Document Type: EA-Administrative Record Index Field: Project Name: Reservoir

Environmental Assessment 250 Linear Feet of Shoreline Stabilization - Watts Bar

Project Number: 2019-18

250 LINEAR FEET OF SHORELINE STABILIZATION -WATTS BAR RESERVOIR **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT Roane County, Tennessee**

Prepared by: TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY Knoxville, Tennessee

March 2019

This page intentionally left blank

Purpose and Need for Action

The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is proposing to stabilize eroding shoreline using rock riprap on Watts Bar Reservoir in order to address severe erosion and undercutting of the shoreline.

TVA is responsible for the management of public shoreline on Watts Bar Reservoir and for the protection of shoreline and aquatic resources, while providing reasonable public access. The proposal is intended to minimize the destabilization and erosion of the shoreline and banks. Controlling erosion enhances water quality by reducing sedimentation; it improves aesthetics and reduces property loss; and it has a positive impact on aquatic habitat since silt from erosion can cover the graveled bottom where fish spawn. The proposal supports and is consistent with TVA's mission of environmental stewardship, the objectives for water resource management in the 2011 Natural Resources Plan (NRP), and TVA's management goals set forth in the 2009 TVA Watts Bar Reservoir Land Management Plan (RLMP).

Proposed Action

The proposed stabilization project would consist of placing rock riprap along 250 linear feet of shoreline on Watts Bar Reservoir, Tennessee River Mile 548.2 in Roane County, Tennessee. Rock riprap of sufficient size (13-25 inches in diameter) will be installed from the toe (two feet below normal pool) to the top of the eroding bank (745 feet mean sea level). Delivery and placement of the riprap will be conducted by barge, and filter fabric will be applied where practical. The project location map is included in Attachment 1.

Photographs of the area below the shoreline depict nearly vertical cutbanks. The banks are covered with limited grass, forbs, and brush vegetation. Photographs of the area are included in Attachment 3. Disturbed ground not covered by existing shoreline buffer plantings will be seeded and/or planted utilizing woody and herbaceous plants. The shoreline stabilization plan can be found in Attachment 2. TVA proposes to conduct the work in the spring of 2019 and estimates the work would be completed in less than one month. In the future, the riprap installation may periodically require routine, minor maintenance (i.e., the addition of rock riprap at locations where sloughing has occurred).

Riprap is considered fill material and is therefore subject to Sections 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). Before implementing the project, TVA must obtain an Aquatic Resource Alteration Permit (ARAP) from the State of Tennessee, Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), under Section 401. TVA must also gain approval for the project from the U.S. Department of Army, Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), under Section 404. This project qualifies for USACE's Nationwide Permit for Bank Stabilization (NWP-13). Such approval is required when the waters of the United States (U.S.) could be altered by a project.

TVA is also considering taking no action (i.e., not placing riprap along 250 feet of Watts Bar to stabilize the streamline erosion issues). Taking no action would not address these resource condition issues nor would it help TVA achieve its goals and objectives for managing the public shoreline. Taking no action is included in this analysis to provide a baseline for comparison of project impacts and benefits. TVA also considered other stabilization methods such as vegetation and bioengineering, but dismissed them from further consideration because the success of those methods in addressing critical erosion of such high banks is limited.

Environmental Impacts

TVA has reviewed the proposed project and documented potential environmental impacts related to the project in the attached categorical exclusion checklist (Checklist, Attachment 4). The Checklist identifies the resources present in the project area and documents TVA's determination that the proposal would not significantly affect these resources.

As documented in the Checklist, the proposal would have no effect to endangered, threatened, or special status plant, aquatic, or wildlife species. TVA conducted a review of its Natural Heritage Database and found that no federally listed species have been documented within three miles of the project footprint. The proposed bank stabilization will not require the removal of trees; therefore, there will be no adverse impacts to Myotis species. A number of activities associated with the proposed project were addressed in TVA's programmatic consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on routine actions and federally listed bats in accordance with ESA Section 7(a)(2) completed in April 2018. In the programmatic agreement, TVA committed to implementing specific conservation measures for those activities with potential to affect bats. The conservation measures identified in Attachment 5 would be implemented as part of the proposed project. There are two federal listed and three state listed aquatic species found within 10 miles of the proposed actions: however, habitat at the proposed site is not suitable for the species known to occur in the vicinity. There is one federally listed and seven state listed plant species found within 5 miles of the proposed action. Due to the nature of the action and location, the proposed action will have no effect on any protected plant species. Furthermore, there are no listed terrestrial animals found within three miles of the area of potential effects (APE).

A review of the National Register of Historic Places and the Tennessee Historical Commission Viewer indicated that no historic properties exist within the APE or its viewshed. A review of TVA's land acquisition maps and USGS historical topographic maps indicates there are no otherwise known historic structures with the APE. In 2000, TVA performed an archaeological survey of the project area (Ahlman et al 2000), and no cultural deposits or artifacts were identified. The APE below the shoreline area has been heavily eroded, while the areas above the shoreline consist of a gentle to moderate hillside slope. Given the survey results, terrain characteristics and absence of the properties listed on the National Register of Historic Places and the Tennessee Historical Commission Viewer, TVA finds there is a reliable basis for concluding the project area and its viewshed contain no historic properties. The proposed action would have no effect to historic properties.

A review of the National Wetland Inventory database indicates that there are no wetlands at the location, and there are no expected impacts to water flow or the river channel. The parcel is not located within or adjacent to a wildlife management, park, scenic, or heritage area. Because there are few riprap installations in this area of the reservoir, the riprap may noticeably contrast with the natural appearance of shorelines within view of this section of the reservoir. Such visual impacts would be minor and would lessen over time as the riprap weathers.

In accordance with TVA's previous review of certain repetitive actions in the 100-year floodplain TVA determined there were no practicable alternative that would avoid siting in the floodplain; the bank stabilization project is expected to have insignificant potential effects. Navigation of the river system would not be impacted by the project. During construction, some soil erosion may occur or dredged or fill materials may be discharged and minor and temporary impacts may occur to riparian vegetation along the shoreline as

the riprap is placed. However, TVA would implement standard measures and apply best management practices in implementing the project in order to minimize or mitigate these potential impacts. While some erosion may occur during construction, the primary beneficial effect of the project will be the long-term reduction in erosion of the shoreline and in sloughing of banks.

If TVA does not take action, the shoreline would continue eroding and the undercutting and sloughing of banks would likely worsen. Erosion of the shoreline would continue to increase water turbidity. Banks that are currently vertical or near vertical may be heightened by continued erosion. As portions of the bank slough into the reservoir, some vegetation would also become unstable and fall onto the shoreline. The portions of the shoreline that are more gently sloped may become vertical over time, with greater undercutting of the bank. Continued erosion and degrading conditions of the shoreline, such as an increase of vertical banks is expected to make access to the are more difficult for recreationists, as it is likely the shoreline currently used as access points will become destabilized over time.

The proposal is limited in scope and designed to improve degraded conditions along shoreline in this area of Watts Bar Reservoir. The potential adverse impacts of the project, when added to adverse impacts from other activities within the immediate area, would be insignificant. TVA regularly considers shoreline stabilization projects in Watts Bar reservoir. TVA also regularly considers proposals by property owners on the reservoir for minor structures or docks which may include the installation of riprap to stabilize the shoreline along the property. Cumulatively, these stabilization projects would change the character of small portions of the reservoir's shoreline but would have beneficial overall impacts – though very diffuse in reach – because of decreased erosion and water turbidity and improved recreational access. The cumulative impacts associated with these stabilization projects have also been described in the environmental review of the NRP and RLMP.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

Authorization to begin work is dependent on TVA obtaining the necessary permits. Because this project involves alteration of waters of the U.S., TVA requires a permit from TDEC under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act before implementing the proposal. TVA would obtain USACE's NWP-13 for bank stabilization activities. TVA will secure a permit from TDEC and will notify USACE at least two weeks prior to start of work so that USACE can issue a Notice to Navigation Interests.

TVA Preparers

Freddie Bennett – Land Use and Watershed Specialist Aaron Bradner – Biologist Michael Angst – Archaeologist Sara J. McLaughlin-Johnson – Zoologist Nicole Berger – Navigation Review Elizabeth Smith – NEPA Specialist

References

Ahlman, T., S. Frankenberg, N. Herrmann. 2000. Archaeological Reconnaissance Survey of Tennessee Valley Authority Lands on the Watts Bar Reservoir. Knoxville: University of Tennessee, Department of Anthropology.

Attachments

Attachment 1 – Project Location Maps

Attachment 2 - Proposed Project Plans

Attachment 3 – Site Photographs

Attachment 4 – Categorical Exclusion Checklist 39913

Attachment 5 – TVA Bat Strategy Form

Conclusion and Finding

Based on the findings above and the analyses in the attached checklist, we conclude that the proposed action to apply riprap stabilization to 250 linear feet of shoreline on Watts Bar Reservoir, Tennessee River Mile 548.2 in Roane County, Tennessee location would not be considered a major federal action significantly affecting the environment. Accordingly, an environmental impact statement is not required.

Jana Dozen

04/03/2019

Lana Bean, Manager NEPA Program and Valley Projects Tennessee Valley Authority Date Signed









Attachment 2 – Proposed Project Plans



Attachment 3 – Site Photographs



Attachment 4 – Categorical Exclusion Checklist 39913

Categorical Exclusion Checklist for Proposed TVA Actions

Categorical Exclusion Number Claimed	Organization NRM Need T	ID Number ri ID 4000628		Tracking Nu 39913	mber (NEPA Administration Use Only)
Form Preparer		Project Initiator/Manager		Business l	Jnit
Freddie C Bennett		W S Ledford	P&NR - Reservoir Property & Resource Mgr		eservoir Property & Resource Mgmt
Project Title					Hydrologic Unit Code
250 LINEAR FEET OF SHORELINE BANK STABILIZATION - WATTS BAR RESERVOIR COUNTY. TN			IR - RO	DANE	
Description of Proposed Action (Include Ar	nticipated Date	s of Implementation)		Contin	nued on Page 3 (if more than one line)
For Proposed Action See Attachments and	References				
Initiating TVA Facility or Office TVA Business Units Involved in Project		nits Involved in Project			
Location (City, County, State)					
For Project Location see Attachments and	References				

Parts 1 through 4 verify that there are no extraordinary circumstances associated with this action:

Part 1. Project Characteristics

ls th	nere evidence that the proposed action	No	Yes	Commit- ment	Information Source for Insignificance
	1.Is major in scope?	Х			Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
	2.Is part of a larger project proposal involving other TVA actions or other federal agencies?	Х			Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
*	3. Involves non-routine mitigation to avoid adverse impacts?	Х		No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
	4.Is opposed by another federal, state, or local government agency?	х			Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
*	5.Has environmental effects which are controversial?	Х			Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
*	6.Is one of many actions that will affect the same resources?	Х			Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
	7.Involves more than minor amount of land?	Х			Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018

*If "yes" is marked for any of the above boxes, consult with NEPA Administration on the suitability of this project for a categorical exclusion.

Part 2. Natural and Cultural Features Affected

Would the proposed action	No	Yes	Permit	Commit- ment	Information Source for Insignificance
1.Potentially affect endangered, threatened, or special status species?	х		No	No	For comments see attachments
2.Potentially affect historic structures, historic sites, Native American religious or cultural properties, or archaeological sites?		х	No	No	For comments see attachments
3.Potentially take prime or unique farmland out of production?	х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
4.Potentially affect Wild and Scenic Rivers or their tributaries?	х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
5.Potentially affect a stream on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory?	х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
6.Potentially affect wetlands?	Х		No	No	For comments see attachments
7.Potentially affect water flow, stream banks or stream channels?		х	No	No	For comments see attachments
8.Potentially affect the 100-year floodplain?		Х	No	No	For comments see attachments
9.Potentially affect ecologically critical areas, federal, state, or local park lands, national or state forests, wilderness areas, scenic areas, wildlife management areas, recreational areas, greenways, or trails?	х		No	No	For comments see attachments
10.Contribute to the spread of exotic or invasive species?	Х		No	No	For comments see attachments
11.Potentially affect migratory bird populations?	Х		No	No	For comments see attachments
12.Involve water withdrawal of a magnitude that may affect aquatic life or involve interbasin transfer of water?	х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
13.Potentially affect surface water?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 02/08/2019
14.Potentially affect drinking water supply?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
15.Potentially affect groundwater?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
16.Potentially affect unique or important terrestrial habitat?	Х		No	No	For comments see attachments
17.Potentially affect unique or important aquatic habitat?	Х		No	No	For comments see attachments

Part 3. Potential Pollutant Generation

Would the proposed action potentially (including accidental or unplanned)		Yes	Permit	Commit- ment	Information Source for Insignificance
1.Release air pollutants?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
2.Generate water pollutants?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
3.Generate wastewater streams?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
4.Cause soil erosion?	Х		No	No	For comments see attachments
5.Discharge dredged or fill materials?		Х	Yes	No	For comments see attachments
6.Generate large amounts of solid waste or waste not ordinarily generated?	х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
7.Generate or release hazardous waste (RCRA)?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
8.Generate or release universal or special waste, or used oil?	х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
9.Generate or release toxic substances (CERCLA, TSCA)?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
10.Involve materials such as PCBs, solvents, asbestos, sandblasting material, mercury, lead, or paints?	х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
11.Involve disturbance of pre-existing contamination?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
12.Generate noise levels with off-site impacts?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
13.Generate odor with off-site impacts?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 02/08/2019
14.Produce light which causes disturbance?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
15.Release of radioactive materials?	Х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
16.Involve underground or above-ground storage tanks or bulk storage?	х		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
17.Involve materials that require special handling?	X		No	No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018

Part 4. Social and Economic Effects

Would the proposed action	No	Yes	Permit	Commit- ment	Information Source for Insignificance
1.Potentially cause public health effects?	Х			No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
2.Increase the potential for accidents affecting the public?	Х			No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
3.Cause the displacement or relocation of businesses, residences, cemeteries, or farms?	х			No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
4.Contrast with existing land use, or potentially affect resources described as unique or significant in a federal, state, or local plan?	х			No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
5.Disproportionately affect minority or low-income populations?	Х			No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
6.Involve genetically engineered organisms or materials?	Х			No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
7.Produce visual contrast or visual discord?	Х			No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
8.Potentially interfere with recreational or educational uses?	Х			No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
9.Potentially interfere with river or other navigation?		Х	No	No	For comments see attachments
10.Potentially generate highway or railroad traffic problems?	Х			No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018

Part 5. Other Environmental Compliance/Reporting Issues

Would the proposed action	No	Yes	Commit- ment	Information Source for Insignificance
1.Release or otherwise use substances on the Toxic Release Inventory list?	Х		No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
2.Involve a structure taller than 200 feet above ground level?	Х		No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
3.Involve site-specific chemical traffic control?	Х		No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
4.Require a site-specific emergency notification process?	Х		No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
5.Cause a modification to an existing environmental permit or to existing equipment with an environmental permit or involve the installation of new equipment/systems that will require a permit?	х		No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018
6.Potentially impact operation of the river system or require special water elevations or flow conditions??	Х		No	Bennett, Freddie C. 02/08/2019
7.Involve construction or lease of a new building or demolition or renovation of existing building (i.e. major changes to lighting, HVAC, and/or structural elements of building of 1000 sq. ft. or more)?	х		No	Bennett, Freddie C. 11/21/2018

Parts 1 through 4: If "yes" is checked, describe in the discussion section following this form why the effect is insignificant. Attach any conditions or commitments which will ensure insignificant impacts. Use of non-routine commitments to avoid significance is an indication that consultation with NEPA Administration is needed.

An 🗖 EA or 📋 EIS Will be prepared.

Based upon my review of environmental impacts, the discussion attached, and/or consultations with NEPA Administration. I have determined that the above action does not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment and that no extraordinary circumstances exist.

Therefore,	this proposal	qualifies for	· a categorical	exclusion unde	er Section 5.2.	(of TVA NEPA	Procedures.

Project Initiator/Manager W S Ledford			Date 02/11/2019
TVA Organization	E-mail	Teleph	one
RSO&E	wsledfor@tva.gov		

Environmental Concurrence Reviewer

Preparer Closure

Travis Adam Giles

Signature

Other Environmental Concurrence Signatures (as required by your organization)

Signature

Signature

Signature

ure

Signature
Other Review Signatures (as required by your organization)

Freddie C Bennett	02/08/2019		
Sig	nature	 Signature	
Sig	nature	Signature	
Sig	nature	 Signature	

Signature

Attachments/References

Description of Proposed Action Continued from Page 1 TVA is proposing to stabilize 250 linear feet of eroding shoreline at Tennessee River Mile 548.2R on Watts Bar Reservoir using rock riprap. The rock will be installed from the toe (two feet below normal pool) to top of the eroding bank by crane operating from a floating barge. No trees will be removed and fallen trees will be left in place with rock being placed over them. Filter fabric to be used where needed and practical for structural support of the bank. Ground above the stabilized area not covered by existing shoreline buffer vegetation will be seeded and/or planted utilizing woody and herbaceous plantings. Reviewers' comments will be used in preparation of an abbreviated EA as this proposed action does not qualify as a Categorical Exclusion under our current environmental procedures for permitting TVA projects.

Project Location Continued from Page 1 Roane County, TN, Watts Bar Reservoir: TRM 548.2R; GPS coordinates Lat 35.762325, Lon -84.679155; C/D Stage Map 22D; Topo 123SW (Rockwood); Roane County, Tennessee.

CEC General C	omment Listing		
1.	Project Plan		
2.	By: Freddie C Bennett Files: 1_Stabilization Plan.pdf Site Map - Aerial	11/21/2018 11/21/2018	109.04 Bytes
3.	By: Freddie C Bennett Files: Site Map_Aerial.pdf Site Map -C/D Stage	11/21/2018 11/21/2018	1,087.27 Bytes
4.	By: Freddie C Bennett Files: Site Map_D-Stage.pdf Site Map - Topo	11/21/2018 11/21/2018	527.59 Bytes
5.	By: Freddie C Bennett Files: Site Map_Topo.pdf Photo	11/21/2018 11/21/2018	754.04 Bytes
6.	By: Freddie C Bennett Files: Photo 1.pdf Photo 2	11/21/2018 11/21/2018	225.62 Bytes
7.	By: Freddie C Bennett Files: Photo 2.pdf Bat Strategy Form	11/21/2018 11/21/2018	204.76 Bytes
8.	By: Freddie C Bennett Files: Project-Review-Form_TVA-Bat-Strategy_2018-09-14.docx Project Description	11/21/2018 11/21/2018	66.55 Bytes
	By: Freddie C Bennett Files: Project Description.pdf	02/12/2019 02/12/2019	99.95 Bytes
CEC Comment	Listing		
Part 2 Comme	ıts		
1.	No state or federally listed species have been documented within thr One federally protected species and three federally listed species are Tennessee. Proposed actions would have no impact on bald eagle, long-eared bat. See attached input for a full species impact analysis. By: Sara J McLaughlin-Johnson	e miles of the project footprint. known from Roane County, gray bat, Indiana bat or northern 01/08/2019	
	Files: CEC39913_TerrZoo_Part2Que1.docx	01/08/2019	16.87 Bytes

A number of activities associated with the proposed project were addressed in TVA's programmatic consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on routine actions and federally listed bats in accordance with ESA Section 7(a)(2) and completed in April, 2018. For those activities with potential to affect bats, TVA committed to implementing specific conservation measures. These activities and associated conservation measures are identified on pages 4-10 of the TVA Bat Strategy Project Screening Form (attached) and need to be reviewed/implemented as part of the proposed project. By: Sara J McLaughlin-Johnson 01/08/2019 Files: TerrZoo_CEC39913_TVA-Bat-Strategy_2019-01-08.docx 01/08/2019 There are two federally listed and three state listed aquatic species found within 10 miles of the

66.10 Bytes

There are no listed terrestrial animal species found within 3 miles of the proposed actions. No listed terrestrial animal species occur in the vicinity of the proposed actions. The proposed actions do not include the removal of trees. Therefore, there would be no effects to Myotis species.

proposed actions. Habitat at the proposed site is not suitable for the various state and federally listed aquatic species known to occur in the vicinity. Due to the location of the proposed actions there would

be no effects on any protected aquatic species

There is one federally listed and seven state listed plant species found within 5 miles of the proposed actions. Due to the nature of action and location, the proposed actions would have no effect on any protected plant species.

By: Kelvi	in Young	12/07/2018		
Files:	Heritage_Species_List2.pdf	12/07/2018	384.16 Bytes	
	bat form.pdf	12/07/2018	820.00 Bytes	
TVA is proposing to stabilize 250 linear feet of eroding shoreline at Tennessee River Mile 548.2R on				

Watts Bar Reservoir using rock riprap. The rock will be installed from the toe (two feet below normal pool) to top of the eroding bank by crane operating from a floating barge. No trees will be left in place with rock being placed over them. Filter fabric to be used where needed and practical for structural support of the bank. Ground above the stabilized area not covered by existing shoreline buffer vegetation will be used in preparation of an abbreviated EA as this proposed action does not qualify as a Categorical Exclusion under our current environmental procedures for permitting TVA projects.

A query of the TVA Natural Heritage Database (1/4/2019) for records of listed aquatic animal species indicated that two state-listed fish species (flame chub and lake sturgeon) have been documented to occur within the Tennessee River (0601020106) 10-digit HUC watershed encompassing the proposed project area (Aquatics Table 1). One federally-listed mussel species, dromedary pearlymussel, was also listed but is believed to be extirpated from this section of its former range. The preferred habitat of flame chub is springs and spring fed streams; the preferred habitat of lake sturgeon is the bottom of clean rivers and lakes. The area where the proposed shoreline stabilization work is not representative of either of these habitats. All work would be conducted in accordance to BMPs as outlined in TVA's General and Standard Conditions. These BMPs are designed in part to minimize erosion and subsequent sedimentation in streams. Therefore, with proper implementation of BMPs, no adverse impacts to species listed in aquatic table 1 are anticipated to occur.

By: Aaron	1 Bradner	01/09/2019	
Files:	39913_CEC_AQUAT_Table.docx	01/09/2019	18.35 Bytes
A review	of the National Register of Historic Places and the Tenness	ee Historical Commission Viewer	
indicates	that there are no historic properties within the area of poter	tial effects (APE) or its viewshed. A	4
review of	TVA's land acquisition mans and USGS historical tonogram	hic mans indicates that there are	

TVA conducted an archaeological survey of the project area (Ahlman et al. 2000) and no archaeological sites, materials, or deposits were encountered. There are a number of sites identified by the 2000 survey within 12 mile, however these will not be affected by the proposed undertaking.

Photographs of the APE below the shoreline indicate that the area has been heavily eroded, while the areas above the shoreline consist of a gentle to moderate hillside slope. Both of the factors reduce the likelihood of intact cultural deposits.

Based on the absence of historic properties and archaeological sites, as well as the ground conditions and terrain, it can be reliably concluded that the APE contains to historic properties that will be affected.

The proposed undertaking will have no effect on historic properties.

no otherwise known historic structures within the APE.

	See attachment for further details. By: Michael Angst	12/27/2018	
	Files: CEC39913 Section106.pdf	12/27/2018	13.67 Bytes
8.	In accordance with TVA's previous review of certain repetitive actions was determined there were no practicable alternative that would avoid stabilization project is expected to have insignificant potential effects. By: Freddie C Bennett	in the 100- year floodplain which siting in the floodplain, the bank 11/21/2018	
9.	There are eight Managed areas (MABR) and Heritage Sites (SBR) locc to the nature and location of the proposed actions these sites would no By: Kelvin Young	ated in the vicinity. However, due of be affected. 12/07/2018	

1.

1.

1.

2

10.	The proposed actions would not contribute to the spread of ex	otic or invasive species.	
	By: Kelvin Young	12/07/2018	
10.	Construction activities would not involve moving aquatic speci equipment and materials used for the project would be clean a exotic species and adversely affect aquatic habitat. Thus, the of exotic or invasive aquatic species.	es or water from different locations, and and free of debris that could introduce project would not contribute to the spread	I
	By: Aaron Bradner	01/09/2019	
11.	Iwo osprey nests and two wading bird colonies are known wit nearest osprey record occurs approximately 2.1 miles from the bird colony occurs approximately 1.4 miles from the project fo not impact known osprey nests or wading bird colonies. Suita present within the potential area of impact for several species could be impacted by the proposed actions if nests are active removal. However, similarly suitable habitat is ample across t disturbed/displaced individuals could easily find alternative ha would not impact populations or aggregations of migratory bird By: Sara J McLaughlin-Johnson	hin three miles of the project area. The e project footprint. The nearest wading otprint. Proposed project activities would ble foraging or nesting habitat may be of migratory birds. These individuals in the action area at the time of vegetatior he adjacent landscape such that bitat nearby. Proposed project activities ds. 01/08/2019	I
11.	There are historical records known in the vicinity for osprey ar of actions the proposed actions would have no effect on migra By: Kelvin Young	ld colonial wading birds. Due to the nature tory birds. 12/07/2018	
16.	There are no caves located in the vicinity. Due to the nature or effect on these sites.	f the proposed actions there will be no	
	By: Kelvin Young	12/07/2018	
17.	No unique aquatic habitat areas are known from the vicinity of	the proposed actions.	
	By: Kelvin Young	12/07/2018	
17.	There is no designated critical habitat in the Tennessee River proposed work will occur. Furthermore, ground disturbance we in accordance to BMPs as outlined in TVA'S General and Sta designed in part to minimize erosion and subsequent sedimer implementation of BMPs, no adverse modifications to unique By: Aaron Bradner	10-digit HUC watershed where the build be minimized, and all work conducted dard Conditions. These BMPs are tation in streams. Therefore, with proper or important aquatic habitats would occur. 01/09/2019	I
6.	No wetlands occur at the site. No wetland species are present		
7.	By: Kelvin Young There would be no negative effects on water flow or existing o bank. Rip rap stabilization is needed to reduce the loss of sho	12/07/2018 ondition of the stream channel or stream reline due to erosion from wave action.	
	Bv: Kelvin Young	12/07/2018	
7.	A January 2019 desktop review and review of provided photog proposed work area. Riprap would be placed along the shore than two feet deep to minimize this erosion. Any potential grou all work conducted in accordance to BMPs as outlined in TVA These BMPs are designed in part to minimize the origin and sub proper implementation of BMPs, no adverse modifications for water flow, stream channels, or stream banks. By: daron Bradher	graphs indicated eroding bank along the for 250 feet where flow is typically less and disturbance would be minimized, and 'S General and Standard Conditions. sequent sedimentation. Therefore, with m the associated action are anticipated to 01/09/2019	
Part 3 Commer	its		
4.	Rock riprap, placed on the stream banks, will be beneficial in quality by reducing sedimentation. It has a positive impact on cover the graveled bottom where fish spawn.	controlling erosion which enhances water aquatic habitat since silt from erosion can	
-	By: Freddie C Bennett	11/21/2018	
5.	Rock nprap, placed on the stream banks, will be benetical in quality by reducing sedimentation. It has a positive impact on cover the graveled bottom where fish spawn. It will be constru Management Practices and General Standards and Condition potential effects.	controlling erosion which enhances water aquatic habitat since silt from erosion can cted in accordance with Best s and is expected to have insignificant	
Part 4 Commer	By: Freddie C Bennett its	11/21/2018	
9.	Please see attached navigation comments.		
	By: Nicole Berger Files: 4000628wbr - 26a - TRM 548.2R - TVA.docx	02/08/2019 02/08/2019	14.40 Bytes
CEC Permit Lis	ting		
Part 3 Permits			
5.	State Water Quality Certification (¿401 Clean Water Act)		
	By: Freddie C Bennett	11/21/2018	

Section 404 Permit (¿404 Clean Water Act)

By: Freddie C Bennett

11/21/2018

CEC Commitment Listing

5.

Attachment 5 – Bat Strategy Survey Form

Project Review Form - TVA Bat Strategy (09/14/2018)

<u>NOTE</u>: This form should <u>only</u> be completed if project includes activities in Tables 2 or 3 (STEP 2 below). This form is not required if project activities are limited to Table 1 (STEP 2) or otherwise determined to have no effect on federally listed bats. This form is to assist in determining required conservation measures per TVA's ESA Section 7 programmatic consultation for routine actions and federally-listed bats¹

 Project Name: Shoreline Stabilization - Parcel 223 - (Fingers Area) - 250 feet
 Date: 21 Nov 2018

 Contact(s): W. Scott Ledford, Project Lead
 CEC#:39913
 RLR#: N/A
 Project ID: _

 Project Location (City, County, State): Watts Bar Reservoir - TN River Mile 548.2R - GPS coordinates Lat
 35.762325 / Lon -84.679155; Roane County, TN

 Project Description: Stabilize 250 feet of eroding stream bank using rock riprap to be installed by barge. No trees will be removed.

-

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION - ACTION AND ACTIVITIES

STEP 1) Select TVA Action. If none are applicable, contact environmental staff or Terrestrial Zoologist to discuss whether form (i.e., application of Bat Programmatic Consultation) is appropriate for project):

	~	1	Manage Biological Resources for Biodiversity and Public Use on		6	Maintain Existing Electric Transmission
Ŀ	^	·	I VA Reservoir Lanus		Ľ	Assels
L	- 1			I .		Convey Property associated with Electric
L	- 1	2	Protect Cultural Resources on TVA-Retained Land	I .	7	Transmission
Г						Expand or Construct New Electric
L		3	Manage Land Use and Disposal of TVA-Retained Land		8	Transmission Assets
Γ		4	Manage Permitting under Section 26a of the TVA Act		9	Promote Economic Development
Γ		5	Operate, Maintain, Retire, Expand, Construct Power Plants	\sim	10	Promote Mid-Scale Solar Generation

STEP 2) Select all activities from Tables 1, 2 and 3 below that are included in proposed project.

	ABLE 1. ACTIVITIES WITH NO Effect to I	pat	s. Conservation measures & completion of bat	Stra	ategy project review form NOT required.		
Г	1. Loans and/or grant awards		8. Sale of TVA property		19. Site-specific enhancements in		
					streams and reservoirs for aquatic		
					animals		
	2. Purchase of property		9. Lease of TVA property		20. Nesting platforms		
Г	Purchase of equipment for		Deed modification associated with TVA		41. Minor water-based structures (this		
	industrial facilities		rights or TVA property		does not include boat docks, boat slips		
					or piers)		
Г	Environmental education		Abandonment of TVA retained rights		42. Internal renovation or internal		
					expansion of an existing facility		
Г	5.Transfer of ROW easement		12. Sufferance agreement		43. Replacement or removal of TL poles		
	and/or ROW equipment						
Г	6. Property and/or equipment	Y	13. Engineering or environmental planning or		44. Conductor and overhead ground wire		
L	transfer		studies		installation and replacement		
	7. Easement on TVA property		14. Harbor limits		49. Non-navigable houseboats		

Ţ	TABLE 2. Activities not likely to adversely affect bats with implementation of conservation measures. Conservation measures										
<u>a</u>	and completion of bat strategy project review form RecorRep, review of bat records in proximity to project NOT required.										
	18. Erosion control, minor		57. Water intake - non-industrial		79. Swimming pools/associated equipment						
	24. Tree planting		58. Wastewater outfalls		Water intakes – industrial						
	30. Dredging and excavation;		59. Marine fueling facilities		84. On-site/off-site public utility relocation or						
	recessed harbor areas				construction or extension						
	39. Berm development		60. Commercial water-use facilities		85. Playground equipment - land-based						
			(e.g., marinas)								
	40 Closed loop heat exchangers		61. Septic fields		87. Aboveground storage tanks						
	(heat pumps)										
F	45. Stream monitoring equipment -		66. Private, residential docks, piers,		88. Underground storage tanks						
C	placement and use		boathouses								
1	46. Floating boat slips within		67. Siting of temporary office trailers		90. Pond closure						
	approved harbor limits										
	48. Laydown areas		68. Financing for speculative building		93. Standard License						
			construction								
	50. Minor land based structures		72. Ferry landings/service operations		94. Special Use License						

51. Signage installation	74. R	74. Recreational vehicle campsites			95. Recreation License			
53. Mooring buoys or posts	75. U	tility	lines/light poles		96. Land Use Permit			
56. Culverts	76. C	oncr	ete sidewalks					
Table 3: Activities that may adversely a review form REQUIRED; review of bat Zoologist.	ffect fed records i	erall <u>;</u> n pro	y listed bats. Conservation m eximity of project REQUIRED	by (ures AND DSAR/He) co rita	ompletion of bat strategy project ge eMap reviewer or Terrestrial	
15. Windshield and ground surveys for archaeological resources			 Mechanical vegetation rer includes trees or tree branche in diameter 	nova ≥s ≥	al, 3 inches		69. Renovation of existing structures	
16. Drilling		X	35. Stabilization (major erosio	on co	ontrol)		70. Lock maintenance/ construction	
17. Mechanical vegetation removal, do include trees or branches ≥ 3" in diame Table 3 due to potential for woody burn	es not eter (in n piles)		36. Grading				71. Concrete dam modification	
21. Herbicide use			Installation of soil improve	mer	nts		73. Boat launching ramps	
22. Grubbing			38. Drain installations for ponds			 Construction or expansion of land-based buildings 		
23. Prescribed burns			47. Conduit installation			78. Wastewater treatment plants		
25. Maintenance, improvement or cons of pedestrian or vehicular access corrid	struction lors		52. Floating buildings			Š	80. Barge fleeting areas	
26. Maintenance/construction of acces control measures	S		54. Maintenance of water con structures (dewatering units, s levees)	ntrol spillv	vays,		82. Construction of dam/weirs/levees	
27. Restoration of sites following huma and abuse	n use		55. Solar panels	1	X		 Submarine pipeline, directional boring operations 	
28. Removal of debris (e.g., dump sites hazardous material, unauthorized struct	s, :tures)		62. Blasting		*		86.Landfill construction	
29. Acquisition and use of fill/borrow material			63. Foundation installation for transmission support	Ì			89. Structure demolition	
31. Stream/wetland crossings			64. Installation of steel structu overhead bus, equipment, etc	ure, D.			91. Bridge replacement	
32. Clean-up following storm damage			65. Pole and/or tower installat extension	tion	and/or		92. Return of archaeological remains to former burial sites	
33. Removal of hazardous trees/tree branches								

STEP 3) Project includes one or more activities in Table 3?.... X YES (Go to STEP 4) D NO (Go to STEP 13).

b) If conducting activity 15, 26, or 92, will project involve entry into/survey of cave, bridge, other structure (potential bat roost)?..... NO (HP1/HP2 do not apply); D YES (HP1/HP2 applies, subject to review of bat records); X N/A

Ċ) If conducting	g prescribed burn	ing (activity 23), o	estimated acreage: and	l timeframe(s) belo	w; X	N/A
	STATE	SWARMING	WINTER	NON-WINTER	PUP		

017412	onnadinite			
GA, KY, TN	□ Oct 15 - Nov 14	🗆 Nov 15 - Mar 31	Apr 1 - May 31, Aug 1- Oct 14	🗆 Jun 1 - Jul 31
VA	Sep 16 - Nov 15	Nov 16 - Apr 14	Apr 15 - Sep 15	🗆 Jun 1 - Jul 31
AL	Oct 15 - Nov 14	🗆 Nov 15 - Mar 15	🗆 Mar 16 - May 31, Aug 1 - Oct 14	🗆 Jun 1 - Jul 31
NC	Oct 15 - Nov 14	Nov 15 - Apr 15	Apr 16 - May 31, Aug 1 - Oct 14	🗆 Jun 1 - Jul 31
MS	▶ □ Oct 1 - Nov 14	Nov 15 - Apr 14	□ Apr 15 - Sep 30	🗆 Jun 1 - Jul 31

d) If activity 17, 22, 32, 33, 34, 35, or 36, will the project involve vegetation piling/burning?X NO (SSPC4/ SHF7/SHF8 do not apply); VES (SSPC4/SHF7/SHF8 applies, subject to review of bat records); N/A

e) lf	f tree removal	(activity 33	or 34), estimated amo	ounta	c □ trees and	timeframe(s) belo	ow; 🗙	N/A
_									

STATE	SWARMING	WINTER	NON-WINTER	PUP
GA, KY, TN	Oct 15 - Nov 14	Nov 15 - Mar 31	Apr 1 - May 31, Aug 1- Oct 14	🗆 Jun 1 - Jul 31
VA	Sep 16 - Nov 15	Nov 16 - Apr 14	Apr 15 - Sep 15	🗆 Jun 1 - Jul 31
AL	Oct 15 - Nov 14	🗆 Nov 15 - Mar 15	🗆 Mar 16 - May 31, Aug 1 - Oct 14	🗆 Jun 1 - Jul 31

NC D	Oct 15 - Nov 14	Nov 15 - Apr 15	□ Apr 16 - May 31,	Aug 1 - Oct 14 🛛 🗆 Jun 1	- Jul 31				
MS 🛛	Oct 1 - Nov 14	Nov 15 - Apr 14	🗆 Apr 15 - Sep 30	🗆 Jun 1	- Jul 31				
If warranted,	does project hav	/e flexibility for ba	t surveys (May 1	5-Aug 15): N					
SECTION 2: REVIEW OF BAT RECORDS (applies to projects with activities from Table 3 ONLY)									
STEP 5) Revie includes Table	ew of bat/cave re a 3 activities, sub	ecords conducted mit project / relevar	by Heritage/OSA at information [e.g.	NR reviewer? □ YES , maps] for review by Te	■ NO (If NO and errestrial Zoologist.				
Info below co	mpleted by: X \\ X	Heritage Reviewe OSAR Reviewer (Terrestrial Zoolog	r (name <u>)_Kelvin Y</u> name) gist (name) <u>Sara I</u>	<u>roung</u> C C <u>McLaughlin-Johnson</u> Da	Date: <u>12/7/2018</u> Date: ate: <u>1/4/2019</u>				
Gray bat reco Indiana bat re Northern long Virginia big-ea Caves: X None	rds: X None; □ W cords: X None; □ J-eared bat records ared bat records e within 3 mi; □ W	/ithin 3 miles*; □ W □ Within 10 miles*; □ rds: X None; □ With □: X None; □ Within /ithin 0.5 mi but > 0	ithin a cave* □ Within a cave*; hin 5 miles*; □ Wit 10 miles* .25 mi*; □ Within (□ Capture/roost tree* hin a cave*; □ Capture/ 0.25 mi but > 200 teet*	oost tree*				
Bat Habitat In	spection Sheet	completed?			X NO 🗆 YES.				
Amount of SU (if amount of s	JITABLE habitat uitable habitat rer	to be removed/bu noval is greater tha	rned (may differ an 0, submit for rev	from STEP 4e): view by Terrestrial Zoolo	(□ ac □ trees)* X N/A ogist for tracking).				
Notes (include	e effects determi	nations):		\sim					
			\mathcal{H}	•					
STEP 6) If review Terrestrial Zoolo	wed by Heritage ogist (noted by *	OSAR reviewer, d)? X NO (Go to	loes records revi o STEP 13) □ YE	ew trigger need for ad S (Submit for Terrest	ditional review by rial Zoology review).				
STEPS 7-12 To	be Completed	by Terrestrial Zoo	ologist (if warrar	nted):					
 STEP 7) Project will involve: Removal of suitable trees within 0.5 mile of P1-P2 Indiana bat hibernacula or 0.25 mile of P3-P4 Indiana bat hibernacula or any NLEB hibernacula. Removal of suitable trees within 10 miles of documented Indiana bat (or within 5 miles of NLEB) hibernacula. Removal of suitable trees > 10 miles from documented Indiana bat (> 5 miles from NLEB) hibernacula. Removal of trees within 150 feet of a documented Indiana bat or northern long-eared bat maternity roost tree. Removal of suitable trees within 2.5 miles of Indiana bat roost trees or > 5 miles of Indiana bat capture sites. Removal of suitable trees 2 5 miles from Indiana bat roost trees or > 5 miles from Indiana bat capture sites. 									
STEP 8) Presence/absence surveys were/will be conducted: □ YES □ NO □ TBD STEP 9) Presence/absence survey results, on(date): □ NEGATIVE □ POSITIVE □ N/A NOTES:									
STEP 10) Project WILL WILL NOT require use of Incidental Take in the amount of acres or trees, proposed to be used during the WINTER VOLANT NON-VOLANT bat season (or N/A).									
(date):									
TV	A Action	Total 20-year	Winter	Volant Season	Non-Volant Season				
STEP 12) Amou	nt contributed to	o TVA's Bat Conse	ervation Fund up	on activity completion	or □ N/A				

SECTION 3: REQUIRED CONSERVATION MEASURES

Table 4. TVA's ESA Section 7 Programmatic Bat Consultation Required Conservation Measures

	Check if	Conservation	
	Project	Measure	Conservation Measure Description
	×	$\begin{array}{r} 15, 16, 17, 18, 22, 24, \\ 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, \\ 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, \\ 57, 38, 39, 45, 47, 48, \\ 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, \\ 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, \\ 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, \\ 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, \\ 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, \\ 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, \\ 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, \\ 93, 94, 95, 96 \end{array}$	NV1 - Noise will be short-term, transient, and not significantly different from urban interface or natural events (i.e., thunderstorms) that bats are frequently exposed to when present on the landscape.
		16, 25, 26, 37, 47, 52, 62, 63, 64, 65, 70, 71, 73, 78, 80, 82, 83, 86, 91	NV2 - Drilling, blasting, or any other activity that involves continuous noise (i.e., longer than 24 hours) disturbances greater than 75 decibels measured on the A scale (e.g., loud machinery) within a 0.5 mile radius of documented winter and/or summer roosts (caves, trees, unconventional roosts) will be conducted when bats are absent from roost sites.
		16, 26, 62	NV3 Orilling or blasting within a 0.5 mile radius of documented cave (or unconventional) roosts will be conducted in a manner that will not compromise the structural integrity or alter the karst hydrology of the roost site.
		16, 26, 62	NV4 - Drilling or blasting within 0.5 miles of a documented roost site (cave, tree, unconventional roost) that needs to occur when bats are present will first involve development of project-specific avoidance or minimization measures in coordination with the USFWS.
		15, 20, 92	HP1 - Site-specific cases in which potential impact of human presence is heightened (e.g., conducting environmental or cultural surveys within a roost) will be closely coordinated with staff bat biologists to avoid/minimize impacts below any potential adverse effect. Any take from these activities would be covered by TVA's Section 10 permit.
C		15, 26, 92	HP2 - Entry into roosts known to be occupied by federally listed bats will be communicated to the USFWS when impacts to bats may occur if not otherwise communicated (i.e., via annual monitoring reports per TVA's Section 10 permit). Any take from these activities would be covered by TVA's section 10 permit.
		23	SHF1 - Fire breaks will be used to define and limit burn scope.

Check if applies to Project	Activities Subject to Conservation Measure	Conservation Measure Description
	23	SHF2 - Site-specific conditions (e.g., acres burned, transport wind speed, mixing heights) will be considered to ensure smoke is limited and adequately dispersed away from caves so that smoke does not enter cave or cave-like structures.
	23	SHF3 - Acreage will be divided into smaller units to keep amount of smoke at any one time or location to a minimum and reduce risk for smoke to enter caves.
	23	SHF4 - If burns need to be conducted during April and May, when there is some potential or bats to present on the landscape and more likely to enter torpor due to colder temperatures, burns will only be conducted if the air temperature is 55° or greater, and preferably 60° or greater
	23	SHF5 - Fire breaks will be plowed immediately prior to burning, will be plowed as shallow as possible and will be kent to minimum to minimize sediment
	23	SHF6 - Tractor-constructed fire lines will be established greater than 200 feet from cave entrances. Existing logging roads and skid trails will be used where feasible to minimize ground disturbance and generation of loose sediment.
	22, 23, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36	SHF7 - Burning will only occur if site specific conditions (e.g. acres burned, transport wind speed, mixing heights) can be modified to ensure that smoke is adequately dispersed away from caves of cave-like structures. This applies to prescribed burns and burn piles of woody vegetation.
	22, 23, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36	SHF8 - Brush piles will be burned a minimum of 0.25 mile from documented, known, or obvious caves or cave entrances and otherwise in the center of newly established ROW when proximity to caves on private land is unknown.
	23	SHF9 - A 0.25 mile buffer of undisturbed forest will be maintained around documented or known gray bat maternity and hibe nation colony sites, documented or known Virginia big-eared bat maternity, bachelor, or winter colony sites, Indiana bat hibernation sites, and northern long- eared bat hibernation sites. Prohibited activities within this buffer include cutting of overstory vegetation, construction of roads, trails or wildlife openings, and prescribed burning. Exceptions may be made for maintenance of existing roads and existing ROW, or where it is determined that the activity is compatible with species conservation and recovery (e.g., removal of invasive species).
	33, 34	TR1* Removal of potentially suitable summer roosting habitat during time of potential occupance has been quantified and minimized programmatically. TVA will track and document alignment of activities that include tree removal (i.e., hazard trees, mechanical vegetation removal) with the programmatic quantitative cumulative estimate of seasonal removal of potential summer roost trees for Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat. Project will therefore communicate completion of tree removal to appropriate TVA staff.
	33, 34	TR2 - Removal of suitable summer roosting habitat within 0.5 mile of Priority 1/Priority 2 Indiana bat hibernacula, or 0.25 mile of Priority 3/Priority 4 Indiana bat hibernacula or any northern long-eared bat hibernacula will be prohibited, regardless of season, with very few exceptions (e.g., vegetation maintenance of TL ROW immediately adjacent to a known cave).
	33	TR3* - Removal of suitable summer roosting habitat within documented bat habitat (i.e., within 10 miles of documented Indiana bat hibernacula, within 5 miles of documented northern long-eared bat hibernacula, within 2.5 miles of documented Indiana bat summer roost trees, within 5 miles o Indiana bat capture sites, within 1 mile of documented northern long-eared bat summer roost trees, within 3 miles of northern long-eared bat capture sites) will be tracked, documented, and included in annual reporting. Project will therefore communicate completion of tree removal to appropriate TVA staff.

Conservation Measure	Concentration Meccure Decerimtics
Measure	Concernation Messeure Decerimtion
	Conservation Measure Description
33, 34	TR4* - Removal of suitable summer roosting habitat within potential habitat for Indiana bat or
	northern long-eared bat will be tracked, documented, and included in annual reporting. Project will
	therefore communicate completion of tree removal to appropriate TVA staff.
33, 34	TR5 - Removal of any trees within 150 feet of a documented Indiana bat or northern long
	eared bat maternity summer roost tree during non-winter season, range- wide pup season or swarming season (if site is within known swarming habitat), will first require a site-specific review
	and assessment. If pups are present in trees to be removed (determined either by mist netting and assessment of adult females, or by visual assessment of trees following evening emergence
	counts), TVA will coordinate with the USFWS to determine how to minimize impacts to pups to the
22.24	TR6 - Removal of a documented Indiana bat or northern long-pared batroost tree that is still
33, 34	suitable and that needs to occur during non-winter season, range-wide pup season, or swarming season (if site is within known swarming habitat) will first require a site-specific review and assessment. If pups are present in trees to be removed (determined either by mist netting and assessment of adult females, or by visual assessment of trees following evening emergence counts), TVA will coordinate with USFWS to determine how to minimize impacts to pups to the extent possible. This may include establishment of artificial roosts before removal of roost tree(s).
33, 34	TR7 (Existing Transmission ROW only) - Tree removal within 100 feet of existing transmission ROWs will be limited to hazard trees. On or adjacent to TLs, a hazard tree is a tree that is tall enough to fall within an unsafe distance of TLs under maximum sag and blowout
	conditions and/or are also dead, diseased, dying, and/or leaning. Hazard tree removal includes removal of trees that 1) currently are tall enough to threaten the integrity of operation and maintenance of a TL or 2) have the ability in the future to threaten the integrity of operation and maintenance of a TL.
33, 34	TR8 (TVA Reservoir Land only) - Requests for removal of hazard trees on or adjacent to TVA reservoir land will be inspected by staff knowledgeable in identifying hazard trees per International Society of Arboriculture and TVA's checklist for hazard trees. Approval will be limited to trees with a defined target
33, 34	TR9- If removal of suitable summer roosting habitat occurs when bats are present on the landscape, a funding contribution (based on amount of habitat removed) towards future conservation and recovery efforts for federally listed bats would be carried out. Project can consider seasonal bat presence/absence surveys (mist netting or emergence counts) that allow for positive detections without resulting in increased constraints in cost and project schedule. This will enable TVA to contribute to increased knowledge of bat presence on the landscape while carrying out TVA's broad mission and responsibilities.
WILL	
	33, 34 33, 34 33, 34 33, 34 33, 34

Check if	Activities Subject to	
applies to	Conservation	
Project	Measure	Conservation Measure Description
	69, 77, 89, 91	AR1 - Projects that involve structural modification or demolition of buildings, bridges, and
		characteristics that make it a potentially suitable unconventional bat roost. If so a survey to
		determine if bats may be present will be conducted. Structural assessment will include:
		 Visual check that includes an exhaustive internal/external inspection of building to
		look for evidence of bats (e.g., bat droppings, roost entrance/exit holes); this can be
		done at any time of year, preferably when bats are active. Where accessible and health and safety considerations allow, a surveyof nof space for evidence of bats (e.g., droppings, scratch marks, staining, sightings), noting relevant characteristics of internal features that provide potential access points and roosting opportunities. Suitable characteristic may include: gaps between tiles and roof lining, access points via eaves, gaps between timbers or around mortis joints, gaps around top and gable end walls, gaps within roof walling or around tops of chimney breasts, and clean ridge beams.
		 Features with high-medium likelihood of harboring bats but cannot be checked visually include soffits, cavity walls, space between roof covering and roof lining.
		 Applies to box culverts that are at least 5 feet (1.5 meters) tall and with one or more of the following characteristics. Suitable culverts for bat day roosts have the following characteristics:
		 Location in relatively warm areas Between 5-10 feet (1.5-3 meters) tall and 300 ft (100 m) or more long
		 Openings protected from high winds
		 Not susceptible to flooding
		Inner areas relatively dark with roughened walls or ceilings
		 Crevices, imperfections, or swallow nests
		 Bridge survey protocols will be adapted from the Programmatic Biological Opinion for the Federal Highway Administration (Appendix D of USFWS 2016c, which includes a Bridge Structure Assessment Guidance and a Bridge Structure Assessment Form).
		 Bat surveys usually are NOT needed in the following circumstances:
		 Domestic garages /sheds with no enclosed roof space (with no ceiling)
		Modern flat-roofed buildings
		Metal framed and roofed buildings
		Buildings where roof space is regularly used (e.g., attic space converted to living space, living space open to rafters) or where all roof space is lit from skylights or windows. Large/tall roof spaces may be dark enough at apex to provide roost space.
	69, 77, 89, 91	AR2 - Additional bat P/A surveys (e.g., emergence counts) conducted if warranted (i.e., when AR indicates that bats may be present).
	91	AR3 - Bridge survey protocols will be implemented, either by permittee (e.g., state DOT biologists or qualified personnel. If a bridge is determined to be in use as an unconventional roost, subsequent protocols will be implemented.
	69, 89	AR4 - Removal of buildings with suitable roost characteristics within six miles of known or presumed occupied roosts for Virginia big-eared bat would occur between Nov 16 and Mar 31. Buildings may be removed other times of the year once a bat biologist evaluates a buildings' potential to serve as roosting habitat and determines that this species is not present and/or is not using structure(s).
	69, 77, 89, 91	AR5 - If evidence of bat use warrants seasonal modification or removal, TVA will carry out or recommend (i.e., to applicants) seasonal modification or removal. Risk to human safety, however, should take priority. For project-specific cases in which project is unable to accommodate seasonal modification or removal, and federally listed bat species are present, TVA will carry out or recommend consultation with the USFWS to determine the best approach in the context of the project-specific circumstance. This may include establishment of artificial roosts before demolition of structures with bats present.

Check if	Activities Subject to	
applies to	Conservation	
Project	Measure	Conservation Measure Description
applies to Project	Measure 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 48, 50, 51, 56, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 69, 84, 89	 Conservation Measure Description SSPC1 (Transmission only) - Transmission actions and activities will continue to Implement A Guide for Environmental Protection and Best Management Practices for Tennessee Valley Authority Construction and Maintenance Activities. This focuses on control of sediment and pollutants, including herbicides. Following are key measures: BMP's minimize erosion and prevent/control water pollution in accordance with state specific construction storm water permits. BMPS are designed to keep soil in place and aid in reducing risk of other pollutants reaching surface waters, wetlands and ground water. BMPs will undertake the following principles: Plan clearing, grading, and construction to minimize area and duration of soil exposure. Maintain existing vegetation wherever and whenever possible Minimize disturbance of natural contours and drains. As much as practicable, operate on dry soils when they are least susceptible to structural damage and erosion. Limit vehicular and equipment traffic in disturbed areas. Keep equipment paths dispersed or designate single traffic flow paths with appropriate road BMPs to manage runoff. Divert runoff away from disturbed areas. Provide for dispersal of surface flow that carries sediment into undisturbed surface zones with high infiltration capacity and ground cover conditions. Prepare drainage ways and outlets to handle concentrated/increased runoff. Minimize length and seemess of slopes. Interrupt long slopes frequently. Keep runoff velocities tow and/or check flows. Trap sedimenton-site. Inspect/maintain control measures regularly & after significant rain. Re-vegetate and mulch disturbed areas as soon as practical. Specific quidelines regarding sensitive resources and buffer zones: Extra precaution (wider buffers) within SMZs is taken to protect stream banks and wate quality for streams, springs
	~	 quality for streams, springs, sinkholes, and surrounding habitat. BMPs are implemented to protect and enhance wetlands. Select use of equipment and seasonal clearing is conducted when needed for rare plants; construction activities are restricted in areas with identified rare plants. Standard requirements exist to avoid adverse impacts to caves, protected animals, unique/important habitat (e.g., cave buffers, restricted herbicide use, seasonal clearing of suitable habitat).
x	16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 46, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 70, 71, 73, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90	SSPC2 - Operations involving chemical/fuel storage or resupply and vehicle servicing will be handled outside of riparian zones (streamside management zones) in a manner to prevent these items from reaching a watercourse. Earthen berms or other effective means are installed to protect stream channel from direct surface runoff. Servicing will be done with care to avoid leakage, spillage, and subsequent stream, wetland, or ground water contamination. Oil waste, filters, other litter will be collected and disposed of properly. Equipment servicing and chemical/fuel storage will be limited to locations greater than 300-ft from sinkholes, fissures, or areas draining into known sinkholes, fissures, or other karst features.
	16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57,	 SSPC3 (Power Plants only) - Power Plant actions and activities will continue to implement standard environmental practices. These include: Best Management Practices (BMPs) in accordance with regulations: Ensure proper disposal of waste, ex: used rags, used oil, empty containers, general trash, dependent on plant policy Maintain every site with well-equipped spill response kits, included in some heavy

Check if applies to Project	Activities Subject to Conservation Measure	Conservation Measure Description
Toject	58 59 61 62 63 64	equipment
	65 67 69 70 71 73	 Conduct Quarterly Internal Environmental Field Assessments at each sight
	76, 77, 80, 81, 82, 83,	 Every project must have an approved work package that contains an environmental
	84, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90,	checklist that is approved by sight Environmental Health & Safety consultant.
	91	 When refueling, vehicle is positioned as close to pump as possible to prevent drips.
		and overfilling of tank. Hose and nozzle are held in a vertical position to prevent
		o Construction Site Protection Methods
		 Sediment basin for runoff - used to tran sediments and temporarily detain runoff on
		larger construction sites
		Storm drain protection device
		 Check dam to help slow down silt flow
		 Silt fencing to reduce sediment movement
		o Storm Water Pollution Prevention (SWPP) Pollution Control Strategies
		 Minimize storm water contact with disturbed soils at construction site
		 Protect disturbed soil areas from erosion
		 Minimize sediment in storm water before discharge
		 Prevent storm water contact with other pollutants
		 Construction sites also may be required to have a storm water permit, depending on
		size of land disturbance (>1ac)
		 Every site has a Spill Prevention and Control Countermeasures (SPCC) Plan and
		requires training. Several hundred pieces of equipment often managed at the same time on nower generation properties. Coal is to
		Minimize fuel and chemical use Ensure proper disposal of waste, ev: used rade, use
		oil, empty containers, general trash, dependent on plant policy
		 Maintain every site with well-equipped spill response kits, included in some heavy
		equipment
		 Conduct Quarterly Internal Environmental Field Assessments at each sight
		 Every project must have an approved work package that contains an environmental
		checklist that is approved by sight Environmental Health & Safety consultant.
		 When refueling, vehicle is positioned as close to pump as possible to prevent drips, and overfilling of tank. Hose and nozzle are held in a vertical position to prevent pullicap.
		o Construction Site Protection Methods
		Sediment basin for runoff - used to trap sediments and temporarily detain runoff on
		Sterm drain protection device
		Check dam to belo clow down silt flow
		Silt fancing to reduce sediment movement
		 Sintencing to reduce sediment movement Storm Water Pollution Broyention (SWPD) Pollution Control Strategies
		Minimize storm water contact with disturbed soils at construction site
		Drotect disturbed soil areas from erosion
		Minimize sediment in storm water before discharge
	. 11	Prevent storm water contact with other pollutants
		 Prevent storm water contact with other politicality Construction sites also may be required to have a storm water permit depending on
		size of land disturbance (>1ac)
		o Every site has a Spill Prevention and Control Countermeasures (SPCC) Plan and
	Ť	requires training. Several hundred pieces of equipment often managed at the same
	17 22 22 22 24 25	time on power generation properties. Goal is to minimize fuel and chemical use
	36	SSPC4 (Iransmission only) - Woody vegetation burn piles associated with transmission
		construction will be placed in the center of newly established ROWs to minimize wash into any
		nearby undocumented caves that might be on adjacent private property and thus outside the
		scope of field survey for confirmation. Brush piles will be burned a minimum of 0.25 miles from
		accumented caves and otherwise in the center of newly established ROW when proximity to
		I caves on private land is unknown.

Check if	Activities Subject to	
applies to	Conservation	
Project	Measure	Conservation Measure Description
	17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25,	SSPC5 (26a, Solar, Economic Development only) - Section 26a permits and contracts
	26, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35,	associated with solar projects, economic development projects or land use projects include
	36, 40, 46, 50, 51, 52,	measures to avoid or minimize impacts to sensitive species or other resources consistent with
	53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58,	applicable laws and Executive Orders.
	59, 60, 61, 66, 67, 68,	
	69, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76,	
	77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82,	
	83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 91,	
	93, 95, 96	
	21, 54	SSPC6 - Herbicide use will be avoided within 200 ft of portals associated with caves, cave
		collapse areas, mines and sinknoles are capable of supporting cave-associated species.
		Filter and buffer strips will conform at least to federal and state regulations and label requirements.
	17 21 25 26 27 28	SSPC7 - Clearing of vegetation within a 200-ft radius of documented caves will be limited to
	29 31 32 33 34 35	hand or small machinery clearing only (e.g., chainsaws, bush-hog, mowers). This will protect
	36 37 38 54 55	potential recharge areas of cave streams and other karst features that are connected
	00, 07, 00, 04, 00	hydrologically to caves.
	16, 26, 36, 37, 38, 39,	L1 - Direct temporary lighting away from suitable habitat during the active season.
	48, 50, 52, 59, 60, 62,	
	66, 67, 69, 72, 75, 77,	
	78, 79, 86	
	16, 26, 36, 37, 38, 39,	L2 - Evaluate the use of outdoor lighting during the active season and seek to minimize light
	48, 50, 52, 59, 60, 62,	poliution when installing new or replacing existing permanent lights by angling lights downward or
	66, 67, 69, 72, 75, 77,	via outer light minimization neasures (e.g., unnining, unected lighting, motion-sensitive lighting).
	78, 79, 86	

¹Bats addressed in consultation (02/2018), which includes gray bat (listed in 1976), Indiana bat (listed in 1967), northern long-eared bat (listed in 2015), and Virginia big-eared bat (listed in 1979).

 STEP 14) Save completed form in project environmental documentation (e.g., CEC, Appendix to EA) AND send a copy of form to batstrategy@tva.gov. Submission of this form indicates that Project Lead/Applicant:

 Freddie Bennett
 (name) is (or will be made) aware of the

requirements below.

- Implementation of conservation measures identified in Table 4 is required to comply with TVA's Endangered Species Act programmatic bat consultation.
- TVA may conduct post-project monitoring to determine if conservation measures were effective in minimizing or avoiding impacts to federally listed bats.

STEP 15) For Use by Terrestrial Zoologist if Project and Form are Submitted for Review

X Terrestrial Zoologist acknowledges that Project Lead/Contact (name) <u>Freddie Bennett</u> has been informed on <u>1/8/2019</u> (date) of any relevant conservation measures and/or provided a copy of this form.

K For projects that require use of Take and/or contribution to TVA's Bat Conservation Fund, Terrestrial Zoologist acknowledges that Project Lead/Contact has been informed that project will result in use of Incidental Take (0) ac X trees) and that use of Take will require \$0 contribution to TVA's Conservation Fund upon completion of activity (amount entered should be \$0 if cleared in winter).