

Intraspecific Adoption and Double Nest Switching in Peregrine Falcons (*Falco peregrinus*)

ALEXANDRE ANCTIL¹ and ALASTAIR FRANKE²

(Received 26 July 2012; accepted in revised form 3 September 2012)

ABSTRACT. We describe an observation of post-fledging double nest switching and alloparenting in the Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus tundrius*). During the summer of 2010, a 36-day-old male Peregrine Falcon that had been marked with leg bands was seen flying from its natal site and was subsequently observed at a neighboring nest site that contained two nestlings. Motion-sensitive camera images indicated that the adopted nestling remained at the neighboring site for several days, during which time it shared the nest ledge with the two resident nestlings and was fed by the adults that occupied the site. The juvenile falcon subsequently returned to its natal site, where it shared the nest ledge with its natural sibling and received care from its natural parents. This note is the first documentation of nest switching in wild Peregrine Falcons.

Key words: brood adoption, nest switching, alloparenting, peregrine falcon, avian, Arctic

RÉSUMÉ. Nous décrivons l'observation d'un double échange de nids après l'envol et d'alloparents chez le faucon pèlerin (*Falco peregrinus tundrius*). À l'été 2010, nous avons aperçu un faucon pèlerin bagué âgé de 36 jours en train de s'envoler de son site natal, après quoi nous l'avons observé à un site de nidification avoisinant qui comprenait deux oisillons. Les images de caméras détectrices de mouvement ont indiqué que l'oisillon adopté est resté au site avoisinant pendant plusieurs jours. Pendant ce temps-là, il a partagé la corniche avec les deux oisillons résidents et s'est fait nourrir par les adultes qui occupaient le site. Plus tard, le faucon juvénile a regagné son site natal, où il a partagé la corniche avec l'autre membre de sa fratrie et reçu des soins de ses parents naturels. Il s'agit de la première fois qu'un échange de nids a été documenté chez le faucon pèlerin sauvage.

Mots clés : adoption de nichée, échange de nids, alloparent, faucon pèlerin, aviaire, Arctique

Traduit pour la revue *Arctic* par Nicole Giguère.

INTRODUCTION

An individual is considered an alloparent when it provides care for young that are not its own (Wilson, 1975). Riedman (1982) reviewed the phenomenon and proposed a suite of mechanisms that may explain its evolution in several taxonomic groups. In birds, several known mechanisms can result in alloparentalism. Among these, brood parasitism (Payne et al., 2001), brood adoption (Howitz, 1986; Simmons, 1992), and brood mixing (Patterson et al., 1982) are best known. Brood adoption and brood mixing are common among precocial and semi-precocial species and usually occur early in the development of the nestlings (Williams, 1994). Alloparental care can also be manifested through replacement of one or both adults after eggs have been laid (Grubb et al., 1988) or through nest switching once young have learned to fly but remain dependent on parental care. Nest switching occurs most often in altricial and semi-altricial species, and adoption usually occurs late in the development of the nestlings, resulting in short periods of

alloparental care (Ferrer, 1993; Redondo et al., 1995). Post-fledging adoption by nest switching has been documented in several avian species, including American Crows (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*; Schaefer and Dinsmore 1992), White Storks (*Ciconia ciconia*; Redondo et al., 1995), and Alpine Swifts (*Apus melba*; Bize and Roulin, 2006). In raptor species, post-fledging nest switching has been documented in Northern Goshawks (*Accipiter gentilis*; Kenward et al., 1993), Egyptian Vultures (*Neophron percnopterus*; Donazar and Ceballos, 1990), Ospreys (*Pandion haliaetus*; Poole, 1989; Gilson and Marzluff, 2000), Eagle Owls (*Bubo bubo*; Penteriani and Delgado, 2008), Spanish Imperial Eagles (*Aquila adalberti*; Ferrer, 1993), and Lesser Kestrels (*Falco naumanni*; Tella et al., 1997). In these studies, nest switching was often attributed to high nesting density (e.g., colonial nesting) or attempts to improve food intake (e.g., subordinate siblings in large broods), or both.

In Peregrine Falcons (*Falco peregrinus*), replacement of adults within a breeding season is well known (Ratcliffe, 1993), and fostering of young into wild nests was widely

¹ Département de biologie, Université du Québec à Rimouski, 300 allée des Ursulines, Rimouski, Québec G5L 3A1, Canada

² Corresponding author: Canadian Circumpolar Institute, 1-42 Pembina Hall, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2H8, Canada; Alastair.Franke@ualberta.ca

employed in the recovery of the species (Cade, 1980; Craig and Enderson, 2004; Alberta Peregrine Falcon Recovery Team, 2005). To our knowledge, however, no case of post-fledging adoption between wild peregrine nest sites has previously been observed. We present here the first documented observation of nest switching in Peregrine Falcons.

Biologists have monitored a very dense (1 site/12 km²) Peregrine Falcon population located near the hamlet of Rankin Inlet, Nunavut, Canada since 1982 (e.g., Franke et al., 2010, 2011). Territorial peregrines have routinely occupied the same general nest site locations each breeding season, with some sites holding pairs either more frequently over the course of the study, or for longer periods, or both. Each location was assigned a unique site identification number that has remained constant through time, despite annual variation in nest ledges on which pairs chose to lay eggs. Since 2008, motion sensitive cameras (RECONYX models PM35T25, PC85, and PC800 Hyperfire) have been employed each breeding season to monitor nest ledges continuously during incubation and nestling rearing. All nestlings received a federal leg band and a unique alphanumeric coded color visual identification band once they reached approximately 25 days of age. Cameras were positioned 1–4 m from the nest, allowing individual identification by reading the alphanumeric code on the leg band.

DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVATIONS

On 19 August 2010, at approximately 1730 (see Table 1), we arrived at Site 29 (the natal nest of a 36-day-old male and a 34-day-old female) to conduct routine sampling procedures (mortality check, weight, and nest camera maintenance). The male had previously been banded with identification tag No. 25 A. As the field crew approached the base of the nesting cliff, they saw this male flying from the cliff in the general direction of the neighboring Site 28, located 536 m to the south. After weighing the female nestling and collecting a blood sample, the crew left the site at 1746.

Routine site monitoring conducted during a previous visit (8 August) indicated that the male (25 A) at Site 29 weighed 636 g at 25 days of age. His female sibling was two days younger and weighed 764 g. In comparison, the male at Site 28 weighed 408 g at 24 days of age, and the female weighed 566 g at 25 days of age. In addition, images of all four nestlings collected at 34–36 days of age show 25 A to be much farther advanced in feather development (almost no trace of down on head, nape, and back) than any of the other three nestlings, all of which retained very large patches of down on legs, head, nape, and back.

On 30 August 2010, we retrieved the cameras located at Sites 28 and 29. The images collected at Site 28 from 19 to 30 August showed that 25 A joined two resident nestlings (banded 27 A and 73 E) on their nest ledge within three hours of leaving his natal site on August 19. Less than 11 hours later, photographs taken at Site 28 showed the resident adult female feeding her own nestlings and the now

adopted nestling from Site 29. On 20 and 21 August, the adult female resident at Site 29 delivered prey items on four more occasions. Each time, 25 A was present on the nest ledge with the resident nestlings and competed for a share of the prey item that was delivered. Over the next two days, the camera recorded limited activity on the nest ledge, but the adopted nestling was observed together with an adult on the nest ledge on 23 August, more than three days after flying from its natal site. No further activity was recorded by the camera at Site 28.

From 19 to 23 August, the single remaining female nestling (62 E) at Site 29 was fed multiple times per day and was seen with distended crop several times over the five-day period. In addition, rather than immediately consuming prey delivered to the nest ledge, 62 E cached several items that were delivered during this period. On 24 August in the morning, an adult falcon delivered a prey item to 62 E; within a few seconds, 25 A appeared on the ledge at Site 29 with his sibling after spending nearly five full days away from Site 29, which included at least three days at Site 28. Both 25 A and 62 E were seen together at the nest ledge on two more occasions that day, but 62 E spent the night alone on the nest ledge. The following day, the resident adults made several prey deliveries, which were mostly consumed and cached by 62 E. However, photographs of 25 A indicated that the male was on the nest ledge at least twice that day. Images clearly show that 25 A either consumed or shared prey items that had previously been cached by 62 E. Although little activity was recorded on the nest ledge after this observation, 25 A was observed on the nest ledge four more times between 25 August at 1903 and 28 August at 1117. When the cameras were removed on 30 August 2010, we confirmed the presence of one free-flying juvenile falcon at Site 28 and another at Site 29.

DISCUSSION

This note records nest switching and adoption in Peregrine Falcons. Poole (1982) suggested that nest switching may result from attempts by subordinate individuals in large broods to increase their food intake. In this case, 25 A was not likely subordinate to his much less well developed female sibling, nor was food apparently in short supply, given the food caching behavior by 62 E that we observed. Furthermore, 25 A left a site where he was one of only two nestlings to join a site where he was one of three nestlings, where competition for food would likely have been higher. Moreover, the nestlings at Site 29 were about the same age as 25 A, but much less advanced in their development, suggesting that their feeding rate was perhaps lower than that 25 A had experienced at his natal site. Therefore, moving to Site 29 likely did not represent an advantage in this case.

Some authors (Poole, 1982; Donazar and Ceballos, 1990; Kenward et al., 1993) have suggested that the post-fledging nest switching phenomenon is related to high breeding density. The breeding population at Rankin Inlet is recognized

TABLE 1. Detailed activity of the juvenile male Peregrine Falcon (25 A) as recorded by the automatic scouting cameras positioned at Sites 28 and 29.

| Date | Time | Site | Events |
|-----------|------|------|--|
| August 19 | 1730 | 29 | Field crew arrived at Site 29. HY male (25 A) left natal site |
| | 1746 | 29 | Field crew departed from Site 29 |
| | 1953 | 28 | 25 A was seen at Site 28 for the first time |
| August 20 | 0720 | 28 | Resident female fed 25 A and her own two offspring |
| | 1116 | 28 | Resident female delivered prey and 25 A competed for food with resident nestlings |
| | 1229 | 28 | Resident female delivered prey, and 25 A competed for food with resident nestlings |
| | 1342 | 28 | Resident female delivered prey, and 25 A competed for food with resident nestlings |
| August 21 | 1707 | 28 | Resident female delivered prey, and 25 A competed for food with resident nestlings |
| August 23 | 0505 | 28 | A resident adult and 25 A were observed together at nest ledge |
| August 24 | 0735 | 29 | Resident adult delivered prey to 62 E; 25 A joined 62 E four seconds later |
| | 1229 | 29 | 25 A and 62 E were observed together on the nest ledge |
| | 1323 | 29 | 25 A left nest ledge |
| | 1336 | 29 | Resident adult delivered a prey item which was consumed by 62 E |
| | 1555 | 29 | 25 A returned to the nest ledge but left shortly thereafter |
| August 25 | 1628 | 29 | 25 A retrieved a prey item previously cached by 62 E on the nest ledge |
| | 1800 | 29 | 25 A and 62 E shared a prey item |
| | 1801 | 29 | 25 A left the ledge |
| | 1851 | 29 | 25 A arrived on the ledge |
| | 1956 | 29 | 25 A left the ledge |
| August 26 | 1903 | 29 | 25 A arrived on the ledge |
| | 1945 | 29 | 25 A left the ledge |
| August 27 | 1806 | 29 | 25 A arrived on the ledge |
| | 1811 | 29 | 25 A left the ledge |
| August 28 | 0657 | 29 | 25 A arrived on the ledge |
| | 0701 | 29 | 25 A left the ledge |
| | 1117 | 29 | 25 A arrived on the ledge |
| | 1118 | 29 | 25 A left the ledge |
| August 30 | 1520 | 29 | Cameras were removed |

as one of the densest known worldwide for the species (Court et al., 1988). Moreover, the close proximity (536 m) of the two sites in question likely facilitated nest switching. The nest switch co-occurred with a site visit by our field crew, and it is possible that their presence in part accounted for the movement from one site to the other; however, the nestling flew from the cliff before our field crew reached the base of the cliff, and once airborne, it gained altitude. Sherrod (1983) indicated that the nestling period ranged from 35 to 42 days, and Oliphant (2011) indicated that some precocious male nestlings are capable of sustained flight as early as 35 days after hatching. In this particular case, the proximity of the sites, combined with the advanced physical development of 25 A, likely contributed in large part to the switch from natal site to adopted site and back again.

Regardless of the mechanism that caused 25 A to leave his natal site, the fledgling male was adopted without any apparent aggression from either the nestlings or the adults present at the adopting site. In this regard, Judge (1981) suggested that adult Ospreys feed juveniles on the nest regardless of relationship, and Ferrer (1993) observed the same behavior in Spanish Imperial Eagles. Nest switching and adoption have also been documented in several other raptor species and may be more common than we think. Documenting these events requires marking of nestlings and continuous monitoring of nests. Increased use of automatic scouting cameras in raptor studies may increase the number of observations and bring new information regarding this phenomenon.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by ArcticNet, the Nunavut Wildlife Management Board, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), Fonds de recherche du Québec–Nature et technologies (FQRNT), the Garfield Weston Foundation, and the Department of Environment, Government of Nunavut. We thank Poisey Alogut, Andy Aliyak, Hilde Johansen, and Frankie Jean-Gagnon for their contribution to our fieldwork. We are extremely grateful for the help and support that we received from personnel of the Department of Environment, especially Raymond Mercer and Johanne Coutu-Autut. We thank the Kangiqliniq Hunters and Trappers Organization for their approval and on-going support for this research. We are also very grateful to Mike Shouldice and Dorothy Tootoo (Nunavut Arctic College) and the people of Rankin Inlet. Alexandre Anctil was supported by NSERC, FQRNT, and the Garfield Weston Foundation. We also wish to acknowledge the contribution of three anonymous reviewers.

REFERENCES

- Alberta Peregrine Falcon Recovery Team. 2005. Alberta Peregrine Falcon recovery plan 2004–2010. Alberta Species at Risk Recovery Plan No. 3. Edmonton: Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Fish and Wildlife Division. 16 p.
- Bize, P., and Roulin, A. 2006. No experimental evidence that sibling competition induces young to switch nests in the colonial Alpine Swift, *Apus melba*. *Animal Behaviour* 72(4):869–873.

- Cade, T.J. 1980. The husbandry of falcons for return to the wild. *International Zoo Yearbook* 20(1):23–35.
- Court, G.S., Gates, C.C., and Boag, D.A. 1988. Natural history of the Peregrine Falcon in the Keewatin District of the Northwest Territories, Canada. *Arctic* 41(1):17–30.
- Craig, G.R., and Enderson, J.H. 2004. Peregrine Falcon biology and management in Colorado, 1973–2001. Technical Publication No. 43. Denver: Colorado Division of Wildlife.
- Donazar, J.A., and Ceballos, O. 1990. Acquisition of food by fledgling Egyptian Vultures *Neophron percnopterus* by nest-switching and acceptance by foster adults. *Ibis* 32:603–607.
- Ferrer, M. 1993. Natural adoption of fledglings by Spanish Imperial Eagles (*Aquila adalberti*). *Journal für Ornithologie* 134(3):335–337.
- Franke, A., Settingington, M., Court, G., and Birkholz, D. 2010. Long-term trends of persistent organochlorine pollutants, occupancy and reproductive success in Peregrine Falcons (*Falco peregrinus tundrius*) breeding near Rankin Inlet, Nunavut, Canada. *Arctic* 63(4):442–450.
- Franke, A., Therrien, J.-F., Descamps, S., and Bêty, J. 2011. Climatic conditions during outward migration affect apparent survival of an Arctic top predator, the Peregrine Falcon *Falco peregrinus*. *Journal of Avian Biology* 42(6):544–551.
- Gilson, L.N., and Marzluff, J.M. 2000. Facultative nest switching by juvenile Ospreys. *The Auk* 117(1):260–264.
- Grubb, T.G., Forbis, L.A., McWhorter, M., and Sherman, D.R. 1988. Adaptive perch selection as a mechanism of adoption by a replacement Bald Eagle. *The Wilson Bulletin* 100(2):302–305.
- Howitz, J.L. 1986. Brood adoption by a male Black-Capped Chickadee. *The Wilson Bulletin* 98(2):312–313.
- Judge, D.S. 1981. Productivity and provisioning behavior of Ospreys (*Pandion haliaetus*) in the Gulf of California. MA thesis, University of California, Davis, California.
- Kenward, R.E., Marcström, V., and Karlbom, M. 1993. Post-nestling behavior in goshawks, *Accipiter gentilis*: II. Sex differences in sociality and nest-switching. *Animal Behaviour* 46(2):371–378.
- Oliphant, L. 2011. The four week window: Realizing your bird's full potential. Saskatoon, Saskatchewan: Prairie Sky Falcons.
- Patterson, I.J., Gilboa, A., and Tozer, D.J. 1982. Rearing other peoples' young; Brood-mixing in the Shelduck *Tadorna tadorna*. *Animal Behaviour* 30(1):199–202.
- Payne, R.B., Woods, J.L., and Payne, L.L. 2001. Parental care in estrildid finches: Experimental tests of a model of *Vidua* brood parasitism. *Animal Behaviour* 62(3):473–483.
- Penteriani, V., and Delgado, M.D. 2008. Brood-switching in Eagle Owl *Bubo bubo* fledglings. *Ibis* 150(4):816–819.
- Poole, A. 1982. Breeding Ospreys feed fledglings that are not their own. *The Auk* 99(4):781–784.
- . 1989. Ospreys: A natural and unnatural history. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ratcliffe, D. 1993. *The Peregrine Falcon*, 2nd ed. London: T & AD Poyser.
- Redondo, T., Tortosa, F.S., and deReyna, L.A. 1995. Nest switching and alloparental care in colonial White Storks. *Animal Behaviour* 49(4):1097–1110.
- Riedman, M.L. 1982. The evolution of alloparental care and adoption in mammals and birds. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 57(4):405–435.
- Schaefer, J.M., and Dinsmore, J.J. 1992. Movement of a nestling between American Crow nests. *Wilson Bulletin* 104(1):185–187.
- Sherrod, S.K. 1983. Behavior of fledgling peregrines. Ithaca, New York: The Peregrine Fund, Inc.
- Simmons, R. 1992. Brood adoption and deceit among African Marsh Harriers *Circus ranivorus*. *Ibis* 134(1):32–34.
- Tella, J.L., Forero, M.G., Donazar, J.A., Negro, J.J., and Hiraldo, F. 1997. Non-adaptive adoptions of nestlings in the colonial Lesser Kestrel: Proximate causes and fitness consequences. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 40:253–260.
- Williams, T.D. 1994. Adoption in a precocial species, the Lesser Snow Goose: Intergenerational conflict, altruism or a mutually beneficial strategy? *Animal Behaviour* 47(1):101–107.
- Wilson, E.O. 1975. *Sociobiology*. Harvard: Belknap Press.