for insulins would generate confusion and uncertainty. It also has the potential 14 15 to generate liability concerns. The additional fourletter suffix will further add complexity to 16 17 prescribing and potentially restrict competition.

To the pharmaceutical market, increasing complexity would increase uncertainty regarding new products, and would further increase barriers to new entrants. Interchangeability requirements would also increase the cost to bring a new product into the

market without adding real gains. This also may
 contribute to increasing prices.

Instead, we suggest that the FDA has enough 3 4 authority to issue guidance on its own, modifying the criteria for insulin interchangeability. While the 5 б criteria established by the BPCIA may be important in order to monitor and safeguard the public in relation 7 8 to new complex moles of larger sizes, we contend that 9 this criteria should not be blindly applied to older and smaller molecules, like insulin, that happen to be 10 11 produced through biological pathways.

12 Insulin is not Humira. There is no evidence 13 that the increased complexity would increase safety or 14 effectiveness for insulin users, as compared to current standards. The FDA can and should consider 15 insulin to be an exceptional product to which the 16 17 rules of the BPCIA should be carefully reinterpreted, 18 if applied at all, in order to maximize benefit, affordability and access to insulin for all Americans 19 20 living with diabetes. Thank you. 21 MR. KOSLOWSKI: Did I hear you correctly that

22 you said that the current market allows for direct

	Page 157
1	substitutional insulins?
2	DR. SOCAL: In certain cases.
3	MR. KOSLOWSKI: In the same class?
4	DR. SOCAL: For the same product. So, I gave
5	the example of insulin, human insulin NPH. So, if the
б	prescriber prescribes like the nonproprietary name,
7	the pharmacist is able to dispense either, for
8	example, Novolin or Humulin, for example, if the
9	prescriber does not indicate the brand.
10	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, I think we heard earlier
11	from Dr. Ratner that there are significant differences
12	between PK/PD profiles. Are they are the same
13	insulins that are being substituted today, or can you
14	say more about that?
15	DR. SOCAL: So, what I was saying is, insulin
16	patients, they are extremely well educated about
17	and they become well educated about their condition
18	over time. So, it's very possible that different
19	patients, they will have different experiences with
20	their insulin. They're going to become more
21	familiarized, they know what to expect with their
22	brand. And we're not advocating that a patient, you

	Fage 158
1	know, would be arbitrarily receiving one or the other
2	product just because the pharmacist decides so. What
3	we are saying is that given the current challenges
4	that exist for affordability of these products and
5	really access of these products in the market,
6	maintaining these safeguards of substitutability is
7	important, and not removing them through, you know,
8	generating these additional complexities and
9	additional differentiations in the market. It's very
10	important for the patients, for their self-management,
11	for prescribing, from the prescriber perspective, and
12	also generally to the market.
13	MR. KOSLOWSKI: So, just to add on a little
14	bit. So, is that substitution through state pharmacy
15	laws, or that's basically pharmacy practice?
16	DR. SOCAL: It could be both. And also
17	because there are there is also the possibility
18	currently, there is the possibility that patients will
19	purchase the drug without a prescription. There is
20	also substitution there.
21	MS. YANOFF: So, you sort of allude to this
22	at the end when you said the BPCI Act maybe shouldn't

	Page 159
1	be applied to insulin, but I want to make sure I
2	understand what your position is on the evidence
3	needed for biosimilarities. So, I understand your
4	position that if you establish biosimilarity, you
5	don't think any more should be done. But can you
6	clarify what
7	MS. SOCAL: Yeah, I was just running out of
8	time. But I meant interchangeability. I didn't want
9	to exceed the time. I was not discussing the BPCIA
10	requirements in terms of biosimilarity. [I was]
11	specifically referring to interchangeability.
12	MS. YANOFF: I think we have a couple minutes
13	of time, if you could clarify what you think the
14	standards should be for biosimilarity.
15	MS. SOCAL: My sentence was, the FDA can and
16	should consider insulin to be an exceptional product.
17	So, we think to changeability rules of the BPCIA
18	should be carefully reinterpreted.
19	MS. TEMKIN: I want to ask a couple of
20	questions maybe about the consumer confusion aspect of
21	what you're talking about. Are you not concerned
22	about consumer confusion in the face of

1 interchangeable insulins; it's the distinction between 2 biosimilar and interchangeability that you're worried 3 about? And can you explain sort of why one and not 4 the other?

DR. SOCAL: Yes, and I think this -- you 5 know, this sort of conversation is the most important 6 7 conversation to have, because at the end of the day we want to establish regulation. We want to, you know, 8 have the highest possible standards, but we also want 9 10 to be responsive to patients' needs first and 11 foremost. And the discussion between 12 interchangeability and biosimilarity adds some 13 uncertainty to patient self-management. One example that I recently came across was in having a 14 15 conversation with a manufacturer of originated biologics. This was not in the insulin space; it was 16 another sort of set of manufacturers. And the 17 18 manufacturers told us this: Payers are really more excited about products that have interchangeability 19 designation. And we were thinking to ourselves, what 20 21 does that actually even mean, if you're a payer and 2.2 you have to establish a formulary? Like, what does

Page 161

1 that even mean to be more excited about a product with 2 interchangeability designation? So, we believe from this and other narratives that separating what is a 3 4 biosimilar and what is an interchangeable biosimilar, 5 yes, there are safety reasons and there are multiple advantages in some cases for some drugs. 6 But 7 specifically for the case of insulins and specifically 8 with a long history that insulin has in the market and in people's lives, having this dichotomy between these 9 10 two concepts as we envision new products coming into 11 the market in the future, patients asking themselves, 12 well, I was prescribed by my doctor this insulin, but 13 I had these -- I read that it's not interchangeable with the previous one that I'm using. Well, my doctor 14 15 selected, but I'm not very confident that it will work for me, even though, let's imagine, it has a 16 biosimilar designation. 17

So, we believe that, you know, separating these two concepts for the case of insulin will have much more complexity and more unintended consequences, potentially, than really increasing safety standards, efficacy standards, and other -- bringing other

	Meeting May 13, 201
	Page 162
1	positive aspects to patients and providers.
2	MS. TEMKIN: Thank you. I take it from the
3	change of slides that we're moving into the open
4	public speaker section of our day. Our first
5	registered public speaker is Dennis Cryer. I think if
6	you step up to the microphone. Okay. Maybe Dennis
7	Cryer has decided not to step up to the microphone.
8	Karin Hehenberger?
9	DR. HEHENBERGER: Yes, thank you. So, my
10	name is Karin Hehenberger. I an MD, PhD, and I
11	trained as a post doc at the Joslin as a JDR fellow,
12	so my life has been about diabetes research. And for
13	the past 20 years I worked on the industry side of
14	diabetes innovation and really assessing new
15	technologies. I also have a very personal reason to
16	be involved in this. This summer it's going to be 30
17	years since I was diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. So,
18	it wasn't a purely unselfish act to spend this much
19	time; I also wanted to find better ways to treat
20	myself.
21	MS. TEMKIN: I'm very sorry to interrupt.
22	Would you mind taking a step towards the microphone?

Page	163

1	DR. HEHENBERGER: Okay.
2	MS. TEMKIN: Thank you.
3	DR. HEHENBERGER: Is this better?
4	MS. TEMKIN: Yes.
5	DR. HEHENBERGER: So, despite all this
6	education and commitment to the space of diabetes, and
7	I really am very grateful for the discovery of insulin
8	and all the manufacturers who spend so much time and
9	money in creating all these great products for people
10	like myself, it's not easy to handle the disease. And
11	as reflected by my own problems, I needed a kidney
12	transplant 10 years ago. So, despite having all this
13	access and all this education, and being in the best
14	environment you could be, I still failed in my own
15	disease, and I think that's, of course, an N of 1.
16	But five years ago I decided to start my own
17	company called Lyfebulb, which is a patient
18	empowerment platform which bridges patient communities
19	with industry, really, to bring the insights and the
20	solutions from people like myself, who are living on a
21	daily basis with different conditions, chronic
22	conditions diabetes being our first area of

1 interest -- to industry to try and enable better
2 products to reach the marketplace, to really address
3 these daily problems that are so very important when
4 it comes to delivering better outcomes.

5 So, in the case of diabetes, we've seen very little discovery, very little advancement beyond the 6 7 insulin, especially for type 1 diabetes, especially if 8 you compare to other disease areas, such as cancer, multiple sclerosis, and so on. So, what I urge --9 10 what I would like a message to be today is that we 11 should encourage these wonderful companies who have 12 worked so hard in delivering insulin to so many people 13 to try to take it one step further and see what else we can do for people like myself and others with 14 15 diabetes, and try to create better, new innovative products that are beyond insulin, and enable insulin 16 17 to be accessible and affordable to everyone who needs 18 it.

And one step to do that -- there are several different steps. We need to fix the healthcare system with the payers, the PBMs, and all the different margins, but we also need to increase competition in

1 the marketplace. And I believe we've heard today
2 already how relatively simple among all the biologics
3 insulin is. So, creating an environment for
4 biosimilar insulin where the biosimilar insulin is
5 interchangeable with the reference product I think is
6 a very important first step.

I also think that we need education and we need programs surrounding all incidents so that people know how to use it. I think in contrast to maybe some of the speakers, I don't think all people with diabetes are educated and know everything about their insulins, and it's not that easy.

13 So, we need to have a, really, a community effort when it comes to enabling people with diabetes 14 15 to live better lives. But let's move the discussion, also, toward better innovation so that we do not have 16 17 to see the severe complications and the negative 18 outcomes that we still see today, 100 years later, 19 after the discovery of insulin. So, thank you so 20 much.

MS. TEMKIN: Thank you. Our next speaker is
Zoe Kullah (ph). Zoe? No? Brooklyn McGowin (ph)?

Christine Simmon? Brianna Tianga (ph)? Coby Watier
 again? No? Kelly Close?

MS. CLOSE: I was No. 8, so I wasn't 3 4 expecting to get here so quickly. Thank you, everyone, for being here. It is amazing to see the 5 influence that FDA is just putting on diabetes, 6 7 proudly speaking. So, I just wanted to start with 8 that. It is really big, and you have so much impact 9 on global regulatory agencies and on everyone in the 10 US.

11 So, just wanted to start by saying that and 12 thank you so much to all of the patient advocates, to 13 all of the researchers, to all of the manufacturers, 14 to everyone working more toward working together with 15 collective impact to improve life for people with 16 diabetes.

So, I'm under the diaTribe Foundation and also Close Concerns. Our disclosures are that at Close Concerns we put out a daily newsletter that goes to 10,000 different people. Many of them are manufacturers as well as nonprofits working in the field. And the diaTribe Foundation also has donations

from the Helmsley Charitable Trust, as well as a
 number of manufacturers and other healthcare and other
 businesses.

4 So, just want to start out by saying, you 5 know, I think everyone would agree that people with insulin need to have access to this lifesaving drug. 6 7 This should be a human right. And, by the way, even 8 all of the references today to the people who require insulin to insulin-requiring patients, way more people 9 10 would benefit from insulin if it were easier to take, 11 easier to prescribe, easier to dose, and all of that. 12 So, I don't -- I think it's important to note that 13 it's probably a lot higher than 7.5 million people who would benefit from it if we could improve the system 14 15 in different. And I love FDA working on barriers. So, that is amazing. Thank you. 16

The current status quo is far from the place where everyone has access, and so we really applaud Dr. Sharpless and before him, Dr. Gottlieb's focus on changing this and expanding FDA's work on this front. And thank you to CDER, CDRH, folks on the nutrition side with the improved labels. There are many pieces

1 that need to come together to improve life. And we 2 absolutely need to start with insulin affordability 3 and access. There is major momentum here. And, 4 again, this is a human right. No one would dispute 5 that.

Reducing friction, though, is just essential 6 7 in all parts of diabetes. So, it would be great to 8 see policies looking through that lens, and increasingly more of them are. So, thank you, again, 9 10 and how can we all work as stakeholders to reduce 11 friction? The visibility that you are giving patients 12 with diabetes speaks volumes on this, but nonetheless, 13 acquiring insulin right now is a high friction experience. Paying for it is high friction; 14 15 prescribing it is high friction; taking it is high 16 friction; knowing when to change your dose is high friction; and knowing how to work with all the 17 18 progress that has been made in the last two decades. 19 It's amazing, including so much work by FDA. And I will say, insulin is not the same drug as it used to 20 21 be 100 years ago. You know, I've been in the 2.2 emergency room 24 times over 12 years taking NPH, and

I was very lucky for all the work that FDA and others
 did to create analogs. And we want analogs to be made
 available to everyone that needs them.

And just for more acknowledgement to be -one size doesn't fit all. When we're asked what's the patient perspective, there are so many different patient perspectives and understanding the diversity of patients is absolutely critical. So, thank you to the work on FDA's front for encouraging much more diversity in clinical trials.

11 In the largest continuous glucose dataset 12 ever shared, this is in almost half a million people, 13 500,000 users in 26 countries. The typical person with insulin spent 56% of the time in the range that 14 15 we all have agreed is at least the right range for research to use, 70 to 180. Over half an hour a day 16 17 was spent below 54. That is an incredibly dangerous 18 level, and four hours a day were spent over 240. And 19 these are people with CGM. We know that reality of global insulin users is far, far worse, and so a 20 21 really small percentage of people with diabetes get to 2.2 that over 70% place right now, and time and range is a

1 tool that is increasingly discussed by many
2 researchers. And we are a tool that is so grateful
3 with CGM, who brought it to market quickly so patients
4 can understand time and range. We also think along
5 with insulin knowing how much insulin to take can
6 greatly be -- can really greatly be improved.

7 Also, as a side note, you are now seeing a 8 lot of work on the closed loop front. Many people in clinical trials, their time and range is 80%, 90% and 9 10 That is because they are getting -- their above. 11 insulin delivery is being enabled by technology, and 12 that is amazing. And that FDA is helping make that 13 happen is something we're really grateful for. And we so need faster insulins and better insulins, and we 14 15 still need those investments.

I think most would probably agree that immunogenecity is not really any longer a real issue that there is tremendous worry about. I would also like to just remind people that there was a lot of work and a lot of investment that went into this by major manufacturers over the past decade so that we don't have to worry about that as much. And we need Γ

	Page 171
1	to make an environment where that kind of resources
2	can still be put into safety.
3	MS. TEMKIN: I have to pause you, I'm very
4	sorry that we are over time on this.
5	MS. CLOSE: That's okay.
6	MS. TEMKIN: And invite you to please put in
7	all of your comments to the docket. We're very
8	interested to hear what you have to say and would
9	appreciate you doing that.
10	MS. CLOSE: Thank you very much.
11	MS. TEMKIN: And Lynn Young, if you're here.
12	MS. YOUNG: My name is Leigh Young (ph).
13	Since I think there is a time limit, I'll have to make
14	it quick. I don't know if it was insulin, but I think
15	a lot of medicines, prescription, has been misused,
16	abused and prescribed by doctors just as a tool in
17	hospitals or rehab center, this type of setting. So,
18	I'm just wondering, instead of saying you have to push
19	the medication since it is in high demand, we had
20	better examine instead of what's wrong that caused so
21	much use of insulin or any other prescription. A lot
22	of time I can see in the hospital or rehab center,

Page 172

1 those patients probably are not supposed to be there, 2 including the mental hospital and VA. A lot of VA send to the hospital, a mental hospital or rehab 3 4 center. They use their benefit to benefit themselves, 5 to benefit the health provider or social services, Those are a big group of what I call 6 social workers. 7 robberism. If you put all this work together, 8 robberism equal official misconduct and government gain, murder for all crime in just the network 9 10 operation. So, if you can examine those, we can save 11 a lot of healthcare costs, because those are not 12 really demanded. 13 And also a lot of prescription will be

The patient, they misused, prescribed to a patient. 14 15 don't need it, but they are forced through judicial administration, administrative procedure and even 16 17 there's not many corrected, even made patients request it, they will not release it. So, if you request it, 18 19 I can't send you to jail or something, handcuff or shackle you. So, a lot of misuse and that can even 20 21 cause tensions in their life, and even if it's not 2.2 their life, they take all your property, you're

1	homeless, everything possible.
2	So, and this also related to a PPP, public-
3	private partnership. Just especially now I realize
4	FDA is related to PPP, especially in economic
5	development. But this is system-wide it's related, so
6	it's related to community development in this area
7	that can cause a problem with the patients. Again,
8	it's not the patient, they don't need the medicine and
9	then they are just misused and they want to take their
10	property, so they send them to mental hospital, they
11	send them the insulin, even patient run, they don't
12	want it. That doesn't several people grab in bed
13	and injection and the medicine, they will not even
14	give the medicine or any label, and so eventually they
15	are close to death or it is because uncomfortable and
16	cannot even sleep, and deprivation of their sleep is a
17	problem and the deprivation of their food. And
18	especially the diagnosis of diabetes, why they are
19	suffering depressions, a big huge bowl of really
20	sweetness and sweeter than anything else that you can
21	imagine in the world. And I don't think this is the
22	way to treat diabetes patients. From the way they do

Page 174

things and they always use force denying the patient 1 through their good exercise and good recreational 2 They try to isolate them so they can 3 activities. 4 control them. And this is -- the whole thing is to isolate the people and they don't allow them to go 5 home or go to anything that they need. They don't 6 7 want to stay in hospital, they don't want to stay in 8 the jail. They don't want to be in rehab center. Everything is there. 9

10 We must do something about it, and I 11 emphasize again, this is system-wide, I think the FDA 12 and the public has to do something, but FDA is a good 13 start. You are concerned about the prescription, about healthcare, you're concerned about all these 14 15 people's health and life, and I see why you can work 16 with other agencies concurrently. Almost every agency 17 is with PPP, that's public-private partnership and the 18 better, the best example of PPP is in the Rockville 19 Town Center project, which I've been testified almost every segment of their PPP. 20

21 So, you will see why I'm here just like a 22 dead man crusading, because they take all my property,

1 everything away, they treated me as a dead man. So, 2 they don't want me to speak everywhere. They don't 3 allow me to speak in a lot of cities, mayor and council, they don't allow me to go to Montgomery 4 5 County Council --I'm sorry, I have to interrupt 6 MS. TEMKIN: 7 you. We're over time again, but thank you very much 8 for your comments. 9 Thank you for this opportunity. MS. YOUNG: 10 Appreciate it. We will work together. And I think 11 you mentioned that number you announced --12 MS. TEMKIN: Yes. In my closing remarks I 13 will give you all additional information about submitting to the docket. 14 I think unless we've missed someone who 15 16 signed up and then wasn't present when their name was 17 called, that we are ready to close the hearing. So, 18 on behalf of the whole panel here, I'd like to thank 19 all of the presenters and everyone in the audience, 20 whether you attended in person or via webcast, for 21 participating in today's public hearing. We greatly 22 appreciate your attention and your interest in this

1 topic and in today's presentations. 2 As a reminder, we do encourage everyone to submit comments to the docket, which will be open 3 4 until May 31st. If you would like details on how to submit to the docket, we placed copies of the Federal 5 Register notice announcing this hearing at the 6 7 registration table outside the doors. The Federal 8 Register notice contains those details. A transcript from the hearing will be posted 9 10 to the website. It should be within 30 days, and we 11 will provide copies of today's presentations upon 12 request. Contact information is also at the 13 registration table. And on that note, I am closing 14 this public hearing. Thank you. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2

Meeting

1	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, KEVON CONGO, the officer before whom the
3	foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify
4	that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings,
5	prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the
6	proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced
7	to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that
8	said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a
9	true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge,
10	skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for,
11	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the
12	action in which this was taken; and, further, that I
13	am not a relative or employee of any counsel or
14	attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor
15	financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of
16 17	this action.
18	KEVON CONGO
19	Notary Public in and for the
20	District of Columbia
	District of Columbia
21	
22	
23	

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2	I, SANDRA TELLER, do hereby certify that this
3	transcript was prepared from the digital audio
4	recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said
5	transcript is a true and accurate record of the
6	proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and
7	ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to,
8	nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
9	which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a
10	relative or employee of any counsel or attorney
11	employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
13	Landra Filler
14	Janara Oucor
15	SANDRA TELLER
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

[& - 97]

May 13, 2019

Page 1

&	165 42:20	2016 6:7 88:9	40 43:2,11 44:1
& 9:19	17 2:5	115:9 137:14	98:11 102:8
1	17004 177:17	2017 43:3,3	42 2:8 122:11
	175 2:19	2018 43:5,10	47 87:5
1 18:16,16 20:16	18 42:3 87:6	137:14	5
21:10,10 22:1	180 117:6 169:16	2019 1:10 71:9	5 78:5 138:7
23:1,2,7 63:16	19 9:6 44:20	98:2	5.1 138:7
71:8 73:14 98:17	1920s 4:15	2020 10:22 19:11	5.8 43:22
100:10 101:18	1923 61:3	88:15 136:6	50 114:8 141:6
113:22 114:9,11	1961 42:17	149:15 150:3	150:20
114:19 115:6	1982 61:6 149:7	20903 1:15	500 88:1 102:13
134:3,18 136:9,11	1984 73:7	21 43:21	110:1,2,7
137:7 138:10,11	1990s 78:14	24 2:7 168:22	500,000 169:13
162:17 163:15	1992 60:18	240 169:18	505 45:4 136:3,6
164:7	1996 61:8	26 169:13	141:3,16 142:2
1.25 114:18	1:45 16:16	27 136:10	146:22
1.9 102:7	1s 137:10 138:6	29 113:22	54 169:17
10 4:18 15:14	2	2b 135:13	56 169:14
16:18 42:11 77:12	2 18:17 21:13 45:4	3	588 88:9
141:19 163:12	60:21 63:17 74:13	3 2:3 20:2,3,12	59 42:19
10,000 166:20	74:14 77:14 84:4	21:14,19 22:9	6
100 20:19 26:3	101:20 114:13	23:5,17 44:16,17	
43:20 44:3 78:18	136:3,6 141:3,16	144:18 146:10	60 2:9 27:10
141:20 165:18 168:21	142:2,17 143:9,15	3.5 77:16	600 6:6
10903 1:14	143:21 144:7,10	30 15:12 18:20	69 2:10
10903 1.14 10:40 69:17	146:22	43:21 44:16,17	7
10.40 09.17 113 2:14	2.5 98:3	55:3 77:21 80:11	7,500 42:20
113 2:14 12 2:4 15:13 43:17	2.6 98:2	162:16 176:10	7.4 114:15
12 2.4 15.15 45.17 168:22	20 4:18 18:10	300 102:11,18	7.5 86:21 167:13
120 97:21	60:17 141:6	109:3,9,15,22	7.8 137:15
126 2:15	162:13	110:14	70 101:19 117:6
12:55 135:4	200 27:3	31 1:13 17:3	169:16,22
12.35 135.4 13 1:10	2001 6:3	31st 176:4	730 98:10
1300 150:21	2002 18:14	324 88:9	8
135 2:16	2006 88:2	3244910 1:17	8 166:3
13 5 2:10 14 43:16	2007 88:9	327 18:22	8.4 137:15
148 2:17	2009 149:14	35 126:6 128:18	8/10 141:17
14826 178:14	2010 8:14 26:22	351 19:13 20:6	80 137:9 170:9
15 70:11 98:7	137:14	84:4	86 2:12
1503 1:13	2012 6:7 115:9	4	9
16 6:3	137:14	4 28:9 71:8	
162 2:18	2013 18:14	4.9 138:7	90 7:8 97:3 170:9
	2015 5:17 6:4		97 2:13
	61:10 88:2		

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com 202-857-3376

	T	1	T
99 19:1	accept 20:22	acknowledged	25:21 33:14 34:1
9:00 1:11	21:21	115:19	34:22 37:5 47:5
a	acceptable 142:4	acknowledgement	47:21 48:3 63:4
a.m. 1:11 16:18	acceptance 27:16	169:4	63:21 64:9 85:22
a1c 56:9 74:11	31:18 34:11	acquiring 168:13	89:1 93:4,18 96:9
75:8 116:9 137:11	access 1:6 3:13,17	act 8:15 9:19,20	111:13,15 122:5
137:17,20 138:2	6:18,22 10:17	78:20 79:2 86:19	124:10,16,18
139:14	26:16 29:4 31:12	91:5 136:21	150:6 152:18
a1cs 138:5,7	31:13 34:18 42:14	149:14,14 158:22	155:9,15 158:8,9
aam's 93:8	42:16,18 50:14	162:18	175:13
ab 74:2	51:12 61:22 67:7	acting 3:2,6 13:19	additionally 73:17
abandon 91:13	87:15 90:18 91:12	14:2 49:7 74:3	75:11 118:4
abandoned	97:21 106:5 107:5	119:13 120:7,7	additive 135:14,15
140:22	115:2,3,13 140:1	125:6,7,10,11	135:20 146:6
abandonment	149:17 155:6	127:18 128:7,7,12	address 23:2,4
88:6	156:19 158:5	128:15 129:11	25:16 30:8,19
abhijit 2:8 42:8	163:13 167:6,18	140:2,2 151:14,15	31:17 50:7 51:22
abilities 154:18	168:3	154:12,14 155:2	60:1 61:20 70:15
ability 34:18	accessed 15:11	action 8:22 45:16	99:4 100:1 107:4
62:15 88:5 177:10	accessible 2:11 7:3	45:19 128:13	109:20 116:5
178:7	12:11 86:10 97:19	129:7 177:12,16	135:15 140:16
able 16:14 17:21	130:1 131:4	178:8,12	152:14 164:2
18:7 20:13 23:3	164:17	active 38:13	addressed 21:12
40:1 41:3 74:7	accomplished	activities 174:3	102:17 106:21
79:21 81:21 88:14	64:19	actual 22:22	140:14
103:2,5 118:5	account 7:8,21	acute 88:11	addresses 47:9
123:3 130:9 131:1	36:15 116:18	ada 137:11	addressing 35:2
137:10 143:7,10	accrue 142:16	adaptive 101:2	62:8 102:4 139:15
146:11,17 147:22	accumulated	add 23:3,6 33:5	adds 124:8 128:4
152:11 157:7	26:17	91:3,14 124:15	160:12
abnormal 135:16	accurate 177:9	131:9,10 141:17	adequate 40:18
absence 63:3	178:5	142:6 144:7	99:12 150:13
100:8,15 131:8	accurately 46:2	145:16,20 147:7	adequately 98:20
absolutely 41:17	106:19	147:14 155:16	99:20
88:12 113:6	acetate 43:3	158:13	adherence 64:22
140:18 168:2	achieve 7:4 25:18	added 91:8 102:11	88:7 154:19
169:8	87:10 114:9 147:8	142:3 146:7,18	adjunctive 143:11
absorption 145:17	acid 101:5 111:22	adding 143:8 155:11 156:1	adjusting 15:22 admelog 5:17
abundance 141:13	acids 43:21 44:1,3 44:17 55:4 150:20	addition 20:4	administered 31:3
abused 171:16	44:17 55:4 150:20	32:22 88:7 138:9	61:13 138:19
academic 26:14	acknowledge	153:1	administering
accelerating 25:10	137:20 151:13	additional 11:8	120:6 126:19
114:10	157.20 151.15	23:3,6 24:11	120.0 120.17

		1	
administration	97:21 115:1	alliances 86:9	46:16 49:13 50:11
1:1 25:3 39:8	121:18 149:20	allotted 15:18	101:22 128:12
49:16 61:14 65:15	164:17	16:8	169:2,2
119:7 153:13	afternoon 148:9	allow 5:11 19:20	analogy 93:13
172:16	age 137:10	73:10 86:19 88:18	analyses 134:17
administrative	agencies 166:9	106:3 119:10	analysis 49:1
13:1 15:3 172:16	174:16	174:5 175:3,4	52:16
adopt 115:22	agency 6:19 12:20	allowed 14:22	analytic 21:1
adopting 39:1	87:10 89:8 92:7	48:9 104:3	analytical 29:16
adoption 25:17	92:14,18 95:7	allowing 17:14	32:17 36:2 54:1
33:19 94:1 106:5	98:15 104:3	allows 32:10	99:6,15 108:18
adults 137:17	105:13 106:3,7,13	156:22	110:21 126:16
advair 43:8	107:1,17 108:2	allude 158:21	analytics 64:16
advance 12:10	147:14 174:16	alternating 63:6	analyzed 143:14
35:13,19 61:12	agency's 62:4	alternative 21:9	analyzing 144:2
advanced 71:4	agency's 88:15	22:8 68:14	angles 22:15
advancement 6:21	89:22 90:19	amazing 166:5	animations 78:3
164:6	agenda 15:22 16:2	167:16 168:19	anniversary 78:18
advances 4:4 5:4	agents 27:14 28:7	170:12	announced 91:13
42:11	28:16 32:12 39:2	ambiguous 88:20	175:11
advantage 35:15	aggregate 65:1	amenable 7:12	announcements
142:14	aggressive 80:2	20:19	13:2
advantages 161:6	aggressively 79:22	america 7:10	announcing 176:6
advantages 161:6 adverse 20:9 22:1	aggressively 79:22 agnostic 135:19	america 7:10 149:18	announcing 176:6 annual 18:2 26:4
0			_
adverse 20:9 22:1	agnostic 135:19	149:18	annual 18:2 26:4
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20	149:18 american 2:15	annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9	annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20	annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18	annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19	annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21	annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1	annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22	annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19 66:12,15 97:7	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16 aim 20:6 alan 138:14 alarmingly 138:7	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22 150:20,22	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17 45:18,22 46:16,19 75:2,3 82:13,14
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19 66:12,15 97:7 147:17,18 149:17	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16 aim 20:6 alan 138:14	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22 150:20,22 amount 77:12	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17 45:18,22 46:16,19
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19 66:12,15 97:7 147:17,18 149:17 affixed 120:11	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16 aim 20:6 alan 138:14 alarmingly 138:7 alex 78:20 alexander 2:5	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22 150:20,22 amount 77:12 116:20 123:20 141:9 147:16 amputation 18:18	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17 45:18,22 46:16,19 75:2,3 82:13,14 89:19 100:9,12,16 100:17 111:5
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19 66:12,15 97:7 147:17,18 149:17 affixed 120:11 afford 6:10 17:21	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16 aim 20:6 alan 138:14 alarmingly 138:7 alex 78:20 alexander 2:5 17:11,15	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22 150:20,22 amount 77:12 116:20 123:20 141:9 147:16 amputation 18:18 analog 17:19	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17 45:18,22 46:16,19 75:2,3 82:13,14 89:19 100:9,12,16 100:17 111:5 113:5
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19 66:12,15 97:7 147:17,18 149:17 affixed 120:11 afford 6:10 17:21 88:5 153:22	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16 aim 20:6 alan 138:14 alarmingly 138:7 alex 78:20 alexander 2:5 17:11,15 algorithmic 68:12	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22 150:20,22 amount 77:12 116:20 123:20 141:9 147:16 amputation 18:18 analog 17:19 18:12 61:9 74:3,6	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17 45:18,22 46:16,19 75:2,3 82:13,14 89:19 100:9,12,16 100:17 111:5 113:5 antibody 83:9
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19 66:12,15 97:7 147:17,18 149:17 affixed 120:11 afford 6:10 17:21 88:5 153:22 affordability	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16 aim 20:6 alan 138:14 alarmingly 138:7 alex 78:20 alexander 2:5 17:11,15 algorithmic 68:12 algorithms 64:17	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22 150:20,22 amount 77:12 116:20 123:20 141:9 147:16 amputation 18:18 analog 17:19	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17 45:18,22 46:16,19 75:2,3 82:13,14 89:19 100:9,12,16 100:17 111:5 113:5 antibody 83:9 101:20,21 102:1
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19 66:12,15 97:7 147:17,18 149:17 affixed 120:11 afford 6:10 17:21 88:5 153:22 affordability 115:3 149:18 156:19 158:4 168:2	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16 aim 20:6 alan 138:14 alarmingly 138:7 alex 78:20 alexander 2:5 17:11,15 algorithmic 68:12 algorithms 64:17 80:1	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22 150:20,22 amount 77:12 116:20 123:20 141:9 147:16 amputation 18:18 analog 17:19 18:12 61:9 74:3,6 74:13 80:15 139:21	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17 45:18,22 46:16,19 75:2,3 82:13,14 89:19 100:9,12,16 100:17 111:5 113:5 antibody 83:9 101:20,21 102:1 102:15 105:2
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19 66:12,15 97:7 147:17,18 149:17 affixed 120:11 afford 6:10 17:21 88:5 153:22 affordability 115:3 149:18 156:19 158:4 168:2 affordable 2:5 7:3	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16 aim 20:6 alan 138:14 alarmingly 138:7 alex 78:20 alexander 2:5 17:11,15 algorithmic 68:12 algorithms 64:17	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22 150:20,22 amount 77:12 116:20 123:20 141:9 147:16 amputation 18:18 analog 17:19 18:12 61:9 74:3,6 74:13 80:15 139:21 analogs 10:10	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17 45:18,22 46:16,19 75:2,3 82:13,14 89:19 100:9,12,16 100:17 111:5 113:5 antibody 83:9 101:20,21 102:1
adverse 20:9 22:1 48:15 49:18 102:5 130:19 advisory 34:9 advocate 3:12 advocates 166:12 advocating 157:22 affairs 60:10 97:14 affect 58:17 62:19 66:12,15 97:7 147:17,18 149:17 affixed 120:11 afford 6:10 17:21 88:5 153:22 affordability 115:3 149:18 156:19 158:4 168:2	agnostic 135:19 ago 20:19 43:7,20 78:5,20 114:8 138:16 163:12,16 168:21 agree 133:20 167:5 170:16 agreed 169:15 agrees 62:9 aid 72:16 aim 20:6 alan 138:14 alarmingly 138:7 alex 78:20 alexander 2:5 17:11,15 algorithmic 68:12 algorithms 64:17 80:1	149:18 american 2:15 17:20 126:9 151:9 americans 18:20 72:18 114:18 156:19 america's 86:21 amino 43:21 44:1 44:3,17 55:4 101:5 111:22 150:20,22 amount 77:12 116:20 123:20 141:9 147:16 amputation 18:18 analog 17:19 18:12 61:9 74:3,6 74:13 80:15 139:21	 annual 18:2 26:4 88:7 115:7 answer 22:5 53:10 56:13 68:4 109:18 132:6 answering 93:3 anti 46:16,19 75:2 87:18 101:19,21 102:1 105:1 111:4 113:5 antibodies 43:17 45:18,22 46:16,19 75:2,3 82:13,14 89:19 100:9,12,16 100:17 111:5 113:5 antibody 83:9 101:20,21 102:1 102:15 105:2

May 13, 2019

[anticipated - automatically]

anticipated 27:21	34:7,12 41:7 43:4	arm 77:9	association 2:11
29:1	43:6,7 44:5 49:22	arms 77:20	86:10,12 93:15
anyway 142:1	49:22 52:6 61:10	arnold 148:21,22	126:9 151:9
apparent 51:3	70:14 71:2 75:12	articulated 35:16	associations 82:16
83:5 113:4	79:14 80:10 81:10	artificial 64:17	attach 143:7 147:2
apparently 96:13	81:11,11 85:4,5	140:11	attempt 35:14
applaud 88:12	94:13 106:5 132:1	aside 144:20	attempting 38:21
167:18	approvals 7:7	asked 68:16 98:15	attended 175:20
applauded 30:7	35:21 41:22 95:1	169:5	attendees 13:6
applauds 33:3	96:10	asking 105:13	attention 175:22
applicable 10:7	approve 8:6	161:11	attorney 177:14
applicant 66:7	approved 5:10,16	asks 33:6 34:2	178:10
applicants 11:11	7:22 9:6,18 27:5	asp 87:7	attractive 143:10
94:11	29:15 30:3 31:2	aspart 74:3	attribute 33:13
application 93:13	32:8 40:16 43:3	aspect 96:9 141:15	94:18
143:7	43:11 44:21 48:6	159:20	attributed 106:13
applications 9:18	51:9 52:6,14	aspects 11:21	137:16
12:21 64:15 88:19	53:17 57:3 61:9	69:12 96:8 116:6	attributes 32:15
applied 89:14	89:2 91:15,21	162:1	89:2
156:9,18 159:1	105:14 112:10	aspirations	audience 6:14
applies 51:6	118:13 142:12	106:10	71:4 175:19
apply 29:12 36:9	145:10 146:6,10	assess 49:2 65:15	audiences 27:2
50:5 51:18 92:13	147:3,20	65:22 101:11	audio 13:5 177:8
152:19,21	approving 57:15	assessed 55:16	178:3
appreciate 30:7	approximately	59:8 67:3	authored 60:21
40:4 88:20 94:20	15:12 18:20	assessing 20:5	137:13
113:14 171:9	102:18	47:2 50:17 54:11	authorities 20:21
175:10,22	apps 93:10	64:7 65:7 66:5	21:21
appreciates	april 3:8	162:14	authority 156:4
121:12	arbitrarily 158:1	assessment 46:20	authorization
appreciation 25:2	arbitrary 154:15	52:12 62:13,17	31:10
approach 4:3	155:12	65:12 84:9 102:22	authorizations
20:20 21:6 29:13	area 7:7 12:8 13:8	105:8 112:21,22	72:12
32:8 33:4,7,11	144:21 163:22	assessments 21:2	authorized 72:17
45:10 50:19 57:11	173:6	assist 67:6	autoantibodies
65:19 80:15 89:22	areas 30:14 33:1	assistance 30:20	100:11 150:22
144:12 151:10	164:8	assistant 148:13	151:2,7
approaches 50:13	argue 75:1 79:19	assoc 2:15	autobiologics
appropriate 19:17	80:2 83:7	associate 13:17	152:12
27:12 29:22 63:12	argued 20:11	associated 26:5	automated 5:13
77:2 121:3 145:7	argument 45:11	27:14 31:5 35:21	automatic 89:4
approval 5:13	arguments 81:5,8	40:6 71:9 75:5,6	92:17 97:1
8:16 9:3 10:4,6,15	81:18	136:4 151:3,7	automatically
25:6 29:13,15,21			91:22 92:5 96:21

1 - 1 - 1	1 4 1 07 11	L . 1 107 10	100 11 164 616
autonomy 154:17	bacteria 37:11	beck 137:13	133:11 164:6,16
availability 80:19	149:9	becoming 37:19	biased 81:22
90:17 97:5 114:2	bad 70:12 81:19	bed 173:12	big 93:22 139:13
154:7	balance 101:3	bedrock 57:12	166:8 172:6
available 10:9	band 72:16	behalf 24:18 114:4	173:19
13:10,11 14:17	banting 4:14	148:15 175:18	biggest 4:6
16:18,22 18:10	78:11	behave 57:4	bilayer 147:11
34:7 35:11,18	banting's 78:7	behaves 121:22	billion 18:22 26:4
37:6 39:7,9 43:10	barrier 47:7 48:20	147:15	42:19 97:19 98:9
46:9,15 49:8 61:5	50:10 65:13 67:17	behavior 28:11	114:14
96:20 98:13	67:19 91:5 93:22	42:4	billions 92:4
105:10 107:17	barriers 25:16	believe 12:9 25:13	bind 141:20
108:1 118:15	29:5 34:1 80:17	29:20 47:5 48:19	binding 45:17
119:20 121:1	155:6,20 167:15	61:15 65:12 66:20	46:5 54:2 62:21
124:6,9 131:21	barve 2:8 42:7,8,9	68:19 69:15 71:2	147:16
145:6 169:3	50:22 52:4 53:14	74:22 78:17 80:7	biocon 2:13 97:14
avastin 155:5	54:22 55:19 56:21	80:8 81:17,20	97:20
avenue 1:14 34:16	58:1,19 59:9,16	91:4 138:9 153:1	biodistribution
average 6:2 18:13	59:22	161:2,18 165:1	135:16
79:14 87:4 100:16	basaglar 5:17	believes 47:12	biologic 7:15 8:2
133:12	61:10	63:7	9:13,18 19:12,14
avoid 33:14 117:4	basal 98:13	belong 35:2	19:19 20:6 26:1
119:7 125:4,21	101:19 117:17	beneficiaries	32:1,7 34:3 40:4
132:11	127:9,16,18	155:1	44:8,14 45:16
aware 6:14 72:10	base 27:21	benefit 11:8 36:10	51:9 61:11 91:19
146:17	based 6:20 19:18	72:1,4 97:22	118:12 130:12
awareness 12:4	26:12 27:4,16	131:9 156:18	142:18 149:10
37:17	28:11 39:8 41:21	167:10,14 172:4,4	150:19 154:22
b	47:6 49:13 53:5	172:5	biological 10:20
b 1:13 45:4 87:7	55:21 57:16 62:13	benefited 98:9	23:13 33:4 34:14
94:22 136:3,6	64:16,17 73:2	benefits 132:4	149:8 156:11
141:3,16 142:2,17	80:6 85:1,12 88:3	benign 46:19	biologics 3:3 7:17
143:9,15,21 144:7	94:4 97:1 103:6	best 4:14 7:3	7:21 8:4,14,17
144:10 146:22	107:7 109:16	163:13 174:18	9:12,20 10:3,6
back 69:19 81:9	141:7 144:12	177:9 178:6	13:20 25:12 26:9
81:21 93:6 135:6	basic 6:10	better 35:10 64:20	29:8 30:18 33:7
154:1	basically 67:19	65:1 123:11	33:17 34:8 36:18
backbone 145:11	132:1 158:15	129:21 130:16	40:13 43:14,15,16
145:15	basis 21:15 104:7	162:19 163:3	44:13,16,18 45:2
backdrop 5:20	117:2 127:13	164:1,4,15 165:15	45:8 46:9 47:1
background 114:2	128:3 163:21	165:16 170:14	50:4 51:12 54:17
backwards 111:3	bd 44:10	171:20 174:18	55:10 87:3 89:15
111:5	beat 81:9	beyond 33:2 57:8	89:18 90:6 91:15
111.0		63:1 64:13 132:17	91:17,22 92:1,5

95:16 97:21	150:8 152:9 160:2	biotin 141:11,13	branded 9:12
103:19 149:13	161:4,4,17 165:4	141:14,14	10:14 129:12
150:12,16 155:3	165:4	bit 22:16 36:7	brands 49:7
160:16 165:2	biosimilarities	38:4 39:17 41:14	151:18 153:17
biology 141:14	159:3	52:18 56:19 79:17	break 69:16,18,20
biomarker 75:5,7	biosimilarity 20:5	80:13 82:2,3	135:3,5
biomarkers 152:2	20:17 21:15 22:2	83:18 94:6 108:14	breaks 16:1
biomedical 4:2	22:21 25:8 39:21	109:2 110:12	breakthroughs
biosimilar 1:7	40:6 47:9,19,20	114:7 128:10	114:10
3:18 4:10 8:6,12	51:7,15,19 56:22	147:4 158:14	brianna 166:1
8:16 9:2,4,6,7,9	57:13,21 58:4,13	blindly 156:9	bridges 163:18
10:11,12 11:9,12	62:10,14,16 63:1	blindness 18:18	bridging 29:17
11:15,19 12:6,10	65:20 66:21 83:19	blockage 57:6	148:2
12:22 17:19 18:9	84:7,15,17 95:15	blockbuster 97:18	brigham 2:10 70:6
19:14 20:15 25:6	98:17 101:9	blood 49:17 56:8	bring 87:3 131:21
25:8,17 26:10,20	103:17 104:9,12	117:7 126:16	155:22 163:19
27:1,7 29:5,9 30:1	104:14 106:10,22	128:22 129:5	bringing 19:8
31:6 32:9,15 33:9	111:14,18 149:21	140:10 152:5	161:22
33:19,22 34:12	153:2 154:15	board 67:14	broad 12:20
38:17 40:16,20	155:12 159:4,10	body 116:22 118:1	broader 49:20
41:6 42:10,15	159:14 160:12	139:2	62:8 93:8,9
43:4,6,9,12 44:20	biosimilars 1:5	bold 108:11 139:1	149:10 153:6
45:6,10 47:4 48:2	3:3,16 8:3,22 9:10	bolus 98:13	broadly 80:16
49:10,19,22 51:10	12:15 13:20 19:18	127:12,16,19	87:14
52:1 57:3 58:11	25:15 27:6,12	boon 71:17	brooklyn 165:22
63:6 65:9 67:2	29:14 30:3,13,17	born 73:7 86:15	brought 37:4
68:7 70:14 73:9	31:2,17 33:2,7,17	bottom 75:20 77:9	130:7 170:3
77:1,10,15 82:8,9	34:8,20 35:17	77:19	budget 27:17
86:20 90:9,14,17	36:5,16 37:14,18	bounds 11:13	budgets 28:1 29:4
90:20,22 91:17	38:15 40:2,14	bowl 173:19	build 6:21
92:13,20 93:2,22	42:22 44:21 52:7	boy 78:5,9,12	building 1:13
94:11,13 95:8	60:13 73:10 86:11	bpci 149:14	built 95:18
100:19 101:6	86:13 87:2,4,13	158:22	bulk 22:20 70:17
103:11 105:14	88:13 89:2,16	bpcia 74:21 89:3	137:16
106:8 107:8 109:6	90:4,6 91:6,8,12	89:11 149:15	buried 75:21
112:10 116:1,8,12	92:4 94:4,7,11	150:1 152:20	125:8
117:10,19 118:2,5	95:2 98:1 105:10	153:4 156:6,17	burning 118:2
118:10,12 119:3,5	106:5,16 107:2,6	159:9,17	business 24:3
119:19 120:13	124:2,7	brains 127:5,6	146:3,12
121:7 122:17	biotech 149:6,11	brand 8:7 76:5	businesses 167:3
123:14 124:17	biotechnology	81:16 87:19,22	С
131:8 132:3,22	14:7	89:14 90:4,11,11	
134:10,21 135:21	biotherapeutics	157:9,22	calculate 117:16
142:13 145:10	98:1		calculation 109:17

[call - clarify]

	1	1	1
call 115:16 125:2	161:6	147:13	99:11,17 108:8,18
128:7 136:2 172:6	casting 106:9	certificate 177:1	110:21 122:4
called 15:20	categories 6:2	178:1	characterize
163:17 175:17	28:8 29:2 36:9	certify 177:3	32:12 45:13 62:15
calls 118:20	100:21	178:2	99:9 100:20
128:22	category 32:18	cgm 169:19 170:3	characterized
campaign 115:15	34:22 39:2 44:21	cgmp 141:18	44:9 87:16 89:19
121:10	cause 88:6 130:19	chain 38:1 66:14	98:19 99:20
campaigns 90:3	172:21 173:7	chains 43:21 55:5	charitable 167:1
91:20	caused 100:12	chair 148:18	cheaper 130:2
campus 1:12	171:20	chairperson 97:18	131:22
cancer 3:9,11	causing 122:18	challenge 4:12	check 76:5 83:10
164:8	cautious 70:22	28:6	chemical 141:9
candidate 134:21	74:14	challenges 4:5,6	chemically 44:2
136:3	caveat 58:14	33:22 39:22 55:22	cherrington
capabilities 26:2	cc 84:4	87:17 95:3 120:1	138:15
capability 57:5	cder 3:4 14:3,5,7	149:17 158:3	chief 13:15 126:10
carb 117:16	167:21	challenging 91:21	children 100:10
carbohydrate	cdrh 167:21	chance 76:18	114:9 137:17
116:20	cell 13:3 37:12	change 8:10 33:2	choices 121:17
cards 72:16	38:14,15 101:1,3	48:15 136:15	cholesterol 141:9
care 5:6 6:20 7:1	101:4,8 140:4	141:21 145:18	choose 6:12
26:7 27:13 56:7	141:14	154:6 162:3	chorus 91:3
60:22 61:2,16	cells 7:17 136:22	168:16	christine 2:11
64:22 66:2,13	139:1,2	changeability	86:3,6,8 166:1
69:2,4 83:8 116:4	center 1:2 3:11	159:17	chronic 163:21
121:2 126:6,13	6:1 13:22 24:16	changed 52:13	circulation 136:20
131:22 151:13	171:17,22 172:4	changes 8:9 20:22	139:5,17
carefully 156:17	174:8,19	21:21 28:3 34:4	circumstance
159:18	centers 26:14	36:8,9 37:9,10,16	35:10 36:14
caring 120:21	central 116:15	38:4,12,19 39:13	circumstances
carolina 3:10	centralized 73:1	55:16 59:17 89:15	31:11 35:10,16
cartridges 98:14	century 61:3	91:1 92:21 107:14	40:15 65:5
case 7:14 19:16	ceo 17:15	128:1,19,21 151:3	cite 71:11
52:22 53:22 59:3	certain 9:17 11:16	151:4,5	cities 175:3
62:13,13,14 68:10	15:7 35:13 37:8	changing 4:2	citing 129:14
107:21 136:18	38:12 40:16 56:4	38:13,13 39:16	claims 20:14
138:8 140:21	60:2 72:15 77:11	114:10 145:17	21:16 70:22 74:15
147:13 150:1	77:16 102:10	167:20	74:15 82:2,4,12
151:21 152:12,16	110:3 117:6	characteristics	82:21,22
152:20 161:7,19	133:22 157:2	19:19 45:15 104:3	clamp 54:13
164:5	certainly 82:17	characterization	clarify 57:20
cases 4:7 28:21	94:10 95:3 97:5	29:16 36:3 46:11	159:6,13
100:5 154:2 157:2	120:18 134:14	51:4 54:2 56:14	157.0,15
100.3 134:2 137:2	120.10 134.14	51.4 54.2 50.14	

[clarity - compare]

clarity 9:4 31:22	clinicians 12:5	combined 147:19	commitment
125:5	27:16 30:14 34:12	155:3,5	34:16 42:18 91:14
class 26:11 137:10	35:18 37:21 38:22	come 4:17 13:1	98:5 163:6
143:8 151:14	39:20 40:1 69:3	22:21 24:14 35:14	committed 34:21
157:3	83:10 118:15	41:22 78:3,12	61:2 63:19
classes 28:15	close 42:19 66:17	81:8,12 88:14	committee 31:8
147:22	110:2 117:3	92:9 95:7 96:10	34:9
classic 61:14	125:16 135:9,9	108:21 109:15	common 71:6
65:14	138:5 166:2,3,18	119:14 125:18	82:13,14
classifications	166:19 171:5,10	129:13 150:2	commonly 28:4
38:6	173:15 175:17	168:1	119:22
classified 38:5	closed 170:8	comes 4:5 46:12	communicated
clear 11:1 55:13	closely 116:17	59:10 98:6 100:19	33:12 119:3
94:20 120:12	closer 11:4 40:13	105:16 110:3	communication
clearly 51:3 85:11	44:7 54:19	153:20 164:4	12:4 49:6 118:18
85:15 120:4	closing 2:19 17:7	165:14	119:1 120:17
125:10 130:18	175:12 176:13	coming 43:9 48:11	communities
138:17	closure 69:11	87:4 99:5 109:22	163:18
cliché 57:14	cloud 64:16	110:21 118:14	community 9:5
clinic 60:19	club 17:17,18 18:1	131:16 136:5	26:15 115:16
clinical 20:2,16,22	24:3	161:10	118:17 125:19
21:4,22 27:4	clue 86:16	comment 15:1	165:13 173:6
30:12 35:20 42:9	cmc 20:15 22:1,22	16:15 17:5 80:13	companies 8:11
47:6,13 53:18	cms 35:16	80:17 108:7	19:6 67:18 115:17
60:22 71:10 73:19	coaching 5:20	111:12 132:21	145:19 164:11
75:4,7,11 79:16	coauthored	133:10 144:10	company 2:9
82:16,17 83:10,13	138:14	commentary	17:17 24:20 39:20
84:8 85:5,6 99:1	coby 113:15 166:1	149:2	59:20 60:10
100:3,14 101:18	coding 125:13,15	commented 91:6	135:13 144:15
102:6,11 104:10	coefficient 134:10	comments 12:13	146:17,20 163:17
104:19 108:5	coffee 13:8	14:15 16:21 17:2	comparability
109:19 114:15	collaboration	35:3 50:20 60:1	21:2 29:19 32:17
117:13 122:5	148:16	62:1,7 63:21 67:8	36:19 40:8 107:3
133:21 135:13	collaborative	82:6 93:4 111:11	comparable 25:12
141:1 151:19	24:22	112:16 144:1	40:7
152:3,10,13	colleague 148:16	171:7 175:8 176:3	comparative
169:10 170:9	colleagues 31:15	commercial	102:14 110:6,10
clinically 55:15	collective 166:15	135:14,20 141:18	110:10
70:21 73:15 76:1	color 125:13,15,17	141:19 142:3,7,8	comparator 49:4
77:17 151:3	coloring 125:19	146:19	88:19
clinician 33:14	columbia 177:20	commercially	compare 45:14
60:11,16 69:5,8	combination	61:5	66:9,11 77:17
118:21	81:10 87:17	commissioner 3:7	103:21 111:7,9
	119:12 143:12,20	13:17 86:17	123:3 164:8

compared 30:3	55:10 65:22 68:20	concern 30:15	congo 1:16 177:2
33:21 41:5 45:5	89:18 99:7 127:9	33:12 35:7 53:13	177:18
48:3,16 62:21	156:8	73:22 89:9 94:22	congress 8:14 10:8
63:12 124:1	complexities	105:3 122:2	87:8
129:16 156:14	158:8	142:17 149:15	congress's 8:21
compares 44:12	complexity 8:1	150:17 152:1	9:16
comparing 77:19	32:16 44:12 57:17	concerned 79:17	congressional 6:5
79:8	124:15 138:10	95:4 138:3 159:21	connected 61:17
comparison	143:13 144:8	174:13,14	61:19 64:12,14,15
104:17 133:13	154:17 155:16,19	concerns 23:4	65:6,18 66:1,6,8
134:6	156:13 161:20	30:19 31:18 80:18	67:2 68:2,12
compatibility	complicated	80:20 150:15	connection 68:18
47:22 56:19 57:19	127:15	152:21 155:15	cons 144:4 146:20
58:22 105:22,22	complications 5:8	166:18,19	consensus 91:10
compatible 48:2	18:21 78:22	concise 120:12	consequences
59:1	114:12 116:12	conclude 50:11	18:13 115:12
competing 25:11	151:8 152:15	71:12 92:10	120:9 153:5,8
28:18	165:17	142:21	154:19 161:20
competition 3:13	component 95:15	concluded 16:8	conservation 45:4
7:4,12 8:2,15,18	99:19 105:21	conclusion 21:17	consider 11:10,22
8:21 10:11,17	141:10	106:17	21:5 63:11 65:6
11:8 19:3,10,20	components 48:12	concurrently	66:4,18 81:3 99:4
25:22 28:22 32:21	64:7,12 66:8	174:16	105:13 107:18
61:22 66:3 71:20	67:16 100:1,2	condition 4:15 5:1	108:2 116:7 121:5
87:2,8,15,18,21	111:21 140:8	114:19 154:10	125:3 156:15
88:11 97:6,7	compounded	157:17	159:16
116:2 149:14	37:19	conditions 118:8	considerable 32:5
155:17 164:22	compounding	141:18 163:21,22	89:12
competitive 87:19	91:18	conduct 63:8	consideration
93:2 115:21	comprehensive	confer 89:1 92:16	22:9 37:11 70:19
121:15	3:11 118:18	conferences 27:3	112:8 120:17
competitors 91:17	121:10	confidence 63:4	122:12 141:16
150:8	comprised 26:6	92:3 101:13	142:9
complaints 125:15	141:8 150:20	102:12 110:7	considerations
complete 18:4	compromise 10:14	confident 161:15	11:18 30:16 31:6
99:15,16 108:18	concentration	confuse 130:15	36:15 46:22 48:19
completely 98:19	129:18	confused 96:7	50:3 54:15,21
99:8	concentrations	confusing 127:7	61:1 62:6 79:7
completion 60:17	103:4	confusion 33:14	100:6 104:9 146:3
complex 7:16 41:1	concept 30:4	91:11,18 94:15	considered 44:2,4
42:22 43:16 44:16	67:10 127:17	120:8 123:10	45:7 76:3 103:13
44:19 45:16 46:9	concepts 161:10	128:4 129:3	103:16 117:1
46:22 50:4 51:12	161:19	131:10 132:10,15	149:22 150:4
54:16,18 55:2,7		155:14 159:20,22	
1	1	1	

[considering - data]

considering 11.10	contractual 27:7	costco 18:2	134:8,11 156:5,6
considering 11:19 67:15	contractual 27.7 contrary 90:2	costly 19:15 20:4	154.8,11 150.5,0
	contrast 28:20	21:20 87:3	critical 7:18 24:5
consistency 117:11	30:5 31:19 165:9	costs 18:6 25:1	25:9,14 26:16
consistent 47:3	contribute 156:2	31:5 88:8 115:11	34:18 169:8
56:6 116:13	control 19:1 73:1	115:19 131:21	critically 139:10
	79:9 82:17 115:15		140:20 141:3
117:19	127:10 128:9	136:9,13 172:11 council 86:11	cross 71:6
consistently 46:17 consumer 159:20			
159:22	137:9,21 151:4 154:20 174:4	175:4,5 counsel 13:15	crusading 174:22
consumers 36:11	controlled 103:12		cryer 162:5,7
		177:10,13 178:7 178:10	crystal 103:22,22
contact 13:10	controlling 9:13		crystallography 101:12
176:12	conversation	counter 103:8,10	culture 38:14,15
container 69:11	23:15,19 160:6,7	103:21 154:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
contains 176:8	160:15	counteract 139:6	cure 114:11
contemporaneous	conversations	countries 42:20	curious 52:2
95:12	65:3	43:11 97:22 98:11	current 45:4 50:5
contemporary	convey 25:19	169:13	65:16,21 66:22
71:6	copay 72:16	county 175:5	87:14 145:5,15
contend 149:15	copies 13:9 15:10	couple 43:7 45:3	153:9 155:11
152:16 156:8	16:22 176:5,11	73:21 79:5 159:12	156:15,22 158:3
content 37:8 84:6	copy 78:10	159:19	167:17
context 37:14	core 18:4 20:6	coupled 100:2	currently 9:18
38:20 45:2	26:2	course 79:22	23:16 55:10 67:12
continually 33:11	correct 53:14	84:18 86:6 94:19	72:3 78:10 87:4
117:22	59:16 120:2	96:19 118:19	96:13 104:16
continue 41:2	134:22 152:12	163:15	130:18 148:12
49:21 61:17 63:19		courtney 13:21	149:17 158:18
90:20 92:14,18	correctly 156:21	cover 98:11	curves 128:14
116:3 143:15	correlated 96:19	coverage 15:5	customize 5:11
continued 18:15	100:17	49:14 58:9 115:15	cycle 38:20
19:3 25:5 28:12	correlation 100:8	covering 77:22	d
continues 31:7	100:15	crafting 66:19	d 2:1 88:7 95:1
continuing 5:21	correlative 46:8	create 20:12 67:18	dads 114:8
27:15	correspond 98:10	91:17 164:15	daily 105:6 116:15
continuity 66:13	cosmetics 9:19	169:2	163:21 164:3
continuous 11:17	cost 4:7 10:17	created 8:14,15	166:19
64:15 68:19	17:19,22 18:12,21	creating 21:16	dangerous 169:17
105:16 117:8	19:21 26:9 27:8	163:9 165:3	data 20:12 21:18
121:10 136:12	47:16 71:5 88:2	credible 152:17	24:21 29:16 39:8
152:7 169:11	114:3 115:4,6	crime 172:9	45:8 48:4 52:11
contracting 87:19	136:10 140:1	crisis 87:17	53:6 54:2 62:14
contracts 72:6	142:16 155:9,22	criteria 58:14	62:16 63:16 64:16
		104:20 132:12	64:16 65:1,2

[data - device]

[[Γ	Ι
66:15,15 76:8	decrease 17:22	demonstration	detailed 31:13
88:17 103:11,15	19:21 72:6 110:9	62:10,20 84:7	62:5
106:4 107:5,16	154:17,17	dennis 162:5,6	details 16:21
108:1,2,4,5	dedicated 17:18	denying 174:1	63:21 176:4,8
122:10 137:6,12	deemed 89:10	department 28:1	detect 20:7,9,9,14
137:14 138:17	105:14 112:10	70:5 126:8 148:13	detemir 74:4
datalink 66:14	deepen 149:16	148:18	128:16,20,20
dataset 169:11	deepest 25:2	dependent 6:9	133:5
date 1:10 31:2	defines 97:18	69:10 153:21	determination
87:2 151:2	defining 84:6	depends 52:22	64:1
david 136:13	149:5	53:1 144:14	determined
day 15:2 70:9 77:8	definitely 94:1	depressions	140:10
114:17 117:21	definition 73:17	173:19	determining
121:15,21,21	84:18 146:9	deprivation	116:18
122:14,14 126:19	degludec 128:21	173:16,17	detriment 87:15
127:13,13 129:9,9	129:15 133:6,8,9	described 67:11	devastating 18:13
130:5,6 152:3,3	degree 28:3 58:21	140:12	115:12
160:7 162:4	96:17,19	describing 9:10	develop 8:11 12:3
169:16,18	delighted 22:5	96:1	18:6 19:7 34:2
days 15:12 77:11	deliver 48:14 69:8	design 47:9 50:18	62:11 117:15
77:12,14 98:10	132:13	51:17 52:14,15	127:16 141:6
176:10	delivered 31:12	63:15 76:16 77:20	developed 27:4
de 96:2	65:5 118:6	88:17	68:3 88:14 120:18
dead 174:22 175:1	delivering 164:4	designate 107:2	132:20 149:11
deal 11:2 72:10	164:12	designated 73:11	151:1
95:20 131:1 147:9	delivery 5:6,14	103:9,20	developers 136:17
dealt 108:16	26:15 48:12 49:16	designation 30:7	developing 55:6
dear 42:17 78:11	50:1 61:15,19	30:11 32:10 51:11	83:9 93:16 116:12
death 18:19 61:4	64:9 93:19 170:11	51:20 88:22 89:4	development 1:7
71:10 173:15	demand 171:19	92:3 94:12 95:16	3:18 4:10 9:2,4,7
decade 42:21	demanded 172:12	160:20 161:2,17	10:12 12:8,10
170:21	demonstrate 22:2	designations	19:13 25:11 29:9
decades 28:21	29:19 45:14 47:15	96:18	42:10,15 47:7,8
69:4 87:1 150:18	48:21 84:12 98:5	designed 9:1 52:9	75:2 86:19 92:14
168:18	112:2 117:11	142:2	93:1 100:12 107:8
decide 127:14	128:10 130:9	designs 47:15 50:6	116:1 118:22
decided 162:7	138:17 148:3	56:3 59:21	135:13 136:1
163:16	demonstrated	desirable 28:13	137:22 141:1
decides 158:2	105:2,19 132:1	desk 78:11	142:16 146:1,15
deciding 126:17	150:5	despite 47:12 91:9	151:6 173:5,6
128:5	demonstrates	104:2 128:6 163:5	device 48:13,18
decisions 18:8	29:17 131:16	163:12	49:2,9,17 50:8
96:4	demonstrating	detail 22:16 38:7	64:9 105:19,21
	76:21 122:1	144:13	153:13
	1		1

[devices - division]

	1		
devices 4:8 5:12	differences 20:8,9	131:14 134:17	discovery 163:7
13:4,22 48:12	21:3 48:20 49:3	digital 61:19	164:6 165:19
50:1 58:18 125:1	50:8 52:10 57:18	64:14 177:8 178:3	discretion 9:16
devoid 33:5,10,15	58:15 70:20 73:14	dimensions	151:17
diabetes 2:15 4:13	95:9 102:8 104:2	103:22	discriminatory
5:12 18:21,21	133:14 150:11	diminished 76:11	46:7
28:7,8 60:9,21,22	157:11	90:15 104:11,22	discuss 93:14
61:1,2,4,16,18	different 22:15	dire 120:9	113:1 116:10
64:12 66:2 74:13	23:11 38:8,15,17	direct 24:6 28:22	144:10
86:21 100:10	38:18 44:13 45:5	32:10,19 41:5	discussed 170:1
101:20 113:22	46:22 48:2 49:19	87:13 154:5	discussing 35:19
114:9,11,16,19	50:3 51:11 54:16	156:22	159:9
115:7,9 116:4,6	54:21 56:11 58:17	directed 136:2	discussion 34:10
116:10 117:15	59:19,21 64:2,4	direction 8:22	52:7 82:2 160:11
120:1 121:4,17	67:12,16 80:1,13	28:3 56:11,12	165:15
126:9,12,13,14,15	82:9 91:16 93:17	90:12	discussions 51:1
127:5,8 129:9	93:17 102:6 103:4	directly 11:7 24:7	disease 65:2 71:14
130:3 134:15	103:13 104:2	31:3 32:13 88:4	86:22 126:12
136:11 137:7	120:16 122:11,16	96:18 126:6	163:10,15 164:8
138:10 151:8,9,10	123:1 127:21	131:12	diseases 126:21
151:13 152:1	128:17 129:8,15	director 3:2,8,9	disintermediated
154:10 156:20	130:22 133:8	13:19 14:2,5,6	30:16
162:12,14,17	140:8 141:8	86:11	disk 141:7,21
163:6,22 164:5,7	143:12 144:4	disadvantage	dispense 153:16
164:15 165:11,14	147:6,10,11	140:6	157:7
166:6,16 168:7,12	150:18 151:18	disagree 133:1	dispenses 76:5
169:21 173:18,22	154:14 157:18,19	disappointing	dispensing 31:11
diabetic 4:16 5:6,8	163:21 164:20,21	91:11	31:21
69:4 98:3,7	166:20 167:15	disaster 71:18	disposable 98:14
101:18 105:3	169:6	disclose 34:3 36:8	dispute 168:4
diabetics 18:17,17	differential 101:8	86:15	distinction 127:4
diagnosed 162:17	101:11,14,16	disclosed 37:8	160:1
diagnosis 61:3	104:13 109:5,8,11	disclosure 39:4	distinguish 136:22
173:18	112:2	86:13	distribution
diasome 2:16	differentiate	disclosures 34:5	138:18
135:12 145:20	33:17 91:22	70:9 114:5 166:18	district 177:20
147:13	differentiation	discounted 87:5	diverse 26:13
diatribe 166:17,22	153:2	discounts 72:2,17	43:12 154:12
dichotomy 161:9	differentiations	88:4	diversity 169:7,10
die 115:13	158:9	discouraged	divide 154:15
died 6:17 78:21	differently 30:2	106:11	155:12
difference 57:22	difficult 6:9 8:1	discourse 39:7	division 14:2 70:3
58:1,3 59:2 74:11	19:6 30:14 36:13	discovered 20:18	75:15 86:12
128:13	123:3 128:5	43:19	

[divisions - effectiveness]

divisions 52:8	doubled 87:1 88:8	drastically 122:16	early 15:17
dka 78:22	115:8	draw 79:16	easier 45:12 125:3
dka 78.22 dl 117:6	doubt 120:4	drawing 126:16	167:10,11,11
dna 61:8	doubt 120.4 dpp 28:9	drew 127:4	easily 17:21 152:5
doc 162:11	dr 2:7,8,9,10,13	drive 96:18 99:14	easy 53:5 163:10
docket 15:11	2:14,15,17 3:7,7	driven 24:21 65:3	165:12
16:20,21 17:3	3:12,14,19,20	68:12 80:5 90:4	eat 127:14
60:1 85:18 93:4	17:12,15 22:10,18	96:3	economic 173:4
111:11 112:16,19	23:14 24:2,12,13	drivers 105:12	ecosystem 64:12
171:7 175:14	24:15 35:9 36:12	driving 150:15	65:6,8,13 66:2,8
176:3,5	38:9 39:6,12,18	drug 1:1,2 4:22	66:12,16 67:2,11
doctor 5:2 148:10	40:3 41:11,17	7:7,10 9:19 20:6	67:15,18 69:6,7
148:17 154:1	40.5 41.11,17 42:6,7,8 50:22	20:15 25:3 28:2	69:11 93:8,13,16
161:12,14	52:4 53:14 54:22	29:1,4 43:8 48:12	93:19,20 115:22
doctors 70:11	55:19 56:21 58:1	49:16,22 59:20	123:7 140:11
127:4 171:16	58:19 59:9,16,22	99:9 104:16,18	ecosystems 61:18
document 27:12	60:4,6,8 67:22	105:1 121:18	67:12 68:3
28:2	69:7,21,22 78:11	126:18,19 130:19	educate 31:15
documentation	79:13 81:1,4 82:5	134:11 142:18	educated 122:19
147:3	83:20 84:2,5,11	153:22 154:22	154:11 157:16,17
documented 6:1	84:14,20 85:1,8	153:22 154:22	165:11
documented 0.1 documents 34:7	85:20 86:2 97:11	168:20	educating 21:14
35:6,6	97:12 107:15,22	drugs 7:2,6,8 8:5	39:20
doing 24:5 38:14	108:10,12,17	9:13 10:5 14:5	education 27:1
51:21 56:14 75:16	109:18 110:18	19:14 21:4 28:15	49:20 106:6,14
124:14 126:16	111:10,20 112:15	29:8 35:6 149:11	119:1 120:18
171:9	112:18 113:2,7,11	150:9,21 161:6	121:10 163:6,13
donations 166:22	112:10 113:2,7,11	due 31:5 48:18	165:7
doors 176:7	122:8 123:13	59:18 73:5 105:1	educational 90:19
dosage 104:6	124:3 125:5 126:1	106:16 153:20	106:9
151:5	126:3,4 131:7	155:6	effect 102:15,15
dose 48:15 52:22	132:17 133:18	duly 177:5	104:10,19 134:7
54:12 55:16 56:1	134:13,22 135:2	duration 63:18	151:12 152:10
56:5,8 63:10	148:8,9,16 157:2	79:7,10 145:17	effective 7:6,20
68:13 77:18 79:12	157:4,11,15	151:12	9:17 12:3,11 32:3
82:16 100:13	158:16 160:5	dying 78:14,21	34:17 48:14 104:5
104:21 105:4	162:9 163:1,3,5	dynamic 49:11	104:18 119:1
116:19 117:16	167:19,19	dynamics 28:12	121:17 151:16
120:3,6,7 122:13	draft 33:8 76:17	128:6	effectively 65:11
130:10 167:11	dramatic 145:12	e	89:13 114:2
168:16	dramatically		effectiveness 91:1
doses 42:20 98:9	17:22 52:12	e 2:1	92:21 152:4
dosing 64:17	drastic 115:10	earlier 5:9 54:4	156:14
68:17 79:8		93:7 132:22	
		157:10	

effector 101:3	eli 2:9 60:10	endocrinologist	171:1
effects 99:19	elicit 101:2	5:19 60:18 126:5	envision 24:3 36:9
129:18 130:19	elliott 127:1	endocrinologists	143:19 144:13
145:13	embedded 141:12	121:2	161:10
efficacy 10:15	emergency 154:4	endocrinology	envisioning 23:10
20:10 21:18 45:22	168:22	14:3	epitopes 55:5
46:8 48:8 50:7	emergent 102:5	endorsed 33:10	101:1
52:16 53:1 63:11	emma 71:21	endorses 25:7	equal 172:8
70:20 73:15 76:11	emphasis 145:9	endpoint 52:3	equipped 121:3
89:21 90:3,10,15	emphasize 92:18	63:11,13 83:15	equivalence
98:20 99:1,19	174:11	110:17	151:19
100:17 102:2,9	emphasizing	endpoints 21:13	equivalent 74:2
104:11,22 105:1	49:21 90:21	23:3,6 51:16 53:2	104:18 142:13
106:12,15 111:4	employed 177:11	55:14,18 77:17,18	equivalents
113:6 118:9 148:5	177:14 178:8,11	81:21 82:18	145:10
150:14 161:22	employee 114:6	engage 31:15	er 130:20
efficiencies 29:17	177:13 178:10	enhance 25:5	era 4:6
efficiency 9:1	employees 14:16	101:13 106:14	erode 37:2
efficient 1:7 3:17	employers 115:18	enjoyed 28:21	erodes 92:3
4:10 32:3 42:15	empowerment	69:20	error 131:1
50:6 51:18 63:9	163:18	enormous 4:3	errors 119:7
72:22 86:19 107:7	enable 29:8 30:10	12:17	125:18,21 131:10
efficiently 29:9	48:14 65:19,22	enrolling 22:11	131:11,11 132:9
88:14 143:17	107:5 143:16	ensued 152:15	es 177:4
effort 20:13	164:1,16	ensure 21:2 29:7	especially 36:2,13
118:17 165:14	enabled 97:20	37:22 63:2 64:9	40:22 142:20
efforts 25:5 26:19	170:11	88:13 107:7 120:4	164:7,7 173:3,4
42:21 43:1 61:21	enables 146:7	120:10,12,20	173:18
88:13 90:19	enabling 32:19	122:18 147:14	essence 129:20
115:20	65:2 106:4 165:14	ensuring 31:17	essential 4:13
either 31:3 39:13	enacted 73:6	93:1 115:20	31:16 168:6
40:7 55:21 57:11	enbrel 155:4	123:17	essentially 137:9
80:20 105:11	encounter 33:9	entail 23:18	establish 25:5
108:21 119:13	encourage 16:19	entering 77:6	104:12 159:4
129:19 142:6	116:1 145:2	entire 98:12 139:2	160:8,22
157:7	149:19 164:11	142:14 144:3	established 29:21
elaborate 56:19	176:2	entirely 146:16	32:17 42:17 47:4
119:10	encouraged 31:14	entirety 143:14	101:1 149:13
elderly 137:18	encourages 29:12	entrants 19:11	156:6
electronic 15:5,6	115:22	155:21	estate 140:17
17:2 93:10	encouraging	environment	esteemed 70:19
elements 50:4	169:9	31:20 34:20 37:12	estimate 42:3 71:7
63:15	endocrine 60:19	68:21,22 127:9	estimated 18:22
		163:14 165:3	
1	1	1	1

[estimates - facilities]

estimates 28:2	111:9 136:21	expand 50:20	experts 27:11
eu 20:21 95:15	examine 171:20	112:20	explain 9:22 22:12
	172:10		82:3 113:9 160:3
euglycemic 54:13		expanded 5:13	
europe 34:6 37:1	example 23:6 96:3	expanding 167:20	explaining 150:7
39:5 43:10 45:7	115:5 124:22	expansion 7:5	explanation 119:6
53:17	144:22 151:4,14	expect 69:9 95:2	explore 108:13
european 39:8	153:14,15 157:5,8	98:2 121:22	110:12
eva 2:4,19 3:1	157:8 160:13	157:21	expose 22:14
evaluate 85:2 99:1	174:18	expectation 51:8	exposed 49:12
105:17 116:7	examples 76:7	52:8 108:1	exposure 98:11
evaluated 47:14	exceed 159:9	expectations	101:22
53:3 98:21	excellence 24:17	28:12 53:17 85:14	express 25:2
evaluating 11:11	exception 149:20	107:13,14,20	expression 38:18
11:13,19 55:22	exceptional	122:2,4	extend 33:7
101:21 149:4	156:16 159:16	expected 23:12	extended 25:18
evaluation 1:2	exceptions 149:16	28:10 50:9 101:7	27:22
12:1 29:22 49:2	exchange 18:2	expecting 122:13	extensive 46:14
evaluations 27:5	137:7	166:4	51:4 54:1 56:14
event 83:9	excipient 58:16,17	expects 8:10	extensively 20:18
events 20:9 22:1	59:6	expedite 19:17	53:16 89:19
49:18 75:11 76:1	excipients 101:15	expediting 26:19	extent 31:21 37:7
76:8 79:21	excited 160:19	expenditures	39:13 57:15 59:3
eventually 173:14	161:1	25:10 26:4 74:11	95:11 117:4 122:3
everybody 135:10	exciting 4:1	expense 32:20	external 48:17
evidence 6:20	exclude 33:4	131:6	extra 16:22
14:18 20:5 22:20	exclusivity 28:17	expensive 8:12	extractable 106:1
27:4 52:19 55:20	28:22 29:3 42:1	46:11	142:5
63:2 74:17 75:15	excuse 91:4	experience 11:21	extractables 59:15
81:12 82:21 85:11	executive 86:11	48:11,13 63:22	extrapolate 103:2
89:20 90:7 102:16	exercise 99:6,15	89:12 92:11,13	103:5
103:14,16 104:13	100:3 127:14	106:2,3 107:5,12	extrapolation
106:21 107:16,18	174:2	107:14,15 109:16	29:18
108:3 110:10	exhibiting 96:7	116:6,15 117:18	extremely 20:3
151:2,6 152:17	exist 69:12 101:14	122:15 132:14	54:8 72:7 80:8
156:12 159:2	101:16 109:21	151:18 168:14	123:3 157:16
evident 4:21	150:10,17 158:4	experienced 49:15	f
evolve 61:17	existing 27:6	71:9	face 159:22
evolving 66:1	70:15 73:9 96:1,2	experiences 26:12	facilitate 19:10
exacerbation	96:7 117:12 118:4	157:19	27:11
154:4	123:22 145:20	experiments	facilitating 1:6
exact 54:6	150:4 153:17	22:21	3:17 4:10 26:19
exactly 18:5 23:17	exists 89:21 90:7	expertise 24:21	42:14
37:3 45:13 48:18	95:19 108:4,5	89:12	facilities 26:14
57:4 108:20 111:8			

facing 64:7 87:13	fatal 71:15 114:19	feedback 98:15	107:6 109:10
fact 7:21 23:15	fax 72:13	152:8	111:21 114:7
31:1 36:20 38:16	fcr 46:5	feel 5:1 58:4 78:12	137:6 149:6
40:6,11 47:7 68:5	fd&c 9:19 10:4,21	fellow 118:20	150:14 160:10
79:11 128:6 132:8	fda 1:12 3:4,6,8,11	162:11	162:4 163:22
138:10 140:3	5:9,16 6:20 7:22	felt 6:15	165:6
147:9,9	8:5,10 9:5,8 11:22	fewer 111:8	firstly 45:3 46:14
facto 96:2	13:12 14:12,14,16	field 106:7 166:22	firsts 43:1
factor 107:12,20	14:21 15:4 17:13	figure 122:12	fit 169:5
123:4,5 144:17	21:5 25:19 29:12	144:4	fits 93:9
factors 11:10 57:7	30:6 32:6,11 33:6	figures 71:12	five 15:15,18
99:4 100:21,22	34:2,6,15 35:6	fil 153:17	126:10 155:2,3
101:17 105:18,19	43:3,4 44:21	film 15:7	163:16
108:22 109:1	46:20 62:3,9,11	final 9:8 30:6 32:6	fix 139:7 164:20
110:16 116:18,22	63:7,11,14,19	47:11 62:2 76:18	fixed 18:3 56:1,5
117:17 122:9,11	65:6,15,19,22	89:10 90:1 150:7	67:21 104:21
123:1 125:2	66:4,18,21 67:6	finally 12:2 13:9	flexibility 88:18
facts 31:16	73:11,13 74:1	43:7 49:5 74:6	fluid 59:19
faculty 70:3	82:6 83:3 86:17	75:20 91:2 119:7	focus 21:1 52:21
failed 72:14	89:11 91:4,7,13	financial 27:13	62:18 65:20 66:2
163:14	92:11 94:19	financially 177:15	66:21 70:16 81:20
failure 18:19	114:21 115:19,22	178:11	167:19
152:3,13	116:7 118:18	find 6:11 15:20	focused 6:19 7:2
failures 70:15	121:12 132:18	74:20 85:20	42:21 135:22
fair 123:20	135:11 138:2	162:19	136:4 137:22
fairly 137:20	149:3 150:6 156:3	finding 145:14	focuses 52:21
familiar 79:13,19	156:15 159:15	fine 78:12 110:11	focusing 60:12
155:11	166:6 167:15	finer 32:4	folks 167:21
familiarized	168:19 169:1	fingerprint 62:20	follow 5:16 10:8
157:21	170:12 173:4	88:20	53:8 58:12 61:11
families 5:7	174:11,12	finish 78:1	100:10 123:6
family 88:10	fda's 15:8 26:19	finished 15:2	followed 43:5
far 3:12 21:3 77:4	30:20 33:3 51:2	finishes 15:16	56:22
167:17 169:20,20	61:21 67:4 70:18	firms 87:20	following 21:10
fashion 21:5	167:20 169:9	first 5:10 13:3	29:10 38:3 45:10
fast 140:13 151:14	fda's 88:12	17:11,12 22:19	46:14 66:4 70:10
154:12	fear 145:21	30:22 32:14 33:3	108:6 132:7
faster 19:22	fears 30:21	33:8 37:4 40:16	follows 153:9
170:14	feasible 47:15	41:7,18 43:2,4,6,8	food 1:1 9:19 25:2
fastest 146:9	63:9 68:14	51:10,14 60:19	59:21 173:17
fasting 56:8 80:3	federal 16:20 83:2	61:5,7,9,10 62:10	foolish 127:3
127:11 f ot 78:12 116:20	176:5,7 f oo 18:2	68:1 70:20 71:19	force 174:1
fat 78:12 116:20	fee 18:3	78:6 83:1 93:12	forced 172:15
136:21		100:7 104:9 105:4	

[forecast - goal]

forecast 27:17	155:15 169:18	124:18 125:21	glargine 18:10
28:2,5	fourth 72:16	161:11	43:10 74:4,4,5
foregoing 177:3,4	framework 19:8	g	128:16,20,21,21
178:4	112:9 134:2 143:3	gain 150:9 172:9	129:17 131:15
foreign 103:11	149:13 150:2	gains 156:1	132:2 133:6,6,7,8
foremost 160:11	153:4	game 81:15	136:22 155:1
foresee 120:15	fred 78:6	gar 104:17	glasses 86:16
form 27:2 49:1	frequency 105:4	geho 2:16 135:8,9	glatiramer 43:3
80:21 133:7,7,8,8	frequently 26:9	143:6,22 144:14	global 42:9 45:9
135:14,20 140:4	90:12 105:11	145:4 146:5	60:9 71:10 88:18
141:11 142:3,8,12	friction 168:6,11	147:12 148:7	91:9 97:13 103:15
143:8 144:1	168:13,14,15,16	general 9:14 14:19	108:1 166:9
formation 101:21	168:17	144:19	169:20
105:2	frisbee 141:7,21	generalizable	globally 98:7
former 86:17	front 167:20 169:9	63:17	107:17 114:14
forms 72:13 132:2	170:8	generalize 133:1	globe 92:9
135:16 141:8	frontline 72:8	generally 7:16	glucagon 139:6
formularies	full 21:22 23:17	145:4,9 158:12	glucodynamic
132:19	114:6 136:10	generate 40:20	102:15
formulary 27:5	fully 15:18 25:7	155:13,15	glucose 46:7 49:17
31:9 35:12 49:14	120:22 139:20	generating 158:8	56:9 64:15 68:19
58:9 72:11 124:12	function 29:20	generic 7:6,7,8,10	116:19 117:7,8
128:3,19 160:22	30:2 68:7	7:13 37:20 43:8	126:16 127:10
formulate 146:18	functional 21:2	73:7 78:19 81:7	129:1 136:12
formulated 147:5	45:12 62:21	96:22 125:14	138:18 139:3,5,11
formulation 5:5	functionally 99:18	150:2,9	139:16,20 140:10
102:22	functions 65:8	generics 8:4 10:5	151:4 152:5,7
formulations	134:1	42:22 72:17 73:5	169:11
58:16 102:20	fundamental	georgetown 126:7	glue 141:10
103:3 147:10	47:19 49:2 57:1	getting 11:4 139:9	glycemia 100:16
fortunately 19:7	57:12 59:10	170:10	glycemic 79:9
forum 25:3 34:15	funded 114:13	give 4:18 14:14	82:17 117:6 128:9
forward 12:12	further 23:18 38:7	15:20 16:6 56:1	137:21 154:20
17:9 35:2 87:9	62:22 89:17 92:3	79:18 86:16	glycosylation 46:5
93:3 121:14	101:13 108:14	173:14 175:13	go 4:20 40:17 41:4
foster 12:3 34:20	155:16,20 164:13	given 21:7 29:3	70:12 86:8 99:22
fostering 116:3	177:12 178:9	55:11 63:9 88:11	102:4 110:19
found 102:8 115:6	furthermore	90:13 101:5	115:10 122:20
foundation 88:10	49:15 63:14	104:10,18,20	124:14 131:11
166:17,22	fusion 43:17	112:8 130:10,10	154:1 174:5,6
founded 114:8	future 1:5 3:16	143:2 154:13	175:4
founding 114:13	12:15 27:7 60:12	145.2 154.15	goal 18:9 68:22
four 6:2 43:15	61:16 66:20 67:1	giving 168:11	80:4 87:11 101:8
91:7 98:15 134:20	69:13 121:7	511113 100.11	142:5

[goals - hereto]

a a b b b b b b b b b b	anoved 140.22	hand 40.19.93.17	hearing 0.19 2.5
goals 137:11	ground 149:22	hard 40:18 82:17	hearing 2:18 3:5
goes 16:7 123:21	grounds 150:13	164:12	3:16 4:9 11:5
139:19 140:20	group 22:15 23:21	harm 153:19	12:15,18,19 14:13
166:19	24:19 78:7 172:6	harmful 153:5	14:14,19 15:9,12
going 3:4 8:10	groups 123:8	harvard 70:2	16:10,14 17:3,10
24:7 38:10 39:1	137:10	hat 69:5	60:7,15 86:5
40:18 41:1,8,9,21	growth 25:10	hatch 73:6	114:22 175:17,21
52:18 56:16 58:12	guess 78:3 80:16	hba1c 116:14	176:6,9,14
61:13 91:20 95:5	92:10 96:6	hba1cs 137:15	hearings 15:3
107:20 123:13,16	guidance 9:8 32:5	hdv 136:2 141:17	hearts 42:17
124:18 130:12,14	32:6 33:8 47:11	141:22 142:2,6	hehenberger
130:14 131:9,10	50:5 62:3,5 63:15	143:9 147:15	162:8,9,10 163:1
140:5 144:16	67:4 76:17,18	head 42:9 144:18	163:3,5
145:6 152:3	83:2,18 88:16	headache 72:10	hello 148:9
157:20 162:16	89:10 90:1 91:5	health 3:22 4:3	helmsley 167:1
good 3:1,20 12:14	92:8 150:7 156:4	6:22 9:20 12:9	help 12:7 35:22
13:16 14:1,4	guide 16:4	13:22 26:15 31:20	36:14 37:21 38:9
24:15 40:15 42:8	guidelines 151:9	61:19 64:14 86:18	54:20 67:6 96:10
47:20 69:22 75:16	guys 24:12 80:9	87:16,16 109:20	96:18 119:8
97:12 110:18	h	115:17 128:2	120:12 121:13
113:18 137:5,9	half 77:16 169:12	132:19 137:5	122:21 125:21
146:12,12 148:9	169:16	148:11,14 172:5	130:14
174:2,2,12	hallway 13:9	174:15	helped 61:12
gotten 131:6	hampshire 1:14	healthcare 18:8	helpful 22:17
gottlieb 86:17	hand 44:13,22	18:22 24:19 26:4	35:17 36:4 37:1
gottlieb's 167:19	119:16 135:18	26:6,17 31:3 64:3	37:13 95:12
government	146:21	65:4 66:14 71:18	113:10 144:9,21
115:18 172:8	handcuff 172:19	72:8 81:14 115:5	145:19
governs 10:4,5	handful 96:12	116:10 117:15	helping 30:8 36:4
grab 125:1 173:12	handle 163:10	118:16 120:21	37:1 170:12
grasp 30:14	handling 106:13	121:1 164:20	helps 123:10
grateful 114:21	106:14 118:8	167:2 172:11	hemoglobin 74:11
163:7 170:2,13	happen 37:10	174:14	116:9
great 4:21 7:6	41:21 59:10 73:18	healthy 78:9	hepatic 139:19
11:2 12:13 31:21	76:2 124:12 133:5	115:21 138:5	145:14
35:22 41:3 70:1		hear 11:6,10 70:1	hepato 139:21
95:20 97:9 112:17	139:18 156:10	71:1 82:19 156:21	140:4,22
114:3 149:17	170:13	171:8	hepatocyte 136:2
163:9 168:7	happened 73:21	heard 81:5 82:5	hepatocytes
greater 76:13	happening 42:4	83:2 93:7,12	138:22 141:13
greatly 170:6,6	124:4,5,19	95:22 96:1 122:2	herceptin 43:5
175:21	happens 74:6	123:19 132:8	155:4
greene 148:16,17	happy 86:7 107:10	157:10 165:1	hereto 177:14
g	109:18 121:19		178:11
	132:6 142:22		

[hernandez - important]

	170 10 174 7	• 1 1 25 10 1 40 1	51 6 52 10 21
hernandez 71:21	173:10 174:7	ideal 35:10 142:1	51:6 52:10,21
hi 86:4	hospitalization	ideally 67:3 95:18	53:5,10 54:12
high 18:12 26:9	155:7	ideas 125:2	55:6 56:7 62:19
27:13 39:14 97:22	hospitals 26:15	identical 101:5,16	74:19 77:18 82:11
101:11 149:19	171:17	104:6 112:1	83:6,22 85:3,12
168:13,14,15,15	host 53:3	identified 49:3	99:3 100:4,7
168:16 171:19	hour 152:4,4,11	106:19 119:21	102:3 108:16
higher 37:10	169:16	127:1,22 152:14	109:4,7,13 110:15
44:17 55:9 167:13	hours 154:2	identifiers 33:16	111:1 133:15,17
highest 37:22	169:18	identify 25:21	133:20,21
38:11 103:1 160:9	huge 73:19 173:19	33:1 75:12 120:5	impact 11:8 27:22
highlight 31:1	humalog 61:8	125:10 152:11	29:4 37:15 39:14
92:15	124:11 148:1	identifying 34:22	45:22 46:18 48:22
highly 7:10 32:16	human 61:6 74:7	120:2	50:9 55:15 82:16
46:7 87:22 89:13	74:13 80:15,15	idiosyncratic	88:4 102:2,9
90:13 92:12 98:21	98:8,13 101:22	75:22	109:12 111:4
99:21 122:5 129:2	103:9 125:2	ignore 33:13	113:6 118:1
129:11	128:11,16 131:1	illnesses 7:19	139:13 166:8,15
hikes 19:4	131:11 149:5,8	illustrates 28:6	impacted 46:4
historical 41:18	151:1 153:14,16	imagine 79:15	impacts 118:4
53:6	157:5 167:7 168:4	161:16 173:21	impair 25:17
historically 10:3	humira 150:21	immediate 152:7	impart 142:11
10:20	155:4 156:12	immediately	impede 142:19
history 21:18 81:4	humulin 157:8	35:11	145:22
148:18 161:8	hurdles 33:19	immense 155:8	imperative 118:14
hold 47:20	39:22	immune 82:10	implement 8:20
holding 60:6	hyper 117:4	101:2 113:4	25:5
78:14 114:21	122:15	immunogenecity	implementation
home 4:20 99:14	hyperglycemia	46:13,14,21 47:3	89:10 118:22
174:6	117:12 139:12	47:12 48:8 50:17	implementing
homeless 173:1	hypoglycemia	51:22 52:12 54:15	80:19
honored 114:4	79:18 83:4 117:5	54:20 55:8,15	importance 26:11
hope 24:6 25:18	117:12 122:16	63:5 74:19 84:9	32:5 60:16 64:7
30:10 69:19 135:6	129:2,14 139:7,7	85:10,14,17	85:17 111:6 114:3
hopeful 5:21	139:15 151:4	100:20 101:16	114:17,22 119:10
10:15	hypoglycemic	102:14,22 103:3,6	121:21 138:1
hopefully 75:5	83:9	103:10 105:7	important 3:21
95:1 133:4	hypotheses 81:22	109:5,12 112:20	4:4,22 8:8,20 9:12
hopkins 2:17	hypothetical	150:14 170:17	9:22 11:4 27:19
148:11,14,19,20	76:15,20	immunogenic	37:19 42:14 48:12
horizon 19:9	i	56:15	49:9 54:17 70:13
horse 141:15		immunogenicity	71:13 73:4,8 75:1
hospital 2:10 70:6	idea 94:17 95:13	20:8 21:12,19	75:21 78:4 82:19
171:22 172:2,3,3	112:11	23:7 44:11 46:12	85:18 89:7 95:7
1,1.22,1,2,3,3			

[important - instability]

	-		-
96:6 115:4,20	included 120:19	incretin 28:9	175:13 176:12
116:5,11 118:19	125:9	incumbent 147:13	informed 18:8
121:12 123:14,17	includes 43:14,16	147:21 148:3	120:22
130:3 133:19	44:14 58:22 91:15	independence	infusing 117:22
134:14 138:14,21	118:1 119:3	146:11	infusion 105:16
138:21 139:11	including 10:13	independent 46:2	118:7
140:20 141:3	15:8 17:8 27:17	146:8	infusions 11:17
151:3 153:19	28:9 34:4 49:22	index 117:10	ingredient 38:13
156:6 158:7,10	58:8 63:15 84:8	indicate 16:5	ingredients 58:15
160:6 164:3 165:6	108:16 117:16	101:22 153:11	inherent 19:18
167:12	121:1 168:19	157:9	20:15
importantly 26:13	172:2	indicated 47:10	inherently 36:16
63:9 113:21	incompatibility	indicator 116:11	inhibitors 28:9
139:14 141:16	59:14	indiscriminately	initial 18:9
imposed 153:3	incorporated	152:19	initiated 94:4
impossible 75:12	84:18	individual 5:12	inject 138:11
138:17	increase 5:21 7:4	individualize	injectables 125:14
impractical 75:12	8:1 19:9,20 20:13	127:19	injected 119:13
improve 9:1 34:12	34:11 42:18 50:13	individualized	135:17 137:2
34:17 35:1 98:2	63:5 90:18 91:12	64:22	138:12 140:20
116:4 131:22	110:8 116:2	induced 130:19	injecting 117:21
149:3 166:15	137:16 154:16	industry 43:13	injection 118:6
167:14 168:1	155:19,20,22	71:17 81:17 96:22	173:13
improved 5:5	156:13 164:22	118:21 140:14	inn 91:8
24:22 27:16 64:18	increased 3:13 6:3	146:16 149:7,11	innovating 144:21
64:21 167:22	6:6 9:3 10:16,17	162:13 163:19	innovation 8:15
170:6	19:4 30:10 37:17	164:1	61:13,22 66:3
improvement	88:1,6 94:8	inexpensive 131:5	98:5 116:3 145:1
24:19 145:11	100:12 139:16	142:16	145:2 149:14
improvements	155:6,7 156:13	inferior 30:18	162:14 165:16
139:22	increases 6:8	influence 166:6	innovative 24:21
inability 25:15	28:11 29:1 41:15	information 11:11	34:18 47:15 98:1
inadequate	88:4	12:2 13:10 31:14	115:21 121:16
152:10	increasing 1:6	34:3,10 35:11,17	164:15
inadvertently	3:17 42:14,16	36:1,3,10,20 37:1	innovator 52:13
125:1	155:18 156:2	37:5,9 38:8 39:6	147:14
inception 44:20	161:21	39:10,12 46:15	input 12:20
incidents 99:18	increasingly 6:9	49:5 54:11 85:15	inquiries 14:8
117:11 165:8	7:17 37:19 114:15	85:16 111:15	insert 129:14
include 30:15 31:7	117:7 168:9 170:1	113:4,8 118:14	inserts 59:7
43:15,17 62:18	incredibly 169:17	119:2,9 120:16,19	insight 26:18
64:13 75:14 83:6	incremental	121:6 122:21	insights 163:19
85:18 112:21	145:11,12	123:16 125:7,8	instability 59:18
		126:22 147:2	
	i la	1	Í.

[instance - interchangeability]

May 13, 2019

instance 5:9 68:11	91:15 92:12,13	153:14,16,17,21	152:4 153:4 154:8
145:13 146:6	93:8,9,13 98:6,8	153:21 154:3,21	154:13,14,16
147:20	98:13 100:8,9,11	155:2,8 156:5,10	155:13 157:1,13
institute 3:9 115:6	100:12,13,15,16	156:12,14,16,19	160:1 161:7
instructor 70:2	100:22 101:20,21	157:5,5,15,20	165:12 170:14,14
insufficient 70:15	101:22,22 102:1	159:1,16 160:16	insurance 49:14
insulin 1:5,8 3:14	103:9,9,20 107:2	161:8,12,19 163:7	58:9 96:4
3:18 4:11,12,19	111:4 113:5 114:3	164:7,12,16,16	insurers 118:21
5:3,10,13,16,22	114:16,18 115:1,3	165:3,4,4,19	intake 116:20
6:2,6,9,16,17 7:14	115:4,7,10,14,17	167:6,9,9,10	integrated 26:15
7:15 9:15 10:1,2,6	115:21 116:2,7,13	168:2,13,20	47:9
10:8,10,10,12	116:15,16,18,21	169:14,20 170:5,5	integrity 66:16
11:7,14,16,20,21	116:22 117:9,10	170:11 171:14,21	intend 15:18 18:5
12:6,10,15,22	117:14,16,16,17	173:11	86:14
17:17,18,20,22	117:20,21,22,22	insulin's 45:16	intended 5:10
18:3,10,14,16	118:3,5,10,11	insulinized 139:13	14:14 29:20 40:7
19:2,6,7,8,10,12	119:4,5,11,13,16	insulins 8:12	intensive 116:16
19:18,19,20 20:2	119:19 120:2,5,7	10:14,20 17:19	intent 32:2 48:13
20:17 21:6,17	120:8,22 121:8,14	18:12 29:6 30:3	interact 59:6
25:22 26:21 28:20	121:16,22 122:13	32:15 41:1 42:15	147:10
29:7,14 30:1	123:15 125:6,8,9	43:9,19 45:1,7	interaction 31:8
31:19 32:12 33:3	125:11 127:1,6,10	46:11,13,15 47:1	interactive 17:5
43:9,15 44:7,8,14	127:12,17,18,19	47:13 48:21 49:6	interchangeability
45:13,15,17,20	127:21 128:5,11	49:11 50:4,11	9:9 23:22 24:4,8
46:1,16,19 47:18	128:12,12,16,16	54:16 58:6,8	30:4,6,13 32:1,4,9
49:13 50:6,11	128:16 129:5,7,12	62:14 63:10 65:16	32:11,13,20 40:10
52:22 55:16 59:18	129:13 130:4,18	65:21 66:22 67:2	40:17,21 41:2,4
60:13 61:6,7,9,11	131:21 132:2	70:14 72:21 73:12	47:10,11,16 50:5
61:13,18 62:1,12	135:15,17,19,20	74:13 88:1 91:21	50:18 51:7,10,16
63:20 64:1,8,13	136:9,11,13,16,16	96:5,12 98:18,22	51:19,20 57:22
64:20 65:5,8,15	136:19 137:5,5,22	99:8 104:21 105:5	58:4,7 60:13 62:3
66:5,19 67:7,13	138:12,13,19	106:17 111:14	62:5,11,17 63:1
68:7 70:16,20	139:4,10,18,21	117:12 118:4,13	63:22 65:7,14,16
71:5,8,11,15,20	140:1,1,8,10,14	128:14,15 129:11	65:18,20 66:5,7
72:2,7,15 73:16	140:19,20,22	129:16,21,21,22	66:15,19,22 67:1
74:7 75:2 76:21	141:5,19,20,22	130:1,13,16,17	67:5 70:18 71:3
77:4,8,10,14,15	142:3,7,8,13	131:22 132:12	73:3 76:21 79:5
78:6,16,19,21	143:8 144:15	137:3,8 138:4	80:19 83:19 84:15
79:8,15 80:16,22	145:7,14,19 146:8	140:2,2,3 142:12	84:16,19 88:16,22
82:15 85:18 86:18	146:13,19,20,22	145:5,10,15 147:3	89:6 90:1 92:2,15
86:20,22 87:9,12	147:17 149:4,5	147:5,8,15 149:8	94:12,15,18 95:14
87:14 88:8,12,13	150:1,2,12,19	149:10,12,18,20	95:17,22 96:8,17
89:7,13,17,21	151:1,5,21 152:9	150:1,18 151:11	97:4 103:19 104:8
90:11,12,17,20	152:16,20 153:10	151:13,15,15	104:14 106:10,22

[interchangeability - know]

111:13,16 112:3,4	interface 48:17	involvement 9:11	judicial 172:15
131:7,8,12,18	50:8 59:6	65:11	juncture 25:14
131:7,8,12,18	interfere 13:4	involves 30:4	jurisdictions 39:5
134:9 149:4,22	intermediate	ip 48:19	justification 103:7
150:9,16 152:18	128:7 151:15	irrelevant 104:19	103:14 152:17
153:3 154:16	international	104:21	justified 29:13
155:13,21 156:5	114:6	irrespective 49:10	49:4
159:8,11 160:2,12	internist 4:15	76:2	
160:19 161:2	interoperability	irritation 118:2	k
interchangeable	68:2,6,9 69:10	isolate 174:3,5	k 19:13 20:6 84:4
1:8 3:18 4:11 8:7	interoperable	issue 33:20 58:10	kaiser 88:10
8:12,16 9:2 10:13	5:10 69:6	58:13 59:12 72:5	karin 162:8,10
10:16 11:9,15,20	interpret 83:20,21	72:19 111:3	kda 43:22
12:6,22 26:20	interpretation	132:18 133:22	keep 16:1 96:8
30:18 32:7 34:8	76:17	136:17 147:1	121:4
40:13 48:7,21	interrupt 14:20	156:4 170:17	kelly 166:2
49:10 57:3 58:11	162:21 175:6	issued 9:8 62:3	ketoacidosis 4:17
62:12 64:8 70:14	intervention 26:8	150:6	kevon 1:16 177:2
72:21 73:12 76:12	interventions	issues 62:8 66:18	177:18
86:20 90:5 91:21	25:21	67:5 70:17 87:13	key 9:13 11:5
92:1,5 94:5,7 95:8	intolerable 6:11	93:22 100:18	39:22 63:15 66:18
95:9,16 96:3,5,9	intranasal 80:10	122:18 124:12	kidney 163:11
105:15 107:2,8	intricate 154:15	136:4	kind 5:2 39:10
112:11,12 116:8	introduce 3:6	j	52:1 54:4 57:14
116:13 117:10,19	13:13 32:21 35:15	U	57:14 67:20 79:16
118:3,13 119:4,5	118:3 124:16	jail 172:19 174:8	79:18 92:8 111:17
119:19 120:13	introduced 61:5,6	jama 71:21 74:9	132:7 141:9
121:7 123:15	124:6 127:2	january 28:6 74:9	143:18 145:1,22
124:7 128:1	introducing 34:1	jdr 162:11 jdrf 2:14 113:20	147:7 171:1 kinds 6:8 12:2
129:17,19 130:17	154:14	114:4,6,7,21	69:12 82:4 93:10
131:20 132:12,16	introduction 7:5	114.4,0,7,21 115:16,22 118:20	know 6:14 11:20
160:1 161:4,13	26:20 29:5	121:12 123:8	22:18,19 37:7,12
165:5	introductory 2:4	121.12 125.8	38:22 39:11,19
interchangeables	investment 32:21	jeopardized 34:19	40:1,11 41:8,19
73:11 94:8 124:2	170:20	jeremy 148:16	43:19 45:1,13
interchangeably	investments	jing 2:10 70:1	46:14 47:20 50:22
96:14	170:15	job 1:17	50:22 51:5 52:10
interest 11:2	invite 171:6	johns 2:17 148:11	52:12 53:10,12,15
14:12 164:1	inviting 60:7	148:14,19,20	54:1,22 55:21,22
175:22	involve 93:17,18	joined 3:7 60:17	56:13,22 57:9,13
interested 16:16	involved 39:20	joslin 127:1	58:8,9 59:7 68:4
38:6,7 111:2	42:10 126:6	162:11	79:8 83:7 93:11
124:20 171:8	132:14 153:13	judgments 35:12	93:20 94:1,15,19
177:15 178:12	162:16		95:14,18 96:17

[know - little]

	1	1	
97:3 108:4,20	large 22:20 60:20	lengthy 19:14 20:3	likewise 103:15
112:9 119:4 124:5	67:15,18 72:19	21:20	lilly 2:9 60:10,17
124:10 130:4	85:4 99:7 102:8	lens 44:7 168:8	61:2,4,21 62:7,9
136:10 140:16	104:2 113:4	letter 71:20 78:10	63:7 67:5 93:14
144:22 154:12	150:12,16	89:9 91:7 155:16	140:21
157:21 158:1,7	largely 46:1 104:2	level 28:22 38:8	lilly's 60:11 66:17
160:6,8 161:18	larger 22:15 33:18	45:12 48:10 49:20	limb 70:12
165:9,11 167:5	43:15 44:15 156:8	51:2,2 80:18,18	limit 31:18 32:20
168:21 169:19	largest 24:18	97:2,8 99:10	171:13
171:14	43:12 169:11	102:12 106:7	limitations 15:7
knowing 38:19	laser 77:4	110:7 128:2	48:18
56:15 168:16,17	lastly 101:15	131:20 143:6	limited 31:12 44:6
170:5	102:3 106:6,12	169:18	45:19 47:12 50:18
knowledge 177:9	lasts 77:13	levels 7:7 116:19	53:18,19 54:10
178:6	late 6:4 152:14	123:22 152:5	56:16 71:19
known 4:14 48:16	laws 73:8,9 158:15	levothyroxine	limits 72:12
62:19 110:20	layer 57:17 124:9	73:22 74:1 81:6,7	lindsay 14:9,13
116:22	layers 124:16	liability 155:15	line 62:2 84:2,5
koslowski 14:6,6	143:13	lias 13:21,21	92:9
23:9 35:4 36:6	layperson's 94:16	59:13,17 60:3	linear 101:4
39:16,19 51:21	lazarus 4:20	124:20	linearity 63:10
53:8 56:17 67:10	lc 46:3 54:3	license 34:14	lineberger 3:10
69:3 80:12 81:2	leachable 106:1	89:11	lingering 30:8
85:9 93:7 107:11	142:5	licensed 26:8	link 123:12
107:19 108:6,11	leachables 59:15	32:14 70:7	linked 45:17
108:13 111:12	leaching 57:6	licensing 29:22	links 64:21 93:10
121:20 122:22	lead 10:11 24:22	32:8,18	lipid 147:11
132:7 143:18	115:11	lieu 23:17	liquid 141:17
144:19 147:4	leadership 82:6	life 4:12 114:10	lisa 14:1
156:21 157:3,10	93:1	115:12 121:21	lispro 74:3
158:13	leading 26:13	128:4 155:10	list 6:2,5 18:13
kullah 165:22	130:19	162:12 166:15	73:21 87:6 88:1,3
1	leads 65:1 91:10	168:1 172:21,22	88:4
lab 83:11	leave 20:16	174:15	listed 15:21 16:2
label 102:20	leaves 99:2	lifelong 154:10	122:9
173:14	led 80:10 90:7	lifesaving 3:14	listen 14:15
labeling 120:10,20	115:9 127:16	19:21 121:18	literature 35:21
labels 120:11	left 44:13,22 53:13	167:6	144:12 151:19
167:22	77:5 110:20	lifesavings 50:14	little 20:16 22:8
lack 6:18 19:2	legislative 8:9	light 16:5,7 96:15	22:16 38:4 39:3
28:22 63:10 85:17	leigh 171:12	153:19	39:17 41:14 52:18
87:20	lend 32:18	lights 16:3	56:19 75:3 79:17
lacks 87:14	length 79:14 104:1	likelihood 55:7	80:13 82:2,3,15
		56:13 95:10	83:17 94:5 96:6
	1	1	1

[little - market]

00.2 100.2 100.14	128:14 129:10	42:6	managing 126.10
99:2 100:3 108:14			managing 136:10 138:10
109:2 114:7	133:16 143:5	lucky 169:1	
128:10 158:13	looked 74:10	lunch 126:3 135:4	manner 118:6
164:6,6	78:15	135:5,7	manufacture 18:6
live 17:4 18:20	looking 41:20 54:7	luo 2:10 69:21,22	34:13
127:5 165:15	69:8,13 75:4,7	70:1 79:13 81:1,4	manufactured
lived 113:22	110:5 119:17	82:5 83:20 84:2,5	7:16 141:18
liver 138:13,18,21	127:9 128:15	84:11,14,20 85:1	manufacturer
138:22 139:10,11	131:14 133:14,18	85:8,20 86:2	81:6,7 91:19
139:13,18 141:5	133:19 134:6,14	131:7	120:11 125:14
141:13 142:11	168:8	lusduna 5:17	142:7 160:15
lives 77:17 98:3	looks 44:6 51:14	lyfebulb 163:17	manufacturer's
161:9 165:15	loop 170:8	lynn 171:11	82:9
living 7:17 149:9	lose 142:19 144:7	m	manufacturers
156:20 163:20	145:21	mabs 98:19 99:7	19:1 27:7 60:8
lobby 13:7	losing 136:6	105:1,3	72:2 86:13 90:4
located 13:7 70:5	loss 42:1 90:10	magnitude 33:21	95:4 160:17,18
location 1:12	100:17 105:1	38:12	163:8 166:13,21
140:17,17,18	106:12,15 150:14	mail 121:5	167:2 170:21
logistics 2:4 12:17	155:9		manufacturing
long 5:8 21:18	lost 61:8 92:4	mainstay 7:17 maintain 118:9	20:22 21:21 34:4
48:21 49:7 50:9	lot 40:10 41:17		36:8 37:16,18
58:3 79:9 100:9	79:11 81:13,15,16	146:11	38:4 40:5 89:15
101:18 110:9	85:10,16 96:1	maintained 154:9	march 9:17 10:22
116:12 119:12	125:12 126:21	maintaining 158:6	88:15 136:6
120:7 125:6,11,20	136:15 145:8	major 74:11 102:1	margin 18:3
127:5,17 128:7,15	167:13 170:8,19	133:21 150:17	102:10 110:4,8
129:4,11 140:13	170:20 171:15,21	168:3 170:21	margins 164:22
151:8 153:11,12	172:2,11,13,20	majority 72:18	mariana 2:17
154:13 155:2	175:3	making 5:67:2	148:10
161:8	lots 128:4	11:22 23:2,7	marinac 2:14
longer 21:11 72:5	love 167:15	70:12 72:4 81:16	113:17,18,19
105:5 170:17	low 17:19 18:3	125:3 132:14	122:8 123:13
look 12:12 17:9	32:15 39:14 85:10	141:22	124:3 125:5 126:1
35:2 46:10 50:21	109:7	man 174:22 175:1	marjana 2:14
51:16 52:4 53:11	lowell 13:16	manage 86:22	113:19
53:16 54:10 55:1	lower 8:19 10:17	managed 31:4	marker 53:20
55:19,20 56:1,7,8	25:1 45:8 47:1	36:19	75:6 98:22 137:20
56:8,9 76:7 87:9	55:1,11 87:7 88:6	management 5:12	markers 46:8
93:2,16 94:2	110:6 115:17,19	31:9,19 64:20	51:13
102:4 109:3	lucio 2:7 24:13,15	117:14 129:9	market 7:4 8:2
110:13,15,16	24:16 35:9 36:12	148:14 152:1	18:6 19:2,8,17,21
112:15 121:14	38:9 39:6,12,18	154:11,18 158:10	20:14 21:13 22:3
124:1,4 125:13	40:3 41:11,17	160:13	23:10,11,12,16
127.1,7 123.13			23.10,11,12,10

[market - minutes]

May 13, 2019

	1		
25:15,22 28:12,21	mcgowin 165:22	medicare 87:7	111:15 112:22
35:14 37:5 41:21	md 1:15 162:10	88:7 155:1	114:5 121:20
41:22 70:11,16	meal 127:12,15	medication 35:1	123:1 127:22
72:22 73:2,5 74:7	139:3,11	39:8 73:18 125:1	132:9 134:5
78:19 87:5,12,14	meals 127:11	125:17 171:19	175:11
88:12,15 93:2	mealtime 127:18	medications 28:8	mentions 83:22
94:14,21,22 96:1	139:16	34:17 37:20,20	84:8 85:12
96:2,7,13,22 97:3	mean 51:2 52:4	124:21	merely 152:21
116:2 121:16	54:22 58:2,19	medicine 4:6,12	message 164:10
123:21 130:13,16	59:9 67:17 74:17	5:3 6:13 7:18 61:7	met 134:1
130:18 131:2,16	79:13 81:1 82:5	70:2,5 73:19	meta 52:16
131:19 144:16	82:22 94:10	126:7,8 148:18,19	metabolic 99:19
149:7 150:3 153:7	108:14 123:6	173:8,13,14	metabolism 14:2
153:21 155:18	130:15,16 137:15	medicines 2:12	139:20
156:1,22 158:5,9	145:12 160:21	6:10 19:22 42:19	meters 117:7
158:12 161:8,11	161:1	73:10 86:10 88:5	method 46:3 54:4
170:3	meaning 7:16	171:15	methodology
marketed 87:4	10:17 33:5,11,15	meet 12:8 71:2	29:15 33:12
marketing 20:12	meaningful 20:8	115:11 132:12	methods 69:11
21:16 25:11 28:16	21:3 63:5 75:9	meeting 3:22	98:21 99:10,17,20
40:20 75:13	76:1	12:13 13:7 16:17	metric 116:9
marketplace	means 8:17 10:9	23:20	metrics 98:4
19:10 164:2 165:1	15:19 40:11 94:16	mellitus 4:13	meyer 14:9,14
markets 3:13	98:22 150:2	member 24:19	mg 43:2 117:6
martin 2:9 60:5,6	meant 111:17	25:20 33:10 41:19	microphone 16:11
60:9 67:22 69:7	120:7 159:8	70:3 113:20	162:6,7,22
massachusetts	measure 54:6	members 14:10,10	mild 129:1
70:8	measured 46:2	14:21 15:16 17:13	million 18:20
master's 148:12	117:7 152:5	18:5 27:5,20	86:21 98:2,3,10
master's 148:12 match 48:18	117:7 152:5 measurements	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1
master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12
master's148:12match48:18matching133:12material106:9	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1 matrix 141:12</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16 mechanisms 25:9	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4 mental 172:2,3	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22 minds 59:12
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1 matrix 141:12 matter 27:11 59:3</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16 mechanisms 25:9 45:18	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4 mental 172:2,3 173:10	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22 minds 59:12 minimal 90:16
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1 matrix 141:12 matter 27:11 59:3 108:17 110:5</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16 mechanisms 25:9 45:18 media 14:8,10,17	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4 mental 172:2,3 173:10 mention 4:5 67:16	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22 minds 59:12 minimal 90:16 109:7,8,12,13
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1 matrix 141:12 matter 27:11 59:3 108:17 110:5 138:11 140:3</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16 mechanisms 25:9 45:18 media 14:8,10,17 15:5,6	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4 mental 172:2,3 173:10 mention 4:5 67:16 133:14	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22 minds 59:12 minimal 90:16 109:7,8,12,13 minor 58:14 70:20
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1 matrix 141:12 matter 27:11 59:3 108:17 110:5 138:11 140:3 maturation 25:14</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16 mechanisms 25:9 45:18 media 14:8,10,17 15:5,6 medical 5:21 7:1	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4 mental 172:2,3 173:10 mention 4:5 67:16 133:14 mentioned 23:9	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22 minimal 90:16 109:7,8,12,13 minor 58:14 70:20 73:14 155:7
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1 matrix 141:12 matter 27:11 59:3 108:17 110:5 138:11 140:3 maturation 25:14 maximize 27:8</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16 mechanisms 25:9 45:18 media 14:8,10,17 15:5,6 medical 5:21 7:1 26:14 60:9 64:15	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4 mental 172:2,3 173:10 mention 4:5 67:16 133:14 mentioned 23:9 39:5,19 40:9,11	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22 minds 59:12 minimal 90:16 109:7,8,12,13 minor 58:14 70:20 73:14 155:7 minute 16:6,18
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1 matrix 141:12 matter 27:11 59:3 108:17 110:5 138:11 140:3 maturation 25:14 maximize 27:8 156:18</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16 mechanisms 25:9 45:18 media 14:8,10,17 15:5,6 medical 5:21 7:1 26:14 60:9 64:15 70:2 115:2 126:10	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4 mental 172:2,3 173:10 mention 4:5 67:16 133:14 mentioned 23:9 39:5,19 40:9,11 41:12 50:16 51:21	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22 minimal 90:16 109:7,8,12,13 minor 58:14 70:20 73:14 155:7 minute 16:6,18 78:2 117:1,2
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1 matrix 141:12 matter 27:11 59:3 108:17 110:5 138:11 140:3 maturation 25:14 maximize 27:8 156:18 maximizing 29:16</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16 mechanisms 25:9 45:18 media 14:8,10,17 15:5,6 medical 5:21 7:1 26:14 60:9 64:15 70:2 115:2 126:10 148:10,17 154:8	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4 mental 172:2,3 173:10 mention 4:5 67:16 133:14 mentioned 23:9 39:5,19 40:9,11 41:12 50:16 51:21 55:14 56:17 73:18	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22 minds 59:12 minimal 90:16 109:7,8,12,13 minor 58:14 70:20 73:14 155:7 minute 16:6,18 78:2 117:1,2 minutes 15:14,15
<pre>master's 148:12 match 48:18 matching 133:12 material 106:9 136:1 141:6 materials 48:1 matrix 141:12 matter 27:11 59:3 108:17 110:5 138:11 140:3 maturation 25:14 maximize 27:8 156:18</pre>	117:7 152:5 measurements 138:1 measures 115:10 mechanism 31:5 40:7 45:16 mechanisms 25:9 45:18 media 14:8,10,17 15:5,6 medical 5:21 7:1 26:14 60:9 64:15 70:2 115:2 126:10	18:5 27:5,20 30:22 31:15 33:11 33:15 93:15 membership 18:1 24:3 26:5,11,13 27:2,9 28:4 mental 172:2,3 173:10 mention 4:5 67:16 133:14 mentioned 23:9 39:5,19 40:9,11 41:12 50:16 51:21	86:21 98:2,3,10 114:16,18 155:1 167:13 169:12 mimetics 28:10 mind 55:1 56:16 162:22 minimal 90:16 109:7,8,12,13 minor 58:14 70:20 73:14 155:7 minute 16:6,18 78:2 117:1,2

[misattribution - needed]

misattribution	moles 156:8	moved 68:13	narrow 117:9
106:15	moment 19:5	moved 08.15 moves 56:12 94:22	
misconduct 172:8	20:21 141:4	moving 10:6 47:17	nathan 136:13
misinformation	momentum 168:3	56:10 101:17	national 3:9
90:2 91:19	momentum 108:5 moms 114:8	162:3	natural 141:14
			nature 21:7 23:11
missed 175:15	monday 1:10	multiple 45:18,21	46:19 90:13 152:1
mission 17:20	money 81:16	49:6,7 51:1 52:6,8	
mississippi 60:19 mistake 9:21 79:2	163:9 monitor 116:17	52:21 55:5,5 58:8	near 42:16 66:20
		60:21 67:12 74:18 99:9 100:7 101:1	nearing 78:18
mistakenly 120:6 mistakes 125:4	126:15 156:7		nearly 6:6 43:20 61:3 88:8 154:22
	monitoring 5:6,14	101:18 102:6,19	
misuse 172:20	49:17 64:20 68:19	105:9 112:12	necessarily 28:13
misused 171:15	79:21 117:3	117:21 124:21	53:20 124:19 130:14
172:14 173:9 mitigata 25:0 81:2	136:12 140:10	126:19 127:22 161:5 164:9	
mitigate 25:9 81:3	150:18		necessary 56:18 76:6 89:4 107:3
mitigated 76:4	monitors 64:16 117:8 152:7	multiples 124:8 murder 172:9	122:6 132:13
mitigates 152:2	monoclonal 43:17	murder 172:9 muscle 136:22	122:0 132:13
ml 141:17,19 mobile 13:4 64:14			necessitates 32:8
	45:18,22 89:18	138:19 mustafa 13:14	
mobilized 152:17 model 24:3	monoclonal's 46:4		need 6:10,15 7:2 11:3 12:3 41:2
	monoclonals 53:22	mycogenic 99:18	
moderate 39:14		mylan 2:8 42:9,17 47:11	53:3,4 54:11 57:17 68:5,6 71:5
modern 4:6 7:18 149:6	monopoly 87:3		71:11 72:9 88:11
modifications	montgomery 175:4	mylan's 42:13	90:5 96:11 100:13
45:20,21	month 138:16	n	104:13 120:3,20
modifying 156:4	monthly 105:5	n 2:1 163:15	121:3,5,6,9
molecular 28:22	months 42:3 43:7	name 3:1 8:7	122:18,20 126:17
44:17 99:10	72:14	17:15 24:15 33:6	129:21,21,22
molecule 7:16 8:4	morning 3:1,20	33:20 42:8 70:1	130:1,15 133:14
39:15 43:21,22	12:14 13:16 14:1	76:5 81:16 87:22	133:16 134:1
44:8 53:15 54:6	14:4 24:15 42:8	97:12 113:18	143:21 144:11
55:3,7,11 62:15	69:22 70:13 95:21	125:16 148:9	146:4 164:20,22
62:19 89:17	97:12,15 113:18	153:12,15 157:6	165:7,8,13 167:6
100:22 109:6	119:17 140:12	162:10 171:12	168:1,2 170:14,15
136:16 137:1,1	morphology	175:16	170:22 172:15
150:5,10,12,16,20	103:22	named 78:5 119:6	173:8 174:6
molecules 8:8 26:1	motivation 64:21	names 119:21,22	needed 6:17,22
28:19 32:16 41:1	mouthful 9:21	naming 33:9 91:5	16:1 32:21 45:14
41:4 44:5 46:4	move 15:18 61:17	119:11 120:8	62:5 64:10 87:9
50:12 54:7,19	67:13 68:16	nanometer 141:6	105:7 108:4
136:19 141:20	101:13 137:19	narcan 80:11	131:18 153:22
156:10	143:17 165:15	narrative 40:4	159:3 163:11
100.10	110.17 100.10	narratives 161:3	107.0 100.11

[needing - optimize]

		1	
needing 143:19	nih 3:9	novo 138:13 139:9	oftentimes 117:1
146:8	ninety 78:5	139:19 140:21	119:15 122:10
needs 6:7 12:9	nmr 101:12	novolin 157:8	125:15
17:20 49:20 98:12	non 70:14 82:13	novolog 124:11	oh 22:10,18 86:6
104:17 119:2	102:11	137:1 148:1	93:6
132:20 133:2	nonactive 58:15	nph 128:15 153:15	okay 74:21 75:7
160:10 164:17	nonacute 26:16	157:5 168:22	78:3,9,22 84:11
169:3	nonbiologic 44:2	nuisance 73:19	94:10 113:2,7,11
negative 29:4	nonbiologics 44:4	number 37:9 38:6	113:15 148:6
48:22 153:8	nonbiosimilar	39:13 44:16 47:14	162:6 163:1 171:5
165:17	40:19	50:18 51:22 52:2	old 5:3 78:5
negatively 147:17	nonclinical 100:2	55:3 64:13 72:19	older 156:9
147:18	nonhepatic	77:6,11,16 79:6	onboard 116:22
negotiated 72:2	139:20	80:5 109:16,22	129:13
neither 28:14 37:3	noninterchangea	110:6,11 111:8,9	once 15:2 48:6
100:11 177:10	151:22	123:19 138:6	51:4 99:11,16
178:7	noninterchangea	167:2 175:11	100:1 104:12
net 18:3 72:7 87:7	30:17 32:14 40:14	numbers 41:15	124:9 129:4
network 172:9	40:17,19 41:6	numerous 28:20	132:22 136:19
networks 26:16	90:9,22 92:20	nurses 121:2	ongoing 5:20
neulasta 43:6	nonprofit 113:20	nutrition 167:21	26:22 28:11 51:1
neutralizing 46:21	nonprofits 166:21	0	95:1
75:3 82:13,14	nonproprietary	oak 1:12	onset 151:11
102:14 112:21	33:6,19 119:21,22	observational	open 2:18 8:18
never 4:1 83:12	153:15 157:6	75:14,17 76:8	10:10 15:1 16:14
nevertheless	normalize 138:18	observed 102:8	25:3 162:3 176:3
138:2	normalized	obtained 61:10	opened 60:18
new 1:14 4:5,7,8	139:21	obviously 41:20	opening 2:3 3:15
6:21 7:21 8:11	norman 2:3	85:12 147:5	operability 50:2
11:12 14:5 17:19	north 3:10 60:19	occur 38:19 80:18	operation 172:10
19:6,7,11 29:2	notary 1:16 177:1	90:12 109:13	opinion 139:8
41:22 67:6 68:20	177:19	111:16	140:15,19
108:3 118:3,12	note 71:11 167:12	occurrences	opportunities
126:22 129:12	170:7 176:13	154:12	24:22 27:9
147:19 154:1,7	noted 6:5 86:18	occurs 31:19	opportunity 4:3
155:19,20,22	87:8	37:16 151:7	8:11 11:6 12:20
156:8 161:10	notice 16:20,22	152:13	14:15 25:19 42:13
162:14 164:15	176:6,8	offers 9:22	47:8 67:8 73:13
newer 28:15	noticed 80:14	office 3:3 13:15,20	86:5 92:22 93:14
news 6:15	notoriously 70:11	14:5,7	97:15 107:9
newsletter 166:19	notwithstanding	officer 3:5 12:16	135:11 175:9
nice 135:7	58:14 94:14	14:9 126:10 177:2	opq 14:7
nicely 133:12	novel 36:16 37:14	official 172:8	optimize 65:4
	135:14 145:22		

[options - patients]

options 8:18	overall 37:17 66:9	participato 74.8	144:3,10,20 146:4
121:18	114:15 137:21	participate 74:8 participated 71:7	144.3,10,20 140.4
oral 16:12	154:19	participating 17:9	pathways 143:14
order 6:3 42:2	overcome 36:4	175:21	144:5 156:11
101:11 117:13	overlapping	particular 20:1	patient 6:12 11:21
121:5 129:1 139:6	131:16,17 133:13	24:2 53:22 59:2	25:1 26:7 28:14
140:9 150:8 156:7	oversight 31:9	62:18 68:11 72:19	31:13 35:1 48:11
140.9 150.8 150.7	63:3 64:6,10	83:15 107:21	48:13 49:8 59:6
ordered 15:11	oversold 57:14	109:16 127:15	63:16 64:1,7,21
83:12		128:14 136:11	64:22 65:3 66:10
organism 149:9	р	particularly 20:19	66:13 67:7 68:11
organization	p&t 31:8	24:4 36:12 38:10	68:15,16 69:1,9
114:7	p.m. 16:16	62:4 88:16 89:6	77:3 80:17 87:15
organizations	package 20:16	91:20 119:15	88:2,6 90:18
25:20 26:6 41:19	22:1,22 24:9	parties 177:11,14	91:10,12,18 94:17
118:20,21	62:16 129:14	178:8,11	98:3,10,12 100:22
originated 160:15	page 2:2	partner 146:4	101:17 102:2,11
originator 31:7	pancreas 64:18	partnered 144:15	101:17 102:2,11
33:17 34:4 38:20	139:4 140:11	partnering 146:3	102:15,10 104:11
63:6 91:15,16	pancreatic 139:6	146:20	106:2,3,3 107:4,6
147:3	panel 14:21 15:16	partnership 146:8	107:11,13,15
originators 36:19	15:17 17:14	146:14,22 173:3	108:21 109:3,9
37:3	175:18	174:17	110:1,3,8 116:6
orthogonal 22:21	panelists 13:12	parts 67:22 93:17	117:18 118:20
99:10	14:16 17:8 70:19	93:19 136:18	120:19 122:12
osh's 2:5 17:16	130:8	168:7	123:4,7,22 126:6
oshnyansky 2:5	paper 139:19	passionate 97:17	127:20 130:10
17:11,12,15 22:10	papers 138:14	passively 141:20	131:10 132:13
22:18 23:14 24:2	paradigm 27:1	patent 28:17	134:7 136:9 142:6
24:12	parallel 105:8	93:20,22 98:4	153:21 154:4,17
otc 105:12 124:14	parenteral 126:20	patented 93:20	157:22 160:13
outcome 75:7,9	parentheses 72:3	patenting 87:18	163:17,18 166:12
80:6,7 138:2	part 4:12 24:8	93:21	169:6,7 172:14,14
177:15 178:12	26:2 37:17 53:4	patents 93:18	173:8,11 174:1
outcomes 25:1	60:1 62:15 66:6	path 9:10 19:17	patient's 116:15
34:17 64:18 66:10	67:4 71:12 74:20	59:19	patients 4:17,19
69:9,14 71:10	87:7 88:7 94:22	paths 59:21	4:22 5:7,11,15
117:13 129:4,4	95:1,5 115:20	pathway 7:13 8:5	6:10,16 7:2,20
137:13,17 164:4	119:20 123:9,17	8:15,20 10:8	8:19 10:18 12:4
165:18	124:20 129:13	19:13 20:7 25:9	19:21,22 29:5
outlined 90:1	130:20,21,22	41:5 44:20 136:3	47:14 49:11,15
104:20	140:7 141:15	136:5,7 141:3	50:19 51:22 56:2
outside 13:6 16:17	144:14	142:2,14,17 143:3	56:5 60:7,20 61:1
23:19 176:7	participant 14:20 14:21	143:9,12,15,20,21	61:16 64:19 67:13

[patients - physician's]

69:4 70:21 71:8	pds 130:22 131:17	peripheral 136:20	121:3 125:16
71:15,18 72:1,8	pus 130.22 131.17 peaks 129:2	136:21 139:5,17	pharmacodynam
72:13 73:16,20	pediatric 5:15	peripherally	84:10
75:9 77:5,22 79:6	26:14	138:20	
		· ·	pharmacoecono 70:4
79:11,18 80:5,11 80:21 81:14 82:20	pen 68:12 77:13 153:13	permanently 25:17	
80:21 81:14 82:20	pending 27:6		pharmacoepide 70:4
	. 0	permitted 15:6 person 27:3	
97:19,21 98:4,7,8 101:19,19,20	penetration 97:1	113:21 115:7	pharmacokinetic 63:13
101.19,19,20	pens 61:15 64:14 65:17 72:15 98:14	126:13,14 154:3	
103:3,10 110:14	119:15 142:4	120.13,14 134.3	pharmacokinetics 84:9
119:8,11 120:4,15 120:21 121:4,5,21	people 6:15 35:12 36:4 38:10,16	person's 118:1 personal 114:1	pharmacologic 151:10
	58:7 74:18,22	162:15	pharmacology
122:8,19 123:14 124:13,22 125:4	75:21 79:20	personally 78:17	99:1 100:3
124:13,22 125:4	114:16 115:4,6,9	personally 78:17 perspective 36:18	pharmacovigila
128:18 132:5		42:12 44:1 48:6	91:9 103:15
128:18 132:5	116:4,9,16 117:14 120:1 121:16	48:17 50:2 55:2	107:16
,	126:15 130:3	48.17 50.2 55.2 60:12 94:2,16,17	
140:5,9 151:17 152:5,6,11 153:6	120:13 130:5	95:18 102:16	pharmacy 24:17 27:22 31:4,14
		123:22 142:1	48:9 64:2 89:5
153:8 154:11,22	151:1 163:9,20	123.22 142.1	48.9 04.2 89.3 92:17 96:20 97:2
157:16,19 158:10	164:12,14 165:8		120:11 128:2
158:18 160:10	165:10,14 166:15	perspectives 25:20 146:13	120:11 128:2 131:11,20 153:16
161:11 162:1	166:20 167:5,8,9	169:7	158:14,15
167:9 168:11	167:13 169:12,19		· · ·
169:8 170:3 172:1	169:21 170:8,19 173:12 174:5	pertaining 30:12 peter 14:4	phase 20:2,3,12,16
172:17 173:7,22		ph 165:22,22	21:10,19 22:1,9
patient's 88:5	people's 161:9	pii 105.22,22 166:1 171:12	23:1,2,5,7,17 77:6 134:3,18 135:13
pattern 154:5	174:15	pharmaceutical	144:18 146:10
pause 171:3	pepper 113:13,16	7:12 25:10 38:1	phd 148:10 162:10
pay 72:14	peptides 101:5	70:10 81:17 153:6	phones 13:3
payer 160:21	percent 111:6 percentage 37:10	155:18	phones 15.5 phospholipid
payers 72:3,13 160:18 164:21	155:8 169:21	pharmaceuticals	141:7,12
		2:6,16 17:16 26:5	phospholipids
paying 6:12,13	perception 30:17 34:13 40:12	27:22 28:4 34:14	141:8
168:14 pbms 164:21	performance	135:12	
pd 46:6,8,11,18	24:19	pharmacies 121:6	phosphorylation 45:20
pu 40:0,8,11,18 48:7 51:5,13	performed 22:3	pharmacist 31:11	phs 9:21 10:5,7,22
53:20 56:14 59:18	period 15:1 16:15	113:21 142:7	physician 6:11
98:22 128:8,17	21:11 28:16 29:3	146:7 157:7 158:2	31:15 70:7 80:18
129:15,18 133:3	41:16 56:4 63:18	pharmacists 12:5	90:7 128:22
129:15,18 135:5	77:16 134:20	27:10 35:19 36:2	physician's
153:11,15 154:5	//.10/154.20	49:12 118:16	151:17
137.12		47.12 110.10	131.17

[physicians - prescribed]

physicians 20:13	please 13:3,13	147:12 159:2,4	158:15
21:15 24:5,6	14:11,13 16:10,11	positive 162:1	practices 26:9
30:15 35:18 36:2	16:16 24:14 171:6	possibility 59:5	practicing 60:16
36:13 37:2 49:12	pleased 60:10	68:8 152:2 158:17	70:6
64:20 80:21 121:2	69:20 135:7	158:18	practitioners
122:19 152:6		possible 8:13	26:17
	pleasure 3:6 12:16 70:8	29:10 32:3 50:6	
physiochemical 21:1 99:17		68:10 117:4	pragmatic 50:12 70:22 74:14
	plus 97:22	121:14 143:17	prandial 127:19
physiologic 139:8	pocket 88:8 115:19	121:14 143:17 157:18 160:9	
139:15		173:1	pre 71:2 79:14
picture 78:4,9,13	point 35:5 38:11		81:10,11 85:4,5
78:15	73:14 74:14 75:20	possibly 96:16	preapproval 38:5
piece 83:2	76:9 79:12 99:13	118:13	preceded 25:4
pieces 167:22	115:8 132:3	post 21:13 22:3	preceding 36:22
piling 91:2	135:15,17 136:11	23:9,10,12,16	predicated 142:15
pioneer 97:20	136:12 137:11	75:13 81:11 88:15	144:6
pittsburgh 71:21	141:1 143:13,22	143:2 162:11	predictability
pivotal 80:10	144:5 145:18	posted 35:6 176:9	134:16
pk 29:18 44:10	146:15 152:22	posttranslational	predictable
46:1,4,11,18 48:7	pointed 89:3	45:21	129:22 130:11
51:5 54:3,5 55:21	points 45:3 54:17	potency 25:12	131:3
55:22 56:1,14	56:10 70:18 73:14	73:15 84:13	predicting 27:20
59:18 77:17 80:7	121:9 137:2	potential 11:2	prediction 70:13
85:3 128:8,17	policies 15:4 115:2	19:3,9 20:2 46:21	prefer 143:22
129:15,18 133:3	115:22 168:8	50:8 56:15 77:20	preference 106:4
133:11,13 134:3	policy 3:2 8:9 12:8	94:6 110:13 116:8	147:21
157:12	13:18 86:9 91:7	125:21 143:14	preferences 49:14
pks 130:22 131:16	92:3,7 97:15	155:14	58:9 151:18
place 21:11 31:6	148:12,14	potentially 8:19	preferential
31:21 34:6 36:18	policymakers	38:18 40:12 64:4	139:21 140:4,22
37:22 40:5 42:1	87:10	67:17 120:9	142:11
123:11 167:17	polypeptides 44:3	123:10 124:13	preferentially
169:22	poor 129:3	125:19 136:6	139:10
placed 150:8	poorer 137:16	155:17 161:21	premature 18:19
176:5	population 35:1	pound 82:10	prepare 68:5
plan 8:22 54:8	60:20 63:16,17,17	power 53:4	prepared 76:19
118:18 128:2	77:3 86:22 155:8	powered 20:7	178:3
plans 46:7 62:7	populations 26:8	ppp 173:2,4	prepares 12:21
65:5 115:18	portfolio 43:12,14	174:17,18,20	preparing 148:22
132:19	portions 62:18	practically 112:1	prerogative
platform 163:18	pose 95:9	112:3	153:18
play 115:2 118:22	position 93:9	practice 18:18	prescribe 167:11
playing 7:18 106:7	97:16 104:12	24:17 70:7 79:16	prescribed 64:3
	139:22 146:5	83:11 155:11	69:1 161:12

	1	1	
171:16 172:14	president 24:16	private 72:6 173:3	product 9:2 11:14
prescriber 9:11	60:9 86:9 97:13	174:17	11:16 19:12 26:10
31:8,13 63:3 64:6	presiding 3:4	proactive 63:14	32:2 33:6,16
64:10 65:11 91:11	12:16	proactively 65:22	34:21 41:22 48:2
94:3 96:4 157:6,9	press 14:9	probably 55:1,3	48:6,22 52:14
158:11	presumed 48:7	75:6,8 81:13,18	56:5 57:2,9,10,16
prescriber's 32:2	pretty 79:22	83:8,15 85:2	61:6 62:6,22 63:7
prescribers 31:1	108:11	123:1 125:8	64:2,3,8 65:8,10
36:11,12 153:11	prevalent 90:2	136:17 167:13	66:6,10,12 76:2
prescribes 153:14	prevent 114:11	170:16 172:1	76:14 77:1,8 90:8
157:6	preventing 139:12	problem 56:11	90:14,21 92:6,19
prescribing	previous 123:20	68:15 139:8 173:7	94:9 95:10,17
155:17 158:11	161:14	173:17	99:9 100:19,21
168:15	previously 64:5	problematic 82:11	101:6,6 102:7,21
prescription	91:6,14	129:3,12	103:4,10,11,12,21
31:12 76:6 153:17	price 6:2,5,8 8:14	problems 57:11	104:3,21 105:18
154:2,9 158:19	11:7 18:4,10,13	163:11 164:3	105:20 106:8
171:15,21 172:13	19:4 28:2,3,10	procedural 14:19	108:21,22 111:19
174:13	41:12,15 87:6,7	procedure 172:16	111:22 116:13
prescriptions 7:9	88:1,3,4 97:6,7	procedures 15:5	117:10 118:10
presence 100:11	115:8,17 149:14	proceeding 178:4	122:17,17 123:5
present 15:14	prices 5:22 8:19	proceedings 15:4	124:14 125:9
19:16 23:19 27:10	9:13 72:7 73:2	15:8 177:3,4,6,8	131:15,15 132:21
42:13 97:15 120:1	87:3 96:8,10,18	178:6	132:21 143:12,20
175:16	153:20 156:2	proceeds 29:9	144:11 146:15,18
presentation	pricing 27:21	process 9:3 25:6	147:19 149:6
14:20 15:15 16:13	28:11 29:2 41:13	25:14 29:21 34:3	150:4 155:22
36:22 53:12 62:7	41:18 42:4	34:12 39:2 40:8	156:16 157:4
67:4 68:14 98:16	primarily 31:2	49:22 51:9 52:15	158:2 159:16
135:8	73:5 97:1 104:20	53:4 57:10 100:1	161:1 165:5
presentations	110:14,22 127:3	110:19 132:1	product's 66:16
13:9 15:9,21 27:3	138:3	142:15 144:2	production 68:21
65:17,21 67:1	primary 20:11	processes 31:9	149:19
119:14,16 130:9	80:6,7 121:1	32:7	productive 17:10
176:1,11	126:13	procure 154:1	products 1:8 3:19
presented 28:7	princeton 148:12	produce 22:22	4:11 5:17,22 7:12
66:1 98:4	principal 13:17	37:12 101:7	7:14 8:2,6,7,17
presenter 126:3	principle 47:19,21	102:12	9:6,9,18 10:1,2,7
presenters 14:16	57:1,1,13 152:20	produced 149:9	10:9,13,13,16,20
14:22 15:2 175:19	principles 25:8	156:11	11:9,12,16,20,22
presenting 7:19	47:4 62:2	producers 140:14	12:6,10,22 14:3,7
presently 7:15	prior 31:9 72:11	produces 28:20	18:7 19:8 20:3
10:3	91:14 109:4	producing 17:18	23:13 26:21 29:8
	133:20 177:5	_	29:14 30:1 31:3

[products - qualified]

32:13 33:3,4 34:5	program 75:19	proteins 10:2	109:20 114:22
35:13,15 36:17	programs 9:7 45:9	43:18 44:1 54:18	148:12 156:7
37:13 42:20 43:16	140:22 165:8	98:18 99:8 106:17	162:4,5 173:2
44:18 50:14 52:1	progress 5:21	protocol 63:15	174:12,17 175:21
52:5,11 53:21	27:15 142:19	proudly 166:7	176:14 177:1,19
56:16 58:5 60:14	168:18	proves 104:18	publication 30:6
62:1,12 63:20	project 174:19	provide 12:19	32:4 35:5 80:14
67:7 74:7 76:12	projected 41:13	20:4 22:13,20	publications
76:13 82:8,9	projecting 27:20	23:15 26:7 27:19	36:21 60:22
83:16 87:6 88:19	41:15	36:11 60:11 63:4	published 35:20
91:16 92:2 93:17	projections 27:17	63:14,21 67:8	71:21 72:18
96:20 98:11	prolonged 29:3	106:7 111:10	122:10 138:13
101:15 102:19	prominent 28:8	112:16 144:1	publishes 28:1
103:8 104:1,4	promise 10:1	149:2 150:13	pump 5:10 56:18
105:9,11,15	promising 4:1	152:7,10 176:11	59:14,21 61:15
106:14 107:9	promote 8:20 12:4	provided 26:22	64:17 117:22
111:17 112:4,12	61:22 67:6	provider 28:14	118:7 136:13
115:2 116:8 118:5	promoted 10:8	31:4 65:4 76:4	pumped 119:13
119:6,11,20	promoting 66:2	90:13 91:18	pumps 11:16
120:14,20 121:8	promotional	116:11 126:13	47:18,21 48:1
123:10,12,17	106:9	153:14 172:5	59:19,21 65:17
124:5,7,17 127:21	prompt 68:17	providers 26:16	105:17 140:10
128:8 130:22	pronounce 5:18	71:18 72:8 73:20	142:4 147:20
131:2,19 132:15	proof 149:21	81:14 118:16	purchase 158:19
132:16 145:21	property 172:22	119:8 120:21	purely 162:18
149:4,8 150:12	173:10 174:22	121:1 132:5	purity 25:12 84:13
153:10,11 154:21	proposal 36:8	134:15 153:6	purportedly 91:8
155:20 158:4,5	109:3 150:13	155:10 162:1	purpose 12:19
160:19 161:10	propose 20:1 21:9	provides 24:20	20:12
163:9 164:2,16	21:13 76:15	32:6 121:16	pursuant 8:21
profession 127:16	149:20	providing 3:15	pursue 32:13
professional 64:3	proposed 45:5	8:18 9:3 34:16	pursuing 146:15
114:1	64:8	64:19 65:1	pursuit 32:10,19
professor 126:7	proposing 55:17	provisions 89:11	push 171:18
148:17,17	109:9,14,19	provocatively	put 72:3,20 86:15
profile 48:15	110:14,22	136:14	107:6 137:3 139:1
50:10 59:18	proprietary 124:5	pt 29:18	145:6 166:19
154:13	153:12,12	public 1:16 2:18	171:2,6 172:7
profiles 21:4	pros 144:4 146:20	3:16,22,22 4:3,9	puts 38:20
157:12	protection 28:17	6:22 9:20 11:5	putting 166:6
profound 73:13	protein 20:18 21:8	12:8,15,20 14:13	q
profoundly 4:18	21:17 103:20	14:19 15:1,3,3,8	qualified 54:22
prognosis 70:12	116:20	16:15 17:3 38:22	177:7
		39:7 86:18 87:16	1,1,1,1

[quality - record]

quality 27:13 38:1	raise 11:17	reached 25:13	reason 53:19
89:1 92:16 94:18	raised 23:5	reaction 102:5	71:13 97:17
97:22 100:1	rallying 115:16	read 72:20 74:8	104:15 109:14
149:19 155:10	ramanan 2:13	83:1 161:13	117:3 138:3
quantified 106:20	97:11,12,13	readjust 129:1	152:10,19 162:15
quantify 99:12	107:15,22 108:10	ready 35:15 67:5	reasoning 22:12
quantity 72:12	108:12,17 109:18	121:13 126:3	113:9
quarter 137:7	110:18 111:10,20	175:17	reasons 58:8
question 14:22	112:15,18 113:2,7	real 5:2 75:15	87:20 161:5
35:4 41:10 47:17	113:11,14	81:12 89:20	rebate 93:21
48:11 59:13 68:1	ran 153:21	107:16 140:17	rebates 72:1 88:3
68:4 95:21 98:16	random 91:7 92:7	153:19 156:1	93:21
102:3 103:19	randomized 77:8	170:17	rebating 87:19
110:18 112:6	77:20 79:20	realistic 47:14	receive 56:5
138:8,20 140:12	range 62:8 77:21	54:13	120:15 125:15
140:16 141:2	104:5 117:6	reality 57:15	received 43:4,7
questions 14:12	130:11 138:1	169:19	receives 64:1
15:16,17 17:6	139:14 169:14,15	realize 28:10	receiving 78:15
21:12 22:5 24:11	169:22 170:4,9	173:3	158:1
35:3 66:1,4 67:9	ranges 102:7	really 3:22 4:2	receptor 45:17
79:5 86:1 93:3,6	rapid 42:11 74:2	37:18,20 40:4	129:6,6,8
98:16 107:10	97:6 120:6 125:10	46:12 51:6 52:4	recognition 49:18
109:10 113:13,16	125:20 140:2	53:10,13 54:10	62:4
121:19 132:6	rapidly 71:15	55:1,20 56:22	recognize 33:18
142:22 159:20	rate 97:7 110:3	57:1,8 58:2 73:8,8	146:14
quick 53:8 171:14	111:6 145:17	81:18,19,19,20	recognizing
quicker 107:5	rated 74:1	82:10,11,19,21	114:22
quickly 4:21 80:4	rates 102:5 117:17	92:6,8 93:12	recombinant 61:8
143:17 152:4	122:15 127:10	94:16 96:6 97:4	98:8,12 103:9
166:4 170:3	151:5	110:4 113:1	recommend 21:14
quite 36:3 72:10	rating 74:2 105:12	123:13 125:7	29:10 62:11 63:10
75:15 82:13,14	rational 18:8 73:1	128:4 129:11,20	65:15 102:13
147:1	rationale 111:11	130:6 131:13	recommendations
quo 71:17 76:4	rationing 18:16	132:2,9,18,19	32:22 66:18 92:11
167:17	78:21 115:10	136:15 137:8	recommended
quotations 137:4	153:20	140:8 145:2,22	63:13
quote 76:10 84:2	ratios 117:17,18	147:10 158:5	recommends
quoted 136:13	ratner 2:15 126:3	160:18 161:21	151:10
r	126:4,5 132:17	162:14 163:7,19	reconcile 79:10
r&d 42:20	133:18 134:13,22	164:2 165:13	reconvene 69:16
rædical 18:4	135:2 157:11	166:8 167:18	135:4
	ray 101:12	169:21 170:6,13	record 7:7 15:8
radiological 13:22 rainy 70:9	reach 14:13	170:17 172:12	177:9 178:5
Tamy 70.9	137:11 164:2	173:19	

[recorded - requested]

recorded 177:6	71:3 155:19	relate 59:14,17	remarkable 73:4
recording 177:8	regards 46:6 48:5	related 23:6 59:13	128:13
178:4	52:16 99:3 100:4	67:5 71:5 78:22	remarks 2:3,4,19
recreational 174:2	100:7 105:20	80:7 89:20 100:21	3:15 12:17 16:8
red 16:7	106:6	100:22 101:17	136:4 137:4 140:7
redacted 35:7	regimens 116:17	105:18,19,20,21	142:21 149:1
	117:14	103.18,19,20,21	142.21 149.1
reduce 62:16,22 168:10		119:11 173:2,4,5	remedy 127:2
reduced 177:6	register 16:12,20	119.11 175.2,4,5	remember 16:10
	83:3 176:6,8	173:0177:11	138:6
reducing 5:7 168:6	registered 15:13 162:5	relation 156:7	remind 74:19
			101:10 170:19
redundant 21:20	registration 13:6 13:11 16:17 17:1	relationships 27:8 relative 98:18	reminder 176:2
reestablish 101:9			
refer 24:6,7	176:7,13	111:6 177:13 178:10	removal 88:20
reference 11:15	registries 75:18		removing 158:7
48:3,8,16,18,22	regular 4:19 49:17	relatively 32:15 43:20 50:12 53:15	renal 18:19
57:10 62:21 65:10	128:3,11 138:19		rent 6:13
66:10,16 76:12,14	regulated 7:10,15	54:9 55:6,12	repeated 82:7
76:22 77:7,13	10:4,21 19:12	79:15 80:4 134:3	repeatedly 29:19
80:9 82:8 87:6	21:4 118:11	142:15 150:19	repeats 81:4
90:8,14,21 91:18	regulating 83:16	165:2	replace 57:9
92:2,6,19 101:6	86:18 89:13	releasable 139:17	report 6:4
102:7,20 103:12	regulation 29:8	release 33:8 139:4	reported 1:16
104:1 105:9,15	135:22 153:9	172:18	21:22
106:8 109:6	154:7 160:8	released 6:4 8:22	reports 6:15,16
111:16,18,21	regulations 107:7	relevance 26:10	represent 65:13
112:4,12 122:17	regulatory 6:19	47:6,13	68:20 73:12 126:8
131:15 132:15	8:9 9:4,10 19:5,7	relevant 55:15	148:20
134:11,20 165:5	20:21 21:6,20	65:9 77:18	representative
referenced 34:5	42:12 43:22 68:21	reliable 130:1	33:10 135:12
references 72:20	73:22 87:17 88:21	131:4	representatives
167:8	89:14 92:12 97:14	reliably 29:19	15:6
referring 83:4	101:3 106:18	relies 41:17	represents 26:3
159:11	112:9,13 115:1	reluctance 37:2	86:12
reflect 106:18	134:1 136:5 143:2	rely 73:2 86:21	reproducibility
reflected 163:11	144:3,20 149:13	114:16 144:11	130:7,10 133:19
reflection 87:13	166:9	relying 33:15	134:4,5,7,8,16,17
refrain 34:1	rehab 171:17,22	remain 19:14	request 13:10
refrigerator	172:3 174:8	100:4 117:5,18	30:20 106:2,7,13
119:17 124:22	reimbursement	122:6,7	107:1,17 108:2
regard 47:18 49:1	33:22 35:16	remains 27:18	142:9 172:17,18
61:22 94:8	reinterpreted	30:13 34:21 46:10	176:12
regarding 31:22	156:17 159:18	51:5 54:9 116:21	requested 33:2
33:12 37:9 67:10			

requests 32:11	resources 12:3	141:4 144:2 167:7	routes 65:14
34:6	27:4 49:5 118:15	168:4,13 169:15	routine 83:10
require 46:20	120:22 121:4	169:22	138:9
71:16 75:13	171:1	rigorous 21:13	roy 137:13
111:14 149:9	respective 87:6	81:9	rudimentary
167:8	respiratory 43:8	rise 4:19 18:15	137:20
required 29:6	response 89:8	rising 96:9 136:8	rules 14:18,20
48:4 55:10 81:11	101:2,4,4,8 113:4	risk 26:7 38:5,11	156:17 159:17
84:7 106:22	130:12 139:3,6	47:1,6 48:15	run 77:6 124:13
127:12 131:13	responsive 160:10	49:18 50:10 55:2	173:11
requirement 33:5	rest 92:9	76:10,13 77:19	running 159:7
45:9 47:22 89:3	restrict 155:17	79:17 83:5,8	rural 60:20
110:11	restrictions 37:7	85:10,13,13 90:14	ryder 78:6,13
requirements	72:11	91:2 100:5,20	S
11:14 23:22 30:9	restrooms 13:7	103:1 104:11,22	safe 7:5 31:17
31:10 33:20 34:13	result 18:18 25:18	105:17 109:7,11	34:16 48:14 63:2
44:5 47:2,5,18	28:17 29:6 31:5	109:20 110:15,21	120:13 121:4,17
62:12 71:3 88:17	32:4 90:9,22	112:2 116:11	safeguard 156:7
91:16 100:13	92:20 128:9	151:22	safeguards 158:6
106:18 131:13	resulted 18:15	risks 5:8 99:13,14	safely 65:10 89:12
150:7,10,15 151:5	resulting 19:2	101:14,16 105:20	121:7 123:18
155:21 159:10	130:20	107:4 108:9,15,15	138:12
requires 63:2 91:7	results 9:5 43:1	108:20,20 109:1	safer 7:11 145:8
127:6	83:11 92:4 102:10	110:13 155:7	safety 10:14 20:8
requiring 108:3	116:14 129:3	rituxan 155:4	20:15 21:4,19
152:18 167:9	retail 31:21 89:5	road 42:3	25:12 44:10 46:18
rescind 92:8	97:2,4	robberism 172:7,8	48:8,15 50:7 71:1
research 1:2 4:2	reticence 36:5	robert 2:15,16	74:15,15 76:1,8
6:5 42:9 60:22	reusable 98:14	126:4 135:8	76:11 77:19 79:21
71:20 114:14	reverse 92:7	robust 20:15	82:3,20,22 83:4,5
139:9 148:21	review 12:21 34:7	21:10 22:1 23:2,8	84:13 89:1,20
162:12 169:16	35:5 76:18	23:16 46:6 53:20	90:3,10,15 91:1
researcher 83:12	ridiculous 72:15	54:8 62:9 152:2	92:21 98:20 99:2
researchers	right 13:8 17:12	rockville 174:18	99:19 100:18
166:13 170:2	18:12 44:15 48:14	role 4:13 7:18	101:9,11 102:2,9
residual 25:16	49:9 51:8,22 52:8	31:16 115:1	101:3,11 102:2,3
46:10 51:5 53:9	57:13 67:18 69:1	118:22 148:22	102.21 103.2,3,10
99:5,12 100:3	75:10 81:21 94:21	room 1:13 13:5,7	118:9 129:14
102:17 105:21	97:19 107:19	16:17 136:17	133:22 148:4
106:19,20 107:4	108:17 109:21	154:4 168:22	154:5 156:13
110:15	110:1 111:21	rotund 78:9	161:5,21 171:2
resistance 81:14	112:1 113:14	route 45:5,6	sale 115:8
100:9	120:2,3 123:3,8	126:20	sameness 29:17
	124:3 125:20		45:14
			+J.14

[sample - signature]

sample 47:2 110:9	screen 77:2	senators 89:9	seven 78:5
sandra 178:2,15	screening 77:5	send 154:3 172:3	seventies 78:14
sarah 13:19	second 13:5 34:2	172:19 173:10,11	severe 19:2 165:17
satisfying 4:16	47:17 70:22 72:1	senior 82:6	strefe 19.2 105.17 shackle 172:20
sauce 141:10	73:7 74:2 76:9	sensation 118:2	shape 12:7
sauce 141.10 save 172:10	95:5 98:20 99:16	sense 18:19 67:14	shaped 141:7,21
	100:14 101:11	144:7 145:5	shaped 141.7,21 share 20:14 26:18
saving 4:12 47:16	104:10.22 105:5	144.7 145.5	66:17 74:12 85:19
savings 27:8 90:18 92:4	131:7,15 151:21	sensitive 46:3,7	154:13
	secondly 45:7	53:2 54:8 98:21	shared 36:20,21
saying 53:4 55:8 58:20,21 71:13	46:16 109:15	99:21	169:12
107:12 108:22	secret 141:10	sensitivity 99:12	sharing 88:2
107:12 108:22	section 84:3	117:17	sharpless 2:3 3:7
	102:21 162:4	sensitized 38:16	_
127:2 129:20 131:7 136:14	sectional 71:6	sentence 61:4	3:7,12,14,19,20 167:19
151:7 150:14	sectional 71:6	159:15	shed 96:14
166:11 167:4	secure 66:15	sentinel 75:18	shelf 125:17
	security 60:13 see 16:4 17:1 24:4		
171:18		separate 39:2 51:15 95:16	sherry 2:9 60:8
scale 18:20 141:18	27:15 44:19 56:6	127:17	shockingly 137:15 short 49:7 65:19
schaefer 6:1	57:22 58:1 76:16		
schedule 15:22	77:5 78:4,8,12	separately 65:18 67:3	79:10,16 119:12 120:6 121:9 125:6
scheduled 15:15	97:5,6 122:1,14		
16:1,15	127:11 128:1,12	separating 161:3 161:18	125:10,20 128:12
schiller 13:16,17	135:1 143:3 148:4		shortly 78:15
36:7 38:3 123:19	164:13 165:17,18	sequence 111:22	show 53:22 54:2
school 70:2	166:5 168:8	sequences 101:5	57:6,18 58:3 116:13
science 5:4 6:19	171:22 174:15,21	sequentially 95:8	
80:6 81:9,20	seeing 9:5 43:1	serious 7:19 71:14	showing 52:9 62:9
82:12 107:6	110:1 133:13	154:4,18	133:12 abover 21.2 46:17
scientific 9:3	139:18 145:13	serve 25:20	shown 21:3 46:17
11:12,18 20:4	170:7	served 126:9	59:11 100:8,14
25:7 42:12 44:4	seeking 24:8 66:7	service 6:5 9:20	117:8
45:4 48:4,6 49:21	91:12 140:16	86:19	shows 137:8
50:3 74:17 103:7	seen 3:12 7:6 39:7	services 26:3	sick 4:18
103:14 111:11	41:19 42:11 87:1	27:19 172:5	side 44:13,15,22
126:10 149:3	110:2 122:9,10	serving 3:8 60:20	55:11 68:21
scientifically	129:12 151:2	98:7	142:18 162:13
29:13 50:13	164:5	session 140:12	167:22 170:7
113:10	segment 174:20	set 3:15 11:13	sign 13:6 14:11
scientist 148:13	selected 151:16	160:17	16:16 52:19
sclerosis 164:9	161:15	setting 31:22	signal 139:4
scope 23:19	selection 49:9	37:13 79:20 99:1	signals 129:7
132:18	self 49:15 154:18	110:6,10 171:17	signature 177:17
	158:10 160:13		178:14

[signed - stakeholders]

signed 175.16	cincular 141.4	ganial 172.5 6	148:15 166:7
signed 175:16	singular 141:4	social 172:5,6 soft 52:19	
significance 75:4 83:14	site 118:1,3 sits 78:11	sold 42:19	speaks 168:12 special 49:1 60:15
·	sits 78:11 six 42:3 72:14	solution 72:22	special 49.1 00.13
significant 28:10		solution 72:22 solutions 24:21	
70:21 73:16 87:14	87:22		specialty 31:4
89:20 90:7 92:11	size 47:2 102:11	72:16 73:3 163:20	specific 21:16 49:8 62:6 65:7 70:17
100:15 140:5	110:9 169:5	somewhat 38:16	
142:19 155:10	sizes 156:8	136:14	99:4 100:6 111:10 144:13 145:14
157:11	skills 177:10 178:6	sorely 87:8	
significantly 45:8	skin 81:15	sorry 18:17 84:15 162:21 171:4	specifically 9:14 20:5 21:7 23:1
86:14	skip 76:9		
silence 13:3	sleep 173:16,16	175:6	24:8 32:12 42:21
silver 1:15	slew 12:17 123:2	sort 23:19 36:17	98:8,17 99:13
similar 18:2 21:6	slide 17:1 30:5	54:18 93:8,9	102:14 110:16
29:1 32:17 34:5	41:16 44:12 45:11	111:7 125:7,17	138:22 142:2
39:1 54:3 56:2	78:1 99:14 108:7	134:20 144:22	159:11 161:7,7
57:16 89:14 90:13	108:8 109:4	158:21 160:3,6,17	specificity 145:16
92:12 112:6 118:9	137:19	sought 94:12	specifying 102:10
122:5 123:15	slides 54:14 76:19	sounds 84:14 85:2	spectrum 98:12
134:10	86:7 113:1 162:3	94:18	speed 145:17
similarity 62:20	slots 16:19	source 37:11	spend 71:5 162:18
99:6,15	slow 140:13	sources 34:10	163:8
similarly 44:2	slower 10:22	120:16	spending 115:7
102 2 151 16	140.0	0C-2	
103:3 151:16	140:2	sourcing 26:3	spent 169:14,17
simmon 2:11 86:3	small 8:4,8 18:2	space 49:11 73:9	169:18
simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11	small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17	space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7	169:18 sphere 134:2
simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16	small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4	space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17
simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1	small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8	space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9
simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8	small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3	space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20</pre>	small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12</pre>	small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21	space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14 spring 1:15
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2 simpler 5:7 89:17</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10 smbg 55:16</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3 speaker 15:16,19</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13 147:18 148:5
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2 simpler 5:7 89:17 simplifies 69:2</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10 smbg 55:16 smith 78:21</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3 speaker 15:16,19 16:19 17:11 162:4</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13 147:18 148:5 stable 34:20
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2 simpler 5:7 89:17 simplifies 69:2 simplify 63:19</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10 smbg 55:16 smith 78:21 smooth 121:14</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3 speaker 15:16,19 16:19 17:11 162:4 162:5 165:21</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13 147:18 148:5 stable 34:20 staff 113:20
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2 simpler 5:7 89:17 simplifies 69:2 simplify 63:19 simply 76:5 147:2</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10 smbg 55:16 smith 78:21 smooth 121:14 soared 115:5</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3 speaker 15:16,19 16:19 17:11 162:4 162:5 165:21 speakers 15:9,13</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13 147:18 148:5 stable 34:20 staff 113:20 stage 73:7 135:13
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2 simpler 5:7 89:17 simplifies 69:2 simplify 63:19 simply 76:5 147:2 single 32:19 47:10</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10 smbg 55:16 smith 78:21 smooth 121:14 soared 115:5 soaring 115:11</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3 speaker 15:16,19 16:19 17:11 162:4 162:5 165:21 speakers 15:9,13 15:19 16:3 17:8</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13 147:18 148:5 stable 34:20 staff 113:20 stage 73:7 135:13 140:15
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2 simpler 5:7 89:17 simplifies 69:2 simplify 63:19 simply 76:5 147:2 single 32:19 47:10 50:17 51:13,17</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10 smbg 55:16 smith 78:21 smooth 121:14 soared 115:5 soaring 115:11 socal 2:17 148:8,9</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3 speaker 15:16,19 16:19 17:11 162:4 162:5 165:21 speakers 15:9,13 15:19 16:3 17:8 123:20 133:20</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13 147:18 148:5 staff 113:20 stage 73:7 140:15 staggering
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2 simpler 5:7 89:17 simplifies 69:2 simplify 63:19 simply 76:5 147:2 single 32:19 47:10 50:17 51:13,17 54:12 102:18</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10 smbg 55:16 smith 78:21 smooth 121:14 soared 115:5 soaring 115:11 socal 2:17 148:8,9 148:10 157:2,4,15</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3 speaker 15:16,19 16:19 17:11 162:4 162:5 165:21 speakers 15:9,13 15:19 16:3 17:8 123:20 133:20 165:10</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13 147:18 148:5 stable 34:20 staff 113:20 stage 73:7 140:15 staggering stakeholder 5
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2 simpler 5:7 89:17 simplifies 69:2 simplify 63:19 simply 76:5 147:2 single 32:19 47:10 50:17 51:13,17 54:12 102:18 105:6 106:21</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10 smbg 55:16 smith 78:21 smooth 121:14 soared 115:5 soaring 115:11 socal 2:17 148:8,9 148:10 157:2,4,15 158:16 159:7,15</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3 speaker 15:16,19 16:19 17:11 162:4 162:5 165:21 speakers 15:9,13 15:19 16:3 17:8 123:20 133:20 165:10 speaking 14:12</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13 147:18 148:5 staff 113:20 stage 73:7 140:15 staggering 71:11 stakeholder 118:19 100
<pre>simmon 2:11 86:3 86:4,6 93:11 94:10 95:11 96:16 97:10 166:1 simmons 86:8 simple 43:14,20 44:14,18 50:12 53:15 55:7,12 99:8 106:17 132:1 143:6 148:2 165:2 simpler 5:7 89:17 simplifies 69:2 simplify 63:19 simply 76:5 147:2 single 32:19 47:10 50:17 51:13,17 54:12 102:18</pre>	<pre>small 8:4,8 18:2 20:18 21:8,17 44:5,7,22 46:4 54:7,19 71:1 80:8 85:5 98:18 134:3 138:7 141:9 150:10,20 169:21 smaller 33:21 54:18 150:21 156:10 smbg 55:16 smith 78:21 smooth 121:14 soared 115:5 soaring 115:11 socal 2:17 148:8,9 148:10 157:2,4,15</pre>	<pre>space 49:11 73:9 87:2,9 88:13 89:7 90:11 137:22 150:10 160:16 163:6 speak 16:14 17:14 17:17 41:14 86:5 92:22 94:5 109:2 121:11 135:11 175:2,3 speaker 15:16,19 16:19 17:11 162:4 162:5 165:21 speakers 15:9,13 15:19 16:3 17:8 123:20 133:20 165:10</pre>	169:18 sphere 134:2 spinoff 17:17 sponsor 24:9 sponsors 11:3 45:9 51:2 102:6 spot 51:14 spring 1:15 spur 12:7 stability 66:13 147:18 148:5 stable 34:20 staff 113:20 stage 73:7 135:13 140:15 staggering 71:11 stakeholder

[stakeholders - sufficient]

01 0 00 15 1 (0 10	4 1 6 0	4 4 1 20 16	- L
91:3 92:15 168:10	steady 6:8	structural 32:16	submitted 11:11
stand 121:13	stein 14:4,4 50:16	45:12 99:16	17:2
standalone 144:16	55:13 94:3 95:5	structurally 44:19	submitting 93:3
146:6 147:7	109:2 110:12	45:19	175:14
standard 56:7	133:10 134:5,19	structure 19:5	subsequently
61:16 88:21 89:14	135:1	46:1 101:12	77:11
92:12,16 111:14	step 9:13 11:5	112:13 141:22	subset 22:13,16
141:19	30:10 51:9 58:5	structured 18:1	subspecialty
standards 11:12	62:10 72:12 89:22	struggling 137:8	83:11
66:19 67:6 149:3	99:22 110:19	138:4	substantial 31:8
151:12 156:15	146:19 162:6,7,22	studied 20:18 21:7	150:11,15
159:14 160:9	164:13,19 165:6	studies 21:3 29:18	substantially
161:21,22	steps 8:20 11:4	52:9 63:3,8,12,20	34:19
standpoint 28:14	29:11 63:8 115:18	71:6 72:19 74:8	substantiate 40:21
37:15 42:4 53:18	120:10 164:20	75:13,14,16,17	substantiated
stands 19:1 67:5	steven 2:7 14:6	79:15 80:8 81:10	41:6
start 94:9 135:7	24:13,15	81:12 84:8 85:20	substitutability
149:5 163:16	stick 38:21	100:7,10,14	32:2 153:10,18
166:7,11 167:4	stockpile 6:16	101:18 102:6	154:6 158:6
168:2 174:13	stood 74:18	107:3 110:2 122:6	substitutable 92:1
started 42:22	stop 16:6	134:3,4 152:18	substitute 150:4
starting 19:11	storage 64:16	study 6:1 22:9	substituted 9:11
40:12 105:18	118:8	32:19 46:12 47:10	58:6 65:10 92:6
state 70:7 73:9	store 139:3,16	47:15 49:4 50:6	96:21 157:13
127:7,11 158:14	stored 139:5	50:17,19 51:5,13	substitution 30:16
stated 33:13 53:9	stores 139:11	52:14,16 53:1,5	47:19 48:5,9 63:2
89:8	story 78:1	53:18 54:13 56:14	73:8 89:5 92:17
statement 108:11	straightforward	71:2 76:15,20	97:2 158:14,20
148:19	44:6 143:16	77:20 79:5,7 84:8	substitutional
statements 14:17	145:15	85:3,5,6 88:17	157:1
states 7:9 24:20	strategic 86:9	103:6 105:7,8,22	substitutions
53:12 87:12	strategies 34:22	108:3 109:3,9,14	73:10
status 30:9 32:1	73:1 119:1 120:18	109:19,22 110:14	subtraction 54:5
32:11 39:4 71:17	strategy 118:18	110:17,22 131:14	success 7:6 73:4
76:4 167:17	streamline 47:8	137:13 148:2	94:6 95:10 96:22
statute 10:19	streamlined 88:17	subcutaneous	successful 94:14
74:20 76:10 83:21	148:2	11:17 136:19	140:9 149:6
83:21 85:1,12	strength 62:13	subject 4:9 15:4,7	successfully 127:6
95:19	strengthens 6:22	27:11	suddenly 128:19
statutory 11:14	stress 114:17	subjects 21:11	suffer 115:13
71:3 89:2 92:16	123:2 127:13	22:11	154:5
stave 87:18	strong 44:9 81:18	submission 12:21	suffering 173:19
stay 174:7,7	strongly 16:19	submit 16:20,21	sufficient 22:2
	61:21	62:7 176:3,5	84:12 103:1 106:1
	1	1	i .

Page 39

107 4 102 15	150 1	00 10 02 10 102 0	75.00
107:4 133:15	159:1	82:10 93:19 123:9	75:22
sufficiently 20:7	surprising 28:15	139:2 142:1 145:1	talked 74:18 123:7
suffix 33:5,11,15	surrogate 75:6,8	155:9 164:20	124:21
91:10 155:16	surrounding 95:3	167:14 173:5	talking 46:13
suffixes 91:8,14	165:8	174:11	52:20 55:4 57:2
92:8	surveillance 21:14	systematically	57:21 74:22 75:4
suggest 59:8,20	22:3 23:10,11,12	105:17	75:10 82:4,6
77:21 81:2 133:16	23:16 40:21	systems 61:15,20	83:18,18 96:5
156:3	survive 6:11	66:11 68:9 69:13	130:6 155:4
suggested 81:11	survived 78:13	123:11 125:20	159:21
suggesting 55:14	sustain 25:22	148:11	target 56:2 80:3
109:11 134:8,12	34:18	t	targeting 140:4
134:19	sustained 27:13	t 101:1,3,4,8	142:11 145:1
suggestions 99:4	sweeter 173:20	t 101.1,5,4,8 t1d 113:22 114:14	team 117:15 144:3
132:10	sweetness 173:20		technical 147:5
summarize 85:21	switch 64:11	115:4 116:16	technique 108:19
summary 34:7	67:20 76:14 77:9	119:12,15 table 13:11 16:17	technologies
35:5 50:2 140:7	77:14 105:6,7		61:19 64:14
summer 162:16	133:6 135:21	17:1 176:7,13	142:10 162:15
sundar 2:13 97:13	142:18 143:11	tables 13:6	technology 5:4
super 75:21	switched 77:10,13	tackles 4:11	61:8 140:11
supplements 38:5	77:15 105:11	tactics 87:19	142:10 143:9
supply 7:10 18:3	128:20	tailor 65:4	170:11
38:1	switches 73:18,21	take 15:17 34:5	ted 78:13
support 6:20	76:22 90:11	35:15 37:22 42:1	teddy 78:6
21:18 25:21 26:18	switching 32:19	56:1 63:7 69:16	telephone 128:22
27:4 41:20 82:21	49:13 50:7 58:7	93:6 94:2 107:10	tell 4:20 18:5 22:8
88:15,19 89:22	63:3,5,8,18,20	110:6 115:18	72:9
91:9 95:13	66:11 71:2 74:12	116:17 118:17	teller 178:2,15
supported 91:19	76:11,20 80:14,21	121:19 130:4	temkin 2:4,19 3:1
139:9	81:5,19 82:7 94:4	135:3 136:17	3:2 12:14 14:8
supporting 34:21	101:7 104:4	142:14,22 162:2	24:10,13 41:8,12
148:21	106:16 123:21	164:13 167:10	42:5,7 57:20 60:4
supportive 135:19	124:1,4,10,10,18	170:5 172:22	69:15,19 82:1
135:21	133:5	173:9 174:22	83:17 84:1,3,6,12
supports 45:11	sworn 177:5	taken 8:19 11:4	84:17,21 85:7,22
61:21 85:17	synthesized 44:3	69:4 105:6 116:21	86:3 93:5 97:9,11
151:19	syringe 68:13	120:17 122:11	112:6,17 113:12
supposed 172:1	syringes 61:14	177:3,12 178:9	113:15 125:22
sure 7:2 16:11	system 5:14 6:21	takes 31:20 36:18	126:2 135:3,6
22:10,18 39:18	18:22 31:20 38:18	40:5 46:9 79:9	143:1 148:6,8
42:6 50:22 58:22	64:18 65:7,19	104:16 127:5	159:19 162:2,21
84:3 85:20 131:2	66:6,9,9 67:21	137:4	163:2,4 165:21
131:3,4,4 132:14	68:8,11,17 69:1	talk 9:14 40:1	171:3,6,11 175:6
101.0,1,1102.17	00.0,11,17 07.1	53:21 70:17 72:4	

[temkin - today]

May 13, 2019

175:12	135:1,2,3 142:22	69:10 76:18 80:20	thriving 121:15
ten 77:14	148:6,7,20 156:20	81:4,5,13 83:13	tianga 166:1
tend 131:20	162:2,9 163:2	83:14,16,21 93:11	tickets 93:21
tenets 18:4	165:19,21 166:4	95:6,11,13 96:16	tier 110:3 111:6
tensions 172:21	166:12 167:16,21	97:7 108:15	time 1:11 3:11
term 5:8 65:20	168:9 169:8	112:20 113:12	4:14 7:1 15:21
66:20 100:9	171:10 175:7,9,18	122:8,13,22	16:1,9 21:11 22:4
101:18 116:12	176:14	123:13,16 124:3,9	32:20 37:16 41:9
129:4 151:8	thanks 8:8 85:8	124:15 125:9,11	47:16 50:15 62:17
terms 5:5 22:22	135:10,10	125:13,18 126:2	65:22 69:16 71:5
27:15 30:8 36:4	theoretical 99:5	132:20 133:2,10	73:19 77:12 78:19
39:14,22 52:15	100:5 103:1	133:15,18 134:2	79:2,18 93:6,12
57:11 58:5 59:2,3	109:20 110:20	134:13 136:16	113:12,16 114:6
76:10 82:11 87:7	151:22 152:21	138:13 140:13,21	116:3 120:3
90:19 95:6 96:22	theoretically 75:1	143:6,15 144:21	121:11 126:2
103:22 104:11,22	theory 152:9	145:4,8 157:10	128:13 135:22
105:18 106:2	therapeutic 3:3	159:5,12,13,17	137:6 138:1 139:3
107:15 113:5	13:20 29:2 74:2	160:5 162:5	144:8 146:12
122:4 123:9	104:5 117:9	163:15 165:5,7,9	152:13 153:22
128:13 137:3	126:18 130:21	165:10 167:5,12	157:18 159:8,9,13
140:1,19 145:12	151:11 154:13	170:4,16 171:13	162:19 163:8
148:4 159:10	therapies 3:14	171:14 173:21	169:14,22 170:4,9
test 126:17	7:22 34:19 145:8	174:11 175:10,15	171:4,13,22 175:7
tested 59:11	therapy 72:12	thinking 22:14	timely 88:16
134:21	135:17 140:19	79:6 83:3 160:20	timer 16:3,6
testified 174:19	thing 53:13 56:18	third 7:21 71:1	times 19:13 35:13
testifying 177:5	140:18 174:4	72:6 98:22	44:16,17 55:3
testing 47:22	things 51:3 52:21	thirdly 46:18	59:14 117:21
58:21 59:20 129:1	53:3,7,11 59:9,11	thought 23:21	123:7 126:19
142:5	72:11 73:2,6	52:15 57:10 83:3	127:22 155:2
testings 57:16	74:10 75:14 76:7	83:4 112:7,13	168:22
thank 3:20 12:12	82:7,18,19 83:6	143:2	timetable 10:19
14:8 17:7,13 22:4	123:2,4,11 125:12	thoughts 39:21	timing 35:7,9 95:6
22:7 24:11,12,14	125:16 128:7	42:13 50:20 56:21	139:14
25:19 34:15 36:6	130:14,15 142:11	60:2 123:8 125:5	tissue 136:20
42:5,6,12 50:14	144:20 145:16,20	145:2	145:16
60:3,4,6 67:7	148:4 174:1	thousands 26:6	titratable 73:16
69:14,15 79:1,2,4	think 3:21 4:4 8:3	threatening	titrate 79:9,22
82:1 85:7 86:1,2,3	23:1,14,15,18	115:12	titrated 104:17
86:4 92:22 97:9	24:5,10 36:10	three 5:16 16:18	titrating 79:12
97:10,14 107:9	39:5 40:9,11	18:11 19:1 33:1	titration 80:1
112:17 113:15,17	41:21 52:2 55:19	45:3 70:18 73:14	titrations 79:14
121:11 125:22	56:3 58:10 59:22	76:9,22 104:8	today 3:5,16,21
126:1,4 132:5	67:22 68:3,5,10	105:6 140:8	7:21 9:14 13:5

[today - uncommon]

Page 41

14:9,11 15:13	track 33:16	28:7 64:22 65:4	95:22 98:9 100:20
17:9,14,16 19:16	trade 93:15	65:13 102:5	101:7 103:4 104:1
22:5 24:18 49:6	traditionally 46:3	126:17 137:11	104:4 109:9
52:4 53:17 58:6	trained 69:2 126:5	154:19	111:20 128:11
62:1 66:17 68:4	162:11	treatments 4:8	132:2 138:16
73:21 74:18 78:20	training 27:1 49:8	6:21 151:20	141:8 154:22
79:1 86:14 92:22	60:18 64:10	tremendous 67:19	161:10,19 168:18
97:16 105:10	transcends 37:18	170:18	type 6:6 8:21
113:19 114:4	transcribed 15:10	trends 27:21	18:16,16,17 60:21
119:22 121:11	16:11	28:13 41:13,18	63:16,17 67:13
122:3 123:21	transcriber 178:1	trial 20:2,16 21:10	74:13 100:10
124:3,6,12,15,19	transcript 15:10	23:1,3,4,5,8,17	101:18,20 113:22
126:9 127:7 130:4	176:9 178:3,5	79:11,20 80:10	114:9,11,19 115:6
131:2 135:11,18	transcriptionist	102:11,12,13,18	119:2 136:7,9,11
148:15,19 149:1	177:7	103:12 106:21	137:7,10 138:6,10
150:17 151:8	transfer 136:5	110:1,8 134:18,20	138:11 142:2,14
157:13 164:10	transition 10:21	trials 20:3,12,22	142:17 143:3
165:1,18 167:8	29:7 45:1 90:8,15	21:16,19,22 35:20	145:2 162:17
today's 4:9 11:5	90:21 92:19	110:3 113:5	164:7 171:17
12:18 13:9 15:9	121:13 143:2	114:15 150:6	types 75:16 90:12
15:12 42:16 61:15	149:12,16	169:10 170:9	118:12 119:6,16
86:5 175:21 176:1	transitional 33:4	tripled 18:14	typewriting 177:7
176:11	36:17	trojan 141:15	typical 48:1 52:15
told 160:18	transitioned	true 78:19 126:12	169:13
tomorrow 130:5	118:11	140:18 177:9	typically 111:18
tone 3:15	transitioning 9:17	178:5	typo 44:22
tool 170:1,2	103:18 150:1	truly 20:14	u
171:16	153:4	trust 167:1	ultimate 128:17
tools 64:19	translate 10:16	try 9:22 117:4	unable 34:20
top 77:9,19 155:3	transparency 18:5	127:19 164:1,13	unaffordable
topic 23:14,18	37:21	164:15 174:3	153:20
39:17 42:14,16	transplant 163:12	trying 84:21	uncertainty 20:17
71:13 97:18	trap 93:21	122:12 127:8	30:9 40:10 46:10
121:12 176:1	trastuzumab	144:3 145:20	51:6 53:9 54:9
topics 30:12	45:15	tubing 59:7	62:16,22 99:3,5
toronto 78:7	travels 59:19	turn 10:11 61:20	99:13 100:4
totality 52:19	travesty 78:17	turns 129:6	102:17 106:19,20
55:20 74:16	treat 4:16 56:2	twice 28:1 43:2	122:7 155:14,19
102:16 103:14,16	80:3 114:11 127:8	134:21	160:13
106:21 107:18	162:19 173:22	two 16:6 28:21	unclear 154:6
108:3 110:10	treated 78:6 175:1	30:14 32:6 43:16	uncomfortable
town 174:19	treating 4:13	43:17,21 50:19	173:15
traceable 75:15			110110
viaceasie (ette	treatment 5:11	51:8 67:22 73:5	uncommon 46:17
	treatment 5:11 7:19,20 8:18 26:8	51:8 67:22 73:5 77:6 78:20 87:1	uncommon 46:17

uncommonly	unkind 72:7	utility 147:19	vice 24:16 60:9
71:10	unknown 99:14	utilization 74:10	74:3,4,5 86:8
undergirds 82:12	108:8 109:1	utilized 87:22	97:13
undergo 34:9	unlu 13:14,14	106:4	videotape 15:7
underline 139:1	39:3,10 95:21	utilizing 33:16	view 58:16 123:22
underlying 39:15	unnoticed 152:3	151:1	136:12,13 137:12
141:22 145:18	unpack 83:17	utmost 26:11	141:1 143:13
undermining 92:2	unpredictable	v	145:18 146:16
underscores 64:6	75:22	va 172:2,2	147:20 149:12
understand 36:14	unselfish 162:18	va 172.2,2 valid 50:13	150:11
37:3,21 38:10	unsubstantiated	validated 75:8	viewed 102:16
39:1 54:20 68:7	74:16		viewers 17:5
84:22 96:12 120:5	unusual 75:22	value 25:18 27:8	views 60:7,11 94:6
122:20 123:14	unverified 74:16	27:13 94:7 102:11	vigor 49:21
133:22 148:2	unwillingness	vanderbilt 138:15	vigorously 133:1
159:2,3 170:4	25:16	variability 37:15	virginia 42:18
understandable	upcoming 41:16	40:5 128:9 129:10	virtually 61:4
120:12	67:4 149:12	133:4	visibility 168:11
understanding	updated 91:4	variable 77:7	vision 97:20
30:11 34:11 44:10	uptake 40:18 91:4	variation 134:11	114:10 143:4
65:2 85:13 123:12	urge 79:1 92:7	varied 122:3	visits 130:20
169:7	164:9	varies 127:13	vitally 115:3
underuse 71:5	use 5:14 11:13	variety 127:20	vitamin 141:11
underway 9:7	16:11 27:12 29:18	130:21 147:8	vitro 47:22 57:5
undue 18:18	31:6,17 35:1 36:5	various 119:14	58:22 98:21 99:20
unexpected	40:1 49:4,16	vary 105:4 122:15 vastly 154:21	vizient 2:7 24:17
108:15,20	55:17 56:4 57:8	vasuy 134.21 ventures 148:21	24:18,20 25:1,7
unfortunately	58:18 71:8 76:12	148:22	26:22 27:10 28:1
7:11,22 78:22	82:15 88:18 90:6		29:12 30:19,22
unintended 153:5	94:8 119:12 120:2	vernacular 145:7 versa 74:4,4,5	31:14 32:11,22
161:20	120:13 121:5,7	version 28:5	33:3,6 34:2,6,21
unique 11:17	123:12 127:5	versions 17:19	42:2
126:12,14 140:15	141:12 145:14	28:18	vizient's 26:2
146:16	151:10 155:1	versus 22:16 32:9	voice 91:3
unit 104:6,6	165:9 169:16	45:15,20 50:4,19	voices 12:7
united 7:9 24:20	171:21 172:4	53:4 58:17 77:19	voicing 89:9
87:12	174:1	125:6,11 128:11	volumes 168:12
units 4:18	user 48:17	125.0,11 128.11	vulnerable 26:7
universal 68:2	users 155:3,9	vesicles 136:2	W
universally 71:15	156:14 169:13,20	vesicies 130.2 vial 18:10 68:13	wait 90:5
university 2:17	uses 76:21	141:19	waiver 20:2
3:10 78:7 126:7	usually 38:15	vials 61:14 65:17	walk 36:1
148:11	116:10	98:13 119:14	want 11:9,20 17:7
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	17:21 22:13 57:13
			17.2122.1337.13

[want - zoe]

May 13, 2019

79:18 83:17 91:2	week 9:8 62:3	170:8,20 172:7	yeah 40:19 79:13
94:1 99:13 108:13	77:6 150:7	174:15 175:10	81:1 82:5 84:1,20
130:4,9,17 139:7	weekly 43:2 105:5	worked 27:6	85:1 108:10,12
148:4,20 159:1,8	weeks 77:7	162:13 164:12	109:18 112:18
159:19 160:8,8,9	weigh 83:15	workers 172:6	159:7
167:4 169:2 173:9	weight 43:22	working 6:20 12:9	year 5:9,12 6:3,4
173:12 174:7,7,8	44:18	27:11 30:19,22	9:1 18:22 22:14
175:2	weighted 95:20	63:19 87:10 111:3	28:1,6 42:19
wanted 4:20 80:12	welcome 67:8	111:5 125:19	43:20 45:2 71:22
82:1 123:6 147:6	69:19,20 135:6	135:14 137:3,3	73:6 74:10 78:5
162:19 166:7,11	went 170:20	138:4 143:4	78:18 86:15
wanting 35:12	west 42:18	166:14,14,21	118:12 127:1
111:9	wheelchair 78:8	167:15	136:14 137:7
warning 16:6	white 1:12	workings 37:22	years 7:8 18:11
watch 103:13	whopping 6:8	works 87:2 129:5	20:19 28:18 42:11
watier 113:15	wide 104:5 118:17	world 3:22 75:15	60:17 61:12 70:11
166:1	130:21 173:5	81:12 89:20 93:10	78:5,20 98:7
waxman 73:6	174:11	107:16 124:2	113:22 114:8
way 4:2 8:3 30:2	width 104:1	173:21	115:5 118:14
35:18 48:14 54:13	wife 5:19	world's 61:5,7	126:6,11 128:18
57:4 67:11 103:8	willing 74:12	worried 160:2	136:1,10 138:15
117:5 121:22	window 126:18	worry 30:15	142:20 162:13,17
129:5 130:5	130:21	170:18,22	163:12,16 165:18
136:21 139:8,15	wise 89:22 99:22	worse 169:20	168:21,22
145:15 146:9	110:19 127:3	worst 71:9	yim 13:19,19
147:16 167:7,9	wish 78:11	worth 134:13	young 137:17
173:22,22	witness 177:4	writes 78:10	171:11,12,12
ways 5:5 7:3 95:22	women's 2:10	written 17:2 62:7	175:9
96:3,14 125:3,9	70:6	63:21 76:5 144:1	younger 5:14
145:14 147:6,11	wonder 5:18	wrong 125:1	Z
162:19	wondered 111:17	171:20	z 72:14
we've 7:6 8:19	wonderful 164:11	X	z 72:14 zero 77:8
11:4 41:19 91:6	wondering 41:13	2 1 72 1 1 1 2 1 1 2	1
95:22 96:1 129:12	82:3 143:1 171:18	x 2:172:14 101:12	zoe 165:22,22
142:4 143:14	word 74:20	y	-
144:6,15 164:5	words 108:17	y 72:14	
165:1 175:15	123:9 151:12	yanoff 14:1,1 22:7	
wealth 26:18	work 27:18 72:17	22:11 23:21 54:14	
wearing 69:5	114:9 120:3	58:12 59:5 79:4	
web 27:3	121:12 125:14	111:2 112:19	
webcast 17:4,4,5	130:5 137:5 143:5	113:3,8 144:9	
175:20	148:13 161:15	146:2 158:21	
website 15:12	167:20 168:10,17	159:12	
176:10	168:19 169:1,9		
-			