What Jails Cost Statewide: Methodology and Data Sources

March 2021

For more information on Vera's methodology, or to request access to specific datasets, contact Bea Halbach-Singh, research associate, at bhalbach@vera.org.

Project Background

The Vera Institute of Justice (Vera) collected county budget data from open data sources in Kentucky and Tennessee. This analysis focused on data from the fiscal year ending in July 2019, which was the most recently available data for the greatest number of counties. Vera also collected fiscal year 2007 budget data in order to analyze trends in jail spending over time. The fiscal year 2007 data was adjusted for inflation using the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U).

For some counties, data was not available via the open data source. This was the case for some consolidated city-county governments, including Nashville (Davidson County) and Memphis (Shelby County) in Tennessee and Lexington (Fayette County) in Kentucky. In all cases where data was missing from the open data source, Vera staff attempted to collect the data directly from local budget and financial audit documents available via the county or city's website or via an open records request. See "Data Sources for Manually Collected Data" on page nine for a complete list of counties in which data had to be collected manually.

Using the budget data, Vera sought to derive the following information for each county:

- total annual jail spending;
- percent of total county budget spent on jail(s);
- revenues received to house people for the state Department of Correction (DOC), federal authorities, and other counties; and
- revenues collected in the form of jail user fees and charges drawn from incarcerated people.

Vera attempted to determine total jail spending for each county, regardless of whether the county operates zero, one, or multiple jail facilities. Counties that do not operate a jail still incur expenses associated with sending people to be housed in other counties.

Vera sought to obtain data to the greatest level of detail available, so that total jail spending could be broken down and analyzed by the type of spending (i.e., personnel costs, operating expenses, capital expenses, etc.). Of the 213 counties included in this project, the following five counties either had fewer lines of detailed data available or had data categorized in an atypical way (i.e., by program rather than by type of expense):

- Jefferson County, Kentucky included only four lines of data about its jail expenses contractual services, equipment/capital outlay, personnel services, and supplies.
- Shelby County, Tennessee included only seven lines of data: jail administration, jail
 operations, and jail programs (tied to the jail department); total salaries and total operating
 costs (tied to the corrections department and listed under enterprise funds); and total
 salaries and total operating costs (tied to the corrections department and listed under grant
 funds).

- Davidson County, Tennessee included 15 lines of data, each tied to specific programs (rather than listed by type of expense).
- McMinn County, Tennessee included two lines of data—total expenses tied to the jail department and total expenses tied to work release.
- Hamilton County, Tennessee included two lines of data—total expenses tied to the jail department and total expenses tied to the Silverdale Jail Facility, operated by Corrections Corporation of America.

Data Sources

Budget Data

Kentucky

Vera downloaded data from the <u>Kentucky Department for Local Government website</u>. This data comes from the Department for Local Government's (DLG) County Budget Database and includes information that has been reported to DLG. It only includes fiscal court budget information, so does not include any data on school districts or other taxing districts.

Jail expenses and revenues were categorized according to the state's **Uniform Chart of Accounts**.

Tennessee

Data was provided by the University of Tennessee County Technical Assistance Service and represents audited county financial data prepared by the Office of the Comptroller of the Treasury, Division of Local Government Audit, for fiscal years 2007 to 2019. This data is also available for download for individual counties via the Transparency and Accountability for Governments (TAG) in Tennessee website. The data does not include: (1) a county's other fund types, such as enterprise and agency funds; (2) other component units, including the School Department component; and (3) amounts classified as other financing sources and uses.

Jail expenses and revenues were categorized according to the state's accounting codes, found on pages 95 to 110 of the <u>Tennessee Budget Manual</u>.

Jail Population Data

Vera used jail population data from multiple sources to calculate incarceration rates and other variables that require jail population data and to estimate cost savings associated with reductions in the local jail population.

The following data points were calculated using data from the Incarceration Trends Project (ITP) dataset for both states:

- the ratio of incarceration for Black people compared to white people in 2015,
- the proportion of people in jail who were Black in 2015,
- the proportion of people in the county who were Black in 2015,
- the state-wide female jail population in 1980,
- the state-wide female share of jail population in 1980, and

• the state-wide jail incarceration rate in 1980.1

The following data points were calculated using data from the <u>weekly jail reports</u> published by the Kentucky DOC and from the <u>jail summary reports</u> published monthly by the Tennessee DOC:

- the state-wide jail population in 2007 (for Tennessee only),²
- the female jail population for each county and state-wide in 2019,
- the state-wide female share of jail population in 2019,
- the state-wide jail incarceration rate in 2019,
- the state-wide jail population in 2019,
- the state-wide ratio of jail incarceration in rural versus urban counties in 2019,
- the average daily jail population in 2019 by county, and
- the average daily cost per incarcerated person in 2019 by county.

In Kentucky, Vera used data from the <u>January 7, 2021 weekly report</u> to calculate the jail cost savings associated with reductions in each county's local jail population. Vera calculated the local jail population—that is, the number of people held in the jail under the county's authority and not for a federal or state agency—by subtracting population totals for the following categories from the total jail population:

- "class D inmates."
- "CC eligible inmates,"
- "alternative sentence,"
- "controlled intake,"
- "parole violators," and
- "federal inmates."

In Tennessee, Vera used data from the <u>November 30, 2020 monthly report</u> to calculate jail cost savings associated with reductions in each county's local jail population. Vera calculated the local jail population by summing the population totals for the following categories to arrive at the number of people held under county authority:

- "other convicted felons."
- "convicted misdemeanor,"
- "pretrial felony," and
- "pretrial misdemeanor."

Resident Population Data

¹ Vera Institute of Justice, "Incarceration Trends," http://trends.vera.org/incarceration-rates?data=pretrial.

² For Kentucky, the statewide jail population in 2007 was calculated based on data from the Incarceration Trends Project (ITP) dataset, as the weekly jail reports published by the Department of Corrections are only available starting in 2011.

Vera used county resident population data from the U.S. Census Bureau's Annual Population Estimates to calculate the following data points:

- the state-wide jail incarceration rate in 2019,3
- the state-wide ratio of jail incarceration rates in rural versus urban counties in 2019, and
- jail spending per county resident in 2007 and in 2019.4

Total County Budget

Total county spending was defined using the criteria described below.

Kentucky

In many places, spending on the local jail(s) primarily comes from a city or county's general fund. However, in Kentucky, the vast majority of jail spending is listed under a county's "jail fund." In order to accurately capture the percentage of the total county budget spent on jails, Vera defined total county spending as the sum of all expenditures across all funds (rather than the sum of general fund expenditures). Since the state's open data source only contains fiscal court budget information, total county spending excludes spending on school districts or other taxing districts.

Data had to be manually collected from the city budget documents in Lexington (Fayette County) and Louisville (Jefferson County). In Lexington, total county spending reflects total appropriations from the General Services District Fund, which encompasses most basic services provided to residents, including jail spending. In Louisville, total county spending reflects total expenditures across all funds.

Tennessee

Vera defined total county spending as the sum of general fund expenditures, as the majority of jail spending in Tennessee is listed under counties' general funds. This number was used as the denominator in order to determine the percentage of the total county budget spent on jails.

Data had to be manually collected from city budget documents in Memphis (Shelby County) and Nashville (Davidson County). In Memphis, total county spending reflects total general fund expenditures. In Nashville, total county spending reflects total expenditures from the General Services District Fund, which encompasses most basic services provided to residents, including jail spending.

Jail Spending

Total jail spending was derived using the criteria described below.

Kentucky

³ Incarceration rates were calculated using the population of residents ages 15 to 64.

⁴ For 2007, Vera used the following dataset: U.S. Census Bureau, "Intercensal Estimates of the Resident Population for Counties: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2010," https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/popest/intercensal-2000-2010-counties.html.

Total jail spending includes:

- all expenditures listed under the office of the jailer;
- expenses tied to the housing of "class D felons" (people incarcerated by the state) in county jails and work release for people incarcerated by the state, regardless of which fund these expenditures originate from (general fund, jail fund, or any other funds);
- debt service expenses;
- administration expenses; and
- capital project expenses from the jail fund or jail construction fund.

The data excludes:

- expenditures related to juvenile detention services; and
- a small amount of expenditure that originates from the jail fund but is listed under departments other than the office of the jailer, housing of class D felons, debt service, administration, and capital project expenses (for example, emergency dispatch service, other health programs, other social service programs, etc.).

As such, the data may under-represent the complete cost of jails.

Vera encountered exceptions to these budget components in the counties listed below.

- Elliott County: jail spending represents expenditures from the jail fund only.
- Johnson County: jail spending represents expenditures from the jail fund only.
- Fayette County: jail spending represents expenditures tied to the Community Corrections Administration and Adult Detention Departments.
- Jefferson County: jail spending represents expenditures listed under the Department of Corrections.

Tennessee

Total jail spending includes:

- all expenditures tied to the jail; and
- expenditures tied to the workhouse, Correctional Incentive Program, and Work Release Program, where reported.

The data excludes:

- expenditures tied to juvenile detention;
- debt service; and
- other expenditures that may contribute to the funding of the jail but that are listed under departments other than the jail, workhouse, Correctional Incentive Program, or Work Release Program.

Thus, this data is likely to under-represent the complete cost of jails.

Vera encountered exceptions to these budget components in the counties listed below.

• Claiborne County: jail spending represents expenditures listed under Workhouse only.

- Polk County: jail spending represents expenditures listed under Correctional Incentive Program only.
- DeKalb County: jail spending represents expenditures listed under Correctional Incentive Program only.
- Hamilton County: total jail spending represents expenditures listed under "jail" and "Silverdale facility."
- Hardeman County: jail spending represents expenditures listed under Workhouse.
- Henderson County: jail spending represents expenditures listed under Workhouse.
- McMinn County: jail spending represents expenditures listed under Jail and Work Release.
- Washington County: jail spending represents expenditures listed under Jail and Work Release.
- Davidson County: jail spending represents expenditures tied to correctional programs listed under the sheriff's department.
- Shelby County: jail spending represents general fund expenditures listed under Jail Department and expenditures from both enterprise funds and from grant funds listed under Corrections Department.

Missing Data

Data on jail spending for Knox and Robertson Counties was not available on the open data website and could not be located on local government websites.

Revenues from Other Governments

Kentucky

The following types of revenue were included as payments to house people for the state DOC:

- Class D Felon Payments: payment to house people serving class D felonies; and
- State Prisoner Payment (Controlled Intake): payment to house people who are awaiting transfer to a state facility.

The following types of revenue were included as payments to house people for federal or other authorities:

- Federal Prisoner Payment: payment to house people for federal government agencies; and
- Intercounty Jail Contract: payment to house people for other counties.

Vera encountered the following exceptions:

- Jefferson County reported revenues received from the DOC, federal authorities, and the county as a single number. This number also includes an annual stipend from the state for the operation of the correctional facility and Local Corrections Assistance Funds used to support local correctional facilities and programs, including the transportation of people in iail.
- Fayette County: data on payments to house people for other authorities could not be identified in budget documents.

Tennessee

The following types of revenue were included as payments to house people for the state DOC:

• Contracted Prisoner Boarding (listed under State Revenues).

The following types of revenue were included as payments to house people for federal or other authorities:

• Prisoner Board (listed under Other Governments and Citizens Groups).

Vera encountered exceptions in the following counties:

• Davidson, Hamilton, McMinn, and Shelby Counties: data on payments to house people for other authorities could not be identified in budget documents.

Revenues from Jail User Fees and Charges

Kentucky

The following types of revenue were included as jail user fees and charges:

- warrant service fees,
- telephone commission (jail fund only),
- concession sales (jail fund only),
- prisoner reimbursement,
- charges for services/service fees/etc. (jail fund only),
- general prisoner population,
- fines and forfeitures (jail fund only),
- home incarceration fees,
- jail (work release),
- jailer's bond acceptance fee,
- bond payment fees,
- vending machine commission (jail fund only), and
- jail canteen profits.

Vera encountered exceptions in the following counties.

Jefferson County. The following types of revenue were included as jail user fees and charges:

- inmate telephone fee,
- vending machine,
- home incarceration,
- inmate room & board,
- fingerprint fees,
- commissary revenues, and
- booking fees.

Fayette County. The following types of revenue were included as jail user fees and charges:

- detention center prisoner fees,
- district court jail fees,
- detention center other,
- detention work release fees.
- detention center bed fees, and
- detention center medical reimbursement.

Tennessee

The following types of revenue were included as jail user fees and charges:

- commissary sales,
- jail fees,
- telephone commissions, and
- work release charges for board.

Vera encountered exceptions in the following budget data:

• Davidson, Hamilton, McMinn, and Shelby Counties: data on revenue from jail user fees and charges could not be identified in budget documents.

Estimating Potential Jail Cost Savings

Vera estimated savings for three types of jail costs: (1) variable costs that directly related to the care and custody of the jail population and change immediately as the jail population changes, such as costs for food and uniforms; (2) step-fixed costs for corrections officer salaries that remain constant until the jail population crosses a threshold at which a housing unit can be closed; and (3) step-fixed costs for administrative staff and other operating expenses. Vera estimated the size of housing units at 50 beds.⁵ Vera assumes that savings for administrative staff can be made in stages—when the jail population falls below 75 percent, 50 percent, and 25 percent of the jail's current population. The justification for these thresholds is that right-sizing a jail's administration and maintenance staff is necessary as the jail population declines by large margins. Vera does not make any reduction to the portion of the jail personnel budget (assumed to be 10 percent) that is estimated to support programming, social services, and medical care. The most recent data available indicates that 6 percent of jail staff are educational or professional staff, which includes psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, counselors, medical doctors, nurses, paramedics, chaplains, and legal specialists.

Jail cost savings are only estimated for counties that operate a full-service jail and for counties in which data on the jail population was available. Cost savings were not estimated for 40 counties without jails and three counties with "life safety jails" that do not report jail population data in

⁵ A 2015 survey found that housing units averaged 60 beds. However, Vera estimated the size of housing units downwards as Kentucky and Tennessee are both home to many small jails. Christian Henrichson, Joshua Rinaldi, and Ruth Delaney, *The Price of Jails: Measuring the Taxpayer Cost of Local* Incarceration, https://www.vera.org/publications/the-price-of-jails-measuring-the-taxpayer-cost-of-local-incarceration.

Kentucky; Pickett County, Tennessee, which does not operate a jail; and Union County, Kentucky, which operates a full-service jail but did not report any people in the jail at the time of this writing, and did not have data available on how many people it sends to be housed in other counties.

Acknowledgments

Vera would like to thank the following people, associations, and departments for their assistance and guidance throughout the process of creating this tool.

Kentucky

In Kentucky, Vera would like to thank the Department for Local Government and Matthew Frohlich—currently deputy chief financial officer for the City of Charleston, formerly local government branch manager—who was responsible for conducting the jail cost analysis in Kentucky from 2004 to 2013.

Tennessee

Vera thanks Executive Director David Connor of the Tennessee County Services Association. At the University of Tennessee County Technical Assistance Service, Vera would like to thank County Government Consultants Mike Galey, Ben Rodgers, and Kelsey Schweitzer, and Jail Management Consultant Jim Hart.

Data Sources for Manually Collected Data

County	Link(s)	Notes
Elliott County, KY	2019 county budget was obtained via an open records request. Document can be made available upon request.	
Fayette County, KY	2007: https://perma.cc/3PEM-BFCF 2019: https://perma.cc/ZTT6-2JC6	Total County Spending 2007: Page 13 2019: Page 2 Jail Spending 2007: Page 72
		2019: Page 105
Jefferson County, KY	2007: https://perma.cc/RDQ5-SZJS	Total County Spending 2007: Page 1
	2019: https://perma.cc/BD8U-HAGR	2019: Page 99
		Jail Spending
		2007: Page 40
		2019: Page 133

Johnson County, KY	2019 county budget was obtained via an open records request. Document can be made available upon request.	
Blount County, TN	2019: https://perma.cc/DJ2F-KXF3	2019 payments to house people for state DOC: Pages 188-189
		2019 jail user fees and charges: Pages 186, 187, and 191
Claiborne County, TN	2007: https://perma.cc/C5L8-79FV 2019: https://perma.cc/R2ML-K9X2	Total County Spending 2007: Page 46 2019: Page 26
	2019. https://perma.cc/ N2WIE 18982	Jail Spending 2007: Exhibit H-6, Page 71 2019: Pages 150-151
Davidson County, TN	2007 - Total County Spending: https://perma.cc/gYUH-VV5U	Total County Spending 2007: Page A-3 2019: Page A-12
	2007 – Jail Spending: https://perma.cc/B24S-7QN8	Jail Spending 2007: Page C-80
	2019 – Total County Spending: https://perma.cc/5TTZ-8FSM	2019: Pages 1-10
	2019 - Jail Spending: https://perma.cc/3EPE-M655	
DeKalb County, TN	2007: https://perma.cc/N4DS-UXN8 2019: https://perma.cc/E679-HRU2	Total County Spending 2007: Page 44 2019: Page 30
		Jail Spending 2007: Page 82 2019: Page 163
Hamilton County, TN	2007: https://perma.cc/3ZXR-GYYE 2019: https://perma.cc/67NQ-3WTD	Total County Spending 2007: Page A-10 2019: Page A-7
		Jail Spending 2007: Page A-11 2019: Page C-3
Hardeman County, TN	2007: https://perma.cc/BTZg-DUSN	Total County Spending 2007: Page 63
	2019: <u>https://perma.cc/WPW4-3VG8</u>	2019: Page 26

		Jail Spending
		2007: Pages 117-118
		2019: Page 147
Henderson County, TN	2007: https://perma.cc/4D93-M6C7	Total County Spending
Tienacison county, 110	2007. <u>Intepo.// perma.ce/ 4295 M1007</u>	2007: Page 53
	2019: https://perma.cc/4L5U-TWX9	2019: Page 27
	2019. <u>https://perma.cc/4L30_1 w.kg</u>	2019. 1 agc 2/
		Jail Spending
		2007: Page 112
		2019: Page 155
McMinn County, TN	2007: https://perma.cc/7VT7-9882	Total County Spending
,,		2007: Page A-11
	2019: https://perma.cc/ZgFX-EAL5	2019: Page A-11
	2019. <u>https://perma.cc/29171 2012</u> 5	2019. Tuge 11 11
		Jail Spending
		2007: Page C-2
		2019: Page C-2
Polk County, TN	2007: https://perma.cc/6678-B5P4	Total County Spending
1	, — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	2007: Page 43
	2019: https://perma.cc/X7SS-RBJE	2019: Page 25
		.)8
		Jail Spending
		2007: Pages 87-88
		2019: Pages 157-158
Shelby County, TN	2007 – Total County Spending:	Total County Spending
	https://perma.cc/T2UD-LQZ8	2007: Page 3
		2019: Page 63
	2007 – Jail Spending:	
	1) https://perma.cc/9PT8-8436	Jail Spending
	2) https://perma.cc/JYC8-PRAH	2007:
	/ 	1) Pages 6, 11-12
	2019 – Total County Spending:	2) Pages 46, 48-51
	https://perma.cc/XUL3-UASQ	2019: Pages 146, 147, and
	=======================================	191
	2019 – Jail Spending:	
	https://perma.cc/5UU4-U553	
Van Buren County, TN	2019: https://perma.cc/8U4P-5ZK2	2019 payments to house
van Baren county, 11v	2013. <u>Intepo., / perma.ce/ 0.041 June</u>	people for state DOC:
		Page 138
		1 460 130
		2019 jail user fees and
		charges: Page 136
Washington County, TN	2007: https://perma.cc/D65U-XBT7	Total County Spending
<i>J</i>	,	2007: Page 19
		2019: Page 42
	2019: https://perma.cc/458D-H7G6	- 7· - · 0 · T-
	,	

		Jail Spending
		2007: Pages 114-115
		2019: Pages 210-211
Wilson County, TN	2019: https://perma.cc/9PT8-8436	2019 payments to house people for state DOC: Page 219
		2019 jail user fees and
		charges: Pages 215-217