

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Monday, June 15, 2020,**  
4 **at 5:15 pm** in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North State Street,  
Lindon, Utah.

6 **REGULAR SESSION – 5:15 P.M.**

8 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor  
Invocation: Mike Vanchiere  
10 Pledge of Allegiance: Jake Hoyt

12 **PRESENT** **EXCUSED**

Jeff Acerson, Mayor  
14 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember – via electronically  
Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember  
16 Van Broderick, Councilmember  
Randi Powell, Councilmember  
18 Mike Vanchiere, Councilmember  
Adam Cowie, City Administrator  
20 Mike Florence, Planning Director  
Brian Haws, City Attorney  
22 Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

24 1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 5:15 p.m.

26 2. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council  
28 meeting of June 1, 2020 and the minutes from the special meeting of March 23,  
2020 were reviewed.

30 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE  
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 1, 2020 AS AMENDED AND THE  
32 SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES FROM MARCH 23, 2020 AS PRESENTED.  
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
34 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE  
36 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
38 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE AYE

40 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

42 **3. COUNCIL REPORTS:**

44 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt reported the pool is now open. He also  
gave kudos to staff for the measures they have taken to mitigate risks from Covid-19. He  
46 noted there is a brand-new revenue maker at the pool with the addition of a snow cone  
shack.

2 **Councilmember Vanchiere** – Councilmember Vanchiere reported he has visited the  
transfer station several times where there have been record numbers using the landfill.  
4 There have been over 1,000 people visiting the landfill on Saturdays. He noted they will  
be repainting the directional signs on the pavement and they are also accepting green  
6 waste; the Timpanogos Green Waste will be shut down soon. He noted the landfill  
contracts with Tucker Landscape that grinds it into mulch. He also reported the Planning  
8 and Economic Development Department has been very busy and working hard on zone  
changes with a lot of meetings; Lindon has great citizens and great employees.

10  
12 **Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson reported he is selling the bricks from the Cullimore  
Mercantile for 25 cents each and in exchange they are just asking for stories of what the  
14 bricks are used for as this is a way to move the history of the building forward because of  
the historical value of the building as it was built in the 1890’s. He also reported  
Lieutenant Governor Spencer Cox wanted to meet with one citizen from the city and LJ  
16 Sylvester who was a silver medalist in 1970 Olympics was chosen. He noted it was a  
nice visit and fun to see this event happen.

18  
20 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick reported he has been contacted  
by several citizens regarding issues with street lighting. He appreciates public works  
22 taking care of the situation noting there are policies and procedures in place to make that  
happen.

24 **Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg reported she connected with a  
couple that are running the Sunset Farmers Market. They have a website for Springville  
26 and Orem and run the market from a local park where they bring in actual growers and  
small businesses to help the local economy and to also encourage a healthy lifestyle. The  
28 booth costs are nominal and \$30 of those who qualify for the “snap program” is matched  
dollar for dollar. She added Heath Bateman, Parks and Recreation Director will talk to  
30 them and maybe by August we can have more of an official presentation to look at and  
consider. She also reported the Pleasant Grove/Lindon Chamber of Commerce is  
32 planning to have a family food truck night at the downtown park on July 20th. She noted  
Pleasant Grove Strawberry Days is still occurring in a modified style due to the  
34 pandemic. She also mentioned the Tree Board took out some trees on 200 South as they  
were affecting the sidewalk. She asked the council if they would be in favor to replace  
36 them or not in the planter strip and if so what type of tree. Mr. Cowie stated the city  
planted the trees 20 years ago as part of a beautification program. He will talk to Heath  
38 Bateman regarding the issue. She also reported she attended the Utah Round House that  
was moderated by Brandon Fugal where they discussed the future of office space in  
40 regards to Covid-19. Some of the big developers said they project less space will be  
needed and with new laws i.e., not all employees on campus each day with some full  
42 time, part time, alternating days, etc. Everyone in the industry sees there may be an  
evolution in office space. This is an interesting conversation to consider as we look at our  
44 700 north corridor and the percentage of users.

46 **Councilmember Powell** – Councilmember Powell reported that Juan Garrido, the new  
Public Works Director will be welcomed tonight and she is excited to have him on board.

2 She also attended the IDI Group along with Councilmember Lundberg for the meeting on  
700 North. She noted the Planning Director, Mike Florence is doing a great job and she  
4 expressed her thanks for his hard work and expertise. She also mentioned there was a  
house fire on 400 East and 200 North adding the Fire Department and first responders did  
6 a fantastic job and she expressed kudos to all the EMS personnel who took great care of  
the situation and also the citizens.

8  
10 **Administrator's Report:** Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by  
discussion.

12 **Misc. Updates:**

- 14 • Next council meetings: July 20th, August 17th
- 16 • Central Corridor Transit Study (Bus Rapid Transit); please submit comments  
through link below; Staff prefers State Street & 700 North route through Lindon  
(green line on website map). [www.centraltransitutah.com/comments](http://www.centraltransitutah.com/comments)
- 18 • Upcoming items in July: planned residential development housing overlay  
ordinance; park impact fee studies; impact fee and GRAMA ordinance changes;  
20 utility rate study / fee adoption; discussion on Ranked Choice Voting; swearing-in  
of PD officers; employee recognition awards.
- 22 • Misc. Items

24 **4. Presentations and Announcements:**

- 24 a) Comments/Announcements from Mayor and Council members.
- 26 b) **Little Miss Lindon Presentation of LML New Royalty** – The 2019  
Little Miss Lindon thanked the mayor and council for their support  
noting it has been a great experience serving the community and the  
28 citizens of Lindon. They also presented the 2020 LML royalty to the  
mayor and council. Director, Traci Stone stated the new royalty will  
30 be serving through October of 2021.
- 32 c) **Introduction of New Public Works Director:** Adam Cowie, City  
Administrator introduced the new Public Works Director, Juan  
34 Garrido to the Mayor and Council. Mr. Cowie stated Mr. Garrido  
worked many years at Springville City and he brings a lot of  
36 experience to the position and we are very happy to welcome him to  
the city.

38 **5. Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public  
comment not listed as an agenda item.

40  
42 Steven Johnson, Planning Commissioner addressed the Council at this time. Mr.  
Johnson wanted to clarify his vote at the last planning commission meeting on the matter  
44 of the deep lots overlay ordinance that he feels need to be vetted a little more. He voted  
aye with a note in the minutes clarifying his vote, but he wanted to articulate more  
46 tonight. He stated the way the overlay ordinance is written it considers the traffic impact  
for one of the properties but not so much for the other and he feels it needs to be  
addressed so the high-density traffic doesn't flow through the current residential

2 neighborhoods. He also feels this issue needs to be mitigated as the Norton property is so  
3 deep. Mr. Johnson stated he is in favor of the ordinance as it solves one problem but  
4 creates another problem. He would ask that the City Council considers this when reading  
5 the ordinance as to keep high-density traffic out of the current neighborhoods. He also  
6 expressed his appreciation to Planning Director, Mike Florence for his hard work on the  
7 ordinance but he feels this issue has not been sufficiently vetted on how to mitigate the  
8 traffic. Mayor Acerson thanked Mr. Johnson for the comments noting the council will  
9 take his comments under consideration.

10 Mayor Acerson called for any further public comments. Hearing no further  
11 public comment he moved on to the next agenda item.

12  
13 **6. Consent Agenda Items** – The following consent agenda items were presented for  
14 approval.

- 15 a) Reappointment of Sharon Call as Planning Commissioner.  
16 b) Resolution #2020-17-R, Declaring surplus items for disposal.

17  
18 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE CONSENT  
19 AGENDA ITEMS AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE  
20 SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

21 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE  
22 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
23 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
24 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
25 COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE AYE  
26 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

27  
28 **CURRENT BUSINESS**

29  
30 **7. Review & Action — DoTerra Reimbursement Agreement.** The Council will  
31 review and consider an application for reimbursement agreement by DoTerra  
32 pursuant to Lindon City Code 17.68.020. This item was continued from the May  
33 18, 2020 City Council meeting. Resolution #2020-18-R

34  
35 Mr. Mike Florence, Planning Director led this item by explaining DoTerra  
36 (Valley Properties, LLC) has made application to the City Council for reimbursement of  
37 construction and installation costs which they incurred by installing a 30-inch storm drain  
38 line along 400 North. He explained the installed storm drain line collects storm water  
39 from both the DoTerra and Mountain Tech South properties and was necessary for both  
40 developments to occur. DoTerra is requesting reimbursement from WICP Commercial in  
41 the amount of \$84,828.40 for their portion of the installed storm drain line.

42  
43 Mr. Florence stated City ordinance 17.68 allows an applicant to file for  
44 reimbursement from neighboring and/or adjacent properties of a portion of the cost of  
45 constructing public improvements required by Lindon City Code. City code also requires  
46 that applicant should make every effort to negotiate the reimbursement costs before  
bringing the item before the city council for review.

2 Mr. Florence stated the City Engineer has reviewed the proposed breakdown of  
4 costs provided by DoTerra and believes that the calculations and reimbursement amounts  
6 are fair and proportional. He noted if the city council decides to grant a reimbursement of  
fees to DoTerra then the council will sign a reimbursement agreement with the City and  
the City shall be entitled to collect the fee in favor DoTerra.

8 City Engineer, Noah Gordon commented there was a significant amount of  
coordination in order to make this happen between DoTerra and WICP as far as the  
10 alignment and the amount of engineering that went on back and forth as there are so  
many utilities involved. They eventually came up with a solution where the flows from  
12 DoTerra and WICP could get out to the stormdrain; this was not sprung on any of the  
parties at the very end as they were aware and involved in the process.

14 DoTerra representatives, Richard Doxey and Mark Ringer were in attendance to  
address the city council at this time. Mr. Doxey indicated they are here tonight because  
16 Mr. Weldon has not responded to any of their requests and they are not sure what his  
opposition is as the fact is, he is using the stormdrain. Also, the allegation that Mr.  
18 Weldon gave them a free easement that is connected to the storm drain is not accurate.  
Mr. Doxey then referenced on the map where the easement is located with Rocky  
20 Mountain Power. He noted Mr. Weldon was aware of this and even signed the easement  
and indicated that DoTerra was going to pay for the installation. They spent \$325,000  
22 bringing power to both properties. He also claimed that something changed and he had to  
bring the power in a different way but still utilized the power backbone somehow. In the  
24 negotiations it recognizes that we can come to the city council to have him pay part of the  
stormdrain. Mr. Doxey stated the long history is that Mr. Weldon said he approved to put  
26 in the stormdrain but what isn't clear is the Questar high-pressure gas line. The city  
wanted them to come on the other side (utility traffic jam) and in that time period,  
28 because the development was close to being done, to get a temporary solution, but the  
city would only allow that if they put in the permanent extension because of the  
30 maintenance issue and to post a bond to sustain it etc. Mr. Weldon threatened to sue and  
was upset and acted like a child. Ultimately, he came to a resolution to pay a  
32 proportionate share of \$140,000 and they cut that deal. DoTerra did it cheaper at \$85,000.  
So, now he won't even pay the \$85,000 with no logical rationale. Mr. Doxey stated he is  
34 happy to listen to whatever Mr. Weldon has to say, but candidly they have gone over this  
for the past 3-6 months and it is time for him to pay as he doesn't know any other way.  
36 Mr. Doxey expressed that he doesn't mean to be offensive and he respects Mr. Weldon  
but he does not respect the way they have been treated through this process.

38 Mr. Ringer added he would like to put things in context stating he and Mr.  
Weldon communicated back to June of 2017 to mutually benefit each other, but things  
40 deteriorated particularly back to August of last year when things fell apart. At that time,  
Mr. Weldon decided to not participate and that is when the communication between the  
42 parties ended. They made numerous attempts to communicate and avoid the situation  
they face here tonight which is unfortunate. He noted Mr. Weldon talked about the  
44 easement they gave for free that was a big concession on his part and he has an email  
communication with Jacobsen Construction that shows the process of them going to Mr.  
46 Weldon and asking for access to that part of the easement on his property. At that time,  
he agreed and saw it as an advantage to them and Mr. Weldon. Rocky Mountain Power  
made it clear it was their responsibility which they accepted. They thought they were

2 treating him fairly and were moving forward. Again, they have reached the point where  
Mr. Weldon feels he gave the easement for free and he shouldn't have to pay any more.

4 Mr. Mark Weldon and his legal counsel Alex Lehman addressed the council at  
this time. Mr. Lehman stated the legalities of this situation were presented tonight in an  
6 over simplistic way that are unfair and wrong. He then went over the city code section  
17.68 pointing out it is 100 % discretionary (to the council) and sets out eight factors in  
8 the ordinance applicable to this situation that the council has to consider.

10 Mr. Lehman pointed out what we are talking about is that Mr. Weldon has spent  
more than 3.3 million dollars over that past years to make Lindon City better. Even at this  
12 site it is not just as simple as they use the pipe, but that they have to pay a quarter of that.  
A substantial amount of water goes to the detention basin that he paid for that is not just  
14 for him but also for Lindon City and that has to be considered and not dismissed. Mr.  
Weldon has put a lot of money into the community that everyone benefits from. The  
16 issue with the power easement, at the end of the day, the ordinance states you must do  
what is fair in considering a lot of factors. Mr. Lehman stated the DoTerra representative  
18 sat right here tonight and said when Mr. Weldon agreed to give the power easement that  
they wouldn't come back to him for reimbursement. There is a clear reason why Mr.  
20 Weldon is incensed at the fact they are coming back for reimbursement. If it was so  
obvious to everyone at that time that this would benefit both parties we wouldn't be  
sitting here right now; communication between the parties didn't happen that way.

22 Mr. Weldon stated he has a big investment in Lindon City and he has spent over  
165 million of his own money that represents 3,900 jobs to the community which is a  
24 significant impact. He then gave a brief history of Mountain Tech in regards with the  
curbing, landscaping, street lights, asphalt, trees, drainage, roads, underground irrigation  
26 etc. He pointed out he has spent 1.1 million and no one is reimbursing him; not Ivory  
Homes, Pleasant Grove or American Fork. Some of the fallout from the roads and  
28 drainage has been deeded to Lindon City but we don't need any of their drainage. The  
city did not maintain their drain so it was classified as a wetland and the elevation was  
30 wrong. There were many issues that were the city's fault and now they are saying it is his  
fault and he must pay; that is not right.

32 Mr. Weldon made it clear that he gave DoTerra a \$500,000 easement and now  
they want him to pay for their problems because they didn't plan. In 30 years of  
34 development he knows what he is doing in building construction and everything is done  
perfect. He knows what he is doing and he has made a great investment in this  
36 community. The other issue is when they first had this property it was called "Sewer  
Tech." They planted trees to block the smell and the look of the sewer; that is one of the  
38 reasons they have the property and zoning. He is saying the city of Lindon gave them a  
permit and the city said there is a fallout area up to the retention and infrastructure. The  
40 City of Lindon created DoTerra's problem and it is now their problem too. The open  
ditch was not maintained properly and if it was it would flow into the wetland and then to  
42 the lake. They were relying on the city to have that fallout area and relied on the city.  
This whole area is beautiful off the highway and done in good taste and it is a shame it  
44 has come down to this.

46 Mayor Acerson asked Mr. Weldon if he feels the city is at fault also. Mr. Lehman  
stated Mr. Weldon is not making claims against the city or DoTerra they are making  
claims against him and he didn't need any of this. Mr. Weldon indicated he has had to

2 fight back against the city for a traffic plan and through traffic studies where others did  
not have to do that. They try to protect their property and try to support the law and  
4 follow it and fight for what is right. He has brought a lot of jobs to Lindon and has paid a  
lot in taxes as it is expensive to develop and maintain these properties and keep them up  
6 and he would hope they would respect that.

8 Councilmember Vanchiere thanked them for the information noting some is  
relevant and some is not relevant. He asked for clarification on the eight bases for  
consideration on the application. Mr. Lehman said all eight are required to be considered  
10 but there are three that are pertinent (3,4, and 5). Councilmember Vanchiere asked Mr.  
Weldon why he didn't respond to the requests from DoTerra. Mr. Weldon said he did  
12 respond onsite several times and just responded "no" many times.

14 Mayor Acerson asked Mr. Gordon if he believes this was the city's fault. City  
Attorney, Noah Gordon clarified that Mr. Weldon's attorney referred to the basin that  
was constructed on Mr. Weldon's property as a regional detention basin. He clarified this  
16 is a private detention basin and serves his entire WICP five sites. It is not a regional  
detention basin. He does have flow, but with the calculations he does not have any cost  
18 sharing from one point (shown on map) to the west. He explained his share would be  
equitable on the basis of flow. He indicated that DoTerra also built their own detention  
20 basin (not regional) and they tried to be as fair as possible. Mr. Gordon stated the cost of  
the easement is split with 1/3 for Mr. Weldon and 1/3 to DoTerra and 1/3 to the future  
22 property owner.

24 Mr. Lehman referenced the map clarifying there is only one street drainage point  
and the street is Lindon City's; this is the point they are trying to make. Mr. Gordon  
noted as a site comes in, the developer is required to take care of the drainage. He  
26 believes it is a better solution and less costly if they would have constructed it in a  
different manner. Mr. Gordon also addressed the wetland issue noting it is not a wetland;  
28 the area is owned by UDOT who built it as a drainage ditch that the city maintains.

30 Mr. Doxey stated Mr. Weldon agreed to pay the \$140,000 and now does not want  
to pay the \$85,000; why would he do that? He suggested the Council take credibility into  
account because Mr. Weldon is not accurate in his statements. Mr. Weldon re-iterated he  
32 was not at that meeting and he did not offer to pay \$140,000 dollars; that is just not true  
and there is so much more to the situation. He also doesn't appreciate his name being  
34 slandered here tonight as he has been very polite and they know there is so much more to  
that story.

36 Mayor Acerson asked the City Engineer, based upon what we have heard tonight  
and being involved in the process how he feels about this according to his calculations.  
38 Mr. Gordon said he can't speak to the power easement issue as that is a private issue  
between the parties, but he can only say that the calculations appear to be correct and  
40 equitable and fair and based on the price of \$85,000 he feels believes Mr. Weldon is  
getting a just and equitable deal.

42 Mr. Haws commented from the legal aspect this is an equitable and fair decision  
to be made by the Council. Mr. Haws then read numbers 3, 4 and 5 in the code. It  
44 basically states these facilities are designed to service only these properties and not to be  
financed through any other means. He pointed out this is not a unique requirement as that  
46 is what this design does and is required of developers. He is not sure there is a credit that  
applies here.

2 Mr. Lehman talked about providing all types of property/capital improvements.  
Mr. Haws stated he would have to agree and noting you do have to consider what the  
4 applicant has put in and what they are asking for as well.

6 Mayor Acerson pointed out there are differing points of view heard tonight that  
are not all right or all wrong. There is money involved with improvements that we have  
to weigh in along with the issues and the facts as to make it fair and equitable.

8 Mr. Haws pointed out in the Resolution the five properties are owned by one  
parent entity and if we need to break those up into different ownerships, we can direct the  
10 City Engineer to look at what parcel contributes what flow and divide it up; that is  
included in the Resolution.

12 Mr. Lehman clarified because it is an equitable decision it is not all or nothing.  
Mr. Haws agreed with that statement.

14 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion.

16  
18 COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION  
#2020-18-R APPROVING THE DOTERRA REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT AS  
PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE  
20 VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

22 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
24 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE AYE  
26 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

28 *At this time Mr. Cowie asked the council to amend the agenda order as follows.*

30 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO AMEND THE AGENDA ORDER  
AND MOVE TO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 13 AND THEN TO AGENDA ITEM  
32 NUMBER 12 AND AFTERWHICH RESUME THE REGULAR AGENDA ORDER.  
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
34 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

36 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
38 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE AYE  
40 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

42 **13. Review & Action — Resolution #2020-16-R; Fireworks Restrictions Map.**

44 The Council will consider possible amendments to the Fireworks Restrictions  
Area Map to include additional properties within 350' of certain vacant land on  
the east foothills.



2 Mr. Cowie explained that on the Lindon City foothills significant fire dangers  
exist along the urban/wild-land interface areas. Several years ago, the Lindon City  
4 Council passed a Resolution restricting the discharge of any fireworks in certain  
designated areas of the city including aerial fireworks and ground fireworks such as  
6 sparklers, ground flowers and fountains. These restricted areas include all land west of  
Interstate 15 within Lindon City limits, including the Lindon Marina. It also includes all  
8 "Undeveloped Land" within city limits and all city parks. On the east side of town  
restrictions include all areas near the undeveloped foothills and U.S. Forest Service or  
10 BLM lands and Dry Canyon trailhead.

12 Mr. Cowie further explained after receiving concerns about firework fire hazards  
from residents living near the large undeveloped land at the east end of Center Street, and  
in order to increase safety, the City Council is considering adoption of a modified  
14 firework restriction area for the 2020 season. He noted the proposed boundaries of the  
firework restriction area have been modified to include an approximate 350-foot buffer  
16 around the vacant land at the east end of Center Street.

18 He then referenced the map noting parcels proposed to be added to the firework  
restricted area are color coded on the map. Parcels that were included in the restricted  
area in prior years are also shaded on the map. If adopted, this new restriction area will  
20 prohibit the discharge of any firework on your property or street frontage due to the  
potential fire hazard that exists on nearby vacant lands. Following a brief discussion, the  
22 council was in agreement to approve the fireworks restrictions resolution as presented.

24 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion.

26 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2020-  
16-R AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE  
28 MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

30 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK	AYE
32 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL	AYE
COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE	AYE

34 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

36 **12. Discussion Item — R2 Overlay & Accessory Apartments.** Planning &  
Building Dept staff will present possible updates to the R2 Overlay ordinance and  
38 suggestions for potential changes to the accessory apartment approval criteria to  
improve ease of compliance and decrease costs.

40  
42 Mr. Cowie explained the City Council asked staff to review regulatory  
requirements for the R2 Overlay zone as well as requirements for accessory apartments.  
On March 2, 2020 staff made a presentation on the R2 Overlay which described the  
44 process for approving such projects and barriers for development. Mr. Cowie stated Chief  
Building Official, Phil Brown and Mike Florence, Planning Director are in attendance  
46 tonight to present the following information for discussion:

**Accessory Apartments - Zoning Requirements - 17.46.100**

- 2 • *Types of accessory apartments.* Attached, substantially attached (connecting  
breezeway), detached.
- 4 • *Number of Units.* One accessory apartment allowed in conjunction with each  
owner-occupied single-family dwelling
- 6 • *Parking.* A single-family dwelling with an accessory apartment shall provide at  
8 least four (4) total off-street parking stalls (two (2) for the single-family dwelling  
and two (2) for the accessory apartment). Parking stalls within a garage or carport  
10 utilized by the single-family dwelling shall not count toward the two (2)  
12 additional required parking stalls for the accessory apartment, or vice versa,  
unless the garage is sized for more than two (2) vehicles and an accessible route  
14 from the garage parking to the accessory apartment can be maintained. No  
required parking shall be within the front or street-side yard setback. Tandem  
16 (end-to-end) parking in a side yard may be acceptable for the required parking.  
Parking areas and driveways shall be provided with a dustless, hard surface  
18 material such as asphalt, concrete, compacted gravel, masonry, or concrete  
pavers. A hard-surfaced path, sidewalk, or walkway shall be provided from the  
accessory apartment entrance to the required accessory apartment off-street  
20 parking stalls.
- *Options:*
  - 22 • Reduce the parking requirement to one parking stall per units;
  - Allow accessory apartment parking in the front setback on the driveway;
  - 24 • Set parking standards per bedroom – 1 stall for a one-bedroom unit, 2 stalls  
for two-bedroom unit
- *Size Restrictions.* Minimum 300 square feet and not contain more than three  
26 bedrooms
- *Building entrances.* A single-family dwelling approved with an accessory  
28 apartment shall not have a separate entrance at the front of the building or side of  
the building facing the street where the sole purpose of the entrance is to provide  
30 access to the accessory apartment. Entrances to detached accessory apartments  
shall also not face a street unless the detached accessory apartment is placed  
32 behind the primary residence so that the entrance is not substantially visible from  
the street. The purpose of this requirement is to preserve the single-family  
34 residential appearance of the single-family dwelling and/or the detached  
accessory apartment.
- *Neighborhood Noticing.* The city shall evaluate the permit and shall approve or  
36 deny the application based on the criteria as outlined in this section. If the  
38 application meets all requirements, the city shall mail notice to owners of record  
within three hundred feet (300') of the subject property. This notice shall  
40 summarize the nature of the request, give the location of the apartment, list the  
approval criteria with an indication that the city intends to issue the permit, and  
42 inform the property owners that they may request that the accessory apartment  
application be reviewed by the planning commission if they feel that the  
44 application does not meet the approval criteria. Any interested party requesting  
planning commission review shall submit a written request to the planning  
46 commission within fourteen (14) days after the date of the notice received and

- 2 shall state how the application does not meet the ordinance criteria. If no  
4 written request for planning commission review is received by the city within  
fourteen (14) days after the date of the notice, the permit for the accessory  
apartment can be issued.
- 6 • Option:
    - 8 ○ Remove the noticing and planning commission review. Staff rarely gets  
any feedback from surrounding property owners. Some home owners have  
felt that this causes unnecessary delays.

10 **Building Code Requirements**

- 12 • Minimum one entrance 3’-0” x 6’-8” door directly exterior.
- 14 • One egress window in each bedroom (see handout).
- 16 • Smoke detectors in each bedroom and hallway leading to bedrooms on each  
level.
- 18 • Separate electrical panels (breaker panels). Panel must be located in dwelling  
unit served.
- 20 • Separate heating and cooling systems. Systems cannot be shared or common  
to other units: including cold air returns. Heating and cooling control devices  
– thermostats - must be located in unit served. Access to maintain equipment  
must be located in unit served.
- 22 • One-hour fire separation must be maintained between units (side-to-side or  
any walls or ceilings common with other dwelling units).
- 24 • One-hour fire separation can be several options:
  - 26 • 5/8” type “X” sheetrock on both side of a common wall
  - 28 • Double layer 5/8” type “X” on one side
  - 30 • One-layer 5/8” type “X” sheetrock on ceiling with plywood sub floor  
insulated above.
  - 32 • No penetrations for plumbing or duct work between units

30 **The following requirements apply when determining the location of R2 multi-family  
housing:**

- 32 • 17.46.020 - The Planning Department shall maintain on file a map and associated  
documents which divide the residential areas within the city into individual R2  
34 Overlay districts and which includes such data as: total acreage of each district,  
total allowable units per district, etc. The R2 Overlay Zone includes all residential  
36 zones in their entirety, and also all residential uses within non-residential zones  
that existed prior to April 1, 2011.
- 38 • 17.46.030 - The maximum number of units that are permitted within each R2  
Overlay district identified on the R2 Overlay map shall be calculated by  
40 multiplying 4% of the total acreage within each district by six (6). Each dwelling  
unit approved as part of an R2 project, and each accessory apartment and its’  
42 associated single-family dwelling unit, shall be counted towards the capacity of  
the units permitted in each district. At such time as a district reaches the  
44 maximum permitted capacity of units that district will be closed to any further R2  
Overlay projects. However, owner occupied single-family dwellings with  
46 accessory apartments shall continue to be permitted even if the district reaches its  
capacity.

- 2 • 17.46.040 - Density: The maximum number of units allowed for any R2 Overlay  
4 project shall be four (4) units. Available multi-family projects include twin  
6 homes, condominiums, apartments, duplexes, triplexes, townhouses, or any other  
8 multi-family housing unit that has two or three units per structure. Detached  
10 single-family dwellings (one unit only) and projects with four units (4-plexes) are  
12 prohibited.
- 14 • Lot Size: The maximum number of units on an approved building lot in the  
16 residential zone is two (2) units. In the event that the lots are larger than twenty  
18 thousand (20,000) square feet for the R1-20 zone and twelve thousand (12,000)  
square feet for the R1-12 zone, then the maximum density shall be calculated at  
four (4) units per net acre. Substandard legal non-conforming lots shall only be  
allowed a maximum number of units based on four (4) units per acre.
- Separation Distance: Irrespective of R2 Overlay district boundaries, new R2  
Overlay projects shall not be within seven hundred fifty (750) feet from any other  
approved R2 Overlay unit or other existing multi-family housing units, except for  
accessory apartments.

**As staff has reviewed the R2 Overlay information the following items could be considered by the City Council:**

- The council could consider just counting the number of rental units (accessory and multi-family units) towards the overall count allowed in each district.
- Consider removing single family homes and accessory apartment all together and just identify the number of R2 structures that would be allowed in each district with the 750' separation.
- The planning commission has discussed whether a new ordinance should be considered for compatible infill development. For example, an ordinance which allowed five units to the acre similar to the Penni Lane and Meredith Manor located in Orem at approximately 1200 N. 800 E.

**The following exhibits were then presented followed by discussion:**

- R2 Overlay map with 750' buffers
- R2 Overlay map with 500' buffers.
- Map removing the counting of owner-occupied units towards the overall count per district
- Map identifying estimate of available parcels for R2 Overlay

There was then some additional discussion regarding the information presented. Mayor Acerson and the council thanked staff for the good information and discussion on this issue noting it is very beneficial. Mayor Acerson then called for any further comments or discussion from the Council. Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

**9. Public Hearing — FY 2021 Transfer of Enterprise Funds to General Fund.**

The City Council will accept public comment as it reviews and considers proposed transfer of enterprise funds to the general fund as part of the fiscal year (FY) 2021 budget. The proposed transfers are as follows: Water Fund \$223,536

2 (7.3% of fund expenditures); Sewer Fund \$137,064 (7.0% of fund expenditures);  
4 Solid Waste Collection Fund \$21,012 (3.9% of fund expenditures); and Storm  
6 Water Drainage Fund \$93,112 (7.6% of fund expenditures); and  
8 Telecommunications Fund \$2,500 (5.0% of fund expenditures). These transfers  
10 are primarily intended to cover administrative costs and overhead of operating the  
12 enterprise funds but will also be used in supplementing such city services as fire,  
police, street maintenance, parks & recreation, and other city functions. Similar  
transfers have been made annually from the enterprise funds to the General Fund  
in order to help maintain low property taxes in Lindon. No motions will be made  
as this hearing is to only receive and consider public comment on the proposed  
enterprise fund transfers.

14 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
16 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN  
FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

18 Adam Cowie, City Administrator, led this discussion by stating Lindon City  
20 proposes to transfer an 8% ‘administrative charge’ (percentage of revenues) on sewer,  
water, and storm water enterprise funds and a 4% transfer from garbage and 5% from the  
22 telecommunications fund. During the Great Recession the City had increased the Admin  
transfer (charge) as high as 14% to help cover losses of revenue from other sources.  
24 However, due to healthy sales tax growth and General Fund balance in the City, and  
desire to grow utility fund balances, Staff is recommending these smaller percentage  
26 transfers for FY2021. He noted the transfer percentage is broadly determined to cover  
overhead costs and is similar to rates charged by other local government entities. An  
exact calculation for such overhead costs has not been completed for each specific  
28 enterprise fund.

30 Mr. Cowie explained that State Code requires significant public outreach for this  
intended transfer of funds including a mailed notice, email, social media, website, and  
32 typical posting and newspaper noticing for a public hearing. The public notice includes  
the percentage of each enterprise fund’s expenses being transferred for admin services  
34 (not the percentage of the revenues as listed above). While a public hearing is required in  
order to receive comment on the proposed transfers, no decision is made in this hearing.  
He noted any final decision or motion to amend the enterprise fund transfer should be  
36 made during the budget hearings. Mr. Cowie stated no action is required tonight as this is  
for public comment only.

38 Mayor Acerson called for any public comments. Hearing none he called for a  
40 motion to close the public hearing.

42 COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC  
HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL  
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

44 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.  
46 Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

2 **10. Public Hearing — Budget Adoption for FY2021; Amend FY2020 Budget;**

4 **Ordinance 2020-12-O.** Kristen Colson, Lindon City Finance Director, will  
6 present the final Lindon City Budget documents for fiscal year 2020-2021  
8 (FY2021) beginning July 1, 2020. The tentative budget for FY2021 was approved  
10 in a public hearing on April 13, 2020. The City Council also held a public  
12 meeting on the proposed budget on May 4, 2020 and a public hearing on May 18,  
14 2020 where the proposed budget was adopted and budget issues were discussed in  
detail. The City Council will review and adopt the amended budget for FY2020,  
will review and adopt the final budget for FY2021, will review and adopt the  
agreement for services between the City and the Lindon City RDA, will set the  
Certified Tax Rate, and review and adopt the city-wide fee schedule and  
compensation programs. The Council will also review the Fraud Risk Assessment  
and Ethics Policy & Pledge as required by the State Auditor.

16 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
18 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED  
IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED

20 Kristen Colson, Finance Director was in attendance and began by presenting the  
22 final budget presentation as follows:

- 24 • **Should Lindon City increase Water, Sewer, Storm Water, Garbage and Recycling utility rates?**

26 Ms. Colson noted JUB Engineers is doing their utility rate study for water and  
28 sewer utility rates as well as analyzing water pumping costs for the zones above the  
North Union Canal but their recommendations are not yet available. JUB's recommended  
water and sewer rate changes will be presented at the next City Council Meeting.

30 Ms. Colson noted the storm water utility rate is not included in this year's JUB  
32 rate study and their previous studies called for 13% annual increases over multiple years.  
She added when JUB has completed their storm water impact fee study then the utility  
34 rate evaluation will be updated. Ms. Colson mentioned Lindon City contracts with  
Republic Services for garbage and recycling collection. The current contract has an  
36 annual 3% increase in their collection fees and Lindon City utility rates will increase 3%  
to pass through the increase.

38 **Ms. Colson then went over the Budget Issue and Proposed Fee Schedule Changes as follows:**

40 Certified tax rate: ~~0.1241%~~ 0.1174%

42 Culinary water and sewer rate increases will be presented next meeting

44 Garbage and recycling rates will increase 3% per month

46 First garbage can: ~~\$10.30~~ \$10.61

Each additional garbage can: ~~\$8.76~~ \$9.02

Each recycling can: ~~\$3.71~~ \$3.82

Storm Water rates will increase 13%

Residential per month: ~~\$10.08~~ \$10.38

2 **Ms. Colson then discussed the Budgeted Revenues as follows:**

- 3 • General Fund Sales tax is budgeted to decrease 20%
- 4 • Building permits is budgeted to decrease 25%
- 5 • Court revenue is budgeted to decrease 33%
- 6 • Overall revenue is budgeted to decrease 14.6%,
  - 7 ○ not counting police vehicle lease revenue and the sale of current police
  - 8 vehicles, which is an increase in revenue
- 9 • PARC Tax and Transit Taxes budgeted to decline 25%
  - 10 ○ Not charged on grocery items
  - 11 ○ Other retail sales down
- 12 • Road Fund Allotment budgeted to decline 25%
  - 13 ○ Decline in amount of gas sales
- 14 • Enterprise Funds
  - 15 • Metered culinary water revenue budgeted to increase 9%; this can be amended
  - 16 when we receive the recommended rate changes
  - 17 • Sewer utility revenue budgeted to increase 4%; this can be amended when we
  - 18 receive the recommended rate changes
  - 19 • Garbage and recycling rates will increase 3%
  - 20 • Storm Water rates will increase 13%

22 **Ms. Colson then discussed the Budgeted Expenditures – Personnel as follows:**

- 23 • No cost of living allowance (COLA) increase, which is usually effective July 1
- 24 • Implement new pay scale effective January 1, 2021
  - 25 ○ Employees already on steps 1-5 will move up to the next step
  - 26 ○ Employees currently in the mid-high range will be placed on the step
  - 27 higher than their current hourly wage
  - 28 ○ This will cost the City an additional \$86,000 and is in this budget, but staff
  - 29 will bring this back to the City Council in December for another review
  - 30 and final approval
- 31 • Retirement costs for Tier 2 public safety employees were increased by the
- 32 legislature starting July 1, 2020.
  - 33 ○ 2% mandatory increase to be paid by the employer will increase Lindon
  - 34 City costs about \$4,450 for the fiscal year
  - 35 ○ 2.27% mandatory increase may be paid by the employee or the employer.
  - 36 This budget includes Lindon City paying this cost, which is about \$5,050
  - 37 for the year. This was adopted in Resolution 2020-12-R.
- 38 • Employees will begin participating in the payment of their medical insurance
- 39 premiums by paying 3% of the premium; employees with family coverage for
- 40 dental insurance will continue to pay 50% of the premium. The amounts are
- 41 shown below.
  - 42 ○ Employee only coverage: \$18.06/month for medical insurance
  - 43 ○ Employee plus spouse coverage: \$37.39/month for medical insurance
  - 44 ○ Employee plus family coverage: \$50.57/month for medical insurance
  - 45 ○ \$46.60/month for dental insurance (continuing, not new)
- 46 • Saves the City about \$24,760 for the year

- 2 • Insurance Premiums
  - 4 ○ Medical insurance premiums will increase 7.6%
  - 6 ○ Dental insurance premiums will decrease 10% by switching insurance carriers again
  - 6 ○ Overall, employee benefit allowance will increase 3.9% or \$30,785

8 **Ms. Colson then discussed the Budgeted Expenditures – Operations as follows:**

- 10 • Department heads have cut operational costs while still maintaining infrastructure and current levels of service as much as possible
- 12 • Travel expenses have been cut except where training is needed to maintain required certifications

12 Other items that have been cut:

- 14 • \$5,000 for Deer management
- 16 • \$2,500 for Police public outreach
- 18 • \$45,000 for new community programs
  - 20 ○ summer concerts
  - 18 ○ youth theater council
  - 20 ○ rec on wheels

20 **Ms. Colson then discussed the Budgeted Expenditures – Capital as follows:**

- 22 • General Fund capital expenditures - limited as much as possible
  - 24 ○ \$15,000 for City Center HVAC upgrades (replacing furnaces over 20 years old)
  - 26 ○ \$650,000 for 15 police vehicles funded by lease revenue; annual lease payments are estimated to be \$90,000
  - 28 ○ \$21,000 for a new software program for inspections and community development. This was in the 2020FY budget, but staff is still researching to find the right software.
- 30 • Dedicated / restricted funds
  - 32 ○ \$2.1M for Road Capital Improvements
- 32 • Park Impact fee expenditures
  - 34 ○ \$30,000 to install picnic areas and drinking fountain at Meadow Park
  - 34 ○ \$100,000 to install second pavilion at Fryer Park
- 36 • **Water Fund**
  - 38 ○ \$500,000 for new well site
  - 38 ○ \$50,000 for well improvements
  - 40 ○ \$250,000 for Canal Dr pipe
  - 40 ○ \$30,000 for secondary water traveling screen rebuild
  - 42 ○ \$25,000 for culinary and secondary water master meter upgrades
  - 42 ○ \$40,000 to finish PRV upgrades
  - 44 ○ \$45,000 for 835 E booster station upgrades
  - 46 ○ \$200,000 for impact fee project
- 44 • **Sewer Fund**
  - 46 ○ \$35,000 for trash pump
  - 46 ○ \$29,000 to install a generator at sewer lift #4



- 2 ○ \$25,000 to upgrade hardware and software for sewer truck tv
- 4 ○ \$100,000 install sewer mainline in Treasury subdivision and rebuild sewer lift #4
- 6 • **Storm Water Fund**
  - 8 ○ \$270,000 for Upper main drain
  - 8 ○ 600 E upsize
  - 8 ○ Bank repair behind Scotts Miracle Grow
  - 10 ○ Geneva Rd lining
  - 10 ○ 200 W box culvert
- 12 • **Recreation Fund (funded by PARC Tax transfers)**
  - 12 ○ \$25,000 for Aquatics Center pump maintenance and replacement80,000 for Aquatics Center boiler maintenance and replacement
  - 14 ○ \$20,000 for Community Center furnaces maintenance and replacement

16 **Ms. Colson then discussed the Budgeted Expenditures–Items NOT in Budget as follows:**

- 18 • **Personnel**
  - 20 ○ \$70,000 for 1.4% COLA
- 20 • **Operations**
  - 22 ○ \$27,500 in travel and training
  - 22 ○ \$5,000 for Deer management
  - 24 ○ \$2,500 for Police public outreach
  - 24 ○ \$45,000 for new community programs
    - 26 • summer concerts
    - 26 • youth theater council
    - 26 • rec on wheels
- 28 • **Capital**
  - 30 ○ \$300,000 for new Aquatics Center Slide
  - 30 ○ \$287,000 in facility projects for City Center, Vet Hall, PW Building, Aquatics Center, and Community Center
  - 32 ○ \$120,000 for 3 vehicles for Parks Department
  - 32 ○ \$50,000 for Trail improvements
  - 34 ○ \$250,000 of PARC Tax funds to replace the playground at Meadows Park and add playground equipment at Citizenship and Panorama Parks
  - 36 ○ \$12,000 for loader bucket for Public Works
  - 36 ○ \$70,000 to design and build a storage garage at Aquatics Center
  - 38 ○ \$120,000 for 3 vehicles for Parks Department

40 In conclusion, Ms. Colson stated this is the Final 2020-2021 FY Budget for  
42 adoption prior to the fiscal year beginning, but it will need to be flexible. She indicated it  
44 may need frequent budget amendments starting as early as August 2020. She noted we  
46 will watch the economy and monitor revenues as they come in throughout the year. We  
will also prioritize spending and establish timing of expenditures. She pointed out we are  
starting to see indications that the economic decline may not be as severe or last as long  
as we initially anticipated and could possibly look at adding some items back into the

2 budget. She indicated we will watch the economy for several more months and prioritize  
a list of items that were cut from this budget.

4 Following some general discussion on the final budget information presented  
Mayor Acerson and the Council thanked Ms. Colson for her great work on the budget and  
6 for the valuable information presented.

8 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT  
10 VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

12 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion.

14  
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE  
16 #2020-12-O AND ADOPT THE ETHICS POLICY AND PLEDGE AS PRESENTED.  
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
18 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE  
20 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
22 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE AYE  
24 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

26 **11. Recess to Lindon City Redevelopment Agency Meeting (RDA).**

28 COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE LINDON  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING AND CONVENE THE RDA MEETING.  
30 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

32 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
34 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
36 COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE AYE  
THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

38  
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO ADJOURN THE LINDON CITY  
40 RDA MEETING AND RE-CONVENE THE LINDON CITY COUNCIL MEETING.  
COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
42 RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE  
44 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
46 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE AYE

2 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

4 **14. Review & Action – Interlocal Agreement.** The City Council will review and  
6 consider an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with Utah County for financial  
8 disbursement from the Coronavirus Relief Fund made available through the  
10 Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act (“CARES Act”).

12 Mr. Cowie explained this is an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with Utah  
14 County for financial disbursement from the Coronavirus Relief Fund made available  
16 through the Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act (“CARES Act”).

18 Mr. Cowie stated we received the CARES Act late last week that is distributed  
20 through the counties by population. He indicated that based on our population we will be  
22 getting approximately \$80,000 which opens the door to projects this money could be used  
24 for added that it must be used by November. He noted he had a conference call with staff  
today on things the funds may be used for i.e., permanent sneeze guards, hand sanitizing  
stations, expanding water system, etc. to name a few. Mr. Cowie noted tonight is just the  
approval to accept the money but we don’t have to decide tonight what it will be used for.

26 Mayor Acerson pointed out the guidelines are influx and changing and may be  
28 expanded to be used for economic impact as well. Brian Haws, City Attorney, stated  
30 other City Attorneys have reached out to him about the indemnification clause in the  
32 agreement but he does not have any concerns about that. The only potential is with the  
34 federal government so they may tighten up that language. Mr. Cowie stated he doesn’t  
36 see an issue in adopting this agreement tonight.

38 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion.

40 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO APPROVE THE INTERLOCAL  
42 COOPERATION AGREEMENT WITH UTAH COUNTY FOR FINANCIAL  
44 DISBURSEMENT FROM THE CORONAVIRUS RELIEF FUND (“CARES ACT”).  
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

46 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE  
48 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE  
50 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE  
52 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE  
54 COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE AYE

56 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

58 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.

60 **Adjourn** –

62 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING  
64 AT 9:00 PM. COUNCILMEMBER VANCHIERE SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL  
66 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

2

Approved – July 20, 2020

4

6

---

Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

8

10

---

Jeff Acerson, Mayor