

A Comprehensive Review of the Effectiveness of Different Exercise Programs for Patients with Osteoarthritis

DOI: 10.3810/psm.2012.11.1988

Yvonne M. Golightly, PT,
PhD^{1,2,3}

Kelli D. Allen, PhD^{4,5}

Dennis J. Caine, PhD⁶

¹Research Assistant Professor, Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC; ²Thurston Arthritis Research Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC; ³Injury Prevention Research Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC; ⁴Associate Research Professor, Department of Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC; ⁵Research Health Scientist, Health Services Research and Development Service, Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Durham, NC; ⁶Professor and Interim Dean, College of Education and Human Development, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND

Abstract: Exercise is recommended as a first-line conservative intervention approach for osteoarthritis (OA). A wide range of exercise programs are available and scientific evidence is necessary for choosing the optimal strategy of treatment for each patient. The purpose of this review is to discuss the effectiveness of different types of exercise programs for OA based on trials, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses in the literature. Publications from January 1997 to July 2012 were searched in 4 electronic databases using the terms osteoarthritis, exercise, exercise program, effectiveness, and treatment outcome. Strong evidence supports that aerobic and strengthening exercise programs, both land- and water-based, are beneficial for improving pain and physical function in adults with mild-to-moderate knee and hip OA. Areas that require further research include examination of the long-term effects of exercise programs for OA, balance training for OA, exercise programs for severe OA, the effect of exercise programs on progression of OA, the effectiveness of exercise for joint sites other than the knee or hip, and the effectiveness of exercise for OA by such factors as age, sex, and obesity. Efforts to improve adherence to evidence-based exercise programs for OA and to promote the dissemination and implementation of these programs are crucial.

Keywords: osteoarthritis; exercise; physical activity; treatment outcome; effectiveness

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common form of arthritis and the leading cause of disability among older adults in the United States.¹ Osteoarthritis is associated with significant pain, and it limits mobility, basic daily activities, and quality of life.²⁻⁴ According to data from 2003 to 2005, at least 27 million of people in the United States have OA.⁵ Over the next few decades, substantial increases are expected in the incidence, health impact, and economic consequences of OA, largely due to the aging of the US population and the obesity epidemic,^{6,7} because older age and excess body weight are both well-recognized risk factors for the development of OA, especially OA of the knee. The burden of OA of the knee alone is particularly high and is on the rise.^{8,9} Approximately 600 000 primary total knee arthroplasty (TKA) procedures, a common procedure in patients with severe OA of the knee, are performed annually in the United States, and annual TKA volume increased 161.5% in the US Medicare population between 1991 and 2010.⁸ Furthermore, in 2006, 496 000 hospital discharges and \$19 billion in hospital charges were due to OA of the knee.⁹

Treatments available for OA include pharmacological therapies, intra-articular injections, surgical procedures, and conservative interventions, such as physical therapy, braces and devices, and exercise. Professional rheumatologic and orthopedic

Correspondence: Yvonne M. Golightly, PT, PhD, University of North Carolina, Thurston Arthritis Research Center, 3300 Thurston Building, Campus Box No. 7280, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7280. Tel: 919-843-6618 Fax: 919-966-1739 E-mail: golight@email.unc.edu

organizations recommend conservative interventions as a fundamental strategy for management of mild-to-moderate OA.^{7,10–16} Oftentimes, these conservative approaches need to be combined with pharmacological and surgical treatments for optimal disease management. All of the interventions can help reduce pain associated with OA, and an important advantage of nonpharmacological/nonsurgical approaches, particularly those interventions that include exercise, is their direct effect on improving physical function.^{10,15,17}

Although increased risk of OA has been associated with joint injury suffered in sport,¹⁸ physical activity is beneficial for joint tissues and can help decrease symptoms and improve function.¹⁹ Accordingly, physical activity has been noted as a central component in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and Arthritis Foundation's 2010 publication, *A National Public Health Agenda for Osteoarthritis*.⁷ Exercise programs for OA may be land- or water-based and include activities focused on endurance (eg, walking), range-of-motion/flexibility, strengthening, balance, or any combination of these components.

This article discusses the effectiveness of exercise programs for OA based on a comprehensive review of the literature and examines the effectiveness of exercise programs by type of exercise and severity of OA. Although exercise programs for OA may benefit many affected joint sites, research in this area primarily has examined the effectiveness of programs for the knee and hip, and therefore, these joints will be the predominant focus of this article. Several systematic reviews of the effectiveness of different exercise programs for patients with knee and hip OA have been published. The intent of this manuscript is to summarize and extend those previous reviews with more recently published work and to cover a wider range of exercise types than reported in other more recent reviews. To build the overall picture, however, material previously reviewed will be included. The emphasis of this paper will be on randomized controlled trials (RCTs), as they can provide stronger evidence than other study designs of the effectiveness of intervention programs.

Materials and Methods

A literature search of publications from the past 15 years (January 1997 through July 2012) was conducted within Pubmed/Medline, the Cochrane Library, the Physiotherapy Evidence Database, and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature using the terms osteoarthritis, exercise, exercise program, effectiveness, and treatment outcome. Although a systematic approach was not used for this article, titles and abstracts for identified articles were reviewed by 2 of

the authors (YMG and DJC) to determine if they were specific to OA, had a clearly defined exercise program, and examined the effectiveness of the program. English-language RCTs and systematic reviews of exercise programs for OA were included, and pharmacological and surgical intervention studies of OA (such as total joint replacement) that included exercise programs were excluded. Full text was obtained for articles that met these criteria. The initial search strategy identified 443 potentially relevant publications. Duplicates (72) and articles that did not meet inclusion criteria after examining titles and abstracts (322) or reviewing the full text (10) were removed, leaving 39 articles included in this review.

Land-Based Exercise Programs for OA

Mixed Land-Based Exercise Programs

Land-based exercise programs have included aerobic or endurance activities (eg, walking and cycling), strength training with and without weights, and balance training. Three systematic reviews of RCTs have broadly examined the effects of land-based exercise programs on pain and physical function related to knee and hip OA (Table 1). The results of a systematic review of 5 RCTs comparing land-based exercise with nonexercise among people with hip OA showed only small improvement in pain (standardized mean difference [SMD], 0.38; 95% CI, 0.09–0.67),^{20,21} but no beneficial change in self-reported function (SMD, –0.02; 95% CI, –0.31 to 0.28). The authors commented that the limited number and small sample size of the included RCTs, along with the heterogeneity of the studies, minimize the interpretability of these results.

Fransen and McConnell^{22,23} reviewed 5 electronic databases from January 1996 through December 2007 to examine a range of land-based exercise programs for OA of the knee, including walking, strengthening, and balance activities. Thirty-two RCTs studies were included in the review, with a total of 3616 participants with knee pain data and 3719 participants with self-reported physical function data. Results of the meta-analysis showed effectiveness of land-based exercise programs with a SMD of 0.40 (95% CI, 0.30–0.50) for pain and 0.37 (95% CI, 0.25–0.49) for physical function. The authors emphasized that although these effect sizes appear small, they are, in fact, comparable with estimates reported for simple analgesics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for the treatment of knee pain. Notably, interventions that involved a greater number of directly supervised sessions (≥ 12 visits) were associated with greater improvements in knee pain and physical function.

A meta-analysis by Roddy et al²⁴ compared the efficacy of aerobic walking (3 studies), home-based quadriceps

Table 1. Systematic Reviews of Exercise as a Treatment for OA

Study	Study Purpose	Design and Procedures	Number of Studies Reviewed	Number of Participants	Types of Intervention and Duration	Study Results and Conclusions
Mixed Land-Based Exercise Programs						
Fransen et al ²¹	To determine whether land-based exercise reduced joint pain or improved function in people with hip OA when compared with no exercise.	Meta-analysis; systematic review of 5 electronic databases from 1966–2008. All RCTs recruited people with hip OA and land-based therapeutic exercise groups were compared with nonexercise groups.	5 RCTs, but only 1 exclusively recruited people with hip OA. The other 4 RCTs also recruited participants with knee OA.	204 with hip OA for pain outcomes; 187 with hip OA for physical function outcomes.	Interventions were 6–12 wks. Class-based exercise interventions included strengthening, Tai Chi, and resistance. Classes were conducted 1–3 times per week.	Small improvement in pain (SMD, 0.38; 95% CI, 0.09–0.67). No change in self-reported function (SMD, 0.02; 95% CI, –0.31 to 0.28). Important limitations were the small number of studies with the small sample for this area of research.
Fransen and McConnell ²³	To determine whether land-based exercise reduced joint pain or improved function in people with knee OA when compared with no exercise.	Meta-analysis; systematic review of 5 electronic databases up until December 2007.	32 RCTs	3616 subjects with knee pain data and 3719 subjects with self-reported physical function data.	Programs were either delivered individually or in a class-based format, and most were home programs. Programs ranged from straight leg raises and mostly aerobic walking to very complex, comprehensive programs (manual therapy, strengthening, balance training, and Tai Chi). Study duration ranged from 6–72 wks with sessions occurring 2–4 times per week.	Land-based exercise programs were beneficial (SMD, 0.40; 95% CI, 0.30–0.50; SMD, 0.37; 95% CI, 0.25–0.49) for physical function. Providing a greater number of directly supervised sessions (≥ 12 visits) improved knee pain and physical function outcomes.
Roddy et al ²⁴	To compare aerobic walking and home-based quadriceps strengthening exercises in patients with knee OA.	Meta-analysis of 5 electronic databases and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (searched 1966–2003) for RCTs of subjects with knee OA.	13 RCTs total. 3 studies included an aerobic intervention, 9 studies included home-based strengthening exercises, and 1 study combined aerobic walking with a home-based strengthening program.	156 subjects from aerobic studies and 1709 subjects from strength training trials.	Interventions were 8–24 weeks for aerobic walking and 8 wks–2 yrs for strengthening.	Pooled ES for pain: 0.52 for aerobic walking (95% CI, 0.34–0.70) and 0.32 for strengthening (95% CI, 0.23–0.41). Pooled ES for disability: 0.46 for aerobic walking (95% CI, 0.25–0.67) and 0.32 for strengthening (95% CI, 0.23–0.41). Both aerobic walking and home-based quadriceps strengthening exercises were effective in reducing pain and disability in knee OA.
Strength Training Programs						
Liu and Latham ²⁹	To assess the effectiveness of PRT in older people.	Systematic review searching 8 electronic databases (1966–2008) plus reference lists of articles. Conference abstracts were reviewed and authors were contacted.	RCTs reporting physical outcomes of PRT for older people. 121 trials were identified, and 6 had participants with knee or hip OA.	503 participants with knee or hip OA.	PRT was performed 2–3 times per week and at a high intensity.	Participants with OA reported a reduction in pain following PRT (SMD, –0.30; 95% CI, –0.487 to –0.13).

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Study	Study Purpose	Design and Procedures	Number of Studies Reviewed	Number of Participants	Types of Intervention and Duration	Study Results and Conclusions
Latham and Liu ³⁰	To determine the effectiveness of PRT in older adults with OA.	Meta-analysis with systematic review of 9 databases.	8 RCTs. 7 trials of patients (mean age, 65) with knee OA and 1 trial included patients with hip and knee OA.	907 participants with 20–295 people in each study.	Moderate- to high-intensity programs that included 1–9 exercises. In 7 studies, participants exercised 3 times per week; 1 study had participants exercise 5 times per week. Duration of the programs ranged from 6–72 wks. Exercise types: isometric, isotonic, isokinetic, concentric, concentric/eccentric, dynamic; alone or with other exercises (stretching, ROM); facility-based, home-based, or both.	Reduced pain (SMD, –0.35; 95% CI, –0.52 to –0.18), improved function (SMD 0.33, 95% CI, 0.18, 0.49), and improved leg extensor strength (SMD, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.12–0.54) for PRT compared with nonstrength training control groups. Strengthening exercises improved pain, range of motion, strength, and functional status in patients with OA. The combination of joint-specific strengthening with general strength and progression of the program were key components.
Pelland et al ³⁸	To determine the effectiveness of PRT programs in adults with OA.	Meta-analysis; a systematic review of 3 databases up to and including 2004; included RCTs of strengthening exercises and participants with OA.	21 RCTs	2325 patients with a primary diagnosis of OA.		
Balance and Neuromuscular Training						
Silva et al ⁴⁶	To examine the effectiveness of exercise on balance in women with OA.	Systematic review; 6 electronic databases and the Cochrane Collaboration RCTs published 2000–2010.	9 RCTs	833 participants	Exercise programs included aerobic exercise and strength training, Tai Chi, hydrotherapy, vibrating platform exercise, balance exercises, and educational programs.	Interventions ran 4 wks to 18 mo and a wide range of pain and balance assessments were used. Overall, balance in women with knee OA improved following exercise.
Smith et al ⁵⁹	To determine the effectiveness of proprioceptive exercises for knee OA.	Meta-analysis; systematic review of 7 published and 4 unpublished trials/registries databases.	7 RCTs comparing a proprioceptive exercise program with a nonproprioceptive exercise program or nontreatment control for adults with OA.	560 patients with mean age of 63; 203 males and 357 females.	All proprioceptive exercises were weight-bearing, based on functional activities (ie, stepping, standing, walking, or balancing). Nonproprioceptive exercise programs were lower extremity exercises. Duration of the programs was 4–8 wks in duration.	Proprioceptive exercises significantly improved functional outcomes compared with nontreatment ($P < 0.02$). Outcomes were similar between proprioceptive and nonproprioceptive programs except results were better for the proprioceptive programs for joint position sense-related measurements (ie, timed walk over uneven ground [$P = 0.03$] and joint position angulation error [$P < 0.01$]).
Water-Based Programs						
Bartels et al ⁶⁴	To compare the effectiveness and safety of aquatic-exercise interventions in knee and hip OA.	Meta-analysis; 5 electronic databases were searched (1945–2006).	6 RCTs were identified, 4 included patients with hip or knee OA (N = 672), 1 RCT had subjects with hip OA only (N = 28), and 1 RCT had subjects with knee OA only (N = 43).	800 participants	All types of exercises in therapeutic or heated indoor pool (range of motion, dynamics, aerobics, etc.) were included.	Small-to-moderate effect on function (SMD, 0.26; 95% CI, 0.11–0.42) and a small-to-moderate effect on quality of life (SMD, 0.32; 95% CI, 0.03–0.61).

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Study	Study Purpose	Design and Procedures	Number of Studies Reviewed	Number of Participants	Types of Intervention and Duration	Study Results and Conclusions
Batterham et al ⁷²	To examine the effectiveness of aquatic-compared with land-based exercise on function in people with arthritis.	Systematic review of 4 databases with meta-analysis.	10 RCTs compared land with aquatic exercise for adults with rheumatoid or OA. Land-based exercise included any exercise training for strength, endurance, resistance, or aerobic capacity, whether gym or home based.	354 water-based participants, 305 land-based participants, and 113 controls.	7 of the RCTs described the exercises in their land-based and aquatic programs. Duration was 4–18 wks. Aquatic exercise included running, jumping, cycling of the legs and walking, and strengthening exercises (ie, hip and knee flexion and extension, hip adduction and abduction, calf raises, and squats).	Results did not differ for the 2 rehabilitation strategies. The authors suggested that when people have difficulty with land-based exercises, aquatic programs may be a good alternative.
Mixed Water-Based and Land-Based Programs						
Escalante et al ⁷⁶	To examine the effectiveness and structure of exercise programs on pain in patients with hip and knee OA.	Systematic review of 5 electronic databases.	33 studies total with 22 land-based intervention studies (ie, strengthening, Tai Chi, aerobic), 5 aquatic studies, and 6 mixed-exercise programs.	1507 subjects from land-based studies; 569 subjects from aquatic studies, and 603 subjects from mixed-exercise programs.	Study intervention duration lasted 6–72 wks (most often 8 wks) with 1–7 sessions/wk (most with 3 sessions/wk). 30–60 min sessions, with most at 30 min.	Pain decreased in 80% of strength interventions (ES, 0.01–1.12), 70% of Tai Chi studies (ES, 0.28–1.67), and 80% of the aquatic studies (ES, 0.19–0.72). Pain decreased in 1 of 2 aerobic studies (ES = 1.06). Only 1 of the 6 mixed programs resulted in reduced pain (ES = 0.40).
Escalante et al ⁷⁷	To examine the effectiveness and structure of exercise programs on functional aerobic capacity in individuals with hip and knee OA.	Systematic review of 7 databases.	20 studies; included 19 RCTs and 1 controlled clinical trial.	Total of 2142 subjects: the 19 RCTs included 2093 subjects; controlled clinical trial included 49 subjects.	Interventions included aerobic programs (n = 4), strength programs (n = 2), Tai Chi programs (n = 3) mixed programs (n = 8), and hydrotherapy programs (n = 3). The outcome measure used was the 6-minute walk test.	Functional aerobic capacity improved in Tai Chi program (ES = 0.66; 95% CI, 0.23–1.09), aerobic programs (ES = 0.90; 95% CI, 0.70–1.10), and mixed programs (ES = 0.47, 95% CI, –0.38 to 0.39). Hydrotherapy programs did not improve functional aerobic capacity (ES 0.00; 95% CI, –0.38 to 0.39).

Abbreviations: ES, effect size; OA, osteoarthritis; PRT, progressive resistive training; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SMD, standardized mean difference.

strengthening programs (9 studies), and aerobic walking with home-based strengthening exercises (1 study) in patients with OA of the knee. In an analysis that compared aerobic walking study arms with nonexercise only, the weighted pooled effect size of all 4 studies for reduction in pain was 0.52 (95% CI, 0.34–0.70). For the quadriceps strengthening compared with nonexercise study arms, the weighted pooled effect size for reduction in pain was 0.32 (95% CI, 0.23–0.42) and for

improved physical function was 0.32 (95% CI, 0.23–0.41). Interestingly, an indirect comparison of aerobic walking and quadriceps strengthening programs suggested no apparent advantage of 1 program over the other regarding pain and disability.

Recently, Hurley et al²⁵ published results on their RCT of 418 individuals with chronic mild, moderate, or severe knee pain/OA; 278 people participated in the Enabling Self-

Management and Coping of Arthritic Knee Pain Through Exercise (ESCAPE-knee pain) program, and 140 people participated in a usual care control group. The ESCAPE-knee pain program was a physiotherapist-led program that met twice weekly for 6 weeks at an outpatient physiotherapy gym and included 15 to 20 minutes of discussion of coping strategies and 30 to 45 minutes of individualized, progressive strengthening and balance exercises.^{25,26} At the end of 6 weeks, no further treatment was provided, and participants were discharged with a home exercise regimen, provided with information about local exercise facilities, and advised to engage in a simple activity (eg, walking).^{25,26} After the 6-week program, physical function was significantly better in the exercise group than the control group (mean difference in Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index [WOMAC] function, -5.5 ; 95% CI, -7.8 to -3.2). Over the 30-month follow-up, initial gains in physical function declined, but better physical function was maintained in the exercise group compared to the control group (difference in WOMAC function, -2.8 ; 95% CI, -5.3 to -0.2).

Aerobic Programs

The Fitness Arthritis and Seniors Trial (FAST) examined aerobic and resistance exercise in 439 adults with radiographic OA of the knee and pain who were aged > 60 years (see Strength Training Programs section for resistance exercise description and results).²⁷ Participants were randomized to aerobic exercise, resistance exercise, or a health education control group, with 365 participants completing the trial. The aerobic program consisted of a 3-month facility-based walking program that met 3 times per week, followed by a 15-month home-based walking program. Compared with participants in the control group, participants in the aerobic exercise group demonstrated reduced mean scores on the physical disability questionnaire (1.71 vs 1.90 units, $P < 0.001$) and the knee pain questionnaire (2.1 vs 2.4 units, $P = 0.001$), and better performance on physical function tasks of the 6-minute walk test (1507 vs 1349 ft, $P < 0.001$), time to complete stair climbing task (12.7 versus 13.9, $P = 0.05$), time to lift and carry 10 lbs (9.1 vs 10.0 sec, $P < 0.001$), and car transfer time (8.7 vs 10.6 sec, $P < 0.001$).

A small study of 39 adults suggests that cycling may be a useful aerobic exercise option for people with OA of the knee.²⁸ Participants were randomized to either a high- (30% heart rate reserve) or low-intensity (40% heart rate reserve) stationary cycling exercise program for 10 weeks, completing 25-minute sessions, 3 times per week. Both groups

showed reduced overall pain based on the pain subscale of the Arthritis Impact Measurement Scale 2 (weighted mean difference [WMD], -0.11 ; 95% CI, -1.32 to 1.10), improved timed chair rise (WMD, 0.30 ; 95% CI, -4.09 to 4.69) and distance walked in 6 minutes (WMD, 13.68 , 95% CI, -60.12 to 87.48), and improved aerobic capacity based on peak oxygen consumption (WMD, -262.02 ; 95% CI, -681.19 to 157.15), with no significant differences between the groups. Interpretations of the study findings are limited by the small sample size and lack of a control group.

Strength Training Programs

Liu and Latham²⁹ assessed the effects of progressive resistive training (PRT) (ie, progressively increasing the load during the training program in order to maintain or increase the exercise intensity) in older patients (mean age for included studies was age ≥ 60 years) and attempted to identify adverse events (Table 1). Eight electronic databases were searched, as well as reference lists from articles and reviewed conference abstracts. Of the 121 trials reporting physical outcomes of progressive resistance training for older people, 6 studies were of participants with knee or hip OA (mean ages, 64.5–79.6 years). Progressive resistive training was performed 2 to 3 times per week at high intensity, and OA pain reduction was observed after PRT compared with nonstrength training control groups (SMD, -0.30 ; 95% CI, -0.48 to -0.13).

Latham and Liu³⁰ examined PRT for OA in 7 studies in which participants had OA of the knee only^{27,31–36} and 1 study in which participants had hip and OA of the knee (Table 1).³⁷ Compared with nonstrength training control groups, results for the PRT programs showed moderate effect sizes for reduced pain (SMD, -0.35 , 95% CI, -0.52 to -0.18), improved function (SMD, 0.33 ; 95% CI, 0.18 – 0.49), and improved leg extensor strength (SMD, 0.33 ; 95% CI, 0.12 – 0.54). An example of 1 of these studies is the large FAST, which had a resistance training group.²⁷ The resistance training program consisted of a 3-month facility-based program meeting 3 times per week to perform 2 sets of 12 repetitions of 9 exercises, followed by a 15-month home-based program. The exercises included both upper and lower body maneuvers using dumbbells and cuff weights. Compared with participants in the control group, participants in the resistance exercise group demonstrated reduced mean scores on the physical disability questionnaire (1.74 vs 1.90 units, $P = 0.003$) and the knee pain questionnaire (2.2 vs 2.4 units, $P = 0.02$), and improved performance on physical function tasks (ie, greater distance walked for

the 6-minute walk test [1406 vs 1349 ft, $P = 0.02$], faster time on a lifting and carrying 10 lbs task [9.3 vs 10.0 sec, $P = 0.001$], and faster time to get in and out of a car [9.0 vs 10.6 sec, $P = 0.003$]).

Pelland et al³⁸ performed a systematic review of 21 studies to assess the effects of PRT on adults with OA (Table 1). Exercise programs included concentric, eccentric, isometric, isokinetic resistance, and whole body functional strengthening. Overall, strength, pain, function, and quality of life improved with these programs. The authors recommended that exercise programs should include joint-specific strengthening along with general strength, flexibility, and functional exercises, and that the exercise program should be progressed by increasing the resistance or repetitions. Furthermore, they suggested that the type of strengthening exercise used did not appear to differ in effectiveness.

Another advantage of PRT programs is that they promote increased levels of moderate- and vigorous-intensity physical activity. In an RCT of 171 men and women with mild OA of the knee, participants were randomized to a resistive training group, a self-management group, or a group who participated in both interventions.³⁹ Accelerometers were used to track the activity of each participant for a period of 5 to 7 days at baseline and at 3- and 6-month follow-up visits. By 3 months, both the resistive training and self-management groups had increased their physical activity levels (18% and 22%, respectively), but only the resistive training group maintained that increase at 9-month follow-up. Interestingly, in another report on this same trial,⁴⁰ all 3 interventions showed marked improvements with physical function measures (Cohen's d , 0.59–1.00), knee pain (Cohen's d , –0.51), and disability (Cohen's d , –0.55), with no significant differences between groups.

An RCT of 142 patients with OA of the knee demonstrated that a home-based quadriceps strengthening program may be as effective as the use of NSAIDs for improving pain, stiffness, physical function, and quality of life outcomes during an 8-week intervention period.⁴¹ The exercise program was simple, involving 20 repetitions of a slow knee extension movement performed 4 times daily. The authors emphasized that the effectiveness of this exercise depended on patient adherence, which the investigators encouraged through instructional documentation, regular visits to check patient compliance and progress, and a daily treatment record of exercise and medication use to be kept by the patient.

Jenkinson et al⁴² studied the effectiveness of a dietary intervention, knee strengthening exercise, or both in an RCT for the management of knee pain and function in

a group of 389 overweight and obese men and women aged ≥ 45 years. The interventions were implemented for 2 years through home visits. At the 24-month follow-up visit, knee pain based on WOMAC improved significantly in the exercise groups compared with those in the nonexercise groups (mean difference, –0.91; 95% CI, –1.66 to –0.17), a change not seen in the dietary versus nondietary groups (mean difference, –0.08; 95% CI, –0.91 to –0.75).

Most trials to date have studied knee strengthening exercises as a treatment for OA of the knee, but 1 RCT examined a hip strengthening program as a treatment for medial OA of the knee.⁴³ The program consisted of a 12-week physiotherapist-supervised home-exercise program of hip abductor and adductor strengthening exercises, and participants were randomized to the hip strengthening group ($n = 39$) or a control group without intervention ($n = 37$). Pain, physical function, and hip and knee strength measures were significantly improved in the exercise group compared with the control group (eg, WOMAC pain-adjusted mean difference, –2.12 [95% CI, –3.24 to –1.00], WOMAC function-adjusted mean difference, –6.17 [95% CI, –9.41 to –2.93]), but the primary outcome of peak knee adduction moment during 3-dimensional gait analysis did not change (adjusted mean difference, 0.13 [95% CI, –0.07 to 0.34]). In this study, hip strengthening exercises did not appear to modify the biomechanical mechanism of medial OA of the knee (ie, medial knee loading), but appeared helpful in symptom management of the disease.

High Versus Low Resistance Training Programs

High-resistance (high load and low repetitions) and low-resistance (low load and high repetitions) exercise training programs were examined in a study of 102 participants with mild-to-moderate OA of the knee.⁴⁴ Participants were randomized to 8 weeks of high-resistance exercise, low-resistance exercise, or no exercise (control group). Using a leg press machine, the initial intensity for the high-resistance exercise group was 60% of the 1-repetition maximum for 3 sets of 8 repetitions, and the initial intensity for the low-resistance group was 10% of the 1-repetition maximum for 10 sets of 15 repetitions. At follow-up, pain, function, walking time, and muscle torque improved in both exercise groups, with no statistically significant differences between them, although effect sizes were slightly greater for high-resistance (range, 0.64–3.13) than for low-resistance exercise (range, 0.51–2.70). The authors suggested the clinical importance of including high-resistance exercise in a comprehensive rehabilitation program for OA of the knee, not only to

improve pain and physical function outcomes, but also in the interest of time-efficiency; the high-resistance exercises in this study required 20 less minutes per session than the low-resistance exercises. However, it should be noted that 3 participants in the high-resistance group discontinued the study due to severe knee pain, while all participants in the low-resistance group completed the study.

High Versus Low Speed Power Training Programs

A recent pilot study of older adults with OA of the knee examined the effect of high-speed power training on pain and physical function.⁴⁵ Twelve participants were randomized to the high-speed power training program, 10 to the slow-speed strength training group, and 11 to a control group. The 2 exercise groups completed their respective programs 3 times per week over 12 weeks, and at follow-up assessment, the improvements in strength and muscle power (leg press peak power) were comparable between the 2 groups compared with the control group. Muscle speed (leg press velocity at peak power) improved with high-speed power training compared with slow-speed and control groups. Both high-speed and slow-speed training groups showed improved pain and physical function (WOMAC pain and function subscales and timed chair stand), but no more than the improvements noted in the control group.

Dynamic Versus Isometric Resistance Training Programs

In a study of 102 participants with OA of the knee, Topp et al³⁶ compared a dynamic resistance training program (exercises through the range of motion using an elastic band for resistance) with an isometric training program (exercises at specific joint angles without joint motion with the muscle contracting against a maximum-resistance elastic band). Compared with a nonexercise control group, both groups demonstrated relief of knee pain (12%–14% improvement on the WOMAC pain subscale) and faster times with ascending and descending a flight of stairs and getting up and down from the floor (13%–23% improvement) after 16 weeks of training. However, changes in these measures did not differ significantly between the dynamic and isometric training groups.

Balance and Neuromuscular Training

Silva et al⁴⁶ conducted a systematic review of exercise programs for women with OA of the knee that used balance as an outcome (Table 1). Nine RCTs were identified, with 8 considered to have quality evidence, and the exercise programs

ranged from aerobic and strength training,^{47,48} aquatic physical therapy,⁴⁹ Tai Chi,⁵⁰ vibrating platform exercise,⁵¹ balance exercises,⁵² and strength training in weightbearing and non-weightbearing positions.⁵³ Although the methods and intervention approaches for these studies varied greatly, most demonstrated improved balance after an exercise program. The authors suggested that exercise programs can benefit balance for women with OA of the knee.

Vibration Training Programs

In vibration training, an individual performs exercises while on a machine that vibrates. An RCT by Trans et al⁵¹ examined whole-body vibration training on a stable platform, vibration training on a balance board, and no-exercise control in 52 women with OA of the knee. Improvements were noted in muscular power for the stable-platform vibration training group (WMD, 7.6 Newton-meters [Nm]; 95% CI, 3.5–11.6) versus the control group (isokinetic knee extension) (isometric peak torque knee extension, 11.9 Nm; 95% CI, 1.9–22.0) and in proprioception (ability to sense position and movement of the body) (WMD, –0.59 sec; 95% CI, –1.13 to 0.05) for the balance board vibration training versus control. In a more recent RCT of whole body vibration, Avelar et al⁵⁴ evaluated vibration training with squat training compared with squat training alone on functional performance and self-report of disease in older adults (n = 23) with OA of the knee. No significant differences were noted in functional performance (Berg Balance Scale score, timed get-up-and-go test, chair stand test, 6-minute walk test) or WOMAC scores between the groups in this small study, but both exercise groups showed improvement in these outcomes compared with their baseline status (eg, 6-minute walk test pre-vibration training, 424 vs 448 meters post-training, $P < 0.05$; pre-squat training, 394 vs 421 seconds post-training, $P < 0.05$).

Tai Chi Programs

Tai Chi is a form of exercise that includes slow, continuous movements and postures that promote balance, flexibility, and strength. The results of several RCT studies indicate the potential for Tai Chi to improve function in women with OA. Ni et al⁵⁵ examined the effectiveness of a 24-week Tai Chi program on physical function in 35 Chinese women ages 55 to 75 years (mean age, 63 years) with OA of the knee. Compared with the control group (wellness education and stretching), the participants in the Tai Chi group had statistically significant improvements in total WOMAC score (6.18 vs 1.71); WOMAC pain (1.36 vs 0.07), stiffness (0.66 vs 0.05), and function subscales (6.17 vs 1.72); the 6-minute

walk distance (32.43 vs 16.76 m); and the stair climb time (2.27 vs 0.27 sec).

Song et al⁵⁶ conducted a 6-month RCT of Tai Chi on knee muscle strength, bone mineral density, and fear of falling in older women with OA of the knee. Compared with the control group ($n = 35$), women randomly assigned to the Tai Chi intervention ($n = 30$) had significantly greater knee extensor endurance (mean change, 36.4 in Tai Chi group vs 1.1 W/kg in control group, $P = 0.01$) and greater bone mineral density in the proximal femoral neck (mean change of 0.09 in T-score for Tai Chi group vs -0.10 in control group, $P < 0.001$). Fear of falling during daily activities decreased in the Tai Chi group compared with the controls (mean change -2.40 in Tai Chi group vs 0.66 in control group, $P = 0.01$). Knee extensor and flexor strength did not differ significantly between the groups at 6 months.

In an RCT by Fransen et al,⁵⁷ 56 participants in a 12-week Tai Chi class showed mean improvements of 5.2 (95% CI, -0.8 to 11.1) for pain and 9.7 (95% CI, 2.8 – 16.7) for physical function scores compared with a waiting-list control group of 41 participants. These improvements continued through the 24-week follow-up visit. An RCT of 40 individuals with symptomatic tibiofemoral OA demonstrated improvement in pain, physical function, self-efficacy, depression, and health-related quality of life after 12 weeks of a 2-session-per-week Tai Chi program compared with an attention control program of stretching and education.⁵⁸ Larger, high-quality studies are needed to confirm the effectiveness of Tai Chi for OA.

Proprioceptive Exercise Programs

Pathologies, such as OA, may affect one's ability to sense joint position and movement. Proprioceptive exercises aim at improving detection of where the body is in space. Smith et al⁵⁹ conducted a recent systematic review and meta-analysis to examine effectiveness of proprioceptive exercises for OA of the knee (Table 1). Seven RCTs with moderate methodological quality, involving a total of 203 males and 357 females (mean age, 63 years), were included in the analysis.^{47,52,53,60–63} Compared with nonexercise control groups, proprioceptive exercise groups showed significantly better functional outcomes after 8 weeks of intervention (mean difference in WOMAC physical function score, -12.19 ; 95% CI, -15.67 to -8.71). Self-reported physical function did not differ between proprioceptive and general nonproprioceptive exercise programs (mean difference in WOMAC physical function score, 0.59 ; 95% CI, -2.12 to 3.29), and joint position sense was better after the

proprioceptive exercises (mean difference in joint position angulation error, -2.18 ; 95% CI, -2.70 to -1.66). Although current evidence for proprioceptive exercise training is based on a low number of small, short-term studies, initial reports suggest that there may be an advantage of adding proprioceptive training to an exercise regime for OA of the knee.

Water-Based Exercise Programs for OA

Water-based, or aquatic, exercise programs are offered to some patients with OA as an alternative to land-based, weightbearing programs because they are believed to involve less loading on the joints and, in turn, be better tolerated for individuals with severe or painful disease. Generally, the evidence supports the benefits for water-based programs for knee and hip OA, although long-term effects have not been documented.

In a systematic review by Bartels et al,⁶⁴ 6 RCTs of 800 adults were included to examine the effectiveness and safety of aquatic-exercise interventions on the treatment of knee and hip OA.^{37,65–69} Small-to-moderate effect sizes were noted for physical function (SMD, 0.26 ; 95% CI, 0.11 to -0.42) and quality of life (SMD, 0.32 ; 95% CI, 0.03 – 0.61).

In an RCT of a 12-week aquatic flexibility and strength training program for 38 adults with knee and hip OA, participants in the exercise program ($n = 20$) demonstrated significantly improved knee extension and hip flexion and extension flexibility, knee and hip strength in all planes of motion, and aerobic fitness compared with nonexercise controls ($n = 18$).⁷⁰ However, self-reports of physical functioning (based on the Health Assessment Questionnaire, $P = 0.481$) and pain relief (based on a visual analog scale, $P = 0.280$) did not demonstrate statistically significant differences by group after intervention, although mean pain ratings did decline slightly over the 12-week period in the aquatic exercise group (52.2 at baseline to 43.5 at 12 weeks).

Water-Based Versus Land-Based Exercises

Several studies have examined the effectiveness of water-based programs compared with land-based exercises. Lund et al⁷¹ compared land-based ($n = 25$) and water-based exercise ($n = 27$) groups with a control group ($n = 27$) in a study of adults with OA of the knee. After completing the 8-week exercise programs, no immediate effects were detected in either exercise group, but at 3-month follow-up, slight improvement in pain and strength was noted only in the land-based exercise group compared with the control group. However, fewer adverse effects, such as discomfort,

occurred in the water-based exercise group versus the land-based exercise group.

Batterham et al⁷² conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine whether the effect of exercise programs differed by whether they were land- or water-based in individuals with arthritis (Table 1). Five out of 10 RCTs included in the analysis examined only patients with OA, 2 examined those with OA or rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and 3 examined only those with RA. Although the parameters and quality of each study were not consistent, physical function and mobility outcomes did not differ considerably by type of program. The authors suggested that water-based programs may be a reasonable alternative for people with arthritis who have difficulty performing land-based programs due to pain or strength limitations.

Wang et al⁷³ conducted an RCT of 84 participants with OA of the knee from local community centers randomized to either a water-based exercise class that followed the Arthritis Foundation Aquatic Program or a land-based class that consisted of exercises from the Arthritis Foundation Exercise Program. After 12 weeks of each program performed for 60-minute sessions, 3 times per week, both forms of exercise resulted in statistically significant improvements in physical function (6-minute walk test: water-based, $P < 0.001$; land-based, $P = 0.003$) and knee-related quality of life (water-based, $P = 0.001$; land-based, $P < 0.001$), and interestingly, despite expectations that water-based exercise would be more beneficial for pain reduction, improvements in pain were comparable in the 2 groups.

In a 12-week RCT of 152 older adults (mean age, 70 years) with chronic symptomatic hip or OA of the knee, participants completed either a hydrotherapy class ($n = 55$) or Tai Chi class ($n = 56$) or were wait-listed to a control group.⁷⁴ Compared with the control group, participants in the hydrotherapy classes demonstrated improved mean changes in WOMAC pain (6.5; 95% CI, 0.4–12.7) and function (10.5; 95% CI, 3.6–14.5) subscale scores, and participants in the Tai Chi classes demonstrated improved mean changes in WOMAC pain (5.2; 95% CI, –0.8 to 11.1) and function (9.7; 95% CI, 2.8–16.7) subscale scores. The Short Form-12 Health Survey physical component summary score was higher for both treatment groups compared with the control group (hydrotherapy mean score change, 4.0; 95% CI, 0.8–7.2; Tai Chi mean score change, 2.1; 95% CI 0.2, 4.4). Compliance was better for the hydrotherapy group than for those participating in the Tai Chi classes (81% vs 61% attended at least half of the available 24 classes, respectively).

Water-based exercise also may be an effective treatment alternative for overweight and obese patients with OA of the knee. In a study by Lim et al,⁷⁵ obese adults from Korea with OA of the knee were randomized to an aquatic exercise program ($n = 26$), a land-based exercise program ($n = 25$) or a nonexercise control group. After 8 weeks of intervention, WOMAC scores improved similarly between the 2 exercise groups, and these improvements were statistically significant compared with the control group. A significant change in Brief Pain Inventory score was noted only for the aquatic exercise program group.

Mixed Water-Based and Land-Based Programs

Escalante et al⁷⁶ (Table 1) conducted a systematic review of 33 studies to examine the effects of land-based (ie, strength, Tai Chi, and aerobic), aquatic, and mixed exercise programs on pain relief in patients with knee and hip OA. All studies in this review used the pain subscale of the WOMAC as a pain outcome measure. Pooled effect sizes were moderate to high and statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) for Tai Chi (~ 0.75), aerobic (~ 0.5), and hydrotherapy (~ 0.5) programs, whereas strength (~ 0.50) and mixed program (~ 0.25) effect sizes were statistically nonsignificant. Exercise programs based on Tai Chi demonstrated statistically better improvements in pain than mixed exercise programs ($P < 0.05$).

Another systematic review by Escalante et al⁷⁷ examined the effectiveness and structure of exercise programs on functional aerobic capacity in individuals with hip and OA of the knee (Table 1). This included 19 RCTs and 1 non-RCT. The programs examined were both aquatic (ie, hydrotherapy)^{37,49,68} and land-based interventions (ie, strength training,^{27,37} Tai Chi,^{55,58,78} aerobic programs,^{27,79–81} and programs with multiple exercise components^{82–89}). The highest pooled effect size was observed for the aerobic programs, followed by Tai Chi, mixed exercise, and hydrotherapy. The authors noted that the programs reviewed in this paper varied considerably based on duration of the programs, the duration and frequency of the sessions, and the content of each program.

Exercise Programs for Severe OA

Most of the studies described included individuals with mild-to-moderate OA of knee and hip. Wallis and Taylor⁹⁰ conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis that examined the effectiveness of nonpharmacological and nonsurgical approaches for the treatment of severe knee and hip OA (defined as pre-total joint replacement, an indicator of joint

failure). Exercise was the most often examined intervention in the 23 studies comprising the review, and the exercise programs included land- and water-based endurance, strengthening, and flexibility activities. Overall, there was low to moderate quality evidence of pain reduction prior to joint replacement with exercise intervention; the SMD was 0.43 for OA of the knee (95% CI, 0.13–0.73) and 0.52 (95% CI, 0.04–1.01) for hip OA. The pain reduction results for the knee are similar to a meta-analysis of 32 studies of mild and moderate OA of the knee (SMD, 0.40; 95% CI, 0.30–0.50).²² Moderate-quality evidence of improved physical function with exercise programs was available for severe hip OA (SMD, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.11–0.83), but this effect was not observed for severe OA of the knee. The studies in this meta-analysis were mostly small RCTs (N = 1461, across all studies), and larger, well-designed RCTs are needed to provide clearer evidence of the effectiveness of exercise programs for severe OA.

Cost-Effectiveness of Exercise Programs for OA

Two recent reports have examined the cost-effectiveness of exercise programs for OA. In a meta-analysis of 11 studies by Pinto et al⁹¹ examining conservative treatments (ie, exercise programs, acupuncture, rehabilitation programs, and lifestyle interventions), 3 studies that included supervised exercise interventions reported improved health outcomes (eg, better physical function or reduced pain) at a lower cost relative to home-based exercise alone,^{92,93} usual care,⁶⁵ or 3 sessions of health education.⁹⁴ The programs examined a range of exercises in these studies: 1) a physiotherapist-led class-based program of stretching, balance training, and strengthening for patients with OA of the knee^{92,93}; 2) a water-based year-long program of strengthening, balance, and aerobic activity for people with hip and OA of the knee⁶⁵; and 3) 2 programs of aerobic walking exercise and full-body resistance training for individuals with OA of the knee.⁹⁴ In the large strength training program RCT of adults with chronic knee pain/OA described by Hurley et al,²⁵ the probability of cost-effectiveness was high (81%–100%) in the exercise/self-management group compared with the usual care group at 30-month follow-up. Cost-effectiveness acceptability curves were used to determine the probability that the ESCAPE-knee pain program was more cost effective compared to usual care. The results of these studies suggest that exercise programs for knee and hip OA not only improve outcomes but are also a cost-effective treatment approach.

Dissemination and Implementation of Exercise Programs for OA

Although there is strong evidence that exercise improves outcomes for patients with hip and OA of the knee, many individuals with OA are physically inactive. For example, in a cohort of individuals with radiographic OA of the knee, only 10% were currently meeting Department of Health and Human Services physical activity guidelines.⁹⁵ There is still a need for more research to increase understanding of the most effective formats and types of physical activity for patients with OA (and how this may vary according to individual patient characteristics), but there is also a critical need to move our current evidence base into practice. Accordingly, promotion of physical activity was a core component of the 2010 National Public Health Agenda for Osteoarthritis.⁷ Research is needed to identify effective strategies for implementing evidence-based physical activity programs and fostering adoption and maintenance of physical activity among adults with OA.

Summary

Evidence supports both aerobic exercise (land-based or water-based) and progressive strengthening exercise for reducing pain and improving physical function in patients with mild-to-moderate OA of the knee. Effects are generally comparable with estimates reported for simple analgesics and NSAIDs for the treatment of knee pain. Because of this strong evidence, clinicians should encourage regular exercise for patients with OA of the knee.

Although the evidence base regarding exercise for patients with hip OA is much smaller than for OA of the knee, studies to date support the effectiveness for these patients. Because of the other important benefits of exercise for overall health, clinicians also should encourage exercise in these patients, even as data continue to emerge.

In addition to more traditional forms of exercise, a small but growing evidence base supports the effectiveness of other types of exercise (eg, Tai Chi, balance training, proprioceptive training) for patients with OA. Even though less is known about the effects of these types of exercise, they provide choices for patients with OA who want alternate forms of activity or to vary their exercise routine.

Many areas still are in need of further research regarding exercise for patients with OA. As previously noted, studies of exercise for patients with hip OA are very limited. Exercise based on biomechanical considerations of the hip should be examined because they may be appropriate and effective for patients with OA at this joint. Hip strengthening exercise

programs seem promising but should be further evaluated to determine their effectiveness for the management of OA of the knee. Few studies of exercise for patients with OA examine other joint sites, including the foot, ankle, and back.

Studies of exercise for OA have evaluated relatively short-term outcomes, but the long-term benefits and potential effects on progression have not been well established. Little is known about best methods for helping patients with OA to maintain exercise beyond a relatively limited study period.

Most studies have focused on patients with mild-to-moderate OA. A few reports suggest that adults with severe OA of the knee (pre-joint replacement) may exhibit improved function and reduced pain after exercise intervention, but larger, high-quality RCTs are necessary to confirm these initial findings. More information is needed on best strategies to foster exercise among patients with severe symptoms. Studies in this area would make an important contribution to clinical practice.

Optimal methods for supporting physical activity for patients with OA are not known. Some evidence suggests exercise programs that include direct supervision of ≥ 12 visits have an advantage for patients with OA of the knee. However, when this level of supervision is not possible, other key strategies may exist for supporting adoption and maintenance of exercise.

Participants in the exercise programs were generally older adults with a greater proportion of women, and comparisons of the effectiveness of program by factors of age and sex were not reported. Several studies conducted programs that allowed for exercise progression based on one's ability, which is a good treatment strategy, but examining effectiveness of programs by age, sex, or other factors (eg, obesity, as examined by Lim et al⁷⁵) may inform tailored clinical care approaches.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this project was provided in part by: Arthritis Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship Award (Golightly) and the National Center for Research Resources and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health, through Grant KL2TR000084 (Golightly). The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Conflict of Interest Statement

Yvonne M. Golightly, PT, PhD, Kelli D. Allen, PhD, and Dennis J. Caine, PhD, disclose no conflicts of interest.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevalence and most common causes of disability among adults—United States, 2005. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2009;58(16):421–426.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Health-related quality of life among adults with arthritis—Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 11 states, 1996–1998. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2000;49(17):366–369.
- deBock GH, Kaptein AA, Touw-Otten F, Mulder JD. Health-related quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis in a family practice setting. *Arthritis Care Res.* 1995;8(2):88–93.
- Domick KL, Ahern FM, Gold CH, Heller DA. Health-related quality of life among older adults with arthritis. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2004;2(1):5.
- Lawrence RC, Felson DT, Helmick CG, et al. Estimates of the prevalence of arthritis and other rheumatic conditions in the United States. Part II. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;58(1):26–35.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Arthritis prevalence and activity limitations—United States, 1990. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 1994;43(24):433–438.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Arthritis Foundation. A National Public Health Agenda for Osteoarthritis 2010. *Semin Arthritis Rheum.* 2010;39(5):323–326.
- Cram P, Lu X, Kates SL, Singh JA, Li Y, Wolf BR. Total knee arthroplasty volume, utilization, and outcomes among Medicare beneficiaries, 1991–2010. *JAMA.* 2012;308(12):1227–1236.
- American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. *The Burden of Musculoskeletal Diseases in the United States: Prevalence, Societal, and Economic Cost.* Rosemont, IL: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons; 2008.
- Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, et al. American College of Rheumatology. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2012;64(4):465–474.
- Jordan KM, Arden NK, Doherty M, et al; Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutic Trials (ESCISIT). EULAR Recommendations 2003: an evidence based approach to the management of knee osteoarthritis: report of a task force of the Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutic Trials (ESCISIT). *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2003;62(12):1145–1155.
- Richmond J, Hunter D, Irrgang J, et al; American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons clinical practice guideline on the treatment of osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010;92(4):990–993.
- Zhang W, Doherty M, Arden N, et al; EULAR Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). EULAR evidence based recommendations for the management of hip osteoarthritis: report of a task force of the EULAR Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2005;64(5):669–681.
- Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis, part I: critical appraisal of existing treatment guidelines and systematic review of current research evidence. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2007;15(9):981–1000.
- Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis, part II: OARSI evidence-based, expert consensus guidelines. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2008;16(2):137–162.
- Zhang W, Nuki G, Moskowitz RW, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis: part III: Changes in evidence following systematic cumulative update of research published through January 2009. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2010;18(4):476–499.
- Bennell KL, Hinman RS. A review of the clinical evidence for exercise in osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2010;14(1):4–9.
- Caine DJ, Golightly YM. Osteoarthritis as an outcome of paediatric sport: an epidemiological perspective. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011;45(4):298–303.

19. Hunter DJ, Eckstein F. Exercise and osteoarthritis. *J Anat.* 2009;214(2): 197–207.
20. Fransen M, McConnell S, Hernandez-Molina G, Reichenbach S. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the hip. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;8(3):CD007912.
21. Fransen M, McConnell S, Hernandez-Molina G, Reichenbach S. Does land-based exercise reduce pain and disability associated with hip osteoarthritis? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2010;18(5):613–620.
22. Fransen M, McConnell S. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;8(4):CD004376.
23. Fransen M, McConnell S. Land-based exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Rheumatol.* 2009;36(6):1109–1117.
24. Roddy E, Zhang W, Doherty M. Aerobic walking or strengthening exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee? A systematic review. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2005;64(4):544–548.
25. Hurley MV, Walsh NE, Mitchell H, Nicholas J, Patel A. Long-term outcomes and costs of an integrated rehabilitation program for chronic knee pain: a pragmatic, cluster randomized, controlled trial. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2012;64(2):238–247.
26. Hurley MV, Walsh N, Bhavnani V, Britten N, Stevenson F. Health beliefs before and after participation on an exercised-based rehabilitation programme for chronic knee pain: doing is believing. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disord.* 2010;11:31.
27. Ettinger WH Jr, Burns R, Messier SP, et al. A randomized trial comparing aerobic exercise and resistance exercise with a health education program in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. The Fitness Arthritis and Seniors Trial (FAST). *JAMA.* 1997;277(1):25–31.
28. Mangione KK, McCully K, Gloviak A, Lefebvre I, Hofmann M, Craik R. The effects of high-intensity and low-intensity cycle ergometry in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 1999;54(4):M184–M190.
29. Liu CJ, Latham NK. Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in older adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;8(3):CD002759.
30. Latham N, Liu CJ. Strength training in older adults: the benefits for osteoarthritis. *Clin Geriatr Med.* 2010;26(3):445–459.
31. Baker KR, Nelson ME, Felson DT, Layne JE, Sarno R, Roubenoff R. The efficacy of home based progressive strength training in older adults with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *J Rheumatol.* 2001;28(7):1655–1665.
32. Lim BW, Hinman RS, Wrigley TV, Sharma L, Bennell KL. Does knee malalignment mediate the effects of quadriceps strengthening on knee adduction moment, pain, and function in medial knee osteoarthritis? A randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;59(7): 943–951.
33. Maurer BT, Stern AG, Kinossian B, Cook KD, Schumacher HR Jr. Osteoarthritis of the knee: isokinetic quadriceps exercise versus an educational intervention. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1999;80(10):1293–1299.
34. Mikesky AE, Mazzuca SA, Brandt KD, Perkins SM, Damush T, Lane KA. Effects of strength training on the incidence and progression of knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006;55(5):690–699.
35. Schilke JM, Johnson GO, Housh TJ, O'Dell JR. Effects of muscle-strength training on the functional status of patients with osteoarthritis of the knee joint. *Nurs Res.* 1996;45(2):68–72.
36. Topp R, Woolley S, Hornyak J III, Khuder S, Kahaleh B. The effect of dynamic versus isometric resistance training on pain and functioning among adults with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2002;83(9):1187–1195.
37. Foley A, Halbert J, Hewitt T, Crotty M. Does hydrotherapy improve strength and physical function in patients with osteoarthritis—a randomised controlled trial comparing a gym based and a hydrotherapy based strengthening programme. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2003;62(12):1162–1167.
38. Pelland L, Brosseau L, Wells G, et al. Efficacy of strengthening exercises for osteoarthritis (Part I): a meta-analysis. *Phys Ther Rev.* 2004;9(2):77–108.
39. Farr JN, Going SB, McKnight PE, Kasle S, Cussler EC, Cornett M. Progressive resistance training improves overall physical activity levels in patients with early osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized controlled trial. *Phys Ther.* 2010;90(3):356–366.
40. McKnight PE, Kasle S, Going S, et al. A comparison of strength training, self-management, and the combination for early osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2010;62(1):45–53.
41. Doi T, Akai M, Fujino K, et al. Effect of home exercise of quadriceps on knee osteoarthritis compared with nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008;87(4):258–269.
42. Jenkinson CM, Doherty M, Avery AJ, et al. Effects of dietary intervention and quadriceps strengthening exercises on pain and function in overweight people with knee pain: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ.* 2009;339:b3170.
43. Bennell KL, Hunt MA, Wrigley TV, et al. Hip strengthening reduces symptoms but not knee load in people with medial knee osteoarthritis and varus malalignment: a randomised controlled trial. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage.* 2010;18(5):621–628.
44. Jan MH, Lin JJ, Liao JJ, Lin YF, Lin DH. Investigation of clinical effects of high- and low-resistance training for patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Phys Ther.* 2008;88(4):427–436.
45. Sayers SP, Gibson K, Cook CR. Effect of high-speed power training on muscle performance, function, and pain in older adults with knee osteoarthritis: a pilot investigation. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2012;64(1):46–53.
46. Silva A, Serrão PR, Driusso P, Mattiello SM. The effects of therapeutic exercise on the balance of women with knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Rev Bras Fisioter.* 2012;16(1):1–9.
47. Diracoglu D, Aydin R, Baskent A, Celik A. Effects of kinesthesia and balance exercises in knee osteoarthritis. *J Clin Rheumatol.* 2005;11(6): 303–310.
48. Messier SP, Royer TD, Craven TE, O'Toole ML, Burns R, Ettinger WH Jr. Long-term exercise and its effect on balance in older, osteoarthritic adults: results from the Fitness, Arthritis, and Seniors Trial (FAST). *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2000;48(2):131–138.
49. Hinman RS, Heywood SE, Day AR. Aquatic physical therapy for hip and knee osteoarthritis: results of a single-blind randomized controlled trial. *Phys Ther.* 2007;87(1):32–43.
50. Song R, Lee EO, Lam P, Bae SC. Effects of tai chi exercise on pain, balance, muscle strength, and perceived difficulties in physical functioning in older women with osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Rheumatol.* 2003;30(9):2039–2044.
51. Trans T, Aaboe J, Henriksen M, Christensen R, Bliddal H, Lund H. Effect of whole body vibration exercise on muscle strength and proprioception in females with knee osteoarthritis. *Knee.* 2009;16(4): 256–261.
52. Chaipinyo K, Karoonsupcharoen O. No difference between home-based strength training and home-based balance training on pain in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomised trial. *Aust J Physiother.* 2009;55(1):25–30.
53. Jan MH, Lin CH, Lin YF, Lin JJ, Lin DH. Effects of weight-bearing versus nonweight-bearing exercise on function, walking speed, and position sense in participants with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2009;90(6):897–904.
54. Avelar NC, Simão AP, Tossige-Gomes R, et al. The effect of adding whole-body vibration to squat training on the functional performance and self-report of disease status in elderly patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized, controlled clinical study. *J Altern Complement Med.* 2011;17(12):1149–1155.
55. Ni GX, Song L, Yu B, Huang CH, Lin JH. Tai chi improves physical function in older Chinese women with knee osteoarthritis. *J Clin Rheumatol.* 2010;16(2):64–67.
56. Song R, Roberts BL, Lee EO, Lam P, Bae SC. A randomized study of the effects of t'ai chi on muscle strength, bone mineral density, and fear of falling in women with osteoarthritis. *J Altern Complement Med.* 2010;16(3):227–233.

57. Fransen M, Nairn L, Winstanley J, Lam P, Edmonds J. Physical activity for osteoarthritis management: a randomized controlled clinical trial evaluating hydrotherapy or Tai Chi classes. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007;15(57):407–414.
58. Wang C, Schmid CH, Hibberd PL, et al. Tai Chi is effective in treating knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2009;61(11):1545–1553.
59. Smith TO, King JJ, Hing CB. The effectiveness of proprioceptive-based exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rheumatol Int.* 2012;32(11):3339–3351.
60. Tsao JY, Cheng PF, Yang RS. The effects of sensorimotor training on knee proprioception and function for patients with knee osteoarthritis: a preliminary report. *Clin Rehabil.* 2008;22(5):448–457.
61. Fitzgerald GK, Piva SR, Gil AB, Wisniewski SR, Oddis CV, Irrgang JJ. Agility and perturbation training techniques in exercise therapy for reducing pain and improving function in people with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *Phys Ther.* 2011;91(4):452–469.
62. Lin DH, Lin CH, Lin YF, Jan MH. Efficacy of 2 non-weight-bearing interventions, proprioception training versus strength training, for patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2009;39(6):450–457.
63. Lin DH, Lin YF, Chai HM, Han YC, Jan MH. Comparison of proprioceptive functions between computerized proprioception facilitation exercise and closed kinetic chain exercise in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2007;26(4):520–528.
64. Bartels EM, Lund H, Hagen KB, Dagfinrud H, Christensen R, Danneskiold-Samsøe B. Aquatic exercise for the treatment of knee and hip osteoarthritis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007;(4):CD005523.
65. Cochrane T, Davey RC, Matthes Edwards SM. Randomised controlled trial of the cost-effectiveness of water-based therapy for lower limb osteoarthritis. *Health Technol Assess.* 2005;9(31):1–114.
66. Patrick DL, Ramsey SD, Spencer AC, Kinne S, Belza B, Topolski TD. Economic evaluation of aquatic exercise for persons with osteoarthritis. *Med Care.* 2001;39(5):413–424.
67. Stener-Victorin E, Kruse-Smidje C, Jung K. Comparison between electro-acupuncture and hydrotherapy, both in combination with patient education and patient education alone, on the symptomatic treatment of osteoarthritis of the hip. *Clin J Pain.* 2004;20(3):179–185.
68. Wang TT. *Aquatic exercise improves flexibility, strength, and walk time in osteoarthritis* [PhD thesis]. Seattle: University of Washington; 2004.
69. Wyatt FB, Milam S, Manske RC, Deere R. The effects of aquatic and traditional exercise programs on persons with knee osteoarthritis. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2001;15(3):337–340.
70. Wang TJ, Belza B, Elaine Thompson F, Whitney JD, Bennett K. Effects of aquatic exercise on flexibility, strength and aerobic fitness in adults with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *J Adv Nurs.* 2007;57(2):141–152.
71. Lund H, Weile U, Christensen R, et al. A randomized controlled trial of aquatic and land-based exercise in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J Rehabil Med.* 2008;40(2):137–144.
72. Batterham SI, Heywood S, Keating JL. Systematic review and meta-analysis comparing land and aquatic exercise for people with hip or knee arthritis on function, mobility and other health outcomes. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2011;12:123.
73. Wang TJ, Lee SC, Liang SY, Tung HH, Wu SF, Lin YP. Comparing the efficacy of aquatic exercises and land-based exercises for patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J Clin Nurs.* 2011;20(17–18):2609–2622.
74. Fransen M, Nairn L, Winstanley J, Lam P, Edmonds J. Physical activity for osteoarthritis management: a randomized controlled clinical trial evaluating hydrotherapy or Tai Chi classes. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007;57(3):407–414.
75. Lim JY, Tchai E, Jang SN. Effectiveness of aquatic exercise for obese patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *PM R.* 2010;2(8):723–731.
76. Escalante Y, Saavedra JM, García-Hermoso A, Silva AJ, Barbosa TM. Physical exercise and reduction of pain in adults with lower limb osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2010;23(4):175–186.
77. Escalante Y, García-Hermoso A, Saavedra JM. Effects of exercise on functional aerobic capacity in lower limb osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2011;14(3):190–198.
78. An B, Dai K, Zhu Z, Wang Y, Hao Y, Tang T, Yan H. Baduanjin alleviates the symptoms of knee osteoarthritis. *J Altern Complement Med.* 2008;14(2):167–174.
79. Bruno M, Cummins S, Gaudiano L, Stoos J, Blanpied P. Effectiveness of two Arthritis Foundation programs: Walk With Ease, and YOU Can Break the Pain Cycle. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2006;1(3):295–306.
80. Kovar PA, Allegrante JP, MacKenzie CR, Peterson MG, Gutin B, Charlson ME. Supervised fitness walking in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 1992;116(7):529–534.
81. Peterson MG, Kovar-Toledano PA, Otis JC, Allegrante JP, Mackenzie CR, Gutin B, Kroll MA. Effect of a walking program on gait characteristics in patients with osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res.* 1993;6(1):11–16.
82. Callahan LF, Mielenz T, Freburger J, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the people with arthritis can exercise program: symptoms, function, physical activity, and psychosocial outcomes. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;59(1):92–101.
83. Deyle GD, Henderson NE, Matekel RL, Ryder MG, Garber MB, Allison SC. Effectiveness of manual physical therapy and exercise in osteoarthritis of the knee. A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000;132(3):173–181.
84. Focht BC, Rejeski WJ, Ambrosius WT, Katula JA, Messier SP. Exercise, self-efficacy, and mobility performance in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2005;53(5):659–665.
85. Hughes SL, Seymour RB, Campbell R, Pollak N, Huber G, Sharma L. Impact of the fit and strong intervention on older adults with osteoarthritis. *Gerontologist.* 2004;44(2):217–228.
86. Hughes SL, Seymour RB, Campbell RT, et al. Long-term impact of Fit and Strong! on older adults with osteoarthritis. *Gerontologist.* 2006;46(6):801–814.
87. Messier SP, Loeser RF, Miller GD, et al. Exercise and dietary weight loss in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis: the Arthritis, Diet, and Activity Promotion Trial. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2004;50(5):1501–1510.
88. Peloquin L, Bravo G, Gauthier P, Lacombe G, Billiard JS. Effects of a cross-training exercise program in persons with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Rheumatol.* 1999;5(3):126–136.
89. Algamis B, Roaman NF, Yaman H. The effect of a 12-week supervised multicomponent exercise program on OA of the knee in Turkish women. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2008;21(2):121–128.
90. Wallis JA, Taylor NF. Pre-operative interventions (non-surgical and non-pharmacological) for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis awaiting joint replacement surgery—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2011;19(12):1381–1395.
91. Pinto D, Robertson MC, Hansen P, Abbott JH. Cost-effectiveness of nonpharmacologic, nonsurgical interventions for hip and/or knee osteoarthritis: systematic review. *Value Health.* 2012;15(1):1–12.
92. McCarthy CJ, Mills PM, Pullen R, et al. Supplementation of a home-based exercise programme with a class-based programme for people with osteoarthritis of the knees: a randomised controlled trial and health economic analysis. *Health Technol Assess.* 2004;8(46):1–61.
93. Richardson G, Hawkins N, McCarthy CJ, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a supplementary class-based exercise program in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care.* 2006;22(1):84–89.
94. Sevik MA, Bradham DD, Muender M, et al. Cost-effectiveness of aerobic and resistance exercise in seniors with knee osteoarthritis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000;32(9):1534–1540.
95. Song J, Hochberg MC, Chang RW, et al; D, for the OAI Investigators. Racial/ethnic differences in physical activity guideline attainment among participants in the Osteoarthritis Initiative [published online ahead of print July 17, 2012]. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).*